




Gregory R. Booker

Principal

 Wilmington, DE

 302-778-8408

 booker@fr.com

Overview

About Gregory

Greg Booker is a principal in the Delaware office of Fish & Richardson P.C. His practice focuses on complex patent litigation in a range of technical areas, including medical devices, chemistry, materials science, and pharmaceuticals, including Hatch-Waxman litigation. Greg has worked on a variety of technologies, including pharmaceutical formulations, drug delivery systems, orthopedic devices, dental products, antivirals, cardiac medications, and contact lenses. Greg has extensive experience in all phases of litigation, including pre-suit investigation, fact and expert discovery, claim construction, motion practice, trials, and appeals. Greg has tried both jury and bench trials in U.S. district courts throughout the country including Delaware, New Jersey, Texas, and California. Greg also serves as the recruiting principal for the firm's Delaware office.

Before joining Fish & Richardson, Greg was a product development senior scientist with Johnson & Johnson Consumer Health developing wound and skin care products.

Focus Areas

Services

- Litigation
- Hatch-Waxman
- ITC Litigation

- Patent Litigation

Industries

- Chemicals
- Life Sciences
- Medical Devices

Education

J.D. *cum laude*, Temple University Beasley School of Law (2005)

M.S., Materials Engineering, Drexel University (2002)

B.S.E. *cum laude*, Bioengineering and Materials Science Engineering, University of Pennsylvania (1997)

Experience

Cephalon, Inc. and CIMA Labs, Inc. v. Watson Pharmaceuticals (D. Del.) – representing plaintiffs Cephalon and CIMA in Hatch-Waxman litigation related to pain medication for cancer patients.

Cephalon, Inc. and CIMA Labs, Inc. v. Barr Pharmaceuticals (D. Del.) – representing plaintiffs Cephalon and CIMA in Hatch-Waxman litigation related to pain medication for cancer patients.

Stambler v. JP Morgan Chase & Co., et al. (E.D. Tex.) – representing defendant Bank of America in case asserting patents directed to Secure Sockets Layer (SSL) Protocol for conducting secured transactions over the internet.

Smith & Nephew, Inc. and John O. Hayhurst, M.D. v. Arthrex (D. Ore) – representing plaintiffs Smith & Nephew and Dr. John Hayhurst on a patent related to suture anchors used in orthopedic surgery, obtained \$14 million jury verdict for the patentee.

Rembrandt Vision Technologies, L.P. v. CIBA Vision Corporation (E.D. Tex.) – representing plaintiff Rembrandt on a patent related to silicone hydrogel contact lenses, obtained \$41 million jury verdict for patentee.

Kyphon, Inc. and Harvinder S. Sandhu v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, et. al. (W.D. Tenn.) – representing plaintiffs on patents related to surgical devices and procedure for treating vertebral compression fractures. Case also involved trade secret misappropriation and breach of contract claims. Case settled favorably.

TeleCheck International, Inc. v. LML Payment Systems, Inc. (E.D. Tex.) – representing plaintiff TeleCheck in suit alleging infringement of patents directed to methods and systems for interactive check authorizations using an electronic transaction terminal to gather transaction information at the point of sale. Case settled as part of global settlement and cross-license between parties.

Recognition

Named an “IP Star” by *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2013-2020.