



## **LegalMetric Federal Circuit Report**

### **Patent Cases**

**January 2012 to December 2023**

This report contains confidential and proprietary information of LegalMetric, Inc.

The information contained in this report is obtained from the official docket records of the federal courts. No attempt has been made to correct that data. For example, cases may be misclassified in the official docket records. In addition, cases are classified only by the primary cause of action. Cases having multiple causes of action are analyzed only under the primary cause of action identified on the official court docket.

LegalMetric, Inc. is not a law firm, does not provide legal advice, and is not engaged in the practice of law. No attorney-client relationship exists between LegalMetric, Inc. and any user of its products. LegalMetric provides statistical and analytical information to anyone who desires to purchase that information. Any purchaser of LegalMetric products who wants legal advice should hire an attorney.


# Introduction

This report covers the Federal Circuit patent cases for which there are written decisions (whether precedential or non-precedential) from January 2012 to December 2023. The decisions included are those available directly from the court's website and older decisions from the Georgetown University website.


**Overview:** Affirmance rates come in various kinds. For example, the entire case has a case affirmance rate based upon whether or not all the issues decided by the Federal Circuit in a particular case are affirmed or not, and each issue decided by the court in a particular case has its own issue affirmance rate ("infringement affirmed," "validity reversed", etc.). The cases affirmance rates can be either "complete affirmance rates" or "affirmed at least in part" rates. Affirmed at least in part rates are higher than complete affirmance rates since the former includes the latter. From at least the year 2000, the case complete affirmance and affirmed at least in part rates in the Federal Circuit for cases originating in the district courts have been lower than the corresponding rates for cases originating in the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO). In recent years, however, that trend has broken down, with case affirmance rates since 2016 for district court and PTO appeals being very similar (see charts and table below).

## Case Complete Affirmance Rate

by Year and Origin Below



## Case Affirmed at Least in Part Rate




	Total		District Court		Other		PTO	
	Complete Affirmance Rate	Affirmed at Least in Part	Complete Affirmance Rate	Affirmed at Least in Part	Complete Affirmance Rate	Affirmed at Least in Part	Complete Affirmance Rate	Affirmed at Least in Part
<b>Total</b>	66.3	82.1	60.2	79.7	62.8	80.8	78.4	86.6
<b>2023</b>	79.8	88.2	70.6	83.5	85.7	100.0	84.2	90.1
<b>2022</b>	66.5	78.2	54.1	68.8	83.3	83.3	75.9	85.7
<b>2021</b>	77.6	88.5	76.5	89.0	83.3	91.7	78.1	88.0
<b>2020</b>	76.3	87.3	70.6	84.0	90.0	90.0	81.1	90.3
<b>2019</b>	73.0	83.5	67.1	80.9	77.8	88.9	77.3	85.3
<b>2018</b>	72.6	83.6	70.7	85.3	66.7	66.7	74.3	82.6
<b>2017</b>	76.4	85.7	76.8	85.9	72.7	72.7	76.2	86.2
<b>2016</b>	78.1	89.4	76.9	88.0	50.0	75.0	80.3	91.6
<b>2015</b>	73.6	84.5	65.6	81.0	81.8	81.8	88.0	91.5
<b>2014</b>	69.0	84.3	67.0	82.8	66.7	77.8	76.6	90.6
<b>2013</b>	63.6	81.1	59.2	79.1	66.7	91.7	77.0	85.2
<b>2012</b>	72.6	87.0	68.0	87.1	37.5	62.5	88.0	89.3
<b>2011</b>	62.8	82.6	57.8	81.4	60.0	80.0	82.9	87.8
<b>2010</b>	61.8	79.0	61.6	79.8	44.4	66.7	69.2	76.9
<b>2009</b>	65.7	82.1	61.8	80.3	54.5	81.8	84.2	89.5
<b>2008</b>	65.1	83.8	62.3	82.3	71.4	100.0	94.7	94.7
<b>2007</b>	58.3	77.5	57.5	78.8	33.3	50.0	71.4	76.2
<b>2006</b>	50.0	73.3	49.3	72.8	42.9	71.4	71.4	85.7
<b>2005</b>	42.9	70.3	41.3	70.6	25.0	25.0	72.7	81.8
<b>2004</b>	43.0	71.9	40.0	69.5	20.0	100.0	71.4	78.6
<b>2003</b>	38.5	67.0	35.6	65.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
<b>2002</b>	27.8	63.9	24.7	64.2	0.0	0.0	43.8	62.5
<b>2001</b>	43.9	78.1	42.9	79.0	33.3	66.7	66.7	66.7
<b>2000</b>	52.3	73.3	52.7	74.3	60.0	100.0	42.9	42.9
<b>1999</b>	34.3	65.7	35.9	65.6	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
<b>1998</b>	41.2	70.6	42.2	71.1	0.0	100.0	40.0	60.0
<b>1997</b>	44.9	67.9	40.3	67.7	100.0	100.0	60.0	66.7
<b>1996</b>	49.2	82.5	48.1	85.2	0.0	100.0	62.5	62.5

	Total		District Court		Other		PTO	
	Complete Affirmance Rate	Affirmed at Least in Part	Complete Affirmance Rate	Affirmed at Least in Part	Complete Affirmance Rate	Affirmed at Least in Part	Complete Affirmance Rate	Affirmed at Least in Part
1995	52.2	69.6	55.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3

Except as noted, in this report, the basic unit of analysis is the ruling. A single decided case may have a number of rulings. For example, the Federal Circuit in a single case may address a number of distinct claim construction issues. If the issues are treated separately in the Federal Circuit opinion, they are treated separately in this report. Similarly, a single case may have numerous distinct issues, such as attorney's fees, validity and infringement. For example, a single case may provide separate rulings that go into the calculation of some of the affirmance rates for the different issues illustrated. (An affirmance rate in this report is the number of rulings affirming the lower tribunal on the issue, divided by the total number of rulings on the issue, expressed as a percentage.)

### Federal Circuit Affirmance Rates




# Overview

This report is divided into three main parts: (1) an analysis of the Federal Circuit rulings by legal issue; (2) an analysis by judge, and (3) the supporting data. The legal issues section includes sub-sections on attorney's fees, claim construction, damages, exceptional case, inequitable conduct, infringement, injunctions, and validity. Where the number of rulings is suitably large, the analysis includes figures broken out by judge and/or technology.

Affirmance rates and reversal rates are provided in the various sections. The court frequently vacates and remands without affirming or reversing, so the affirmance and reversal rates in general do not add up to 100%. The court is not consistent in its use of the term "reverse". For this reason, it is believed that the affirmance rates provide a more accurate measure of the likelihood of prevailing (and conversely, losing) on a particular issue on appeal.

## Affirmance and Reversal Rates, Various Issues



## PTO v. District Court Review

The chart below compares the affirmance rates for appeals from the district courts with those rates for appeals from various PTO proceedings from 2016 to date. Of particular interest, the affirmance rates for the district courts and for IPR proceedings are very similar where the patent(s) were found valid below, and where the patent(s) were found invalid below. The affirmance rate on claim construction decisions from district courts and IPR proceedings were similar to each other, but not as close as the overall validity appeal figures. The results for all such decisions are shown in the table below. Note that the affirmance rates and reversal rates usually do not add up to 100% since some cases involve, for example, vacated and remanded judgments which are neither affirmances nor reversals.

		Affirmance Rates					
		All Validity	All Validity Reversal Rate	Anticipation Affirmance Rate	Obviousness Affirmance Rate	Claim Construction Affirmance Rate	35 USC 101 Affirmance Rate
<b>Total</b>		81.7	13.6	75.8	82.3	74.4	86.2
<b>CBM</b>	<b>Total</b>	85.1	6.4	100.0	75.0	91.7	88.0
	<b>Claim Construction</b>					91.7	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	88.1	2.4	100.0	66.7		95.7
	<b>Valid Below</b>	60.0	40.0		100.0		0.0
<b>District Cour</b>	<b>Total</b>	77.7	15.6	65.0	80.0	73.9	84.1
	<b>Claim Construction</b>					73.9	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	78.0	15.0	60.0	80.4		85.7
	<b>Valid Below</b>	77.0	17.3	68.9	79.7		61.1
<b>Ex Parte Reexam</b>	<b>Total</b>	75.6	9.8	66.7	75.0	50.0	
	<b>Claim Construction</b>					50.0	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	75.6	9.8	66.7	75.0		
<b>Examiner Appeal</b>	<b>Total</b>	79.2	14.6	50.0	76.7	100.0	100.0
	<b>Claim Construction</b>					100.0	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	79.2	14.6	50.0	76.7		100.0
<b>Inter Partes Reexam</b>	<b>Total</b>	76.8	8.0	87.5	72.2	66.7	
	<b>Claim Construction</b>					66.7	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	80.0	6.4	90.9	73.8		
	<b>Valid Below</b>	64.3	14.3	50.0	64.3		
<b>Interference</b>	<b>Total</b>	81.0	0.0	100.0	57.1	87.5	
	<b>Claim Construction</b>					87.5	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	81.3	0.0	100.0	57.1		
	<b>Valid Below</b>	80.0	0.0	100.0			



		<b>Affirmance Rates</b>					
		<b>All Validity</b>	<b>All Validity Reversal Rate</b>	<b>Anticipation Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Obviousness Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Claim Construction Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>35 USC 101 Affirmance Rate</b>
<b>IPR</b>	<b>Total</b>	83.7	6.0	79.7	83.5	75.7	50.0
	<b>Claim Construction</b>					75.7	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	88.8	4.7	83.8	88.8		50.0
	<b>Valid Below</b>	70.9	9.2	70.0	70.3		
<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>	85.8	17.0	72.4	86.4	61.5	97.9
			17.7				
	<b>Claim Construction</b>		0.0			61.5	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	87.1	8.1	70.4	88.2		100.0
	<b>Valid Below</b>	68.8	12.5	100.0	70.0		50.0

		<b>Reversal Rates</b>				
		<b>All Validity</b>	<b>Anticipation Reversal Rate</b>	<b>Obviousness Reversal Rate</b>	<b>Claim Construction Reversal Rate</b>	<b>35 USC 101 Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>		8.8	16.0	6.0	20.9	11.2
<b>CBM</b>	<b>Invalid Below</b>	2.4	0.0	0.0		0.0
	<b>Valid Below</b>	40.0		0.0		100.0
<b>District Court</b>	<b>Claim Construction</b>				22.9	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	14.9	22.9	9.8		11.1
	<b>Valid Below</b>	17.3	22.2	11.9		38.9
<b>Ex Parte Reexam</b>	<b>Claim Construction</b>				40.0	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	9.8	22.2	10.0		
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Invalid Below</b>	14.6	50.0	13.3		0.0
<b>Inter Partes Reexam</b>	<b>Claim Construction</b>				21.2	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	6.4	9.1	6.2		
	<b>Valid Below</b>	14.3	50.0	7.1		
<b>Interference</b>	<b>Claim Construction</b>				12.5	
<b>IPR</b>	<b>Claim Construction</b>				19.2	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	4.7	10.6	4.1		50.0
	<b>Valid Below</b>	9.2	16.4	9.3		
<b>Other</b>	<b>Claim Construction</b>				30.8	
	<b>Invalid Below</b>	6.4	22.2	3.2		0.0




		<b>Reversal Rates</b>				
		<b>All Validity</b>	<b>Anticipation Reversal Rate</b>	<b>Obviousness Reversal Rate</b>	<b>Claim Construction Reversal Rate</b>	<b>35 USC 101 Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>Valid Below</b>	6.3	0.0	0.0		50.0

# Number of Patent Decisions for Previous Month and for Years Since 2010


The chart below shows the breakdown by case origin of decisions made by the Federal Circuit in November 2023

## Case Origins for Preceding Month Decisions



## Number of Fed Circuit Decisions

By Year and Forum Below



The number of decisions originating from the district courts, the Patent Office, and the ITC from 2010 to date are shown in the chart to the left and in the table below, broken out by year of Federal Circuit decision. Note the rapid rise in the number of decisions originating from the PTO and the somewhat less exaggerated fall in the number of decisions originating from the district courts since 2014.


	Total	DCT	ITC	PATO
<b>Total</b>	4,988 100.0%	2,739 54.9%	113 2.3%	2,136 42.8%
<b>2010</b>	252 100.0%	215 85.3%	9 3.6%	28 11.1%
<b>2011</b>	232 100.0%	184 79.3%	6 2.6%	42 18.1%
<b>2012</b>	294 100.0%	213 72.4%	6 2.0%	75 25.5%
<b>2013</b>	270 100.0%	198 73.3%	11 4.1%	61 22.6%

	<b>Total</b>	<b>DCT</b>	<b>ITC</b>	<b>PATO</b>
<b>2014</b>	339 100.0%	253 74.6%	11 3.2%	75 22.1%
<b>2015</b>	353 100.0%	224 63.5%	8 2.3%	121 34.3%
<b>2016</b>	408 100.0%	221 54.2%	2 0.5%	185 45.3%
<b>2017</b>	432 100.0%	211 48.8%	9 2.1%	212 49.1%
<b>2018</b>	430 100.0%	191 44.4%	3 0.7%	236 54.9%
<b>2019</b>	444 100.0%	196 44.1%	12 2.7%	236 53.2%
<b>2020</b>	414 100.0%	196 47.3%	6 1.4%	212 51.2%
<b>2021</b>	348 100.0%	140 40.2%	8 2.3%	200 57.5%
<b>2022</b>	365 100.0%	150 41.1%	11 3.0%	204 55.9%
<b>2023</b>	407 100.0%	147 36.1%	11 2.7%	249 61.2%

## Section I. Legal Issues

### A. Attorney's Fees

The number of attorney's fees rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 1995 are shown in the chart below.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	65.5	307	12.7
<b>2023</b>	90.0	10	0.0
<b>2022</b>	75.0	8	12.5
<b>2021</b>	100.0	11	0.0
<b>2020</b>	65.0	20	15.0
<b>2019</b>	64.3	14	15.4
<b>2018</b>	84.2	19	5.3
<b>2017</b>	65.0	20	20.0
<b>2016</b>	71.4	14	0.0
<b>2015</b>	38.9	18	22.2
<b>2014</b>	60.0	15	6.7
<b>2013</b>	41.7	12	16.7
<b>2012</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>2011</b>	40.0	5	40.0
<b>2010</b>	33.3	9	33.3
<b>2009</b>	55.6	9	22.2
<b>2008</b>	66.7	12	0.0

	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>2007</b>	57.1	7	14.3
<b>2006</b>	76.9	13	0.0
<b>2005</b>	50.0	18	22.2
<b>2004</b>	77.8	9	22.2
<b>2003</b>	25.0	8	62.5
<b>2002</b>	71.4	7	0.0
<b>2001</b>	88.9	9	0.0
<b>2000</b>	83.3	12	8.3
<b>1999</b>	80.0	5	0.0
<b>1998</b>	75.0	4	25.0
<b>1997</b>	100.0	5	0.0
<b>1996</b>	50.0	8	0.0
<b>1995</b>	50.0	2	0.0

**Awarded or Denied Below:** The number of decisions and affirmance rates as a function of whether attorney's fees were awarded or denied below are shown in the following table.


		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>		65.5	307	12.7
<b>Awarded/Granted Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	53.2	156	17.3
	<b>1995</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>1996</b>	0.0	2	0.0
	<b>1997</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>1998</b>	0.0	1	100.0
	<b>1999</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2000</b>	80.0	5	20.0
	<b>2001</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>2002</b>	0.0	1	0.0
	<b>2003</b>	25.0	8	62.5
	<b>2004</b>	50.0	2	50.0
	<b>2005</b>	27.3	11	27.3
	<b>2006</b>	50.0	6	0.0
<b>2007</b>	0.0	1	100.0	

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Awarded/Granted Below</b>	<b>2008</b>	50.0	8	0.0
	<b>2009</b>	50.0	6	16.7
	<b>2010</b>	14.3	7	42.9
	<b>2011</b>	50.0	4	50.0
	<b>2012</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>2013</b>	37.5	8	12.5
	<b>2014</b>	70.0	10	0.0
	<b>2015</b>	16.7	6	33.3
	<b>2016</b>	0.0	4	0.0
	<b>2017</b>	76.9	13	7.7
	<b>2018</b>	75.0	8	12.5
	<b>2019</b>	61.5	13	16.7
	<b>2020</b>	75.0	8	12.5
	<b>2021</b>	100.0	5	0.0
	<b>2022</b>	50.0	4	25.0
<b>2023</b>	75.0	4	0.0	
<b>Not Awarded/Denied Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	79.0	143	7.7
	<b>1996</b>	60.0	5	0.0
	<b>1997</b>	100.0	4	0.0
	<b>1998</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>1999</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>2000</b>	85.7	7	0.0
	<b>2001</b>	83.3	6	0.0
	<b>2002</b>	83.3	6	0.0
	<b>2004</b>	85.7	7	14.3
	<b>2005</b>	85.7	7	14.3
	<b>2006</b>	100.0	7	0.0
	<b>2007</b>	100.0	4	0.0
	<b>2008</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>2009</b>	66.7	3	33.3
<b>2010</b>	100.0	2	0.0	
<b>2011</b>	0.0	1	0.0	


		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Not Awarded/Denied Below</b>	<b>2012</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2013</b>	50.0	4	25.0
	<b>2014</b>	33.3	3	0.0
	<b>2015</b>	50.0	12	16.7
	<b>2016</b>	100.0	10	0.0
	<b>2017</b>	33.3	6	50.0
	<b>2018</b>	90.9	11	0.0
	<b>2019</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2020</b>	54.5	11	18.2
	<b>2021</b>	100.0	6	0.0
	<b>2022</b>	100.0	4	0.0
	<b>2023</b>	100.0	6	0.0
<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>	62.5	8	12.5
	<b>1996</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2007</b>	0.0	2	0.0
	<b>2008</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2014</b>	50.0	2	50.0
	<b>2017</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2020</b>	100.0	1	0.0

The affirmance rates for the various judges on this issue are shown in the chart below, followed by the number of rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

## Attorney Fee Affirmance Rates, by Judge




## Attorney Fee Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on attorney's fees, by judge, is shown below.

### Number of Opinions on Attorney Fees




### Number of Dissents on Attorney Fees



## Section I. Legal Issues

### B. Claim Construction

The number of claim construction rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 1995 are shown in the chart below.




	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	65.1	2,412	28.6
<b>1995</b>	71.4	7	28.6
<b>1996</b>	61.3	31	38.7
<b>1997</b>	73.0	37	26.3
<b>1998</b>	90.5	21	9.5
<b>1999</b>	45.0	40	48.8
<b>2000</b>	74.1	54	25.9
<b>2001</b>	63.9	97	30.9
<b>2002</b>	46.2	78	52.6
<b>2003</b>	42.6	108	50.9
<b>2004</b>	51.1	90	32.2
<b>2005</b>	56.9	109	24.8
<b>2006</b>	66.7	69	18.8
<b>2007</b>	65.7	102	29.4
<b>2008</b>	59.6	109	20.2
<b>2009</b>	68.3	60	18.3
<b>2010</b>	70.3	91	23.1

	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
2011	62.2	90	33.3
2012	64.5	93	33.3
2013	61.8	89	38.2
2014	65.8	114	32.5
2015	52.5	99	41.4
2016	75.2	153	24.2
2017	70.0	120	25.8
2018	63.5	85	24.7
2019	67.8	87	28.7
2020	75.2	113	22.1
2021	86.6	97	8.2
2022	66.3	80	27.5
2023	84.3	89	10.1

**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of claim construction decision below (summary judgment, Markman ruling, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.

**Claim Construction Affirmance Rate**




	Total		
	Affirmance Rate	Number of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	65.1	2,412	28.6
<b>Bench Trial</b>	68.2	66	31.8
<b>BPAI</b>	70.6	68	25.0


	Total		
	Affirmance Rate	Number of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Contempt Hearing</b>	0.0	1	100.0
<b>ITC</b>	67.5	80	28.8
<b>JMOL</b>	50.0	18	38.9
<b>Jury Verdict</b>	79.7	59	13.6
<b>Markman Ruling</b>	64.2	1,087	29.6
<b>Other</b>	57.6	59	35.6
<b>Preliminary Injunction</b>	52.5	40	27.5
<b>PTAB</b>	74.4	472	20.1
<b>Summary Judgment</b>	56.9	462	35.3

**By Claim Type:** The affirmance rates as a function of the claim type ("means for", apparatus, method, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.

**Claim Construction Affirmance Rates, by Type of Claim**




## Number of Claim Construction Rulings, by Type of Claim




**By Technology:** Claim construction affirmance rates vary significantly by technology. The variation from technology to technology for automotive, biotech, medical, pharma, semiconductor, and telecommunications technologies is set forth below.

## Claim Construction Affirmance Rates, by Technology




### Number of Claim Construction Rulings, by Technology




**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for claim construction rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of claim construction rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

### Claim Construction Affirmance Rates, by Judge




## Claim Construction Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on claim construction, by judge, is shown below.

## Number of Opinions on Claim Construction




# Number of Dissents on Claim Construction



## Section I. Legal Issues

### C. Damages

The number of damages rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 1995 are shown in the chart below.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	58.1	332	11.6
<b>1995</b>	66.7	6	0.0
<b>1996</b>	52.4	21	23.8
<b>1997</b>	100.0	8	0.0
<b>1998</b>	100.0	3	0.0
<b>1999</b>	60.0	10	20.0
<b>2000</b>	60.0	10	0.0
<b>2001</b>	81.8	11	9.1
<b>2002</b>	66.7	9	11.1
<b>2003</b>	63.6	11	9.1
<b>2004</b>	50.0	8	25.0
<b>2005</b>	60.0	10	30.0
<b>2006</b>	62.5	8	12.5
<b>2007</b>	50.0	10	30.0
<b>2008</b>	64.7	17	0.0
<b>2009</b>	55.6	9	11.1
<b>2010</b>	72.7	11	10.0

	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>2011</b>	71.4	7	0.0
<b>2012</b>	64.3	14	7.1
<b>2013</b>	44.4	18	27.8
<b>2014</b>	15.4	13	7.7
<b>2015</b>	52.8	36	22.2
<b>2016</b>	40.0	10	0.0
<b>2017</b>	72.7	11	0.0
<b>2018</b>	42.1	19	0.0
<b>2019</b>	62.5	8	0.0
<b>2020</b>	64.3	14	7.1
<b>2021</b>	50.0	6	20.0
<b>2022</b>	57.1	7	0.0
<b>2023</b>	71.4	7	0.0


The number of decisions and affirmance rates as a function of whether damages were awarded or denied below are shown in the following table.

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>		58.1	332	11.6
<b>Awarded/Granted Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	58.6	249	9.0
	<b>1995</b>	66.7	6	0.0
	<b>1996</b>	47.1	17	29.4
	<b>1997</b>	100.0	6	0.0
	<b>1998</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>1999</b>	57.1	7	14.3
	<b>2000</b>	66.7	9	0.0
	<b>2001</b>	85.7	7	0.0
	<b>2002</b>	33.3	3	33.3
	<b>2003</b>	75.0	8	0.0
	<b>2004</b>	60.0	5	20.0
	<b>2005</b>	55.6	9	33.3
	<b>2006</b>	57.1	7	14.3
	<b>2007</b>	42.9	7	28.6
	<b>2008</b>	70.0	10	0.0

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Awarded/Granted Below</b>	<b>2009</b>	50.0	8	12.5
	<b>2010</b>	75.0	8	12.5
	<b>2011</b>	100.0	4	0.0
	<b>2012</b>	70.0	10	0.0
	<b>2013</b>	46.7	15	20.0
	<b>2014</b>	22.2	9	0.0
	<b>2015</b>	57.7	26	11.5
	<b>2016</b>	28.6	7	0.0
	<b>2017</b>	75.0	8	0.0
	<b>2018</b>	43.8	16	0.0
	<b>2019</b>	62.5	8	0.0
	<b>2020</b>	66.7	12	0.0
	<b>2021</b>	50.0	4	0.0
	<b>2022</b>	50.0	6	0.0
	<b>2023</b>	60.0	5	0.0
<b>Not Awarded/Denied Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	60.3	63	19.4
	<b>1996</b>	75.0	4	0.0
	<b>1997</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>1998</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>1999</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2000</b>	0.0	1	0.0
	<b>2001</b>	75.0	4	25.0
	<b>2002</b>	83.3	6	0.0
	<b>2003</b>	33.3	3	33.3
	<b>2004</b>	33.3	3	33.3
	<b>2005</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2006</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2007</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2008</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>2010</b>	66.7	3	0.0
<b>2011</b>	33.3	3	0.0	
<b>2012</b>	0.0	1	100.0	


		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Not Awarded/Denied Below</b>	<b>2013</b>	33.3	3	66.7
	<b>2014</b>	0.0	1	0.0
	<b>2015</b>	50.0	8	50.0
	<b>2016</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>2017</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>2018</b>	0.0	1	0.0
	<b>2020</b>	0.0	1	100.0
	<b>2021</b>	0.0	1	100.0
	<b>2022</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2023</b>	100.0	2	0.0
<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>	45.0	20	20.0
	<b>1999</b>	0.0	1	100.0
	<b>2007</b>	50.0	2	50.0
	<b>2008</b>	50.0	4	0.0
	<b>2009</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2012</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>2014</b>	0.0	3	33.3
	<b>2015</b>	0.0	2	50.0
	<b>2018</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>2020</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2021</b>	100.0	1	0.0	

**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of damages decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, jury trial, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.



	Total		
	Affirmance Rate	Number of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	58.1	332	11.6
<b>Bench Trial</b>	61.3	62	3.2
<b>JMOL</b>	50.0	12	25.0
<b>Jury</b>	59.6	141	12.4
<b>Order on Motion</b>	58.3	96	10.5
<b>Other</b>	66.7	6	0.0
<b>Summary Judgment</b>	33.3	15	40.0


**By Issue Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the issue below (lost profits, reasonable royalty, other) are illustrated in the chart below.




<b>Total</b>			
	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>	58.1	332	11.6
<b>Lost Profits</b>	66.2	65	18.8
<b>Other</b>	54.7	192	10.5
<b>Reasonable Royalty</b>	60.0	75	8.2

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for damages rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of damages rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

**Damages Affirmance Rates, by Judge**




**Damages Rulings, by Judge**




The number of opinions on damages, by judge, is shown below.


### Number of Opinions on Damages



### Number of Dissents on Damages



**Enhanced Damages:** The number of enhanced damages rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 1995 are shown in the chart below.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	62.9	62	17.4
<b>1996</b>	50.0	4	0.0
<b>1997</b>	100.0	3	
<b>1998</b>	100.0	1	
<b>1999</b>	33.3	3	50.0
<b>2000</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>2001</b>	100.0	4	
<b>2002</b>	100.0	3	
<b>2003</b>	100.0	1	
<b>2004</b>	100.0	2	
<b>2005</b>	100.0	3	
<b>2006</b>	100.0	1	
<b>2008</b>	100.0	2	
<b>2009</b>	100.0	2	
<b>2010</b>	100.0	2	
<b>2011</b>	50.0	2	0.0
<b>2012</b>	0.0	1	0.0
<b>2013</b>	100.0	1	
<b>2014</b>	0.0	2	0.0
<b>2015</b>	20.0	5	50.0


	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>2016</b>	25.0	4	0.0
<b>2017</b>	100.0	1	
<b>2018</b>	25.0	4	0.0
<b>2019</b>	0.0	1	0.0
<b>2020</b>	50.0	2	0.0
<b>2021</b>	0.0	1	100.0
<b>2022</b>	0.0	1	0.0
<b>2023</b>	100.0	2	

The number of decisions and affirmance rates as a function of whether enhanced damages were awarded or denied below are shown in the following table.


	<b>Total</b>		
	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>	62.9	62	17.4
<b>Awarded/Granted Below</b>	59.0	39	18.8
<b>Not Awarded/Denied Below</b>	69.6	23	14.3

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for enhanced damages rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of enhanced damages rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

### Enhanced Damages Affirmance Rates, by Judge




## Enhanced Damages Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on enhanced damages, by judge, is shown below.

## Number of Opinions on Enhanced Damages




# Number of Dissents on Enhanced Damages



## Section I. Legal Issues

### D. Exceptional Case and Willful Infringement

**Exceptional Case:** The number of exceptional case rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 1995 are shown in the chart below.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	71.9	139	16.7
<b>1996</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>1998</b>	33.3	3	33.3
<b>2000</b>	100.0	3	0.0
<b>2001</b>	80.0	5	0.0
<b>2002</b>	100.0	4	0.0
<b>2003</b>	25.0	4	75.0
<b>2004</b>	75.0	4	25.0
<b>2005</b>	75.0	8	25.0
<b>2007</b>	100.0	3	0.0
<b>2008</b>	62.5	8	12.5
<b>2009</b>	75.0	4	25.0
<b>2010</b>	40.0	5	40.0
<b>2011</b>	50.0	2	50.0
<b>2012</b>	50.0	6	33.3
<b>2013</b>	33.3	6	16.7
<b>2014</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>2015</b>	50.0	6	20.0


	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>2016</b>	50.0	2	0.0
<b>2017</b>	63.6	22	31.8
<b>2018</b>	100.0	16	0.0
<b>2019</b>	81.8	11	0.0
<b>2020</b>	100.0	2	0.0
<b>2021</b>	100.0	5	0.0
<b>2022</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2023</b>	100.0	4	0.0

The number of decisions and affirmance rates as a function of whether an exceptional case motion was granted or denied below are shown in the following table.

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>		71.9	139	16.7
<b>Found Exceptional Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	64.0	75	22.7
	<b>1998</b>	0.0	1	100.0
	<b>2000</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2001</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2003</b>	0.0	3	100.0
	<b>2004</b>	50.0	2	50.0
	<b>2005</b>	66.7	6	33.3
	<b>2008</b>	57.1	7	14.3
	<b>2009</b>	50.0	2	50.0
	<b>2010</b>	25.0	4	50.0
	<b>2011</b>	50.0	2	50.0
	<b>2012</b>	33.3	3	66.7
	<b>2013</b>	25.0	4	25.0
	<b>2014</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>2015</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>2016</b>	50.0	2	0.0
<b>2017</b>	72.7	11	18.2	
<b>2018</b>	100.0	7	0.0	
<b>2019</b>	77.8	9	0.0	
<b>2021</b>	100.0	3	0.0	

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Found Exceptional Below</b>	<b>2023</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>Found Not Exceptional Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	81.0	63	9.7
	<b>1996</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>1998</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>2000</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2001</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>2002</b>	100.0	4	0.0
	<b>2003</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2004</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2005</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2007</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>2008</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2009</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2010</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2012</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>2013</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>2014</b>	0.0	1	0.0
	<b>2015</b>	50.0	4	33.3
	<b>2017</b>	54.5	11	45.5
	<b>2018</b>	100.0	8	0.0
	<b>2019</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2020</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2021</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2022</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2023</b>	100.0	3	0.0	
<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2018</b>	100.0	1	0.0


**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of exceptional case decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, jury trial, order on motion, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.




	Total		
	Affirmance Rate	Number of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	71.9	139	16.7
	100.0	2	0.0
<b>Bench Trial</b>	50.0	6	50.0
<b>Order on Motion</b>	72.3	130	15.5
<b>Summary Judgment</b>	100.0	1	0.0

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for exceptional case rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of exceptional case rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

### Exceptional Case Affirmance Rates, by Judge




### Exceptional Case Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on exceptional case, by judge, is shown below.


### Number of Opinions on Exceptional Case



### Number of Dissents on Exceptional Case



**Willful Infringement:** The number of willful infringement rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 1995 are shown in the chart below.



	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>	68.4	95	13.7
<b>1995</b>	0.0	2	50.0
<b>1996</b>	100.0	5	0.0
<b>1997</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>1998</b>	100.0	2	0.0
<b>1999</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2000</b>	100.0	3	0.0
<b>2001</b>	66.7	3	0.0
<b>2002</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2003</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2004</b>	40.0	5	20.0
<b>2005</b>	100.0	6	0.0
<b>2006</b>	100.0	5	0.0
<b>2007</b>	100.0	3	0.0
<b>2008</b>	80.0	5	0.0
<b>2009</b>	100.0	3	0.0
<b>2010</b>	66.7	3	33.3
<b>2011</b>	100.0	2	0.0
<b>2012</b>	50.0	4	0.0
<b>2013</b>	16.7	6	50.0

	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>2014</b>	60.0	5	20.0
<b>2015</b>	33.3	6	66.7
<b>2016</b>	50.0	6	0.0
<b>2017</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2018</b>	60.0	5	0.0
<b>2019</b>	0.0	2	50.0
<b>2020</b>	50.0	2	0.0
<b>2021</b>	50.0	2	50.0
<b>2022</b>	100.0	3	0.0
<b>2023</b>	100.0	2	0.0


The number of decisions and affirmance rates as a function of whether willful infringement was found or not below are shown in the following table.

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>		68.4	95	13.7
<b>Found Willful Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	60.7	61	19.7
	<b>1995</b>	0.0	2	50.0
	<b>1996</b>	100.0	4	0.0
	<b>1997</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>1998</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2000</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2001</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>2004</b>	25.0	4	25.0
	<b>2005</b>	100.0	4	0.0
	<b>2006</b>	100.0	5	0.0
	<b>2007</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2008</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>2009</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2010</b>	0.0	1	100.0
	<b>2011</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2012</b>	33.3	3	0.0	
<b>2013</b>	0.0	5	60.0	
<b>2014</b>	66.7	3	33.3	

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Found Willful Below</b>	<b>2015</b>	20.0	5	80.0
	<b>2016</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2017</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2018</b>	50.0	4	0.0
	<b>2019</b>	0.0	2	50.0
	<b>2020</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2022</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2023</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>Not Found Willful Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	81.8	33	3.0
	<b>1996</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>1999</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2000</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2001</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2002</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2003</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2004</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2005</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2007</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2008</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>2009</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2010</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2011</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2012</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2013</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2014</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>2015</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2016</b>	25.0	4	0.0
	<b>2018</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2020</b>	0.0	1	0.0
	<b>2021</b>	50.0	2	50.0
	<b>2023</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>	100.0	1	0.0

		Total		
		Affirmance Rate	Number of Rulings	Reversal Rate
Other	2022	100.0	1	0.0


**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of willful infringement decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, jury trial, order on motion, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.




		Total		
		Affirmance Rate	Number of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>		68.4	95	13.7
		0.0	1	0.0
<b>Bench Trial</b>		66.7	15	20.0
<b>Jury/JMOL</b>		72.9	59	10.2
<b>Order on Motion</b>		56.3	16	18.8
<b>Summary Judgment</b>		75.0	4	25.0

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for willful infringement rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of willfulness rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

### Willful Infringement Affirmance Rates, by Judge




### Willfulness Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on willfulness, by judge, is shown below.

### Number of Opinions on Willfulness




### Number of Dissents on Willfulness



## Section I. Legal Issues

### E. Infringement

The number of infringement rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	68.0	2269	13.4
<b>1995</b>	70.6	17	29.4
<b>1996</b>	60.0	40	25.0
<b>1997</b>	80.9	47	10.6
<b>1998</b>	80.8	26	11.5
<b>1999</b>	50.9	55	21.4
<b>2000</b>	74.4	86	5.8
<b>2001</b>	61.4	101	17.8
<b>2002</b>	40.9	66	15.2
<b>2003</b>	49.5	101	21.8
<b>2004</b>	54.4	79	15.2
<b>2005</b>	57.5	120	18.3
<b>2006</b>	84.2	95	7.4
<b>2007</b>	73.0	111	14.4
<b>2008</b>	69.4	124	11.3

	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>2009</b>	74.3	74	10.8
<b>2010</b>	62.8	94	19.1
<b>2011</b>	61.2	67	19.4
<b>2012</b>	68.4	95	14.7
<b>2013</b>	57.3	89	21.3
<b>2014</b>	66.1	115	10.4
<b>2015</b>	67.0	91	11.0
<b>2016</b>	79.8	119	7.6
<b>2017</b>	77.0	74	9.5
<b>2018</b>	79.7	74	9.5
<b>2019</b>	78.2	87	11.5
<b>2020</b>	80.6	72	5.6
<b>2021</b>	76.3	59	10.2
<b>2022</b>	70.7	41	12.2
<b>2023</b>	80.0	50	2.0


The number of decisions and affirmance rates as a function of whether infringement was found or not below are shown in the following table.

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>		68.0	2269	13.4
<b>Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	62.7	644	26.0
	<b>1995</b>	55.6	9	44.4
	<b>1996</b>	23.1	13	69.2
	<b>1997</b>	76.9	13	15.4
	<b>1998</b>	75.0	12	25.0
	<b>1999</b>	42.1	19	35.0
	<b>2000</b>	70.6	17	23.5
	<b>2001</b>	64.0	25	28.0
	<b>2002</b>	47.1	17	5.9
	<b>2003</b>	55.0	20	30.0
	<b>2004</b>	43.8	16	31.3
	<b>2005</b>	45.7	35	34.3
	<b>2006</b>	76.0	25	20.0

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>2007</b>	52.0	25	40.0
	<b>2008</b>	64.1	39	20.5
	<b>2009</b>	80.0	25	16.0
	<b>2010</b>	42.9	28	42.9
	<b>2011</b>	60.0	20	40.0
	<b>2012</b>	84.0	25	16.0
	<b>2013</b>	57.1	28	28.6
	<b>2014</b>	56.5	23	26.1
	<b>2015</b>	62.1	29	27.6
	<b>2016</b>	71.4	28	25.0
	<b>2017</b>	68.0	25	24.0
	<b>2018</b>	71.4	28	21.4
	<b>2019</b>	65.5	29	27.6
	<b>2020</b>	87.5	24	4.2
	<b>2021</b>	63.6	22	18.2
	<b>2022</b>	75.0	12	16.7
<b>2023</b>	76.9	13	7.7	
<b>Not Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	70.2	1625	8.4
	<b>1995</b>	87.5	8	12.5
	<b>1996</b>	77.8	27	3.7
	<b>1997</b>	82.4	34	8.8
	<b>1998</b>	85.7	14	0.0
	<b>1999</b>	55.6	36	13.9
	<b>2000</b>	75.4	69	1.4
	<b>2001</b>	60.5	76	14.5
	<b>2002</b>	38.8	49	18.4
	<b>2003</b>	48.1	81	19.8
	<b>2004</b>	57.1	63	11.1
	<b>2005</b>	62.4	85	11.8
	<b>2006</b>	87.1	70	2.9
	<b>2007</b>	79.1	86	7.0
<b>2008</b>	71.8	85	7.1	


		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Not Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>2009</b>	71.4	49	8.2
	<b>2010</b>	71.2	66	9.1
	<b>2011</b>	61.7	47	10.6
	<b>2012</b>	62.9	70	14.3
	<b>2013</b>	57.4	61	18.0
	<b>2014</b>	68.5	92	6.5
	<b>2015</b>	69.4	62	3.2
	<b>2016</b>	82.4	91	2.2
	<b>2017</b>	81.6	49	2.0
	<b>2018</b>	84.8	46	2.2
	<b>2019</b>	84.5	58	3.4
	<b>2020</b>	77.1	48	6.3
	<b>2021</b>	83.8	37	5.4
	<b>2022</b>	69.0	29	10.3
<b>2023</b>	81.1	37	0.0	

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for infringement rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of infringement rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.




The number of rulings on infringement, by judge, is shown below.

### Infringement Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on infringement, by judge, is shown below.

### Number of Opinions on Infringement




## Number of Dissents on Infringement



### 1. Doctrine of Equivalents

The number of doctrine of equivalents infringement rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	70.3	418	15.3
<b>1995</b>	100.0	4	0.0
<b>1996</b>	43.8	16	37.5
<b>1997</b>	75.0	12	16.7

	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>1998</b>	75.0	12	16.7
<b>1999</b>	66.7	18	11.1
<b>2000</b>	75.8	33	9.1
<b>2001</b>	71.9	32	9.4
<b>2002</b>	26.7	15	26.7
<b>2003</b>	33.3	18	22.2
<b>2004</b>	66.7	21	9.5
<b>2005</b>	66.7	24	16.7
<b>2006</b>	79.2	24	12.5
<b>2007</b>	81.5	27	11.1
<b>2008</b>	63.6	22	31.8
<b>2009</b>	80.0	10	10.0
<b>2010</b>	54.5	11	9.1
<b>2011</b>	60.0	10	40.0
<b>2012</b>	80.0	20	5.0
<b>2013</b>	55.6	9	44.4
<b>2014</b>	75.0	12	8.3
<b>2015</b>	100.0	8	0.0
<b>2016</b>	90.9	11	9.1
<b>2017</b>	100.0	6	0.0
<b>2018</b>	88.9	9	11.1
<b>2019</b>	92.3	13	7.7
<b>2020</b>	91.7	12	8.3
<b>2021</b>	50.0	4	50.0
<b>2022</b>	66.7	3	0.0
<b>2023</b>	50.0	2	50.0


The number of decisions and affirmance rates as a function of whether doctrine of equivalents infringement was found or not below are shown in the following table.

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>DOE Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>DOE Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>		70.3	418	15.3
<b>Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	51.1	90	38.9
	<b>1995</b>	100.0	1	0.0

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>DOE Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>DOE Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>1996</b>	14.3	7	71.4
	<b>1998</b>	50.0	6	33.3
	<b>1999</b>	40.0	5	40.0
	<b>2000</b>	33.3	3	66.7
	<b>2001</b>	33.3	3	33.3
	<b>2002</b>	33.3	3	33.3
	<b>2003</b>	25.0	4	25.0
	<b>2004</b>	33.3	3	33.3
	<b>2005</b>	33.3	6	50.0
	<b>2006</b>	66.7	6	33.3
	<b>2007</b>	66.7	3	33.3
	<b>2008</b>	50.0	6	50.0
	<b>2009</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>2010</b>	0.0	1	100.0
	<b>2011</b>	25.0	4	75.0
	<b>2012</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>2013</b>	33.3	3	66.7
	<b>2015</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>2016</b>	66.7	3	33.3
	<b>2018</b>	100.0	2	0.0
	<b>2019</b>	80.0	5	20.0
	<b>2020</b>	80.0	5	20.0
	<b>2021</b>	66.7	3	33.3
<b>2023</b>	0.0	1	100.0	
<b>Not Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	77.0	313	7.3
	<b>1995</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>1996</b>	66.7	9	11.1
	<b>1997</b>	75.0	12	16.7
	<b>1998</b>	100.0	6	0.0
	<b>1999</b>	83.3	12	0.0
	<b>2000</b>	80.0	30	3.3
	<b>2001</b>	75.9	29	6.9


		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>DOE Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>DOE Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Not Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>2002</b>	25.0	12	25.0
	<b>2003</b>	38.5	13	23.1
	<b>2004</b>	72.2	18	5.6
	<b>2005</b>	77.8	18	5.6
	<b>2006</b>	83.3	18	5.6
	<b>2007</b>	90.5	21	0.0
	<b>2008</b>	88.9	9	0.0
	<b>2009</b>	77.8	9	11.1
	<b>2010</b>	60.0	10	0.0
	<b>2011</b>	83.3	6	16.7
	<b>2012</b>	76.5	17	5.9
	<b>2013</b>	66.7	6	33.3
	<b>2014</b>	75.0	12	8.3
	<b>2015</b>	100.0	5	0.0
	<b>2016</b>	100.0	8	0.0
	<b>2017</b>	100.0	6	0.0
	<b>2018</b>	83.3	6	16.7
	<b>2019</b>	100.0	6	0.0
	<b>2020</b>	100.0	7	0.0
	<b>2021</b>	0.0	1	100.0
	<b>2022</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>2023</b>	100.0	1	0.0
	<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>	46.7	15
<b>1999</b>		0.0	1	0.0
<b>2003</b>		0.0	1	0.0
<b>2007</b>		33.3	3	66.7
<b>2008</b>		42.9	7	57.1
<b>2018</b>		100.0	1	0.0
<b>2019</b>		100.0	2	0.0

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for doctrine of equivalents infringement rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of doctrine of equivalents infringement rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.




The number of rulings on doctrine of equivalents infringement, by judge, is shown below.

### Doc. of Equiv. Infringement Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on doctrine of equivalents infringement, by judge, is shown below.

### Number of Opinions on Doc. of Equiv. Infringement




# Number of Dissents on Doc. of Equiv. Infringement



## 2. Literal

The number of literal infringement rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	67.4	1249	13.4
<b>1995</b>	66.7	12	33.3
<b>1996</b>	73.1	26	15.4
<b>1997</b>	80.6	31	9.7
<b>1998</b>	80.0	15	6.7
<b>1999</b>	44.1	34	25.7
<b>2000</b>	72.7	44	4.5
<b>2001</b>	59.0	61	16.4
<b>2002</b>	46.9	49	10.2
<b>2003</b>	50.7	73	23.3
<b>2004</b>	50.0	52	17.3
<b>2005</b>	55.7	88	18.2
<b>2006</b>	84.1	63	6.3
<b>2007</b>	68.8	80	15.0
<b>2008</b>	70.4	98	11.2
<b>2009</b>	73.7	38	10.5
<b>2010</b>	61.4	57	17.5

	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>2011</b>	57.8	45	17.8
<b>2012</b>	75.0	32	15.6
<b>2013</b>	67.7	31	19.4
<b>2014</b>	74.1	27	11.1
<b>2015</b>	75.8	33	9.1
<b>2016</b>	80.0	70	7.1
<b>2017</b>	83.9	31	6.5
<b>2018</b>	81.5	27	18.5
<b>2019</b>	77.4	31	12.9
<b>2020</b>	72.0	25	4.0
<b>2021</b>	77.8	27	11.1
<b>2022</b>	62.5	16	12.5
<b>2023</b>	81.8	33	0.0

The number of decisions and affirmance rates as a function of whether literal infringement was found or not below are shown in the following table.


		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>		67.4	1249	13.4
<b>Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	63.2	367	25.3
	<b>1995</b>	57.1	7	42.9
	<b>1996</b>	50.0	8	50.0
	<b>1997</b>	72.7	11	18.2
	<b>1998</b>	85.7	7	14.3
	<b>1999</b>	42.9	14	33.3
	<b>2000</b>	70.0	10	20.0
	<b>2001</b>	72.2	18	16.7
	<b>2002</b>	53.3	15	0.0
	<b>2003</b>	64.7	17	29.4
	<b>2004</b>	40.0	10	30.0
	<b>2005</b>	48.0	25	36.0
	<b>2006</b>	75.0	16	18.8
<b>2007</b>	55.6	18	33.3	
<b>2008</b>	67.7	31	16.1	

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>2009</b>	78.6	14	14.3
	<b>2010</b>	33.3	18	50.0
	<b>2011</b>	71.4	14	28.6
	<b>2012</b>	75.0	8	25.0
	<b>2013</b>	60.0	10	30.0
	<b>2014</b>	57.1	7	28.6
	<b>2015</b>	77.8	9	22.2
	<b>2016</b>	60.0	15	33.3
	<b>2017</b>	81.8	11	18.2
	<b>2018</b>	54.5	11	45.5
	<b>2019</b>	70.0	10	30.0
	<b>2020</b>	88.9	9	0.0
	<b>2021</b>	58.3	12	25.0
	<b>2022</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>2023</b>	87.5	8	0.0	
<b>Not Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	69.2	880	8.5
	<b>1995</b>	80.0	5	20.0
	<b>1996</b>	83.3	18	0.0
	<b>1997</b>	85.0	20	5.0
	<b>1998</b>	75.0	8	0.0
	<b>1999</b>	45.0	20	20.0
	<b>2000</b>	73.5	34	0.0
	<b>2001</b>	53.5	43	16.3
	<b>2002</b>	44.1	34	14.7
	<b>2003</b>	46.4	56	21.4
	<b>2004</b>	52.4	42	14.3
	<b>2005</b>	58.7	63	11.1
	<b>2006</b>	87.2	47	2.1
	<b>2007</b>	73.8	61	9.8
	<b>2008</b>	71.6	67	9.0
	<b>2009</b>	70.8	24	8.3
	<b>2010</b>	74.4	39	2.6

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Not Found Infringed Below</b>	<b>2011</b>	51.6	31	12.9
	<b>2012</b>	75.0	24	12.5
	<b>2013</b>	71.4	21	14.3
	<b>2014</b>	80.0	20	5.0
	<b>2015</b>	75.0	24	4.2
	<b>2016</b>	85.5	55	0.0
	<b>2017</b>	85.0	20	0.0
	<b>2018</b>	100.0	16	0.0
	<b>2019</b>	81.0	21	4.8
	<b>2020</b>	62.5	16	6.3
	<b>2021</b>	93.3	15	0.0
	<b>2022</b>	54.5	11	18.2
	<b>2023</b>	80.0	25	0.0
<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>2007</b>	0.0	1	0.0
	<b>2022</b>	100.0	1	0.0


**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for literal infringement rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of literal infringement rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

### Literal Infr. Affirmance Rates, by Judge




The number of rulings on literal infringement, by judge, is shown below.

### Literal Infringement Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on literal infringement, by judge, is shown below.

### Number of Opinions on Literal Infringement




# Number of Dissents on Literal Infringement



### 3. By Technology


The affirmance rates for infringement rulings vary widely by technology. The rates for doctrine of equivalents infringement, literal infringement, and overall infringement, by technology, are shown below.



	Overall	Doc. of Equiv.	Literal
<b>Total</b>	68.1	70.2	67.4
<b>Automotive</b>	69.5	78.6	65.1
<b>Biotech</b>	76.8	66.7	86.0
<b>Computer</b>	71.4	62.5	79.7
<b>Construction</b>	56.5	41.7	58.3
<b>Medical</b>	65.2	64.9	64.2
<b>Other</b>	66.6	69.3	65.9
<b>Pharma</b>	76.1	88.2	68.3
<b>Semiconductor</b>	63.2	40.0	66.7
<b>Telecommunications</b>	70.7	87.5	69.0

## 4. By Year

The chart below shows how affirmance rates for doctrine of equivalents infringement, literal infringement, and c infringement have varied by year since 1995. There is a notable decrease in affirmance rates in 2002, with a slow recovery to more normal levels.




	Overall	Doc. of Equiv.	Literal
<b>Total</b>	68.0	70.3	67.4
<b>1995</b>	70.6	100.0	66.7
<b>1996</b>	60.0	43.8	73.1
<b>1997</b>	80.9	75.0	80.6
<b>1998</b>	80.8	75.0	80.0
<b>1999</b>	50.9	66.7	44.1
<b>2000</b>	74.4	75.8	72.7
<b>2001</b>	61.4	71.9	59.0
<b>2002</b>	40.9	26.7	46.9
<b>2003</b>	49.5	33.3	50.7
<b>2004</b>	54.4	66.7	50.0
<b>2005</b>	57.5	66.7	55.7
<b>2006</b>	84.2	79.2	84.1
<b>2007</b>	73.0	81.5	68.8

	Overall	Doc. of Equiv.	Literal
2008	69.4	63.6	70.4
2009	74.3	80.0	73.7
2010	62.8	54.5	61.4
2011	61.2	60.0	57.8
2012	68.4	80.0	75.0
2013	57.3	55.6	67.7
2014	66.1	75.0	74.1
2015	67.0	100.0	75.8
2016	79.8	90.9	80.0
2017	77.0	100.0	83.9
2018	79.7	88.9	81.5
2019	78.2	92.3	77.4
2020	80.6	91.7	72.0
2021	76.3	50.0	77.8
2022	70.7	66.7	62.5
2023	80.0	50.0	81.8

## 5. By Type of Outcome Below

The chart below shows how affirmance rate for overall infringement varies by type of outcome below.




	<b>Overall</b>	<b>Doc. of Equiv.</b>	<b>Literal</b>
<b>Total</b>	68.0	70.3	67.4
<b>Bench Trial</b>	75.9	77.6	73.6
<b>Contempt Hearing</b>	50.0		0.0
<b>ITC</b>	71.6	66.7	71.4
<b>JMOL</b>	62.5	72.0	65.2
<b>Jury Verdict</b>	68.6	60.7	68.0
<b>Markman Ruling</b>	82.8	0.0	78.6
<b>Other</b>	68.2	85.7	64.7
<b>Preliminary Injunction</b>	42.9	60.0	85.0
<b>Summary Judgment</b>	66.4	71.2	65.7

# Section I. Legal Issues

## F. Injunctions

### 1. Preliminary Injunctions

The number of preliminary injunction rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	56.9	123	13.0
<b>1996</b>	60.0	5	0.0
<b>1997</b>	50.0	2	0.0
<b>1998</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>1999</b>	0.0	1	0.0
<b>2000</b>	66.7	3	0.0
<b>2001</b>	40.0	5	20.0
<b>2002</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>2003</b>	66.7	3	33.3
<b>2004</b>	33.3	3	33.3
<b>2005</b>	66.7	6	0.0
<b>2006</b>	33.3	9	0.0
<b>2007</b>	80.0	5	20.0
<b>2008</b>	57.1	7	0.0
<b>2009</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>2010</b>	50.0	2	50.0

	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>2011</b>	100.0	2	0.0
<b>2012</b>	66.7	9	11.1
<b>2013</b>	33.3	3	33.3
<b>2014</b>	42.9	7	28.6
<b>2015</b>	80.0	5	20.0
<b>2016</b>	25.0	4	0.0
<b>2017</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>2018</b>	0.0	3	33.3
<b>2019</b>	100.0	4	0.0
<b>2020</b>	71.4	7	14.3
<b>2021</b>	50.0	2	50.0
<b>2022</b>	50.0	8	25.0
<b>2023</b>	0.0	2	50.0


The number of decisions and affirmance rates as a function of whether a preliminary injunction was granted or not below are shown in the following table.

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Prel. Inj. Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>		56.9	123	13.0
<b>Granted Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	49.3	69	18.8
	<b>1996</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>1998</b>	75.0	4	0.0
	<b>1999</b>	0.0	1	0.0
	<b>2001</b>	25.0	4	25.0
	<b>2002</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>2003</b>	50.0	2	50.0
	<b>2004</b>	33.3	3	33.3
	<b>2005</b>	66.7	3	0.0
	<b>2006</b>	33.3	6	0.0
	<b>2007</b>	66.7	3	33.3
	<b>2008</b>	33.3	3	0.0
	<b>2009</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>2010</b>	50.0	2	50.0
<b>2011</b>	100.0	1	0.0	

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Prel. Inj. Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Granted Below</b>	<b>2012</b>	33.3	3	33.3
	<b>2013</b>	50.0	2	50.0
	<b>2014</b>	0.0	2	0.0
	<b>2015</b>	66.7	3	33.3
	<b>2016</b>	50.0	2	0.0
	<b>2017</b>	75.0	4	0.0
	<b>2018</b>	0.0	1	100.0
	<b>2019</b>	100.0	3	0.0
	<b>2020</b>	0.0	2	50.0
	<b>2021</b>	0.0	1	100.0
	<b>2022</b>	50.0	4	25.0
	<b>2023</b>	0.0	2	50.0
	<b>Not Granted Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	66.7	54
<b>1996</b>		50.0	2	0.0
<b>1997</b>		50.0	2	0.0
<b>2000</b>		66.7	3	0.0
<b>2001</b>		100.0	1	0.0
<b>2002</b>		0.0	1	0.0
<b>2003</b>		100.0	1	0.0
<b>2005</b>		66.7	3	0.0
<b>2006</b>		33.3	3	0.0
<b>2007</b>		100.0	2	0.0
<b>2008</b>		75.0	4	0.0
<b>2009</b>		100.0	2	0.0
<b>2011</b>		100.0	1	0.0
<b>2012</b>		83.3	6	0.0
<b>2013</b>		0.0	1	0.0
<b>2014</b>		60.0	5	40.0
<b>2015</b>		100.0	2	0.0
<b>2016</b>		0.0	2	0.0
<b>2018</b>		0.0	2	0.0
<b>2019</b>	100.0	1	0.0	


		Total		
		Prel. Inj. Affirmance Rate	Number of Rulings	Reversal Rate
Not Granted Below	2020	100.0	5	0.0
	2021	100.0	1	0.0
	2022	50.0	4	25.0

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for preliminary injunction rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of preliminary injunction rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.




The number of rulings on preliminary injunction, by judge, is shown below.

### Preliminary Injunction Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on preliminary injunctions, by judge, is shown below.

### Number of Opinions on Preliminary Injunctions



# Number of Dissents on Preliminary Injunctions




## Section I. Legal Issues

### F. Injunctions

#### 2. Permanent Injunctions

The number of permanent injunction rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from January 2012 are shown in the chart below

**Permanent Injunction Affirmance Rate, by Year**



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	48.2	85	12.9
<b>2023</b>	100.0	2	0.0
<b>2021</b>	0.0	1	0.0
<b>2020</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>2019</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2018</b>	60.0	5	0.0
<b>2017</b>	33.3	6	16.7
<b>2016</b>	28.6	7	0.0
<b>2015</b>	0.0	3	0.0
<b>2014</b>	25.0	4	0.0
<b>2013</b>	42.9	7	14.3
<b>2012</b>	55.6	9	11.1
<b>2011</b>	75.0	4	25.0
<b>2010</b>	66.7	3	0.0
<b>2009</b>	0.0	1	0.0

	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>2008</b>	66.7	6	16.7
<b>2007</b>	12.5	8	50.0
<b>2006</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2005</b>	33.3	3	66.7
<b>2004</b>	66.7	3	0.0
<b>2003</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>1999</b>	66.7	3	0.0
<b>1998</b>	50.0	2	0.0
<b>1995</b>	100.0	1	0.0


**Awarded or Denied Below:** The number of decisions and affirmance rates as a function of whether a permanent injunction was awarded or denied below are shown in the following table.

		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Perm. Inj. Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Perm. Inj. Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>		48.2	85	12.9
<b>Granted Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	49.2	61	11.5
	<b>1995</b>	100	1	0
	<b>1998</b>	50	2	0
	<b>1999</b>	50	2	0
	<b>2003</b>	100	1	0
	<b>2004</b>	50	2	0
	<b>2006</b>	100	1	0
	<b>2007</b>	13	8	50
	<b>2008</b>	60	5	20
	<b>2010</b>	67	3	0
	<b>2011</b>	100	3	0
	<b>2012</b>	71	7	14
	<b>2013</b>	33	3	0
	<b>2014</b>	0	2	0
	<b>2015</b>	0	3	0
<b>2016</b>	40	5	0	


		<b>Total</b>		
		<b>Perm. Inj. Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>Number of Rulings</b>	<b>Perm. Inj. Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Granted Below</b>	<b>2017</b>	0	3	33
	<b>2018</b>	75	4	0
	<b>2019</b>	100	1	0
	<b>2020</b>	67	3	0
	<b>2021</b>	0	1	0
	<b>2023</b>	100	1	0
<b>Not Granted Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	45.8	24	16.7
	<b>1999</b>	100	1	0
	<b>2004</b>	100	1	0
	<b>2005</b>	33	3	67
	<b>2008</b>	100	1	0
	<b>2009</b>	0	1	0
	<b>2011</b>	0	1	100
	<b>2012</b>	0	2	0
	<b>2013</b>	50	4	25
	<b>2014</b>	50	2	0
	<b>2016</b>	0	2	0
	<b>2017</b>	67	3	0
	<b>2018</b>	0	1	0
	<b>2020</b>	100	1	0
	<b>2023</b>	100	1	0

The affirmance rates for the various judges on this issue are shown in the chart below, followed by the number of rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

## Perm. Inj. Affirmance Rates, by Judge




## Permanent Injunction Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on attorney's fees, by judge, is shown below.

### Number of Opinions on Permanent Injunction




### Number of Dissents on Permanent Injunction



## Section I. Legal Issues

### G. Validity and Enforceability

The number of validity rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below.




	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	78.0	5504	13.6
<b>1995</b>	78.6	14	14.3
<b>1996</b>	80.0	30	13.3
<b>1997</b>	59.0	61	29.5
<b>1998</b>	54.2	24	33.3
<b>1999</b>	48.4	31	45.2
<b>2000</b>	60.9	46	26.1
<b>2001</b>	58.3	72	36.1
<b>2002</b>	50.7	75	34.7
<b>2003</b>	72.7	66	15.2
<b>2004</b>	72.4	58	13.8
<b>2005</b>	60.6	94	31.9
<b>2006</b>	70.7	82	17.1
<b>2007</b>	69.2	78	25.6
<b>2008</b>	69.2	104	22.1

	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
2009	64.3	98	21.4
2010	64.0	125	27.2
2011	63.2	125	30.4
2012	73.1	134	20.9
2013	67.2	131	23.7
2014	73.3	150	14.0
2015	79.8	282	14.6
2016	80.1	422	11.6
2017	80.9	530	9.3
2018	83.1	545	6.8
2019	82.7	538	10.6
2020	85.1	489	8.8
2021	84.9	431	9.0
2022	81.9	288	9.4
2023	86.9	381	5.3

**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.


**Validity Affirmance Rate, by Type of Decision Below**




	Total		
	Validity Affirmance Rate	No. of Ruling	Validity Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	78.0	5504	13.6
<b>Bench Trial</b>	75.5	481	18.9
<b>BPAI</b>	71.3	268	17.9
<b>ITC</b>	70.0	20	25.0
<b>JMOL</b>	46.7	107	43.0
<b>Jury Verdict</b>	75.5	445	20.4
<b>Order on Motion</b>	87.6	282	10.3
<b>Other</b>	83.7	3053	7.5
<b>Preliminary Injunction</b>	71.4	42	21.4
<b>Summary Judgment</b>	63.3	806	25.1

**By Holding Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of whether the lower court held the patent claim valid or invalid is shown below.

**Affirmance Rate as a Function of Validity/Invalidity Below**




**Percentage Held Valid on Appeal:** The percentage of patents held valid on appeal (as a percentage of the total number with validity or invalidity rulings) is shown below.



	Total
<b>Total</b>	34.1
<b>1995</b>	66.7
<b>1996</b>	73.3
<b>1997</b>	55.2
<b>1998</b>	76.9
<b>1999</b>	66.7
<b>2000</b>	84.0
<b>2001</b>	54.1
<b>2002</b>	73.1
<b>2003</b>	58.1
<b>2004</b>	76.9
<b>2005</b>	64.9
<b>2006</b>	63.4
<b>2007</b>	37.2
<b>2008</b>	47.0
<b>2009</b>	48.6
<b>2010</b>	55.0
<b>2011</b>	50.9

	Total
2012	42.3
2013	44.5
2014	36.0
2015	30.4
2016	26.6
2017	21.9
2018	27.5
2019	25.9
2020	28.3
2021	31.2
2022	29.4
2023	23.9


**By Technology:** Validity affirmance rates vary significantly by technology. The variation from technology to technology for automotive, biotech, medical, pharma, semiconductor, and telecommunications technologies is set forth below



	Total					
	Automotive	Biotech	Medical	Pharma	Semiconductor	Telecommunications
<b>Total</b>	80.0	82.8	74.9	81.1	76.7	80.8
	85.3	85.3	87.9	81.7	66.7	76.7
<b>Invalid Below</b>	80.0	82.2	72.2	81.4	79.4	85.4


	Total					
	Automotive	Biotech	Medical	Pharma	Semiconductor	Telecommunications
Valid Below	72.3	80.0	67.7	80.3	78.3	72.4

### Number of Validity Rulings, by Technology




**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for validity varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of validity rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

### Validity Affirmance Rates, by Judge




## Validity Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on validity, by judge, is shown below.

## Number of Opinions on Validity



# Number of Dissents on Validity




## Section I. Legal Issues

### G. Validity and Enforceability

#### 1. Anticipation

The number of anticipation validity/invalidity rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below. Note that the final year is a partial year.




	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	68.7	894	20.1
<b>2023</b>	88.2	34	8.8
<b>2022</b>	76.7	30	13.3
<b>2021</b>	87.5	32	9.4
<b>2020</b>	75.0	36	13.5
<b>2019</b>	72.7	44	20.5
<b>2018</b>	79.7	64	14.1
<b>2017</b>	73.3	60	20.0
<b>2016</b>	65.2	66	21.2
<b>2015</b>	56.8	44	27.3
<b>2014</b>	75.0	24	16.7
<b>2013</b>	71.9	32	21.9
<b>2012</b>	66.7	27	25.9
<b>2011</b>	57.6	33	39.4

	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>2010</b>	67.4	43	20.9
<b>2009</b>	59.3	27	25.9
<b>2008</b>	63.4	41	16.2
<b>2007</b>	76.0	25	20.8
<b>2006</b>	61.5	26	14.8
<b>2005</b>	56.8	37	37.1
<b>2004</b>	70.0	20	0.0
<b>2003</b>	66.7	24	4.5
<b>2002</b>	48.3	29	34.5
<b>2001</b>	61.3	31	26.7
<b>2000</b>	71.4	14	14.3
<b>1999</b>	64.3	14	28.6
<b>1998</b>	40.0	5	60.0
<b>1997</b>	56.3	16	21.4
<b>1996</b>	71.4	7	0.0
<b>1995</b>	88.9	9	0.0


**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of anticipation decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, jury trial, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.

### Anticipation Affirmance Rate by Type of Decision




	Anticipation		
	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	68.7	894	20.1
<b>Bench Trial</b>	63.2	76	24.7
<b>BPAI</b>	68.2	66	16.4
<b>ITC</b>	70.0	10	30.0
<b>JMOL</b>	30.0	20	57.9
<b>Jury Verdict</b>	67.1	155	27.7
<b>Order on Motion</b>	100.0	3	0.0
<b>Other</b>	77.4	336	14.2
<b>Preliminary Injunction</b>	76.9	13	15.4
<b>Summary Judgment</b>	60.9	215	19.9

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for anticipation rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of anticipation rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.




## Number of Anticipation Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on damages, by judge, is shown below.

## Number of Opinions on Anticipation



# Number of Dissents on Anticipation




## Section I. Legal Issues

### G. Validity and Enforceability

#### 2. Eligible Subject Matter - Alice

The number of eligible subject matter (Alice) validity/invalidity rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2014 are shown in the chart below.




		Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>		86.9	383	10.7
<b>Invalid Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	88.9	360	8.6
	<b>2023</b>	85.7	21	9.5
	<b>2022</b>	88.2	17	11.8
	<b>2021</b>	92.3	39	7.7
	<b>2020</b>	84.6	52	11.5
	<b>2019</b>	82.1	56	12.5
	<b>2018</b>	92.0	50	4.0
	<b>2017</b>	94.1	51	3.9
	<b>2016</b>	87.2	47	12.8
	<b>2015</b>	100.0	15	0.0
<b>2014</b>	91.7	12	8.3	
<b>Valid Below</b>	<b>Total</b>	56.5	23	43.5
	<b>2022</b>	100.0	1	0.0

		Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
Valid Below	2021	0.0	3	100.0
	2020	66.7	6	33.3
	2019	33.3	3	66.7
	2018	100.0	4	0.0
	2017	33.3	3	66.7
	2016	50.0	2	50.0
	2014	100.0	1	0.0


**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of Alice decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, jury trial, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.

**Alice Affirmance Rate by Type of Decision**




	Eligible Subject Matter		
	Affirmance Rate	Number of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	86.9	383	10.7
<b>Bench Trial</b>	100.0	4	0.0
<b>JMOL</b>	75.0	4	25.0
<b>Judgment on the Pleadings</b>	82.4	68	14.7
<b>Jury Verdict</b>	33.3	3	66.7
<b>Order to Dismiss</b>	86.5	126	10.3
<b>Other</b>	91.3	104	7.7
<b>Summary Judgment</b>	87.8	74	9.5

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for Alice rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of Alice rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.




## Number of Alice Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on patent eligible subject matter, by judge, is shown below.

## Number of Opinions on Patentable Subject Matter



## Number of Dissents on Patentable Subject Matter




## Section I. Legal Issues

### G. Validity and Enforceability

#### 3. Enablement


The number of enablement validity/invalidity rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below. Note that the final year is a partial year.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	73.8	103	17.0
<b>1996</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>1997</b>	0.0	2	100.0
<b>1998</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>1999</b>	33.3	3	66.7
<b>2000</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>2001</b>	33.3	3	33.3
<b>2002</b>	25.0	4	50.0
<b>2003</b>	83.3	6	0.0
<b>2004</b>	100.0	4	0.0
<b>2005</b>	75.0	4	0.0
<b>2006</b>	66.7	6	16.7
<b>2007</b>	75.0	8	12.5
<b>2008</b>	100.0	3	0.0
<b>2009</b>	50.0	2	50.0

	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
2010	66.7	3	33.3
2011	100.0	4	0.0
2012	83.3	6	16.7
2013	83.3	6	16.7
2014	71.4	7	28.6
2015	50.0	4	25.0
2018	66.7	6	33.3
2019	100.0	3	0.0
2020	50.0	2	0.0
2021	100.0	5	0.0
2022	100.0	1	0.0
2023	100.0	5	0.0


**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of enablement decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, jury trial, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.




	Enablement		
	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	73.8	103	17.0
<b>Bench Trial</b>	80.0	20	15.0
<b>JMOL</b>	45.5	11	40.0

	Enablement		
	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Jury Verdict</b>	93.8	16	6.3
<b>Order to Dismiss</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>Other</b>	87.0	23	13.0
<b>Summary Judgment</b>	59.4	32	20.0

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for enablement rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of enablement rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.




## Enablement Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on enablement, by judge, is shown below.

## Number of Opinions on Enablement



# Number of Dissents on Enablement




## Section I. Legal Issues

### G. Validity and Enforceability

#### 4. Indefiniteness


The number of indefiniteness validity/invalidity rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below. Note that the final year is a partial year.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	62.9	280	28.1
<b>1996</b>	100	2	0
<b>1997</b>	0	1	0
<b>1998</b>	100	1	0
<b>1999</b>	0	1	
<b>2000</b>	50	2	50
<b>2001</b>	33	6	67
<b>2002</b>	17	6	60
<b>2003</b>	78	9	22
<b>2004</b>	67	3	33
<b>2005</b>	62	13	38
<b>2006</b>	57	7	43
<b>2007</b>	57	7	20
<b>2008</b>	64	14	31


	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
2009	78	9	22
2010	54	13	38
2011	42	12	50
2012	78	9	22
2013	58	12	42
2014	73	15	7
2015	42	12	55
2016	80	20	20
2017	65	17	35
2018	56	16	25
2019	100	10	0
2020	56	18	28
2021	78	18	17
2022	42	12	33
2023	73	15	0

**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of indefiniteness decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, jury trial, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.




	<b>Indefiniteness</b>		
	<b>Affirmance Rate</b>	<b>No. of Rulings</b>	<b>Reversal Rate</b>
<b>Total</b>	62.9	280	28.1
<b>Bench Trial</b>	66.7	18	29.4
<b>BPAI</b>	60.0	5	20.0
<b>ITC</b>	0.0	1	100.0
<b>JMOL</b>	50.0	6	20.0
<b>Jury Verdict</b>	84.2	19	15.8
<b>Order on Motion</b>	85.7	7	0.0
<b>Other</b>	69.9	103	22.3
<b>Preliminary Injunction</b>	80.0	5	0.0
<b>Summary Judgment</b>	51.7	116	38.4

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for indefiniteness rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of indefiniteness rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.




## Indefiniteness Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on indefiniteness, by judge, is shown below.

## Number of Opinions on Indefiniteness



## Number of Dissents on Indefiniteness




## Section I. Legal Issues

### G. Validity and Enforceability

#### 5. Obviousness

The number of obviousness validity/invalidity rulings and the affirmation rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below. Note that the final year is a partial year.




	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	78.5	1895	9.9
<b>1995</b>	100.0	2	50.0
<b>1996</b>	71.4	7	18.2
<b>1997</b>	52.6	19	34.8
<b>1998</b>	40.0	5	42.9
<b>1999</b>	50.0	6	20.0
<b>2000</b>	30.8	13	50.0
<b>2001</b>	62.5	16	45.0
<b>2002</b>	71.4	14	13.6
<b>2003</b>	53.8	13	25.0
<b>2004</b>	64.3	14	6.7
<b>2005</b>	41.2	17	22.2
<b>2006</b>	87.0	23	13.8
<b>2007</b>	57.1	21	28.0

	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
2008	72.0	25	14.8
2009	64.5	31	20.0
2010	68.4	38	20.5
2011	77.5	40	20.0
2012	78.3	60	17.5
2013	63.3	49	20.8
2014	69.4	49	15.9
2015	84.9	93	11.3
2016	76.8	138	8.6
2017	77.2	193	7.3
2018	81.0	200	5.2
2019	83.9	180	7.4
2020	86.6	164	4.7
2021	83.0	159	7.7
2022	82.9	140	6.5
2023	86.1	166	5.4


**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of obviousness decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, jury trial, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.

### Obviousness Affirmance Rate by Type of Decision



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	78.5	1895	9.9
<b>Bench Trial</b>	74.1	170	17.0
<b>BPAI</b>	69.2	78	19.7
<b>ITC</b>	87.5	8	0.0
<b>JMOL</b>	42.5	40	45.0
<b>Jury Verdict</b>	79.5	151	15.6
<b>Order on Motion</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>Other</b>	82.6	1324	6.0
<b>Preliminary Injunction</b>	33.3	3	33.3
<b>Summary Judgment</b>	57.5	120	19.1


**By Technology:** Obviousness affirmance rates vary significantly by technology. The variation from technology to technology for automotive, biotech, medical, pharma, semiconductor, and telecommunications technologies is set forth below.




	<b>Total</b>					
	<b>Automotive</b>	<b>Biotech</b>	<b>Medical</b>	<b>Pharma</b>	<b>Semiconductor</b>	<b>Telecommunications</b>
<b>Total</b>	85.0	84.5	78.8	82.3	82.4	80.6
	97.1	89.2	87.5	84.9	76.2	79.5
<b>Invalid Below</b>	80.7	80.0	82.5	83.5	88.5	85.5
<b>Valid Below</b>	76.2	83.3	61.8	76.3	70.0	70.3

**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for obviousness rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of obviousness rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

### Obviousness Affirmance Rates, by Judge




## Number of Obviousness Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on obviousness, by judge, is shown below.

## Number of Opinions on Obviousness



## Number of Dissents on Obviousness




## Section I. Legal Issues

### G. Validity and Enforceability

#### 6. Written Description

The number of written description rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below. Note that the final year is a partial year.




	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	72.2	180	17.9
<b>1996</b>	0.0	1	0.0
<b>1997</b>	100.0	2	0.0
<b>1998</b>	0.0	1	100.0
<b>1999</b>	100.0	2	0.0
<b>2000</b>	75.0	4	25.0
<b>2001</b>	100.0	1	0.0
<b>2002</b>	0.0	3	100.0
<b>2003</b>	100.0	4	0.0
<b>2004</b>	83.3	6	0.0
<b>2005</b>	62.5	8	12.5
<b>2006</b>	100.0	7	0.0
<b>2007</b>	100.0	4	0.0
<b>2008</b>	100.0	4	0.0

	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
2009	57.1	7	28.6
2010	44.4	9	50.0
2011	53.8	13	23.1
2012	83.3	6	16.7
2013	75.0	8	12.5
2014	71.4	14	14.3
2015	75.0	4	25.0
2016	66.7	12	25.0
2017	77.8	9	22.2
2018	84.6	13	0.0
2019	54.5	11	36.4
2020	100.0	6	0.0
2021	75.0	8	12.5
2022	57.1	7	28.6
2023	100.0	6	0.0


**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of written description decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, jury trial, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.

**Written Description Affirmance Rate by Type of Decision**




**By Result Below:** The affirmance rates on written description as a function of whether there was a finding of validity or invalidity below are illustrated in the chart which follows.

### Affirmance and Reversal Rates as a Function of Valid or Invalid Below




**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for written description rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of written description rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

### Written Description Affirmance Rates, by Judge




## Number of Written Description Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on written description, by judge, is shown below.

## Number of Opinions on Written Description



## Number of Dissents on Written Description




## Section I. Legal Issues

### G. Validity and Enforceability

#### 7. Inequitable Conduct


The number of inequitable conduct rulings and the affirmance rates for those rulings for each year from 2012 are shown in the chart below. Note that the final year is a partial year.



	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
<b>Total</b>	70.3	269	18.6
<b>1995</b>	0.0	1	0.0
<b>1996</b>	66.7	6	33.3
<b>1997</b>	50.0	8	37.5
<b>1998</b>	50.0	2	50.0
<b>1999</b>	0.0	1	100.0
<b>2000</b>	80.0	10	20.0
<b>2001</b>	88.9	9	0.0
<b>2002</b>	66.7	6	16.7
<b>2003</b>	44.4	9	22.2
<b>2004</b>	40.0	5	60.0
<b>2005</b>	73.3	15	13.3
<b>2006</b>	63.6	22	13.6
<b>2007</b>	83.3	12	8.3
<b>2008</b>	67.9	28	25.0


	Affirmance Rate	No. of Rulings	Reversal Rate
2009	36.4	11	36.4
2010	81.0	42	9.5
2011	41.7	12	8.3
2012	61.9	21	38.1
2013	55.6	9	44.4
2014	100.0	7	0.0
2016	90.0	10	10.0
2017	100.0	5	0.0
2018	100.0	8	0.0
2019	100.0	2	0.0
2020	100.0	3	0.0
2021	100.0	3	0.0
2022	100.0	2	0.0

**By Type of Decision Below:** The affirmance rates as a function of the type of inequitable conduct decision below (summary judgment, bench trial, jury trial, etc.) are illustrated in the chart below.




**By Result Below:** The affirmance rates on inequitable conduct as a function of whether there was a finding of inequitable conduct below are illustrated in the chart which follows.

### Affirmance and Reversal Rates as a Function of Finding Inequitable Conduct Below




**By Judge:** The affirmance rate for inequitable conducts rulings varied significantly from judge to judge. The following chart illustrates that variation. The second chart shows the number of inequitable conduct rulings for each judge. Note that a single ruling in a case typically has three judges associated with it.

### Inequitable Conduct Affirmance Rates, by Judge




## Number of Inequitable Conduct Rulings, by Judge




The number of opinions on inequitable conduct, by judge, is shown below.

## Number of Opinions on Inequitable Conduct




# Number of Dissents on Inequitable Conduct




## Section II. Judges

### A. Overview

The number of panels on which each judge appeared in the last 365 days, as well as the number of opinions written by each judge are shown in the charts below. Note that senior judges are about 1/3 as likely as other judges to appear on a panel, and are also significantly less likely to write an opinion. For any single non-senior judge, there is approximately a 25% chance of that judge being on a given panel of three judges. The odds of two specific judges being on a panel is in the neighborhood of 1%, and the odds of three specific judges being on a panel is much less than 1%.




# Opinions Within Previous 365 Days, by Judge



Of the opinions in the previous chart, the number shown in the following chart were dissents. Note that most judges write relatively few dissents.


## Dissents Within Previous 365 Days, by



### Affirmance and Reversal Rates - All Issues


The affirmance and reversal rates for all issues are shown below. The average affirmance rate for all issues for all judges is 73.3%, with a variation of approximately 6% above and below that figure for specific judges.

### Affirmance Rate--All Issues, by Judge




The reversal rate for all issues by the judges is 15.4%. That is, on a particular issue, the odds of obtaining a reversal are around 1 in 5. The reversal rates for each judge vary 4-5% from the overall average.

### Reversal Rate--All Issues, by Judge




The affirmance rates by type of decision below is illustrated in the following three charts. Issues decided at trial are, in general, more likely to be affirmed than issues decided by summary judgment.


## Affirmance Rates--Bench Trial Below



## Affirmance Rates--Jury Trial Below




## Affirmance Rates--Summary Judgment Below




The likelihood that a patentee will prevail on an issue is 49.0% for the court as a whole, with considerable variation from judge to judge. The patentee win rates are calculated by dividing the number of rulings favoring the patentee by the total number favoring either the patentee or the accused infringer, and expressing the result as a percentage. The accused infringer win rate, therefore, is obtained by subtracting the desired number in the chart below from 100%.

## Patentee Win Rates on Appeal




## Judge Bryson

Judge Bryson has had 1053 rulings and 404 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Bryson writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Bryson for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Bryson are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Bryson for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Bryson for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Bryson for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Bryson for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Bryson as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Bryson is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Bryson are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Bryson is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Bryson on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents




## Judge Chen

Judge Chen has had 2139 rulings and 849 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Chen writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Chen for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Chen are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Chen for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Chen for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Chen for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Chen for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Chen as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Chen is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Chen are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Chen is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Chen on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents




## Judge Clevenger

Judge Clevenger has had 1494 rulings and 614 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Clevenger writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Clevenger for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Clevenger are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Clevenger for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Clevenger for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Clevenger for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Clevenger for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Clevenger as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Clevenger is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Clevenger are shown in the two following cha

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Clevenger is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Clevenger on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents



## Judge Cunningham


Judge Cunningham has had 207 rulings and 79 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.

### Rulings and Decisions by Year




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Cunningham writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:

### Historical Likelihood of This Judge Writing Majority Opinion




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Cunningham for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Cunningham are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Cunningham for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Cunningham for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Cunningham for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Cunningham for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Cunningham as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Cunningham is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue



The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Cunningham are shown in the two following c


### This Judge Opinions, by Issue



## This Judge Dissents, by Issue


The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Cunningham is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues



The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Cunningham on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:


### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents

## Judge Dyk

Judge Dyk has had 2377 rulings and 1052 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Dyk writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Dyk for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Dyk are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Dyk for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Dyk for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Dyk for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Dyk for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Dyk as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Dyk is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Dyk are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Dyk is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Dyk on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents




## Judge Hughes

Judge Hughes has had 1527 rulings and 850 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Hughes writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Hughes for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Hughes are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Hughes for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Hughes for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Hughes for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Hughes for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Hughes as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Hughes is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Hughes are shown in the two following charts

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Hughes is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Hughes on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents




## Judge Linn

Judge Linn has had 658 rulings and 257 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decision for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Linn writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Linn for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Linn are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Linn for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Linn for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Linn for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Linn for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Linn as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Linn is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Linn are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




## This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Linn is shown below:

## Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Linn on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents




## Judge Lourie

Judge Lourie has had 3736 rulings and 1647 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Lourie writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Lourie for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Lourie are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Lourie for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Lourie for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Lourie for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Lourie for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Lourie as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Lourie is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Lourie are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Lourie is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Lourie on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents




## Judge Mayer

Judge Mayer has had 1689 rulings and 691 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Mayer writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Mayer for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Mayer are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Mayer for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Mayer for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Mayer for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Mayer for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Mayer as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Mayer is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Mayer are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Mayer is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Mayer on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents



## Judge Moore


Judge Moore has had 2287 rulings and 1193 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.

### Rulings and Decisions by Year




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Moore writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:

### Historical Likelihood of This Judge Writing Majority Opinion




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Moore for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Moore are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Moore for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Moore for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Moore for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Moore for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Moore as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Moore is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Moore are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Moore is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Moore on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents




## Judge Newman

Judge Newman has had 1883 rulings and 825 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Newman writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Newman for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Newman are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Newman for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Newman for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Newman for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Newman for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Newman as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Newman is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Newman are shown in the two following charts

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Newman is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Newman on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents




## Judge Plager

Judge Plager has had 819 rulings and 364 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Plager writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Plager for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Plager are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Plager for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Plager for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Plager for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Plager for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Plager as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Plager is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Plager are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Plager is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Plager on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents




## Judge Prost

Judge Prost has had 3331 rulings and 1645 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Prost writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Prost for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Prost are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Prost for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Prost for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Prost for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Prost for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Prost as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Prost is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Prost are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Prost is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Prost on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents



## Judge Reyna


Judge Reyna has had 2176 rulings and 1101 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.

### Rulings and Decisions by Year




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Reyna writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:

### Historical Likelihood of This Judge Writing Majority Opinion




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Reyna for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Reyna are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Reyna for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Reyna for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Reyna for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Reyna for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Reyna as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Reyna is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Reyna are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Reyna is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Reyna on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents



## Judge Schall


Judge Schall has had 1747 rulings and 671 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.

### Rulings and Decisions by Year




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Schall writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:

### Historical Likelihood of This Judge Writing Majority Opinion




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Schall for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Schall are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Schall for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Schall for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Schall for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Schall for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Schall as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Schall is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Schall are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Schall is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Schall on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents




## Judge Stoll

Judge Stoll has had 1593 rulings and 700 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Stoll writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stoll for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Stoll are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stoll for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stoll for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stoll for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stoll for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stoll as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Stoll is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Stoll are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Stoll is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Stoll on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents



## Judge Stark


Judge Stark has had 232 rulings and 103 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.

### Rulings and Decisions by Year




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Stark writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:

### Historical Likelihood of This Judge Writing Majority Opinion




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stark for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Stark are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stark for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stark for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stark for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stark for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Stark as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Stark is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Stark are shown in the two following charts:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Stark is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Stark on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents



## Judge Taranto


Judge Taranto has had 1753 rulings and 950 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.

### Rulings and Decisions by Year




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Taranto writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:

### Historical Likelihood of This Judge Writing Majority Opinion




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Taranto for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Taranto are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Taranto for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Taranto for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Taranto for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Taranto for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Taranto as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Taranto is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Taranto are shown in the two following charts

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Taranto is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Taranto on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions




### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents



## Judge Wallach


Judge Wallach has had 1381 rulings and 822 decisions in the period covered by this report. The number of rulings and decisions for each year are illustrated in the chart below. Note that the last year is a partial year.

### Rulings and Decisions by Year




**Likelihood of Writing the Majority Opinion:** The historical likelihood of Judge Wallach writing the majority opinion (when on the panel) for various issues is as follows:

### Historical Likelihood of This Judge Writing Majority Opinion




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Wallach for all rulings are shown below. Note that the final year is a partial year.

### Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Year for This Judge--All Issues




**Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The case affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for Judge Wallach are shown below. A case rate is calculated based upon the outcome for the entire case, not just specific issues.

### Case Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Specific Issues:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Wallach for various issues are shown below, starting with validity. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Validity Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates for Infringement and Claim Construction:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Wallach for infringement and claim construction are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

**Infringement and Claim Construction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates**




**Miscellaneous Issue Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Wallach for various other issues are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Misc. Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Wallach for preliminary and permanent injunctions are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

### Injunction Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates




**Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates Based on Case Type Below and Prevailing Party:** The affirmance, reversal and remand rates for Judge Wallach as a function of type of case below and percentages of prevailing party on appeal are shown below. Note that issues can be reversed and remanded in the same ruling, and that issues can be vacated, so that the affirmance, reversal, and remand rates for any particular issue are unlikely to add up to 100%.

## Affirmance, Reversal and Remand Rates by Decision and Prevailing Party Below




The number of rulings, by issue, for Judge Wallach is shown below:

### Number of Rulings by Issue




The number of majority opinions and dissents, by issue, for Judge Wallach are shown in the two following chart:

### This Judge Opinions, by Issue




### This Judge Dissents, by Issue




The number of rulings on various infringement and validity issues by Judge Wallach is shown below:

### Number of Rulings on Infringement and Validity Issues




The number of majority opinions and dissents authored by Judge Wallach on various infringement and validity issues are illustrated in the charts below:

### Number of Infringement and Validity Opinions



### Number of Infringement and Validity Dissents



### III. Supporting Tables

The supporting data for this report is shown in the tables which follow. In those tables, cases may appear multiple times in a single table if the particular issue addressed by that table appeared more than once in the referenced decision.

## A. Attorney Fees

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newman	Attorney's Fees		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1121	SPECTRALYTICS, INC. V. CORDIS CORP.	Clevenger, Moore, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1285	MARCTEC, LLC. V. JOHNSON & JOHNSON	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Attorney's Fees	Litigation Misconduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1238	PHILLIP M. ADAMS & ASSOCIATES v. DELL COMPUTER COR	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1085	CHECKPOINT SYSTEMS, INC. v. ALL-TAG SECURITY S.A.	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1285	BARON SERVICES, INC. v. MEDIA WEATHER INNOVATIONS	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1461	PRECISION LINKS INC. v. USA PRODUCTS GROUP [JUDGME	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	Litigation Misconduct	Vacated	Order on Motion
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1643	BUCKHORN INC. v. ORBIS CORPORATION [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Reversed	Order on Motion
13-1193	KILOPASS TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. SIDENSE CORPORATION [	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1390	RANDALL MAY INTERNATIONAL v. DEG MUSIC PRODUCTS [R	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Affirmed	Order on Motion

12-1504	THERASENSE, INC v. BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1205	GABRIEL TECHNOLOGIES CORP. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATE	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1288	INNOVATIVE BIOMETRIC TECHNOLOG v. TOSHIBA AMERICA	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1221	MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC. v. O2 MICRO INTERNA	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1047	SYNTHES USA, LLC v. SPINAL KINETICS, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1593	INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIE	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS v. OCTANE FITNESS [OPINION F]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1085	CHECKPOINT SYSTEMS, INC. v. ALL-TAG SECURITY S.A.	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1219	HIGHMARK, INC. v. ALLCARE HEALTH MANAGEMENT [OPINI]	Dyk, Mayer, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1537	HOMELAND HOUSEWARES v. SORENSEN RESEARCH [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1649	BIAX CORPORATION v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1297	OPLUS TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. v. VIZIO, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1453	LEE v. MIKE'S NOVELTIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Litigation Misconduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion

14-1712	SFA SYSTEMS, LLC v. NEWEGG INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1163	SMALL v. IMPLANT DIRECT MFG. LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1777	PRAGMATUS TELECOM LLC v. NEWEGG INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
13-1049	LEE v. MIKE'S NOVELTIES [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
15-1103	SUMMIT DATA SYSTEMS LLC v. NETAPP INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1252	TNS MEDIA RESEARCH, LLC v. TIVO RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1373	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. v. NOKIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1711	BUCKHORN INC. v. ORBIS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1174	GAYMAR INDUSTRIES, INC. v. CINCINNATI SUB-ZERO PRO	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1405	SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL v. TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1297	OPLUS TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. v. VIZIO, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Attorney's Fees	1927	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1668	STRYKER V. ZIMMER [REVISED OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1649	BIAX CORPORATION v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion

14-1263	AQUA SHIELD v. INTERPOOL POOL COVER TEAM [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Bench Trial
13-1332	LOOPS, LLC v. PHOENIX TRADING INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	Discovery	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1419	SSL SERVICES, LLC v. CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
13-1537	HOMELAND HOUSEWARES v. SORENSEN RESEARCH [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Attorney's Fees	Amount	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1293	CARTNER v. ALAMO GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk,, Moore, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1293	CARTNER v. ALAMO GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk,, Moore, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Amount	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1126	ANGIOSCORE, INC v. TRIREME MEDICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Plager, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1049	KASPERSKY LAB, INC. v. DEVICE SECURITY, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-2010	COMMONWEALTH LABORATORIES v. QUINTRON INSTRUMENT COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1608	COMPUTER SOFTWARE PROTECTION v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1642	MACROSOLVE, INC. v. GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INS. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1598	SMARTMETRIC INC. v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion

15-1596	BARRON v. SCVNGR, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1448	SITE UPDATE SOLUTIONS, LLC v. CBS CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1324	ALPHA TECHNOLOGY U.S.A. CORP. v. MLSNA DAIRY SUPPLY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-2040	LARGE AUDIENCE DISPLAY SYSTEMS v. TENNMAN PRODUCTIONS, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1892	DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. PARROT S.A. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1801	VAPOR POINT LLC v. MOORHEAD [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1676	WALKER v. HEALTH INTERNATIONAL CORP. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	28usc1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1500	MANKES v. VIVID SEATS LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1275	LUMEN VIEW TECHNOLOGY LLC v. FINDTHEBEST.COM, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285		Order on Motion
15-1275	LUMEN VIEW TECHNOLOGY LLC v. FINDTHEBEST.COM, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Amount	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1575	UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH v. VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees		Vacated	Order on Motion

13-1205	GABRIEL TECHNOLOGIES CORP. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATE	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	Trade Secret	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1820	INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Amount	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1665	ADJUSTACAM, LLC v. NEWEGG, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1406	NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P. v. OMRON OILFIELD & MARINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1332	ADVANCED GROUND INFORMATION v. LIFE360, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1996	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1854	BAYER CROPSCIENCE AG v. DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1336	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH v. MAX-PLANCK-GESSELLSCHAFT [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2318	GRAVELLE v. KABA ILCO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2358	MIT v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Reyna, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1397	CHECKPOINT SYSTEMS, INC. v. ALL-TAG SECURITY S.A. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
16-1794	NANTKWEST, INC. v. MATAL [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	35 USC 145	Reversed	order on Motion

16-2094	AIA AMERICA, INC. v. ELI LILLY & COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2647	AIA AMERICA, INC. v. AVID RADIOPHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1070	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. v. FUJIFILM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1075	BLUE SPIKE, LLC v ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1887	JOAO BOCK TRANSACTION SYSTEMS v. JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1400	RANIERE v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1794	NANTKWEST, INC. v. IANCU [EN BANC OPINION]	PROST, Chief Judge, NEWMAN, LOURIE, DYK, MOORE, O	Attorney's Fees	35 USC 145	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2469	R+L CARRIERS, INC. v. QUALCOMM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1290	MILO & GABBY LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Lanham Act	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2521	ROTHSCHILD CONNECTED DEVICES v. GUARDIAN PROTECTION SERVICES [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
16-2572	CHAFFIN v. BRADEN [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1882	ADJUSTACAM, LLC v. NEWEGG, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion

16-1047	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. v. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1172	INDUSTRIAL MODELS, INC. v. SNF, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Lanham Act	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1172	INDUSTRIAL MODELS, INC. v. SNF, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2442	INVENTOR HOLDINGS, LLC v. BED BATH & BEYOND, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2442	INVENTOR HOLDINGS, LLC v. BED BATH & BEYOND, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Appeal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2414	GUST, INC. v. ALPHACAP VENTURES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	1927	Reversed	Order on Motion
17-2504	ASGHARI-KAMRAN v. UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2415	ASGHARI-KAMRAN v. UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1103	SARIF BIOMEDICAL LLC v. BRAINLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2137	SCHOELLER-BLEC KMANN OILFIELD v. CHURCHILL DRILLING TOOLS US [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1400	RANIERE v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285		Order on Motion
16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion

17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1505	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1784	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHS., LP PATENT LITIG. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-2266	LARGE AUDIENCE DISPLAY SYSTEM v. TENNMAN PRODUCTIONS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	
18-1276	SPINEOLOGY, INC. v. WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1813	BD. OF TRUSTEES OF UNIV. IL v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2541	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1923	IMPERIUM IP HOLDINGS (CAYMAN) v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
18-1657	THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL LLC v. GNC CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1848	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC. v. TRUVEN HEALTH ANALYTICS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion

18-1039	MAX SOUND CORPORATION v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2345	SPITZ TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. NOBEL BIOCARE USA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1533	DROP STOP LLC v. ZHU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Remanded	Order on Motion
18-1775	M-I DRILLING FLUIDS UK LTD. v. DYNAMIC AIR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2231	UCP INTERNATIONAL COMPANY LTD. v. BALSAM BRANDS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
18-2118	INSPIRED DEVELOPMENT GROUP v. INSPIRED PRODUCTS GROUP, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on motion
19-1338	SAP AMERICA, INC. v. INVESTPIC LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2231	UCP INTERNATIONAL COMPANY LTD. v. BALSAM BRANDS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion

18-2231	UCP INTERNATIONAL COMPANY LTD. v. BALSAM BRANDS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Inherent Powers	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1745	DIEM LLC v. BIGCOMMERCE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Schall, and Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1165	BIG BABOON, INC. v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2360	FASTSHIP, LLC v. US [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	1498	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-2087	ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION SHOPPERSCHOICE.COM, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-1454	MUNCHKIN, INC. v. LUV N' CARE, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-1488	TECH PHARMACY SERVICES, LLC v. ALIXA RX LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1884	HITKANSUT LLC v. US [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Attorney's Fees	1498	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1283	DRAGON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-1134	O.F. MOSSBERG & SONS, INC. v. TIMNEY TRIGGERS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1136	KEITH MANUFACTURING CO. v. BUTTERFIELD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
18-2393	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. HEALTH IN MOTION LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion

18-2215	EKO BRANDS, LLC v. ADRIAN RIVERA MAYNEZ ENTERS. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1430	SAUDER MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. J SQUARED, INC. [OPINION]	Wyk, Stoll, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Contract		Reversed	Order on Motion
19-1098	SERTA SIMMONS BEDDING, LLC v. CASPER SLEEP INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	1927		Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1134	O.F. MOSSBERG & SONS, INC. v. TIMNEY TRIGGERS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1283	DRAGON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285		Reversed	Order on Motion
20-1106	AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. ALMIRALL, LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Attorney's Fees			NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1454	MUNCHKIN, INC. v. LUV N' CARE, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	Trade Dress			Order on Motion
19-1952	KHAN v. HEMOSPHERE INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1511	EXPRESS MOBILE, INC. v. EGROVE SYSTEMS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1483	WPEM, LLC v. SOTI INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2359	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1639	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1504	MORTGAGE APPLICATION TECH. v. MERIDIANLINK, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion

20-1963	VPERSONALIZE INC. v. MAGNETIZE CONSULTANTS LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1493	ARUNACHALAM v. IBM [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Sanction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1959	PIRRI v. CHEEK [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1959	PIRRI v. CHEEK [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Attorney's Fees	Appeal	Affirmed	NA
19-2443	HORATIO WASHINGTON DEPOT TECH. v. TOLMAR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1962	SPIP LITIGATION GROUP, LLC v. APPLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Attorney's Fees		Unknown	Order on Motion
20-2149	UNILOC USA, INC. v. ACRONIS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1685	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-2321	HYATT v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Sec. 145 Decision
21-1567	TYGER MANUFACTURING LLC v. MIKE'S WORLDWIDE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1963	EVOLUSION CONCEPTS, INC. v. HOC EVENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2164	LOWE v. SHIELDMARK, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Cunningham	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion

21-1978	HOIST FITNESS SYSTEMS, INC. v. TUFFSTUFF FITNESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1484	REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	Inherent Powers	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2303	STATIC MEDIA LLC v. LEADER ACCESSORIES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	Sanction	Reversed	Order on Motion
21-1484	REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	Inherent Powers	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2253	FINJAN, LLC v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1370	KONAMI GAMING INC. v. HIGH 5 GAMES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURE HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Attorney's Fees		Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1268	HEALTHIER CHOICES MANAGEMENT CORP. v. PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Stoll, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURE HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Attorney's Fees	285		Order on Motion
22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURE HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURE HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Attorney's Fees	1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion

22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURE HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Attorney's Fees	Inherent Powers	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1099	ONESUBSEA IP UK LIMITED v. FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
23-1246	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. AT&T INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Hughes, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1858	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1858	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	Amount	Affirmed	Order on Motion

## B. Claim Construction

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
12-1092	IN RE AVID IDENTIFICATION	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
09-1171	OUTSIDE THE BOX INNOVATIONS, LLC. V. TRAVEL CADDY,	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1179	IN RE POND	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
09-1566	DEALERTRACK V. HUBER	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1198	FALANA V. KENT STATE UNIVERSITY	Linn, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1439	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS OPERATIONS, INC. V. LIFESCAN INC	Bryson, Clevenger, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
11-1103	KRIPPELZ V. FORD MOTOR CO.	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1004	HTC CORP. V. IPCOM GMBH & CO., KG	Bryson, Linn, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1159	IN RE REHRIG PACIFIC CO.	Moore, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision
11-1114	THORNER V. SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC	Aiken*, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1173	METTLER-TOLEDO, INC. V. B-TEK SCALES, LLC.	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1223	GENERAL ELECTRIC CO. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMIS	Linn, Newman, Rade	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Decision
11-1149	MYSFACE, INC. V. GRAPHON CORP.	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1216	DIGITAL-VENDING SERVICES INTERNATIONAL V. THE UNIV	Linn, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1344	IN RE NAREN CHAGANTI	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1548	MARINE POLYMER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. HEMCON, INC.	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn, dyk,	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1397	SANOVI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH V. GENENTECH, INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Decision
11-1036	LEARNING CURVE BRANDS V. MUNCHKIN, INC.	Bryson, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

11-1243	ADVANCED FIBER TECHNOLOGIES (AFT) TRUST V. J&L FIB	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1018	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. HOSPIRA, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Decision
11-1473	SMARTMETRIC INC. V. AMERICAN EXPRESS CO.	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1546	ZAPMEDIA SERVICES, INC. V. APPLE, INC.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1267	CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INC. V. INTERNATIO	Fogel*, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1006	IN RE TAYLOR	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1519	GROBER V. MAKO PRODUCTS, INC.	Moore, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1403	O1 COMMUNIQUE LABORATORY, INC. V. LOGMEIN, INC.	Fogel*, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1093	INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS, LLC. V. INTERNATIONAL	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	ITC Decision
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	NA	Summary Judgment
11-1247	IN RE RAMBUS INC.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1633	JANG V. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1419	WALKER DIGITAL, LLC. V. MICROSOFT CORP.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1227	PARALLEL NETWORKS, LLC. V. ABERCROMBIE & FITCH CO.	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1309	TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC. V. AMERICAN EUROCOPTER CO	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1516	IN RE ABBOTT DIABETES CARE INC.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	BPAI Decision
12-1040	ALLERGAN V BARR LABS [OPINION]	Bryson, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1094	HARRIS CORP. V. FED EX CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1276	ERNIE BALL, INC. v. EARVANA, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1265	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. V. ARTHREX, INC.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	JMOL
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI) CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

11-1309	TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC. V. AMERICAN EUROCOPTER CO	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1022	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHNOLOGIES, LP	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1013	LASERFACTURING, INC. V. OLD CARCO LIQUIDATION TRUS	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1397	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH V. GENENTECH, INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Decision
11-1516	IN RE ABBOTT DIABETES CARE INC.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1476	FLO HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS, LLC. V. PATENT AND TRADE	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	BPAI Decision
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1153	JOY MM DELAWARE, INC. V. CINCINNATI MINE MACHINERY	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1255	IN RE SUTTON	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1638	ARCELORMITTAL FRANCE V. AK STEEL CORP.	Clevenger, Dyk, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1629	DEERE & CO. V. BUSH HOG, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1182	IN RE DITTO	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1528	STORED VALUE SALUTIONS, INC. V. CARD ACTIVATION TE	Lourie, Reyna, Krieger*	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1332	CW ZUMBIEL V. KAPPOS	Prost, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST V. PHILIPS ELECTRON	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1629	DEERE & CO. V. BUSH HOG, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

11-1638	ARCELORMITTAL FRANCE V. AK STEEL CORP.	Clevenger, Dyk, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1255	IN RE SUTTON	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1237	ACCENTRA V. STAPLES	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1476	FLO HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS, LLC. V. PATENT AND TRADE	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1507	APPLE INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1478	WOODS V. DEANGELO MARINE EXHAUST, INC	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1206	BASF AGRO V MAKHTESHIM AGAN [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1011	ACCENT PACKAGING V LEGGETT & PLATT [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1550	AMKOR TECHNOLOGY INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMM	Linn, Newman, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Decision
11-1247	IN RE RAMBUS INC.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1449	LINGAMFELTER V. KAPPOS	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1093	INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS, LLC. V. INTERNATIONAL	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	ITC Decision
12-1006	IN RE TAYLOR	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1204	TOSHIBA CORP. V. IMATION CORP.	Dyk, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1267	CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INC. V. INTERNATIO	Fogel*, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

11-1361	IN RE TRANSACTION HOLDINGS LTD., LLC.	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1546	ZAPMEDIA SERVICES, INC. V. APPLE, INC.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1473	SMARTMETRIC INC. V. AMERICAN EXPRESS CO.	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1243	ADVANCED FIBER TECHNOLOGIES (AFT) TRUST V. J&L FIB	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1147	ASPEX EYEWEAR, INC. V. MARCHON EYEWEAR, INC.	Bryson, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1147	ASPEX EYEWEAR, INC. V. MARCHON EYEWEAR, INC.	Bryson, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1147	ASPEX EYEWEAR, INC. V. MARCHON EYEWEAR, INC.	Bryson, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1223	GENERAL ELECTRIC CO. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMIS	Linn, Newman, Rade	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	ITC Decision
11-1114	THORNER V. SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC	Aiken*, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1439	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS OPERATIONS, INC. V. LIFESCAN INC	Bryson, Clevenger, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1566	DEALERTRACK V. HUBER	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1566	DEALERTRACK V. HUBER	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	
12-1020	FUNCTION MEDIA V GOOGLE [OPINION]	Newman, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1020	FUNCTION MEDIA V GOOGLE [OPINION]	Newman, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1482	INRE: ERIC JASINSKI [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-1233	RADIO SYSTEMS CORP V LALOR [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1380	FUNCTION MEDIA, L.L.C. v. KAPPOS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1426	ARISTOCRAT TECH [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1043	BRUCE SAFFRAN v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1043	BRUCE SAFFRAN v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1106	CHIKEZIE OTTAH v. VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1288	CAPITAL MACHINE COMPANY, INC. v. MILLER VENEERS, I	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1288	CAPITAL MACHINE COMPANY, INC. v. MILLER VENEERS, I	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1120	BIOGEN IDEC INC. v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE LL [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1319	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. WALMART.COM USA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1286	SHIMANO, INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1619	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-5077	USHIP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES v. US [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1335	AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. AMINO CHEMICALS LTD. [O	Bryson, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1291	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [OPINI	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1291	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [OPINI	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1579	CREATIVE INTEGRATED SYSTEMS v. NINTENDC OF AMERICA	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1167	REGENTS OF UNIV. OF MINNESOTA v. AGA MEDICAL CORPO	Dyk, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1579	CREATIVE INTEGRATED SYSTEMS v. NINTENDC OF AMERICA	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

12-1667	INRE: ROBERT YEAGER [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1692	IN RE: JOSEPH GIUFFRIDA [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1578	CHARLES MACHINE WORKS v. VERMEER MANUFACTURING [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1355	PLANTRONICS, INC. v. ALIPH, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1241	3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES v. TREDEGAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1241	3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES v. TREDEGAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	ITC Decision
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1531	ARIA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. v. SEQUENOM, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
12-1520	LEO PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS v. REA [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision
13-1006	MAURY MICROWAVE, INC. v. FOCUS MICROWAVES, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1472	MIKKELSEN GRAPHIC ENGINEERING v. ZUND AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1560	SKINMEDICA INC v. HISTOGEN INC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1615	COOPER NOTIFICATION, INC. v. TWITTER, INC. [OPINION]	Laurie, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1002	BAYER CROPSCIENCE AG v. DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. LENCO MARINE, INC [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1634	RAMBUS INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
13-1335	SUNOVION PHARMACEUTICALS v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS U	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1004	JUXTACOMM-TEXAS SOFTWARE, LLC v. TIBCO SOFTWARE, I	Linn, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
12-1352	KRUSE TECHNOLOGY PARTNERSHIP v. VOLKSWAGEN AG [OPI	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1312	ASTRAZENECA LP v. BREATH LIMITED [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1046	E8 PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. AFFYMETRIX, INC. [OPINI	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1107	PIGGY PUSHERS, LLC v. SKIDDERS FOOTWEAR, INC. [OPI	Linn, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1049	LEE v. MIKE'S NOVELTIES [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1104	INRE: EATON [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1216	VISTAN CORPORATION v. FADEI USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1490	ASTRAZENECA AB v. HANMI USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General		Markman Ruling
12-1666	MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
13-1165	NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. NOKIA CORPORATION [	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1645	ENOCEAN GMBH v. FACE INTERNATIONAL CORP [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-1576	PFIZER INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1140	TEMPO LIGHTING, INC. v. TIVOLI, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

13-1650	OTTO BOCK HEALTHCARE LP v. OSSUR HF [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
13-1342	BUTAMAX(TM) ADVANCED BIOFUELS v. GEVO, INC. [OPINI	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1650	OTTO BOCK HEALTHCARE LP v. OSSUR HF [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
13-1092	REALTIME DATA, LLC v MORGAN STANLEY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1406	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS	Chen, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1200	FRANS NOOREN AFDICHTINGSSYSTEM v. STOPAQ AMCORR IN	Chen, Rader, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1694	STARHOME GMBH v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1378	ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Rader, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1151	E2INTERACTIVE, INC. v BLACKHAWK NETWORK, INC. [OP	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1057	VEDERI, LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1347	BOSE CORPORATION v. SDI TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINIO	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1389	NOVATEK, INC. v. THE SOLLAMI COMPANY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1409	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT LLC v. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS,	Hughes, Prost, Radei	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1415	TECSEC, INC. v. INTL BUSINESS MACHINES [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
12-1415	TECSEC, INC. v. INTL BUSINESS MACHINES [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Consent Judgment
12-1415	TECSEC, INC. v. INTL BUSINESS MACHINES [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Consent Judgment
12-1518	MEADWESTVACO CORPORATION v. REXAM BEAUTY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

13-1181	HOWLINK GLOBAL LLC v. NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS [OPIN]	Bryson, Lourie, O`Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1396	UNITED VIDEO PROPERTIES, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC.	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1575	UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH v. VARIAM MEDICAL SYSTEMS	Dyk, Lourie, O`Malley	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1477	POWER MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATE	O`Malley, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1548	APPLE INC. v. MOTOROLA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1267	GE LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC v. AGILIGHT, INC. [OPIN]	Moore, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1499	TOBINICK v. OLMARKER [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	USITC Decision
13-1392	SUFFOLK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v AOL INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1270	SOURCE VAGABOND SYSTEMS v. HYDRAPAK, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Rule 11 Decision
13-1245	ALLERGAN, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1634	RAMBUS INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O`Malley	Claim Construction	General		BPAI Decision
13-1397	GEMALTO S.A. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1480	INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH v. ITC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
13-1450	HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. v. STRYKER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1551	LOCHNER TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. VIZIO, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1042	ROTATABLE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LL	Hughes, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1340	X2Y ATTENUATORS, LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
13-1222	INRE: MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1054	H-W TECHNOLOGY, L.C. v. OVERSTOCK.COM, INC. [OPINI	O'Malley; Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1496	GOLDEN BRIDGE TECHNOLOGY v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1212	AMDOCS LIMITED v. OPENET TELECOM, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1318	ALBECKER v. CONTOUR PRODUCTS INC. (FL) [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v. NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1636	GAMMINO v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1641	AMERICAN RADIO LLC v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1330	EPOS TECHNOLOGIES LTD. v. PEGASUS TECHNOLOGIES LTD	Bryson, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

13-1350	FACEBOOK, INC. v. PRAGMATUS AV, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1489	VIRNETX, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1419	SSL SERVICES, LLC v. CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1415	IN RE: TAY [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1040	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. SNAP-ON INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1135	CARDSOFT, LLC v. VERIFONE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1679	WORLD CLASS TECHNOLOGY CORP v ORMCO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1130	WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1041	ROZBICKI v. CHIANG [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
13-1380	VEHICLE IP, LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1173	NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. MICROSOFT MOBILE OY [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1168	TRISTRATA, INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1244	TOMITA TECHNOLOGIES USA, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1108	CSR, PLC v. SKULLCANDY, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1251	DATATERN, INC. v. EPICOR SOFTWARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment

14-1323	TEASHOT.LLC v. GREEN MOUNTAIN COFFEE ROASTER [OPIN]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1271	IN RE: STOLLER [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1110	PAPST LICENSING v. FUJIFILM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1490	FENF, LLC v. SMARTTHINGZ, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1640	FENNER INVESTMENTS, LTD. v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [OP]		Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1176	INTERDIGITAL v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Determination
14-1396	PACING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GARMIN INTERNATIONAL,	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1321	ENZO BIOCHEM INC. v. APPLERA CORP. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1214	FLEXITEEK AMERICAS, INC. v. PLASTEAK, INC. [OPINIO	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1351	ORACLE AMERICA, INC. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1668	STRYKER V. ZIMMER [REVISED OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1184	CADENCE PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. EXELA PHARMASCIEN	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1463	INRE: BOOKSTAFF [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
11-1369	ELCOMMERCE.COM v. SAP AG [OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1553	TMI PRODUCTS INC v. ROSEN ENTERTAINMENT SYSTEMS [O	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

14-1096	VASUDEVAN SOFTWARE, INC. v. TIBCO SOFTWARE, INC. [	Chen, Hughes, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1390	SOUTHCO, INC. v. FIVETECH TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION	Moore, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1554	INRE: 55 BRAKE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1676	BELDEN INC. v. BERK-TEK LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1167	INFO-HOLD, INC. v. MUZAK LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1528	INFO-HOLD, INC. v. APPLIED MEDIA TECH. CORP. [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1409	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT v. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINI	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1537	GLOBAL TRAFFIC TECHNOLOGIES v. MORGAN [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1477	VIRGINIA INNOVATION SCIENCES. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONI	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1130	RICHARD WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Linn, Dyk, Moore, O`Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1542	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PROXYCONN, INC. [OPINION]	Gilstrap*, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1411	CEPHALON, INC. v. ABRAXIS BIOSCIENCE, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1699	TOMTOM, INC. v. ADOLPH [OPINION]	Fogel*, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1686	CAMBRIAN SCIENCE CORPORATION v. COX COMMUNICATIONS	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST CONTROL LLC v. PHILIPS ELECTRONIC	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST CONTROL LLC v. PHILIPS ELECTRONIC	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1699	TOMTOM, INC. v. ADOLPH [OPINION]	Fogel*, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1699	TOMTOM, INC. v. ADOLPH [OPINION]	Fogel*, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

13-1130	RICHARD WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1542	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PROXYCONN, INC. [OPINION]	Gilstrap*, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1542	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PROXYCONN, INC. [OPINION]	Gilstrap*, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1123	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	BPAI Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1102	SOCIEDAD ESPANOLA v. BLUE RIDGE X-RAY COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1218	MEDIA RIGHTS TECHNOLOGIES v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
14-1305	INLINE PLASTICS CORP. v. EASYPAK, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1190	ATLAS IP, LLC v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1071	ATLAS IP, LLC v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1176	INTERDIGITAL v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Determination
14-1405	SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL v. TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1373	KANEKA CORPORATION v. XIAMEN KINGDOMWAY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1721	ACME SCALE COMPANY, INC. v. LTS SCALE COMPANY, LLC	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Inter Partes Reexam
13-1409	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT v. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINI	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1676	BELDEN INC. v. BERK-TEK LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

14-1390	SOUTHCO, INC. v. FIVETECH TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1184	CADENCE PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. EXELA PHARMA SCIEN	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1060	MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1384	LEXINGTON LUMINANCE LLC v. AMAZON.COM INC. [OPINIO	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
14-1384	LEXINGTON LUMINANCE LLC v. AMAZON.COM INC. [OPINIO	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1384	LEXINGTON LUMINANCE LLC v. AMAZON.COM INC. [OPINIO	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
14-1301	IN RE CUOZZO SPEED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1301	IN RE CUOZZO SPEED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1110	PAPST LICENSING v. FUJIFILM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1206	IN RE: IMES [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1206	IN RE: IMES [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1518	INRE: SHANEOUR [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
13-1625	ERICSSON, INC. v. D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

14-1173	NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. MICROSOFT MOBILE OY	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1380	VEHICLE IP, LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1459	AZURE NETWORKS, LLC v. CSR, PLC [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1130	WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC.	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1040	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. SNAP-ON INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1489	VIRNETX, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1282	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC v. AOL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1282	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC v. AOL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Markman Ruling
13-1330	EPOS TECHNOLOGIES LTD. v. PEGASUS TECHNOLOGIES LTD	Bryson, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1679	MFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. RESEARCH IN MOTIV	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
13-1641	AMERICAN RADIO LLC v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1377	FERRING B.V. v. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1545	ABBVIE INC. v. KENNEDY INST. OF RHEUMATOLOGY [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1636	GAMMINO v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1212	AMDOCS LIMITED v. OPENET TELECOM, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1054	H-W TECHNOLOGY, L.C. v. OVERSTOCK.COM, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley; Prost	Claim Correction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling

13-1450	HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. v. STRYKER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1267	GE LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC v. AGILIGHT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Stipulation
13-1267	GE LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC v. AGILIGHT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1548	APPLE INC. v. MOTOROLA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1640	U.S. ETHERNET INNOVATIONS v. ACER, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1200	POLY-AMERICA, L.P. v. API INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2065	INTERTAINER, INC. v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1605	SERVER TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. AMERICAN POWER CONVERSION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1356	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS OPERATIONS v. LIFESCAN INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	NA	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1894	VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. VEEAM SOFTWARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-5057	LIBERTY AMMUNITION, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1882	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1057	MICH & MICH TGR, INC v. BRAZABRA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1832	IN RE: CSB-SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1420	MULTILAYER STRETCH CLING FILM v. BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1825	GPNE CORP. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1256	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1732	ADVANCED GROUND INFORMATION v. LIFE360, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Defendant/Accused Infringer/Appellee
15-1725	UNWIRED PLANET, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1754	IPCOM GMBH & CO. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1931	IN RE: LF CENTENNIAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1432	IN RE: GORELIK [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1346	SAS INSTITUTE, INC. v. COMPLEMENTSOF, LLC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1129	INDACON, INC. v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1594	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, INC. v. MASABA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

15-1425	RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC. v. INNOVATIVE WIRELESS SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1447	HBAC MATCHMAKER MEDIA, INC. v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1016	PROFECTUS TECHNOLOGY LLC v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1646	BLACK & DECKER, INC. v. POSITEC USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1232	HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP. v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1972	IN RE: RHOADS [OPINION]	Bryson, Clevenger, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1562	IN RE: MAN MACHINE INTERFACE TECH LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1314	CUTSFORTH, INC. v. MOTIVEPOWER, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1585	PRIDE MOBILITY PRODUCTS CORP. v. PERMOBIL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1251	SIMPLEAIR, INC. v. SONY ERICSSON MOBILE [OPINION-]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1199	CLARE v. CHRYSLER GROUP LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1684	DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT INC. v. TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1124	CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SUD-CHEMIE AC [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1502	IN RE: VARMA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

15-1297	ULTIMATEPOINTER, L.L.C. v. NINTENDO CO LTD [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1237	EON CORP. IP HOLDINGS LLC v. SILVER SPRING NETWORKS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1498	HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP. v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1364	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1361	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1629	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS v. ABBYY USA SOFTWARE HOUSE [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1321	SECURE WEB CONFERENCE CORP. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1732	CONVOLVE, INC. v. COMPAQ COMPUTER CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2050	DRIESSEN v. SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1146	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. SYMANTEC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1246	AVID TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. HARMONIC, INC [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1316	CUTSFORTH, INC. v. MOTIVEPOWER, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1437	WI-LAN, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1020	PROLITEC, INC. v. SCENTAIR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1212	STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC. v. SIPNET EU S.R.O. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
9-1372	AKAMA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC. [PANEL OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1829	ADVANCED STEEL RECOVERY, LLC v. X-BODY EQUIPMENT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1845	IMAGINAL SYSTEMATIC, LLC v. LEGGETT & PLATT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1827	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1028	ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. v. CAMBER PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O`Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2043	PERFECT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES v. OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1494	SCHOELLER-BLECKMA NN OILFIELD v. CHURCHILL DRILLING TOOLS US [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1370	RED DOG MOBILE SHELTERS, LLC v. KAT INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1216	PROFOOT, INC. v. MERCK & CO., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1648	SUMMIT 6, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2065	INTERTAINER, INC. v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1853	CLASSCO, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1734	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ENFISH, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2090	MICROGRAFX, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1997	DAKO DENMARK A/S v. LEICA BIOSYSTEMS MELBOURNE [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECCELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1957	UCB, INC. v. YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-5057	LIBERTY AMMUNITION, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1777	E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS v. MACDERMID PRINTING SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1832	IN RE: CSB-SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1295	MANNATECH, INC. v. WELLNESS QUEST, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1420	MULTILAYER STRETCH CLING FILM v. BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1211	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1015	IMPULSE TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1211	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
9-1372	AKAMA! TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC. [PANEL OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1256	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1825	GPNE CORP. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
15-2019	MEDGRAPH, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1732	ADVANCED GROUND INFORMATION v. LIFE360, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1098	NETAC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD v. SANDISK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1796	GRAFTECH INTL. HOLDINGS v. LAIRD TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1720	GENZYME THERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1720	GENZYME THERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1346	SAS INSTITUTE, INC. v. COMPLEMENTSOF, LLC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1129	INDACON, INC. v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1594	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, INC. v. MASABA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2086	DAVID NETZER CONSULTING v. SHELL OIL COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-2086	DAVID NETZER CONSULTING v. SHELL OIL COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1244	ENFISH, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1244	ENFISH, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1297	INRE: TELES AG INFORMATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	BPAI Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1765	BECKMANN v. GANDHI [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1765	BECKMANN v. GANDHI [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1553	SPORT DIMENSION, INC. v. THE COLEMAN COMPANY, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	Design	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1562	IN RE: MAN MACHINE INTERFACE TECH LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1071	ATLAS IP, LLC v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1314	CUTSFORTH, INC. v. MOTIVEPOWER, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1251	SIMPLEAIR, INC. v. SONY ERICSSON MOBILE [OPINION-]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1476	IN RE: HAGENBUCH [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1592	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1513	DELL INC. v. ACCELERON, LLC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1502	IN RE: VARMA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1502	IN RE: VARMA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1361	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1361	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1506	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1321	SECURE WEB CONFERENCE CORP. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1719	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1732	CONVOLVE, INC. v. COMPAQ COMPUTER CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1631	TRIVASCULAR, INC. v. SAMUELS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1631	TRIVASCULAR, INC. v. SAMUELS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1146	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. SYMANTEC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1146	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. SYMANTEC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

15-1200	INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH v. PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1331	AKZO NOBEL COATINGS, INC. v. DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1301	IN RE CUOZZO SPEED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1301	IN RE CUOZZO SPEED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1194	VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1159	SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1159	SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1020	PROLITEC, INC. v. SCENTAIR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1477	NORTHPEAK WIRELESS, LLC v. 3COM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1507	EDGE SYSTEMS LLC v. AGUILA [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1108	OPENWAVE SYSTEMS, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1108	OPENWAVE SYSTEMS, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1135	CARDSOFT, LLC v. VERIFONE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1194	CIOFFI v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1575	UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH v. VARIAM MEDICAL SYSTEMS	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1396	UNITED VIDEO PROPERTIES, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC.	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

13-1396	UNITED VIDEO PROPERTIES, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC.	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1181	HOWLINK GLOBAL LLC v. NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS [OPIN]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1409	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT LLC v. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS,	Hughes, Prost, Radei	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1409	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT LLC v. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS,	Hughes, Prost, Radei	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1389	NOVATEK, INC. v. THE SOLLAMI COMPANY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1347	BOSE CORPORATION v. SDI TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINIO	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1448	CLOUD FARM ASSOCIATES LP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2067	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1448	CLOUD FARM ASSOCIATES LP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1870	M-I LLC v. FPUSA, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
14-1305	INLINE PLASTICS CORP. v. EASYPAK, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1370	ETHICON ENDO-SURGERY, INC. v. COVIDIEN, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1370	ETHICON ENDO-SURGERY, INC. v. COVIDIEN, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	Design	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1102	SOCIEDAD ESPANOLA v. BLUE RIDGE X-RAY COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1766	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. ERICSSON, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

16-2713	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1390	ZHEJIANG MEDICINE CO., LTD. v. KANEKA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1535	3FORM, INC. v. LUMICOR, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-1785	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT LLC v. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
16-1301	WUNDAFORMER, LLC v. FLEX STUDIOS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1174	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1243	MPHJ TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS v. RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1371	IN RE: LOCKWOOD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1243	MPHJ TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS v. RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1301	WUNDAFORMER, LLC v. FLEX STUDIOS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1353	SECURE AXCESS, LLC v. PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1518	LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1518	LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1992	COMCAST IP HOLDINGS I LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1306	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1384	SKULLCANDY, INC. v. CSR LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1306	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1456	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chin, Linn, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1519	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. COMMERCE BANCSHARES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2122	TMC FUEL INJECTION SYSTEM, LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1510	TRUSTED KNIGHT CORPORATION v. IBM [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1992	COMCAST IP HOLDINGS I LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1113	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. MYLAN, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1113	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. MYLAN, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1729	REMBRANDT WIRELESS v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2037	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1402	IN RE: APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1402	IN RE: APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1349	SKEDCO, INC. v. STRATEGIC OPERATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-1982	PHIL-INSUL CORP. v. AIRLITE PLASTICS CO. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1374	IPR LICENSING, INC. v. ZTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1349	SKEDCO, INC. v. STRATEGIC OPERATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-1349	SKEDCO, INC. v. STRATEGIC OPERATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1585	NICHIA CORPORATION v. EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1173	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-2095	NANTKWEST, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment

16-1775	E2INTERACTIVE v. BLACKHAWK NETWORK [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1143	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. CIRREX SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1739	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1750	NESTLE USA, INC. v. STEUBEN FOODS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1143	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. CIRREX SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1841	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1474	IPCOM GMBH & CO. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2528	CRONOS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. EXPEDIA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1511	HOMELAND HOUSEWARES, LLC v. WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1881	ENZO BIOCHEM INC v. APPLERA CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1742	NETLIST, INC. v. DIABLO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2215	HOME SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1962	OTSUKA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS USA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

16-2439	ELEVEN ENGINEERING, INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1858	HTC CORPORATION v. CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2573	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1916	CONTENTGUARD HOLDINGS, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1121	TRANSPERFECT GLOBAL, INC. v. MATAL [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1123	PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC v. ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2369	THOUGHT, INC. v. ORACLE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2303	IN RE: SMITH INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB - Ex Parte Reexam
2016-23	INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS v. ZTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2465	MASTERMINE SOFTWARE, INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2563	ARISTA NET 2 WORKS, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-2613	OWENS CORNING v. FAST FELT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	IPR Decision
16-1496	B/E AEROSPACE, INC. v. C&D ZODIAC, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2209	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	IPR Decision

16-2084	MEXICHEM AMANCO HOLDING v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1010	AMGEN INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1379	GOOGLE LLC v. NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2295	LIQWD, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
17-1344	APTALIS PHARMATECH, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
16-1880	HTC CORPORATION v. CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2199	HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Modified	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2550	FOREST LABORATORIES INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2515	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PARALLEL NETWORKS LICENSING [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2371	AIP ACQUISITION LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2324	REMBRANDT PATENT INNOVATIONS v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1008	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. v. HOLOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1445	IN RE: NORDT DEVELOPMENT CO., LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-1807	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. ARCTIC CAT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1305	SNAP-ON INC. v. MILWAUKEE ELECTRIC TOOL CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1360	THINK PRODUCTS, INC v. ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2010	KNOWLES ELECTRONICS LLC v. CIRRUS LOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1124	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2509	GOOGLE LLC v. NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1060	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, LLC v. OPTUMINSIGHT, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
17-1304	IN RE: POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
18-1275	PAZANDEH v. YAMAHA CORPORATION OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1798	SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO. v. EMCURE PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1295	WONDERLAND NURSERYGOODS CO. v. BABY TREND, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
17-2276	VIATECH TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
17-2388	SPINEOLOGY, INC. v. WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2285	TF3 LIMITED v. TRE MILANO, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2553	DIEBOLD NIXDORF, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	USITC Decision
17-2328	WAVETRONIX LLC v. SMART MICROWAVE SENSORS GMBH [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2264	BARKAN WIRELESS ACCESS v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1599	AYLUS NETWORKS, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1599	AYLUS NETWORKS, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2017	CROSSROADS SYSTEMS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2018	SKKY, INC. v. MINDGEEK, S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2018	SKKY, INC. v. MINDGEEK, S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2105	ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	USITC Decision
16-1533	NAVICO INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-1769	OUTDRY TECHNOLOGIES v. GEOX S.P.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1769	OUTDRY TECHNOLOGIES v. GEOX S.P.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2572	CHAFFIN v. BRADEN [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-2004	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1824	HITACHI METALS, LTD. v. ALLIANCE OF RARE-EARTH [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1824	HITACHI METALS, LTD. v. ALLIANCE OF RARE-EARTH [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1543	GOOGLE INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1104	NOBELBIZ, INC. v. GLOBAL CONNECT, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1790	SPHERIX INCORPORATED v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1790	SPHERIX INCORPORATED v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1742	NETLIST, INC. v. DIABLO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1496	B/E AEROSPACE, INC. v. C&D ZODIAC, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1983	ORGANIK KIMYA AS v. ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2747	IGNITE USA, LLC v. CAMELBAK PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2722	SANOFI v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2555	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. PERRIGO COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1032	MONSANTO TECHNOLOGY LLC v. E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2684	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1021	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1807	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. ARCTIC CAT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1434	IN RE: HODGES [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-1249	ARENDI S.A.R.L. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1249	ARENDI S.A.R.L. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2368	CAPITAL SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. v. NCR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1290	STEUBEN FOODS, INC. v. NESTLE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1014	ADVANCED MEDIA NETWORKS, LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1193	NESTLE USA, INC. v. STEUBEN FOODS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1814	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1889	MATTHEWS INTERNATIONAL CORP v. VANDOR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1567	SOPHOS LIMITED v. IANCU [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1554	RAYTHEON COMPANY v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1547	EMED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. REPRO-MED SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1954	KNOWLES ELECTRONICS LLC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-2357	BAKER v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1453	LESEMAN, LLC v. STRATASYS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1012	GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION v. SIENNA BIOPHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision

16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1235	VALMONT INDUSTRIES INC. v. LINDSAY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2276	VIATECH TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1267	ZEROCLICK, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1671	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2285	TF3 LIMITED v. TRE MILANO, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1703	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. ELB ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
17-2076	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1937	GLG FARMS LLC v. BRANDT AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Markman Ruling
17-2106	ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. CLEARCORRECT OPERATING, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2434	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
18-1674	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

18-1674	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2256	NOBEL BIOCARE SERVICES AG v. INSTRADENT USA, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2265	WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1575	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2147	AMAZON.COM, INC. v. ZITOVault, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1525	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2336	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1666	NUVASIVE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1274	HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC. v. F'REAL FOODS, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2314	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-2167	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Decision
18-1241	WARNER CHILCOTT (US), LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2218	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2218	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1748	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2614	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2511	VEHICLE IP, LLC v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1076	CONTINENTAL CIRCUITS LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
18-1551	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1054	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. ACTAVIS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1690	IROBOT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision - Summary Determination

18-1550	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1322	ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONIC CO., LTD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2575	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & CO. v. UNIFRAX I LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2227	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1733	CCS TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. PANDUIT CORP. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-2125	WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. v. POLYGROUP MACAU LTD. (BVI) [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2137	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1934	CHOON'S DESIGN, LLC v. IDEA VILLAGE PRODUCTS CORP. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1981	GAME AND TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2312	DR. FALK PHARMA GMBH v. GENERICO, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1490	PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES v. BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1172	SONY CORPORATION v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Cyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1147	BTG INTERNATIONAL LIMITED v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2579	BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1433	AC TECHNOLOGIES S.A. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Schall, and Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1154	REALTIME DATA, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2614	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1600	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2028	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. CONVERSANT WIRELESS LICENSING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1748	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1205	DUNCAN PARKING TECHNOLOGIES v. IPS GROUP, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment

17-2589	REHCO LLC v. SPIN MASTER, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dy, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1203	SANOFI MATURE IP v. MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	O`Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1142	INTEGRATED CLAIMS SYSTEMS, LLC v. TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O`Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2507	TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L. v. SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1065	IBM v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1322	ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONIC CO., LTD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O`Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1551	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1836	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1777	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1376	COBALT BOATS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
18-2289	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. EMC CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O`Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
19-1426	IN RE: ORHOMURU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Plager, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2089	THE SCRIPPS RESEARCH INSTITUTE v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-2207	ALLERGAN SALES, LLC v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	Wherein/Whereby Clause	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
17-2472	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1617	WAG ACQUISITION, LLC v. WEBPOWER, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1019	GRECIA v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2292	MTD PRODUCTS INC. v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1590	AJINOMOTO CO., INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1311	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-2363	NEUROGRAFIX v. BRAINLAB, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
18-1981	GAME AND TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1593	MAYNE PHARMA INTERNATIONAL PTY v. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1495	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
18-1495	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2543	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1806	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. TQ DELTA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1812	ALERE, INC. v. REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1799	TQ DELTA, LLC v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1127	IN RE: FOUGHT [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1005	FIBER, LLC v. CIENA CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1167	CELGENE CORPORATION v. PETER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1591	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1311	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1449	IRIDESCENT NETWORKS, INC. v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1758	MYMAIL, LTD. v. OOVOO, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	Alice	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-1584	ARTHREX, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1019	INO THERAPEUTICS LLC v. PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-2214	CURVER LUXEMBOURG, SARL v HOME EXPRESSIONS INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Claim Construction	Design	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1348	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS, INC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1856	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1067	AMGEN INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
18-2191	TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD. v ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Reversed	USITC Decision
18-2414	AMGEN INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction		Reversed	Bench Trial

18-2215	EKO BRANDS, LLC v. ADRIAN RIVERA MAYNEZ ENTERS. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1504	20/20 VISION CENTER, LLC v. VISION PRECISION HOLDINGS [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1165	UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. X ONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1749	IMMUNEX CORPORATION v. SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1047	HOIST FITNESS SYSTEMS v. TUFFSTUFF FITNESS [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-2338	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2015	EGENERA, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1132	NEVILLE v. FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTORS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1872	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	Design	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1874	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Prost	Claim Construction	Design	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2400	IBSA INSTITUT BIOCHIMIQUE v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2175	MCGINLEY v. LUV N' CARE LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2308	J.S.T. CORPORATION v ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-1825	ROTHSCHILD CONNECTED DEVICES v. COCA-COLA COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-1684	SANDBOX LOGISTICS LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1781	LANARD TOYS LIMITED v. DOLGENCORP LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Claim Construction	Design	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1919	VEDERI, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1556	LONE STAR SILICON INNOVATIONS v. IANCL [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1855	SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. v. GENERAL ACCESS SOLUTIONS, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1895	In Re CHAPMAN [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1733	DONGHEE AMERICA, INC. v. PLASTIC OMNIUM ADVANCED [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1595	REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP v. ALERE, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-1343	PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1570	DEEP GREEN WIRELESS LLC v. OOMA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1079	ENERPOL, LLC v. SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORP. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1263	GENENTECH, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2308	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2232	KAKEN PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1936	PERSONALIZED MEDIA v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1171	GAME AND TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. WARGAMING GROUP LIMITED [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1050	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Taranto	Claim Construction		Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-1261	CG TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. FANDUEL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	
19-1309	ROVI GUIDES, INC. v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2215	EKO BRANDS, LLC v. ADRIAN RIVERA MAYNEZ ENTERS. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1100	INTELLIGENT AUTOMATION DESIGN v. ZIMMER BIOMET CMF AND THORACIC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Unknown
19-1169	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA v. PRISUA ENGINEERING CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-2382	APPLE INC. v. ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1191	IRONWORKS PATENTS LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
20-1191	IRONWORKS PATENTS LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1079	ENERPOL, LLC v. SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORP. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-2072	UNILOC USA, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1361	TECHNICAL CONSUMER PRODUCTS v. LIGHTING SCIENCE GROUP CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2220	NEVRO CORP. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1595	REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP v. ALERE, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-2065	DIGITAL ALLY, INC. v. TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2054	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1510	ARBMETRICS, LLC v. DEXCOM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1519	MAXILL INC. v. LOOPS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1413	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1888	DIONEX SOFTRON GMBH v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision

19-1856	GENERAL ACCESS SOLUTIONS, LTD. v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1919	VEDERI, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1825	ROTHSCHILD CONNECTED DEVICES v. COCA-COLA COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-1684	SANDBOX LOGISTICS LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1557	MCRO, INC. v. BANDAI NAMCO GAMES AMERICA [OPINION]	Mayer, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1582	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, and O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1842	TWILIO INC. v. TELESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1622	SHOES BY FIREBUG LLC v. STRIDE RITE CHILDREN'S GROUP [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1622	SHOES BY FIREBUG LLC v. STRIDE RITE CHILDREN'S GROUP [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1048	FITBIT, INC. v. VALENCELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2308	J.S.T. CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-2142	BOOKIT OY v. BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2249	AKEVA L.L.C. v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2175	MCGINLEY v. LUV N' CARE LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1176	NEVILLE v. ALDRIDGE CONSTRUCTION, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1132	NEVILLE v. FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTORS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1527	BAXALTA INC. v. GENENTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1857	GROOVE DIGITAL, INC. v. UNITED BANK [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1732	SIEMENS MOBILITY, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2227	IQASR LLC v. WENDT CORP. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2181	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AVX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2338	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-1301	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1031	ROUTE1 INC. v. AIRWATCH LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General		Summary Judgment
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1347	In Re TSAI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

19-1530	CHEVRON U.S.A. INC. v. UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING RESEARCH [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1054	VECTURA LIMITED v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1105	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. XR COMMUNICATIONS, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1387	BRACCO DIAGNOSTIC INC. v. MAIA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1270	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1270	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2074	FINJAN, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2287	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2287	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1947	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2411	SIMO HOLDINGS INC. v. HONG KONG U-CLOUDLINK NETWORK [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2411	SIMO HOLDINGS INC. v. HONG KONG U-CLOUDLINK NETWORK [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1607	HORIZON PHARMA, INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

20-1009	OLAF SOOT DESIGN, LLC v. DAKTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	JMOL
19-2124	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2126	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2124	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1271	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1261	CATERPILLAR PAVING PRODUCTS v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1197	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1984	IRIS CORPORATION BERHAD v. US [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2196	SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC v DROPBOX, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1693	MMODAL LLC v. NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1646	RAIN COMPUTING, INC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1646	RAIN COMPUTING, INC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-2280	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

20-1010	T-JAT SYSTEMS 2006 LTD. v. EXPEDIA GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCHKIN INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCHKIN INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1858	NEAPCO DRIVELINES LLC v. AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1802	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1600	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1576	TRANSTEX INC. v. LAYDON COMPOSITES LTD. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1284	RIDEAPP, INC. v. LYFT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1041	WI-LAN INC. v. SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1881	INVT SPE LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1870	SEONG v. BEDRA INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1853	CERNER CORPORATION v. CLINICOMP INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1303	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2349	WASTOW ENTERPRISES, LLC v. TRUCKMOVERS.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2274	In Re VOTEL [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

19-1506	FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP. v. ALPHONSO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1403	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1701	IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1475	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1573	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2280	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. LENOVO GROUP LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1144	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2129	PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. v. U.D. ELECTRONIC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1775	CORUS REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. v. ZILLOW GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1897	NITE GLOW INDUSTRIES INC. v. CENTRAL GARDEN & PET COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1589	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, REyna, Stoll	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2204	CARRUM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1237	SEABED GEOSOLUTIONS (US) INC. v. MAGSEIS FF LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1566	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

20-1876	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2322	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1528	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1050	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1050	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-2229	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1975	TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION v. INTE RECREATION CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1001	APPLE INC. v. FIRSTFACE CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2140	LEMOINE v. MOSSBERG CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2140	LEMOINE v. MOSSBERG CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1932	BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD v. HIRSHFELI [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2220	JENNEWEIN BIOTECHNOLOGIE GMBH v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2005	SUPERCELL OY v. GREE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2111	SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1700	ACCELERATION BAY LLC v. TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1940	In Re SURGISIL, L.L.P. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Design	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1440	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1852	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Order in Related Case
20-1440	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1440	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1480	HORIZON MEDICINES LLC v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1729	ASTRAZENECA AB v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
21-1247	LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. v. DODOTS LICENSING SOLUTIONS LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1005	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2345	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1181	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1075	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1828	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1664	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2071	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1963	EVOLUTION CONCEPTS, INC. v. HOC EVENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
21-1401	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Stoll	Claim Construction		Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1046	KYOCERA SENCO INDUS. TOOLS INC v. ITC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1387	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1661	DIRECTPACKET RESEARCH, INC. v. POLYCOM, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2011	APPLE INC. v. WI-LAN INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1651	SLING TV, L.L.C. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2070	QUANERGY SYSTEMS, INC. v. VELODYNE LIDAR USA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1183	CAMERON INTERNATIONAL v. NITRO FLUIDS L.L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2164	LOWE v. SHIELDMARK, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Cunningham	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1599	In Re MILLER [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1183	CAMERON INTERNATIONAL v. NITRO FLUIDS L.L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1926	AZURITY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. BIONPHARMA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1532	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2074	SEMICONDUCTOR CONNECTIONS LLC v. TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2315	In Re LUOMA [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
21-1532	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1725	DYFAN, LLC v. TARGET CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
20-2163	HUNTING TITAN, INC. v. DYNAENERGETICS EUROPE GMBH [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2092	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2040	VDPP LLC v. VIZIO, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling/Consent Judgment
21-1412	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2167	GENUINE ENABLING TECHNOLOGY v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-2013	LITTELFUSE, INC. v. MERSEN USA EP CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1117	SURGALIGN SPINE TECHNOLOGIES v. LIFENET HEALTH [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2354	POWER PROBE GROUP, INC. v. INNOV/ ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
19-2171	AMGEN INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Chen, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-1733	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2239	ESIP SERIES 1, LLC v. DOTERRA INTERNATIONAL, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1998	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1998	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-1881	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1772	ACQIS, LLC v. EMC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	
21-1634	KAUFMAN v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

21-1834	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1931	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1355	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2222	A. O. SMITH CORPORATION v. BRADFORD WHITE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1917	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1348	AGARWAL v. MORBARK, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
21-1969	UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1605	NUCURRENT, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1030	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2222	A. O. SMITH CORPORATION v. BRADFORD WHITE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1923	KAMSTRUP A/S v. AXIOMA METERING UAB [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	Product by Process Limitation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1923	KAMSTRUP A/S v. AXIOMA METERING UAB [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2099	BEST MEDICAL INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ELEKTA INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

19-1483	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. BRENT [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1186	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AVADEL CNS PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2372	DIONEX SOFTRON GMBH v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
22-1318	TIPPMANN ENGINEERING, LLC v. INNOVATIVE REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-2085	UNILOC 2017 LLC. v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2210	SPEX TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. APRICORN [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-2039	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2093	FINJAN LLC v. ESET, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1321	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1826	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1826	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1033	BLAZER v. BEST BEE BROTHERS LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
20-2262	CUPP COMPUTING AS v. TREND MICRO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1102	P TECH, LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2085	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2370	GRACE INSTRUMENT INDUSTRIES, LLC v. CHANDLER INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
21-2370	GRACE INSTRUMENT INDUSTRIES, LLC v. CHANDLER INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Markman Ruling
21-2349	INFERNAL TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1146	SOFTBELLYS, INC. v. TY INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1122	M2M SOLUTIONS LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1164	MAALOUF v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1098	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GOVISION, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1139	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2320	SALAZAR v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-2263	SEQUOIA TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. DELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

22-1603	CANOPY GROWTH CORPORATION v. GW PHARMA LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1125	XR COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. ARRIS SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1907	DRIESSEN v. BEST BUY CO., INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1697	PUREWICK CORPORATION v. SAGE PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1450	BLUECATBIO MA INC. v. YANTAI AUSBIO LABORATORIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2263	SEQUOIA TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. DELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1514	UPL NA INC. v. TIDE INTERNATIONAL (USA) INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1481	DIVX, LLC v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1228	FS.COM INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
22-1297	In Re NICIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
21-1035	APPLE INC. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1043	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1965	CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	USITC Final Initial Decision
20-1965	CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
21-1104	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1291	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1521	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
22-1106	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. SATCO PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1111	ETHICON LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
22-1613	LBT IP I LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	
22-1764	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1165	MEDYTOX, INC. v. GALDERMA S.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1046	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2319	TUBULAR ROLLERS, LLC v. MAXIMUS OILFIELD PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1813	SHAMOON v. RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2020	ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2128	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1569	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1387	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1045	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1350	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTC [OPINION]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1066	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1066	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1415	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1064	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
22-1761	ABS GLOBAL, INC. v. CYTONOME/ST, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1063	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1873	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD. v. CHILISIN ELECTRONICS CORP. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

22-1699	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1063	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
22-1393	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1393	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1411	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1704	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DSS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1439	MALVERN PANALYTICAL INC. v. T/ INSTRUMENTS-WATERS LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
22-1889	ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS LTD v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
22-1903	BARRDAY, INC. v. LINCOLN FABRICS INC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-2048	BELL SEMICONDUCTOR LLC v. ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR ENGINEERING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1194	H. LUNDBECK A/S v. LUPIN LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA

## C. Damages

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
11-1440	LASERDYNAMICS, INC. V. QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	Damages	Entire Market Value	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1355	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS V. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS	Plager, Rader, Wallach	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1555	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING, INC. V. MA	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Reversed	JMOL
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Damages	Enhanced		Order on Motion
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
11-1049	CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. V. SBM CO., LTD.	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1440	LASERDYNAMICS, INC. V. QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	Damages	Methodology	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1206	WHITSERVE, LLC. V. COMPUTER PACKAGES, INC.	Mayer, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	JMOL
12-1259	KIPPEN V. PACK	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Damages	Damages Period	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1297	LANDMARK SCREENS, LLC. V. MORGAN LEWIS, & BOCKIUS,	Bryson, Clevenger, O'Malley	Damages	Methodology	Vacated	Order on Motion
10-1548	MARINE POLYMER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. HEMCON, INC.	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn, dyk,	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits/Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Damages	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Damages	Entire Market Value	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Damages	Reducing Amount	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Damages	Price Erosion	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Damages	Supplemental	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1029	VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [OPINI	Moore, Prost, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1029	VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [OPINI	Moore, Prost, Rader	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1291	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [OPINI	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
8-1324	CALICO BRAND, INC. v. AMERITEK IMPORTS, INC. [OPIN	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Damages	Lost Profits	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Damages	Base	Reversed	Order on Motion
13-1178	MONDIS TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. INNOLUX CORPORATION [RUL	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Damages	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. Lenco MARINE, INC [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Damages		Vacated	Bench Trial
12-1593	INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIE	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1263	AQUA SHIELD v. INTERPOOL POOL COVER TEAM [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Bench Trial
14-1221	ASTRAZENECA AB v. APOTEX CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cleventer, O'Malley	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial

14-1537	GLOBAL TRAFFIC TECHNOLOGIES v. MORGAN [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Damages	Enhanced	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1335	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Design	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1335	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1335	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1731	INNOVENTION TOYS, LLC v. MGA ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Damages	Pre-Issuance	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1731	INNOVENTION TOYS, LLC v. MGA ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1167	INFO-HOLD, INC. v. MUZAK LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1221	ASTRAZENECA AB v. APOTEX CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cleventer, O'Malley	Damages	Damages Base	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1221	ASTRAZENECA AB v. APOTEX CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cleventer, O'Malley	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1221	ASTRAZENECA AB v. APOTEX CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cleventer, O'Malley	Damages	Pediatric Exclusivity Period	Reversed	Bench Trial
13-1668	STRYKER V. ZIMMER [REVISED OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1668	STRYKER V. ZIMMER [REVISED OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1691	SMITH & NEPHEW INCORPORATED v. ARTHREX, INCORPORAT	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1691	SMITH & NEPHEW INCORPORATED v. ARTHREX, INCORPORAT	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Damages	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Damages	Convoyed Sales	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Damages	Lost Profits	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L

13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1263	AQUA SHIELD v. INTERPOOL POOL COVER TEAM [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Bench Trial
14-1263	AQUA SHIELD v. INTERPOOL POOL COVER TEAM [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1011	PROMEGA CORP v. LIFE TECH [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Prost	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1505	DDR HOLDINGS, LLC v. HOTELS.COM, L.P. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1324	TYCO HEALTHCARE GRP. LP v. ETHICON ENDO-SURGERY, I	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Damages		Vacated	Bench Trial
13-1625	ERICSSON, INC. v. D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Taranto	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1548	APPLE INC. v. MOTOROLA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1548	APPLE INC. v. MOTOROLA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1548	APPLE INC. v. MOTOROLA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Damages	Amount	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1731	INNOVENTION TOYS LLC v. MGA ENTERTAINMENT INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1428	ROSEBUD LMS INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Damages	Pre-Issuance	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1066	COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Damages	Methodology		Bench Trial
13-1648	SUMMIT 6, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1648	SUMMIT 6, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

13-1648	SUMMIT 6, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Damages	Lump Sum	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1668	TNS MEDIA RESEARCH, LLC v. TIVO RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Damages	Entire Market Value	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1431	THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY v. NOVA CHEMICALS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Damages	Supplemental	Vacated	Bench Trial
15-1892	DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. PARROT S.A. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Schall	Damages		Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1758	SMITH v. GARLOCK EQUIPMENT COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1237	EON CORP. IP HOLDINGS LLC v. SILVER SPRING NETWORKS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1066	COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Damages	Reasonable Royalty		Bench Trial
15-1066	COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Bench Trial
14-1492	CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY v. MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Damages	Enhanced	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1492	CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY v. MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
9-1372	AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC. [PANEL OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

13-1527	WESTERNGECO L.L.C. v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Damages	Lost Profits	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1527	WESTERNGECO L.L.C. v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1026	ASETEK DANMARK A/S v. CMI USA INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1762	NORDOCK, INC. v. SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Damages	Design	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1428	ROSEBUD LMS INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Damages	Pre-Issuance	Affirmed	summ
12-1575	UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH v. VARIAT MEDICAL SYSTEMS	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1470	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES INC v. GLOBAL TELLINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Damages		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1335	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [SCOTUS REMAND OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Design	Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1456	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chin, Linn, Taranto	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1456	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chin, Linn, Taranto	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Affirmed	Post-Trial Motion
14-1762	NORDOCK, INC. v. SYSTEMS INC. [SCOTUS REMAND OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Damages	Infringer's Profits	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1729	REMBRANDT WIRELESS v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1856	EMC CORPORATION v. ZERTO INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Schall, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict

17-1475	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1475	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Affirmed	Post-Trial Motion Decision
17-1475	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2520	FINJAN, INC. v. BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Hughes	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2520	FINJAN, INC. v. BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Hughes	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2197	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON POWER [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Damages	Damages Base	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2197	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON POWER [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2211	WCM INDUSTRIES, INC v. IPS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2315	EXERGEN CORPORATION v. KAZ USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2315	EXERGEN CORPORATION v. KAZ USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2315	EXERGEN CORPORATION v. KAZ USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Damages	Enhanced		Order on Motion
16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Damages	Double Recovery	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

16-2054	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-2248	FASTSHIP, LLC v. US [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Damages	Base	Vacated	Bench Trial
17-2248	FASTSHIP, LLC v. US [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Bench Trial
16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Damages	Entire Market Value	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2599	ENPLAS DISPLAY DEVICE CORPORA v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Damages	Lump Sum	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2247	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
17-2247	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
17-2541	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1527	WESTERNGECO L.L.C. v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Damages	Lost Profits	Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2507	TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L. v. SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1910	ELBIT SYSTEMS LAND AND C4I LTD v. HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Taranto	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2380	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Damages		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
19-1067	AMGEN INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Damages	Lump Sum	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2003	ERICSSON INC. v. TCL COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1773	WCM INDUSTRIES, INC v. IPS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2054	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2054	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Damages	Supplemental	Affirmed	JMOL
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Damages		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2215	GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1 v. TCL COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1976	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Damages		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Damages	Supplemental	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Vacated	Order on Motion

19-2192	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	JMOL
20-1577	NATIONAL PRODUCTS, INC. v. HIGH GEAR SPECIALTIES INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1054	VECTURA LIMITED v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-2359	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Damages		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Damages	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1976	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Damages		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-2286	LUBBY HOLDINGS LLC v. CHUNG [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMOL
20-1793	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMOL
20-1685	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Damages	Enhanced	Reversed	Order on Motion
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Jury Verdict
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-1609	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS CORPORATION v. MESO SCALE DIAGNOSTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMOL
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Order on Motion

20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1834	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
22-1873	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD. v. CHILISIN ELECTRONICS CORP. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
22-1814	PROBATTER SPORTS, LLC v. SPORTS TUTOR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Damages		Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-1814	PROBATTER SPORTS, LLC v. SPORTS TUTOR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-1906	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Vacated	

## D. Exceptional Case and Willful Infringement

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
94-1357	Nasatka v. Delta Scientific Corp	Michel, Plager, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Dismissed	NA
94-1368	Laitram Corp. v. NEC Corp.	Cowen, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	JMOL
94-1368	Laitram Corp. v. NEC Corp.	Cowen, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	JMOL
95-1410	Dupont v. Bristol-Myers	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
95-1410	Dupont v. Bristol-Myers	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
93-1047	Allied v. American Cyanamid Co.	Archer, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	JMOL
93-1047	Allied v. American Cyanamid Co.	Archer, Lourie, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated	JMOL
93-1263	Hoover Group, Inc. v. Metalcraft, Inc.	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
93-1263	Hoover Group, Inc. v. Metalcraft, Inc.	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
93-1263	Hoover Group, Inc. v. Metalcraft, Inc.	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
93-1263	Hoover Group, Inc. v. Metalcraft, Inc.	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
93-1263	Hoover Group, Inc. v. Metalcraft, Inc.	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Attorney's Fees	Appeal	NA	NA
94-1101	Mark I Marketing v. R.R. Donnelley	Lourie, Mayer, Nies	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
94-1101	Mark I Marketing v. R.R. Donnelley	Lourie, Mayer, Nies	Prosecution History Estoppel		NA	NA
95-1038	In Re Chu	Newman, Rich, Skelton	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
91-1125	King Instruments v. Perego and Tapematic	Newman, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1125	King Instruments v. Perego and Tapematic	Newman, Nies, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1125	King Instruments v. Perego and Tapematic	Newman, Nies, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1125	King Instruments v. Perego and Tapematic	Newman, Nies, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1125	King Instruments v. Perego and Tapematic	Newman, Nies, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
94-1296	Quantum Corp. v. Rodime PLC	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Validity	305-Broadening Reexam	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

94-1296	Quantum Corp. v. Rodime PLC	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
91-1393	Pall v. Micron	Mayer, Newman, Rich	Damages	Partial	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
91-1393	Pall v. Micron	Mayer, Newman, Rich	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1393	Pall v. Micron	Mayer, Newman, Rich	Damages	Lost Profits/Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1393	Pall v. Micron	Mayer, Newman, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1393	Pall v. Micron	Mayer, Newman, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1393	Pall v. Micron	Mayer, Newman, Rich	Willful Infringement		Reversed	Bench Trial
91-1393	Pall v. Micron	Mayer, Newman, Rich	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1393	Pall v. Micron	Mayer, Newman, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1393	Pall v. Micron	Mayer, Newman, Rich	Prosecution History Estoppel	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
91-1393	Pall v. Micron	Mayer, Newman, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1087	Duncan McCoy v. Mitsuboshi	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Agreement	License	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1087	Duncan McCoy v. Mitsuboshi	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1087	Duncan McCoy v. Mitsuboshi	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Trademark Infringement		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1087	Duncan McCoy v. Mitsuboshi	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Tortious Interference		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1087	Duncan McCoy v. Mitsuboshi	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Unfair Competition		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
94-1503	Elmer v. ICC Fabricating Inc.	Clevenger, Lourie, Nies	Trade Dress		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
94-1503	Elmer v. ICC Fabricating Inc.	Clevenger, Lourie, Nies	Unfair Competition		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
94-1503	Elmer v. ICC Fabricating Inc.	Clevenger, Lourie, Nies	Unfair Competition Damages		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
94-1503	Elmer v. ICC Fabricating Inc.	Clevenger, Lourie, Nies	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
94-1503	Elmer v. ICC Fabricating Inc.	Clevenger, Lourie, Nies	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

94-1503	Elmer v. ICC Fabricating Inc.	Clevenger, Lourie, Nies	Infringement	Design	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
94-1034	Filmtec v. Hydranautics	Clevenger, Newman, Plager	Amendment	Counterclaim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1036	Munoz v. Strahm Farms	Archer, Lourie, Nies	Sanctions	Appeal	NA	NA
95-1036	Munoz v. Strahm Farms	Archer, Lourie, Nies	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1036	Munoz v. Strahm Farms	Archer, Lourie, Nies	Evidence	Hearsay	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1085	Unisplay v. American Electronic	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1085	Unisplay v. American Electronic	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
95-1085	Unisplay v. American Electronic	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Laches		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1085	Unisplay v. American Electronic	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1085	Unisplay v. American Electronic	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1085	Unisplay v. American Electronic	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1085	Unisplay v. American Electronic	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	New Trial		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
95-1502	Bristol-Myers v. Royce	Bryson, Cowen, Schall	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
94-1252	Gentex v. Donnelly Corp	Archer, Clevenger, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
94-1252	Gentex v. Donnelly Corp	Archer, Clevenger, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
94-1450	Imazio v. Greenhouses	Lourie, Mayer, Rich	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
94-1450	Imazio v. Greenhouses	Lourie, Mayer, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
94-1450	Imazio v. Greenhouses	Lourie, Mayer, Rich	Willful Infringement		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
95-1199	In Re Michael Ben Graves	Michel, Rich	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	NA	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
95-1199	In Re Michael Ben Graves	Michel, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
95-1199	In Re Michael Ben Graves	Michel, Rich	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
95-1219	Premysler v. Lehman	Michel, Newman, Rader	Admission to Practice		Affirmed	Order on Motion

92-1446	In Re Ochiai	Archer, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
94-1242	In Re Double	Michel, Plager, Rich	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Bench Trial
94-1242	In Re Double	Michel, Plager, Rich	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
94-1388	Astra v. Lehman	Cowen, Newman, Rich	Patent Term Extension		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
94-1298	Carborundum Co. v. Molten	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Contempt		Affirmed	Order on Motion
94-1298	Carborundum Co. v. Molten	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Agreement	License	Affirmed	JMOL
94-1298	Carborundum Co. v. Molten	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1045	AAI v. Prince Manufacturing	Clevenger, Michel, Nies	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1045	AAI v. Prince Manufacturing	Clevenger, Michel, Nies	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1045	AAI v. Prince Manufacturing	Clevenger, Michel, Nies	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1254	U.S. Gypsum v. National Gypsum	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1209	Sofamor v. Depuy-Motech (Revised)	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
94-1356	Lifescan, Inc. v. Home Diagnostics	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
94-1356	Lifescan, Inc. v. Home Diagnostics	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
94-1356	Lifescan, Inc. v. Home Diagnostics	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
93-1513	Modine Manufacturing v. U.S. Int'l Trade	Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	USITC Decision
93-1513	Modine Manufacturing v. U.S. Int'l Trade	Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated, Remanded	USITC Decision
93-1513	Modine Manufacturing v. U.S. Int'l Trade	Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	USITC Decision
93-1513	Modine Manufacturing v. U.S. Int'l Trade	Clevenger, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	USITC Decision
93-1513	Modine Manufacturing v. U.S. Int'l Trade	Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
93-1513	Modine Manufacturing v. U.S. Int'l Trade	Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
93-1513	Modine Manufacturing v. U.S. Int'l Trade	Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
94-1495	In Re Alton	Friedman, Michel, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
95-1222	PPG v. Guardian	Bryson, Michel, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction

95-1222	PPG v. Guardian	Bryson, Michel, Schall	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
95-1222	PPG v. Guardian	Bryson, Michel, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
95-1222	PPG v. Guardian	Bryson, Michel, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
95-1222	PPG v. Guardian	Bryson, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
95-1222	PPG v. Guardian	Bryson, Michel, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
95-1171	Pro-Mold v. Great Lakes	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1171	Pro-Mold v. Great Lakes	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
95-1171	Pro-Mold v. Great Lakes	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
92-1225	In Re Dirk M. Brouwer	Archer, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
94-1109	National Presto v. West Bend	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
94-1109	National Presto v. West Bend	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Evidence	Rule 408/Settlement	Affirmed	Order on Motion
94-1109	National Presto v. West Bend	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
94-1109	National Presto v. West Bend	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
94-1109	National Presto v. West Bend	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
94-1109	National Presto v. West Bend	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
94-1109	National Presto v. West Bend	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
94-1109	National Presto v. West Bend	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
94-1109	National Presto v. West Bend	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1315	Manildra Milling v. Ogilvie Mills	Clevenger, Michel, Rich	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1315	Manildra Milling v. Ogilvie Mills	Clevenger, Michel, Rich	Sanctions	Inherent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
93-1275	Exxon Chemical v. Lubrizol	Clevenger, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
93-1275	Exxon Chemical v. Lubrizol	Clevenger, Plager	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict
93-1275	Exxon Chemical v. Lubrizol	Clevenger, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Jury Verdict
93-1275	Exxon Chemical v. Lubrizol	Clevenger, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict
95-1424	Novo Nordisk v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction

95-1424	Novo Nordisk v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
95-1424	Novo Nordisk v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
93-1185	Baldwin Hardware v. Franksu Enterprise	Newman, Plager, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
93-1185	Baldwin Hardware v. Franksu Enterprise	Newman, Plager, Rader	Attorney's Fees	28usc1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
93-1185	Baldwin Hardware v. Franksu Enterprise	Newman, Plager, Rader	Attorney's Fees	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
93-1185	Baldwin Hardware v. Franksu Enterprise	Newman, Plager, Rader	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
93-1185	Baldwin Hardware v. Franksu Enterprise	Newman, Plager, Rader	Sanctions	Inherent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
93-1185	Baldwin Hardware v. Franksu Enterprise	Newman, Plager, Rader	Disqualification/Reassignment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1246	Cyrix Corp. v. Intel Corp.	Lourie, Michel, Nies	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
94-1472	Hoechst Celanese v. BP Chemicals	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Sanctions	Appeal	NA	NA
94-1472	Hoechst Celanese v. BP Chemicals	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
94-1472	Hoechst Celanese v. BP Chemicals	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
94-1472	Hoechst Celanese v. BP Chemicals	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
96-1068	Merck v. Kessler	Archer, Michel, Nies	Patent Term Extension		Affirmed, Vacated	Order on Motion
96-1068	Merck v. Kessler	Archer, Michel, Nies	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	NA	NA
95-1471	Bio-Technology v. Genentech	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
95-1471	Bio-Technology v. Genentech	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
95-1471	Bio-Technology v. Genentech	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Laches		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
95-1471	Bio-Technology v. Genentech	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Unclean Hands		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
95-1471	Bio-Technology v. Genentech	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
95-1471	Bio-Technology v. Genentech	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
95-1471	Bio-Technology v. Genentech	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1471	Bio-Technology v. Genentech	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
94-1498	Jurgens v. CBK	Clevenger, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion

94-1498	Jurgens v. CBK	Clevenger, Mayer	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
94-1498	Jurgens v. CBK	Clevenger, Mayer	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
94-1498	Jurgens v. CBK	Clevenger, Cowen, Mayer	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
94-1498	Jurgens v. CBK	Clevenger, Cowen, Mayer	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1258	Amhil Enterprises LTD. v WAWA, Inc.	Bryson, Newman, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1258	Amhil Enterprises LTD. v WAWA, Inc.	Bryson, Newman, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1058	Sensonics v. Aerosonic	Bennett, Bryson, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Remanded	Bench Trial
95-1058	Sensonics v. Aerosonic	Bennett, Bryson, Newman	Damages	Lost Profits	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
95-1058	Sensonics v. Aerosonic	Bennett, Bryson, Newman	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1058	Sensonics v. Aerosonic	Bennett, Bryson, Newman	Personal Liability		Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1058	Sensonics v. Aerosonic	Bennett, Bryson, Newman	Willfulness		Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1058	Sensonics v. Aerosonic	Bennett, Bryson, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1058	Sensonics v. Aerosonic	Bennett, Bryson, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1058	Sensonics v. Aerosonic	Bennett, Bryson, Newman	Prejudgment Interest		Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1350	Refac v. Lotus	Archer, Clevenger, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1329	Schendel v. Curtis,	Bryson, Lourie	Sanctions	Appeal	NA	BPAI Decision - Interference
95-1329	Schendel v. Curtis,	Bryson, Lourie	Interference	Reduction to Practice	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
95-1337	In Re Recreative Technologies	Archer, Newman, Rich	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
94-1285	Hoover v. Custom Metalcraft	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Personal Liability		Reversed	Order on Motion
94-1285	Hoover v. Custom Metalcraft	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Venue	1400(b)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1444	Kendall Co. v. Progressive Medical	Archer, Lourie, Schal	Repair		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1292	Maxwell v. Baker, Inc.	Lourie, Schall, Skelton	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
95-1292	Maxwell v. Baker, Inc.	Lourie, Schall, Skelton	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1292	Maxwell v. Baker, Inc.	Lourie, Schall, Skelton	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Jury Verdict
95-1292	Maxwell v. Baker, Inc.	Lourie, Schall, Skelton	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion

95-1292	Maxwell v. Baker, Inc.	Lourie, Schall, Skelton	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
95-1292	Maxwell v. Baker, Inc.	Lourie, Schall, Skelton	Patent Marking		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1292	Maxwell v. Baker, Inc.	Lourie, Schall, Skelton	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1292	Maxwell v. Baker, Inc.	Lourie, Schall, Skelton	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1292	Maxwell v. Baker, Inc.	Lourie, Schall, Skelton	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1138	Oiness v. Walgreen Co. (Corrected 7/10)	Cowen, Mayer, Rade	Damages	Lost Profits	Reversed	Jury Verdict
95-1138	Oiness v. Walgreen Co. (Corrected 7/10)	Cowen, Mayer, Rade	Prejudgment Interest		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
95-1407	Baxter v. Cobe	Lourie, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1540	EMC Corp. v. Norand Corp.	Bryson, Mayer, Schall	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1549	GAF v. Elk Corporation	Bryson, Lourie, Plager	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1003	Texas Instruments v. Cypress	Lourie, Mayer, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1003	Texas Instruments v. Cypress	Lourie, Mayer, Rich	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1003	Texas Instruments v. Cypress	Lourie, Mayer, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1003	Texas Instruments v. Cypress	Lourie, Mayer, Rich	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1367	Cygnus v. Alza	Bryson, Clevenger, Schall	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1367	Cygnus v. Alza	Bryson, Clevenger, Schall	Antitrust		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1023	Greenberg v. Ethicon	Bryson, Michel	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1023	Greenberg v. Ethicon	Bryson, Michel	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
96-1023	Greenberg v. Ethicon	Bryson, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
95-1531	General American v. Cryo-Trans	Lourie, Schall	Damages		Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1531	General American v. Cryo-Trans	Lourie, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1531	General American v. Cryo-Trans	Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1531	General American v. Cryo-Trans	Lourie, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1531	General American v. Cryo-Trans	Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1531	General American v. Cryo-Trans	Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

94-1057	Kearns v. General Motors	Newman, Plager	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
94-1057	Kearns v. General Motors	Newman, Plager	Res Judicata		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
95-1418	Yoshihiro v. Sompong Wattanasin	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
96-1014	Hall v. Aqua Queen	Clevenger, Michel, Plager	Laches		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1014	Hall v. Aqua Queen	Clevenger, Michel, Plager	Estoppel	Equitable	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
96-1014	Hall v. Aqua Queen	Clevenger, Michel, Plager	Laches		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
95-1517	Great Northern v. Henry Molded Products	Clevenger, Michel, Smith	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1517	Great Northern v. Henry Molded Products	Clevenger, Michel, Smith	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1517	Great Northern v. Henry Molded Products	Clevenger, Michel, Smith	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1528	Best Lock v. ILCO	Archer, Lourie	Validity	Functionality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1008	Ethicon v. U.S. Surgical	Clevenger, Cowen, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
96-1008	Ethicon v. U.S. Surgical	Clevenger, Cowen, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
96-1008	Ethicon v. U.S. Surgical	Clevenger, Cowen, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1420	Lerner v. Lerner Corp.	Bryson, Clevenger	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Dismissed	NA
96-1005	Minco, Inc. v. Combustion Engineering	Cowen, Rader, Schal	Damages	Base	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1005	Minco, Inc. v. Combustion Engineering	Cowen, Rader, Schal	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1005	Minco, Inc. v. Combustion Engineering	Cowen, Rader, Schal	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1005	Minco, Inc. v. Combustion Engineering	Cowen, Rader, Schal	Damages	Price Erosion	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1005	Minco, Inc. v. Combustion Engineering	Cowen, Rader, Schal	Damages	Sale of Business	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1005	Minco, Inc. v. Combustion Engineering	Cowen, Rader, Schal	Intervening Rights		Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1005	Minco, Inc. v. Combustion Engineering	Cowen, Rader, Schal	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1005	Minco, Inc. v. Combustion Engineering	Cowen, Rader, Schal	Willfulness		Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1005	Minco, Inc. v. Combustion Engineering	Cowen, Rader, Schal	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1331	Bravo Systems v. Containment Tech.	Archer, Bryson	Sanctions	Rule 11	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
95-1331	Bravo Systems v. Containment Tech.	Archer, Bryson	Sanctions	Rule 38	NA	NA

96-1172	Spraytex v. DJS&T	Clevenger, Lourie, Rich	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	NA	NA
95-1490	Additive Controls v. Flowdata, Inc.	Bryson, Plager, Skelton	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
95-1490	Additive Controls v. Flowdata, Inc.	Bryson, Plager, Skelton	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1182	Engel Ind. v. The Lockformer Co.	Bryson, Mayer, Rich	Patent Misuse		Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1182	Engel Ind. v. The Lockformer Co.	Bryson, Mayer, Rich	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1182	Engel Ind. v. The Lockformer Co.	Bryson, Mayer, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1082	Stryker Corp. v. Intermedics	Bryson, Plager, Schall	Damages	Base	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1082	Stryker Corp. v. Intermedics	Bryson, Plager, Schall	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1082	Stryker Corp. v. Intermedics	Bryson, Plager, Schall	Willfulness		Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1098	Guinn v. Kopf	Bryson, Newman, Rich	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
95-1447	Petrolite v. Baker Hughes	Bryson, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1130	In RE Kemps	Archer, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
94-1428	Applied Materials v. Advanced Semicond.	Archer, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
94-1428	Applied Materials v. Advanced Semicond.	Archer, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Bench Trial
94-1428	Applied Materials v. Advanced Semicond.	Archer, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
94-1428	Applied Materials v. Advanced Semicond.	Mayer, Newman	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial
94-1428	Applied Materials v. Advanced Semicond.	Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
94-1428	Applied Materials v. Advanced Semicond.	Archer, Mayer	Validity	Unspecified	Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1083	Seal-Flex, Inc. v. Athletic Track	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1083	Seal-Flex, Inc. v. Athletic Track	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
95-1247	Amgen, Inc. v. Genetics Institute	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1002	York Products v. Central Tractor Farm	Bryson, Rader, Skelton	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1002	York Products v. Central Tractor Farm	Bryson, Rader, Skelton	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	JMOL
96-1002	York Products v. Central Tractor Farm	Bryson, Rader, Skelton	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL

96-1002	York Products v. Central Tractor Farm	Bryson, Rader, Skelton	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
95-1114	Hebert v. Lisle Corp.	Friedman, Newman, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Jury Verdict
95-1114	Hebert v. Lisle Corp.	Friedman, Newman, Schall	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1114	Hebert v. Lisle Corp.	Friedman, Newman, Schall	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1078	Insituform Technologies v. CAT	Archer, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1078	Insituform Technologies v. CAT	Archer, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	JMOL
96-1078	Insituform Technologies v. CAT	Archer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
96-1078	Insituform Technologies v. CAT	Archer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1078	Insituform Technologies v. CAT	Archer, Michel, Schall	Validity	Unspecified	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1191	Alpex Computer v. Nintendo	Archer, Newman, Rich	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing
95-1191	Alpex Computer v. Nintendo	Archer, Newman, Rich	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
95-1191	Alpex Computer v. Nintendo	Archer, Newman, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
95-1191	Alpex Computer v. Nintendo	Archer, Newman, Rich	Validity	Unspecified	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
95-1374	Dorf & Stanton v. Molson Breweries	Clevenger, Rich	Attorney Client Privilege		Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1374	Dorf & Stanton v. Molson Breweries	Clevenger, Rich	Discovery	Production of Documents	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1126	In Re Ben Huang	Clevenger, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
96-m471	In Re The Regents	Lourie, Newman, Skelton	Attorney Client Privilege		Reversed	Order on Motion
96-m471	In Re The Regents	Lourie, Newman, Skelton	Discovery	Motion to Compel	Reversed	Order on Motion
96-m471	In Re The Regents	Lourie, Newman, Skelton	Mandamus		Granted	NA
96-1290	Metaullics Systems v. Cooper	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Mootness	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
96-1117	Durling v. Spectrum Furniture	Clevenger, Rader, Skelton	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1505	Cole v. Kimberly-Clark	Newman, Rich	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1505	Cole v. Kimberly-Clark	Newman, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

95-1505	Cole v. Kimberly-Clark	Newman, Rich	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1505	Cole v. Kimberly-Clark	Newman, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1052	Wiener v. NEC Electronics	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1052	Wiener v. NEC Electronics	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1052	Wiener v. NEC Electronics	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1145	Cochran Consulting v. UWATEC	Lourie, Newman	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1145	Cochran Consulting v. UWATEC	Lourie, Newman	Sanctions	Discovery	Vacated	Order on Motion
96-1182	Polymer Tech. v. Bridwel	Clevenger, Lourie, Mayer	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction
94-1386	US Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon Inc.	Bennett, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
94-1386	US Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon Inc.	Bennett, Newman, Rader	Jury Instructions		Affirmed	Order on Motion
94-1386	US Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon Inc.	Bennett, Newman, Rader	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1276	Wang v. Mitsubishi	Mayer, Rich, Schall	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1276	Wang v. Mitsubishi	Mayer, Rich, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1276	Wang v. Mitsubishi	Mayer, Rich, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1276	Wang v. Mitsubishi	Mayer, Rich, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1276	Wang v. Mitsubishi	Mayer, Rich, Schall	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1276	Wang v. Mitsubishi	Mayer, Rich, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1276	Wang v. Mitsubishi	Mayer, Rich, Schall	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1276	Wang v. Mitsubishi	Mayer, Rich, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1276	Wang v. Mitsubishi	Mayer, Rich, Schall	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1504	Micro Chemical Inc. v. Great Plains Chem	Archer, Lourie	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1504	Micro Chemical Inc. v. Great Plains Chem	Archer, Lourie	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1504	Micro Chemical Inc. v. Great Plains Chem	Archer, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1504	Micro Chemical Inc. v. Great Plains Chem	Archer, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
95-1504	Micro Chemical Inc. v. Great Plains Chem	Archer, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial

95-1504	Micro Chemical Inc. v. Great Plains Chem	Archer, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1504	Micro Chemical Inc. v. Great Plains Chem	Archer, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1246	Schering Corp. v. Roussel-UCLAF SA	Bryson, Plager, Smith	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1238	General Mills, Inc. v. Hunt-Wesson, Inc.	Archer, Friedman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1238	General Mills, Inc. v. Hunt-Wesson, Inc.	Archer, Friedman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1238	General Mills, Inc. v. Hunt-Wesson, Inc.	Archer, Friedman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1207	Ekchian v. Home Depot	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1207	Ekchian v. Home Depot	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
96-1207	Ekchian v. Home Depot	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
96-1299	Abbott Lab. v. Novopharm Limited	Archer, Friedman, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1522	In Re Nancy G. Mayne	Archer, Michel, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
95-1465	Studiengesellschaft v. Hercules	Cowen, Mayer, Rade	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1132	Enercon Industries v. Pillar Corp.	Archer, Michel, Smith	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Dismissed	NA
96-1171	American Permahedge, Inc. v. Barcana	Clevenger, Rich, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1171	American Permahedge, Inc. v. Barcana	Clevenger, Rich, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1171	American Permahedge, Inc. v. Barcana	Clevenger, Rich, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1171	American Permahedge, Inc. v. Barcana	Clevenger, Rich, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1339	Kridl and Goodman v. McCormick	Archer, Bryson, Lourie	Interference	Conception	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
96-1339	Kridl and Goodman v. McCormick	Archer, Bryson, Lourie	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
95-1380	Eaton v Alantic Paste,	Clevenger, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1380	Eaton v Alantic Paste,	Clevenger, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1380	Eaton v Alantic Paste,	Clevenger, Rich	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1380	Eaton v Alantic Paste,	Clevenger, Rich	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
96-1066	Hess v. Advanced Cardiovascular	Friedman, Michel, Newman	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1046	Kolmes & Plemmons v. World Fibers	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial

96-1046	Kolmes & Plemmons v. World Fibers	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1046	Kolmes & Plemmons v. World Fibers	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1046	Kolmes & Plemmons v. World Fibers	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1046	Kolmes & Plemmons v. World Fibers	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1075	Fonar Corp. v. General Electric	Lourie, Rader, Skelton	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
96-1075	Fonar Corp. v. General Electric	Lourie, Rader, Skelton	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
96-1075	Fonar Corp. v. General Electric	Lourie, Rader, Skelton	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
96-1075	Fonar Corp. v. General Electric	Lourie, Rader, Skelton	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
96-1075	Fonar Corp. v. General Electric	Lourie, Rader, Skelton	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1075	Fonar Corp. v. General Electric	Lourie, Rader, Skelton	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
96-1075	Fonar Corp. v. General Electric	Lourie, Rader, Skelton	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	JMOL
96-1075	Fonar Corp. v. General Electric	Lourie, Rader, Skelton	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1526	Int'l Communication v. Ricoh Company	Cowen, Mayer, Rich	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
95-1526	Int'l Communication v. Ricoh Company	Cowen, Mayer, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
96-1168	Lockwood v. American Airlines	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1168	Lockwood v. American Airlines	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1168	Lockwood v. American Airlines	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1168	Lockwood v. American Airlines	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1168	Lockwood v. American Airlines	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1162	National Presto v. Dazey Corp.	Lourie, Rader, Skelton	Standing	Appeal	NA	NA
96-1162	National Presto v. Dazey Corp.	Lourie, Rader, Skelton	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1448	Tanabe v. U.S.	Bryson, Plager, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	USITC Decision
96-1440	Genentech, Inc. v. Novo Nordisk	Archer, Bryson, Lourie	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing

96-1201	Trilogy v. Times Fiber	Lourie, Plager, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1201	Trilogy v. Times Fiber	Lourie, Plager, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1201	Trilogy v. Times Fiber	Lourie, Plager, Schall	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1335	Jim Arnold Corp. v. Hydrotech Systems	Plager, Schall, Skelton	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Vacated, Remanded	None
96-1104	Hoechst-Roussel Pharm. v. Lehman,	Clevenger, Mayer, Newman	Patent Term Extension		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-5050	Judin v. U.S.	Archer, Plager, Schall	Sanctions	Rule 11	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
96-1466	Glaxo, Inc. v. Novopharm, Ltd.	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
96-1466	Glaxo, Inc. v. Novopharm, Ltd.	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	271(g)	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1466	Glaxo, Inc. v. Novopharm, Ltd.	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1466	Glaxo, Inc. v. Novopharm, Ltd.	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1376	In Re Portola Packaging Inc.	Clevenger, Lourie, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
95-1530	Gambro Lundia v. Baxter Health Care	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1530	Gambro Lundia v. Baxter Health Care	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1530	Gambro Lundia v. Baxter Health Care	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Derivation	Reversed	Bench Trial
95-1530	Gambro Lundia v. Baxter Health Care	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
96-1349	In Re Paul Graff	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reissue
96-1304	Rowe v. Dror	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
96-1304	Rowe v. Dror	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
96-1520	Horphag v. Consac Industries	Plager, Rich, Schall	Joinder		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
96-1391	In Re William Lueders	Lourie, Mayer, Rich	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
95-1508	Genentech v. Chiron	Clevenger, Plager, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
95-1508	Genentech v. Chiron	Clevenger, Plager, Rich	Interference	Priority	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1308	Serrano v. Telular Corp.	Clevenger, Lourie, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion

96-1308	Serrano v. Telular Corp.	Clevenger, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1308	Serrano v. Telular Corp.	Clevenger, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1308	Serrano v. Telular Corp.	Clevenger, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1308	Serrano v. Telular Corp.	Clevenger, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1308	Serrano v. Telular Corp.	Clevenger, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
96-1298	Young Dental v Q3 Special Products	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1298	Young Dental v Q3 Special Products	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1298	Young Dental v Q3 Special Products	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	Jury Verdict
96-1298	Young Dental v Q3 Special Products	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
96-1298	Young Dental v Q3 Special Products	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1365	Robotic v. View Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
96-1365	Robotic v. View Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1079	Studiengesellschaft v. Shell Oil	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Amendment	Complaint	Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
96-1079	Studiengesellschaft v. Shell Oil	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1388	NUPLA Corp. v. IXL Manufacturing	Archer, Michel, Skelton	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1388	NUPLA Corp. v. IXL Manufacturing	Archer, Michel, Skelton	Trademark	Infringement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1511	Eastman Kodak Co. v. Goodyear	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Jury Instruction	Adverse Inference	Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1511	Eastman Kodak Co. v. Goodyear	Mayer, Rader	Laches		Affirmed	Bench Trial
95-1511	Eastman Kodak Co. v. Goodyear	Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
95-1511	Eastman Kodak Co. v. Goodyear	Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
95-1511	Eastman Kodak Co. v. Goodyear	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
95-1511	Eastman Kodak Co. v. Goodyear	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
95-1511	Eastman Kodak Co. v. Goodyear	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Antitrust		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
95-1511	Eastman Kodak Co. v. Goodyear	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-5089	Gargoyles v. U.S.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-5089	Gargoyles v. U.S.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial

96-5089	Gargoyles v. U.S.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1427	O.I. Corp. v. Tekmar Co.	Lourie, Mayer, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed-Other Ground	Summary Judgment
96-1427	O.I. Corp. v. Tekmar Co.	Lourie, Mayer, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1340	In Re Olaf H. Dossel & Walter H. Kullman	Plager, Rader, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
96-1468	Laitram Corp. v. NEC Corporation	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1468	Laitram Corp. v. NEC Corporation	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1468	Laitram Corp. v. NEC Corporation	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
95-1093	Magnivision, INC. v. Bonneau Company	Clevenger, Newman, Rich	Evidence		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
95-1093	Magnivision, INC. v. Bonneau Company	Clevenger, Newman, Rich	Jury Instructions		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
95-1093	Magnivision, INC. v. Bonneau Company	Clevenger, Newman, Rich	New Trial		Reversed	Order on Motion
96-1472	View Engineering v. Robotic Vision,	Bryson, Lourie, Rich	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Dismissed	NA
96-1526	Harris Corp. v. IXYS Corp.,	Archer, Bryson, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1526	Harris Corp. v. IXYS Corp.,	Archer, Bryson, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1526	Harris Corp. v. IXYS Corp.,	Archer, Bryson, Newman	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1374	Gechter v. Davidson	Lourie, Michel, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
96-1362	In re Geisler	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
96-1101	In Re Robert Lonardo	Lourie, Rader	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
96-1239	Arkie Lures v. Gene Larew	Archer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1312	Massey v. Del Laboratories	Newman, Plager, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
96-1233	Stark v. Adv. Magnetics	Rader, Schall	Inventorship		Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1175	Regents v. Eli Lilly and Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1175	Regents v. Eli Lilly and Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial

96-1175	Regents v. Eli Lilly and Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1175	Regents v. Eli Lilly and Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1175	Regents v. Eli Lilly and Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	PHE		Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1175	Regents v. Eli Lilly and Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Bench Trial
96-1175	Regents v. Eli Lilly and Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1175	Regents v. Eli Lilly and Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Bench Trial
96-1175	Regents v. Eli Lilly and Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Transfer		Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1408	Motorola v. Interdigital	Archer, Plager, Rade	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1408	Motorola v. Interdigital	Archer, Plager, Rade	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1408	Motorola v. Interdigital	Archer, Plager, Rade	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
96-1408	Motorola v. Interdigital	Archer, Plager, Rade	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
96-1408	Motorola v. Interdigital	Archer, Plager, Rade	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
96-1408	Motorola v. Interdigital	Archer, Plager, Rade	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
96-1408	Motorola v. Interdigital	Archer, Plager, Rade	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1168	Aktiebolag v. E.J. Company	Archer, Lourie, Michel	Repair		Reversed	Summary Judgment
97-1168	Aktiebolag v. E.J. Company	Archer, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1042	In Re McGrew	Clevenger, Plager, Rich	Validity	Unspecified	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
96-1550	Oddzon v. Just Toys	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1550	Oddzon v. Just Toys	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Design	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1550	Oddzon v. Just Toys	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1550	Oddzon v. Just Toys	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Evidence		Reversed	Order on Motion
96-1550	Oddzon v. Just Toys	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Trade Dress	Infringement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1550	Oddzon v. Just Toys	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

97-1003	Scosche v. Visor Gear	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Unspecified	Vacated	Summary Judgment
97-1003	Scosche v. Visor Gear	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1010	Genetic Implant v. Core-Vent	Lourie, Plager, Smith	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion
97-1010	Genetic Implant v. Core-Vent	Lourie, Plager, Smith	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1245	Endress + Hauser v. Hawk	Clevenger, Plager, Rich	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1245	Endress + Hauser v. Hawk	Clevenger, Plager, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1245	Endress + Hauser v. Hawk	Clevenger, Plager, Rich	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1307	Thermalloy, Inc v AAVID Engineering, Inc	Rader, Rich, Schall	Validity	Reexamination	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1007	Wright v. Osteonics	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1007	Wright v. Osteonics	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
97-1007	Wright v. Osteonics	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1007	Wright v. Osteonics	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1179	Fina Oil V. Ewen	Archer, Clevenger, Michel	Inventorship	Sole	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
96-1179	Fina Oil V. Ewen	Archer, Clevenger, Michel	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
96-1380	Berry Sterling v. Pescor Plastics	Bryson, Clevenger, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1380	Berry Sterling v. Pescor Plastics	Bryson, Clevenger, Rich	Validity	Functionality	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
96-1150	Wayne K. Pfaff v. Wells Electronics Inc.	Archer, Plager, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
96-1150	Wayne K. Pfaff v. Wells Electronics Inc.	Archer, Plager, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
96-1508	B.Braun Med. Inc. v. Abbot Lab & NP Med	Clevenger, Michel, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1508	B.Braun Med. Inc. v. Abbot Lab & NP Med	Clevenger, Michel, Plager	Patent Misuse		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
96-1508	B.Braun Med. Inc. v. Abbot Lab & NP Med	Clevenger, Michel, Plager	Estoppel	Equitable	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
96-1508	B.Braun Med. Inc. v. Abbot Lab & NP Med	Clevenger, Michel, Plager	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
96-1508	B.Braun Med. Inc. v. Abbot Lab & NP Med	Clevenger, Michel, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
96-1508	B.Braun Med. Inc. v. Abbot Lab & NP Med	Clevenger, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
96-1508	B.Braun Med. Inc. v. Abbot Lab & NP Med	Clevenger, Michel, Plager	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion

96-1425	Morris, Pottebaum, Stricklin	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
96-1425	Morris, Pottebaum, Stricklin	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
96-1559	In Re Emert	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
96-1214	Richardson-Vicks v. Upjohn	Archer, Michel, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
97-1162	Ultradent v. Life-Like Cosmetics	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Sanctions	Appeal	NA	NA
97-1162	Ultradent v. Life-Like Cosmetics	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1162	Ultradent v. Life-Like Cosmetics	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
97-1162	Ultradent v. Life-Like Cosmetics	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1162	Ultradent v. Life-Like Cosmetics	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1024	Rohm v. Brotech	Bryson, Rader, Rich	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1024	Rohm v. Brotech	Bryson, Rader, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1024	Rohm v. Brotech	Bryson, Rader, Rich	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1437	SRI v. Advanced Technology	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1437	SRI v. Advanced Technology	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Patent Marking		Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1437	SRI v. Advanced Technology	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Willfulness		Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1201	In re Schreiber	Bryson, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
97-1201	In re Schreiber	Bryson, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
96-1512	Estee Lauder, Inc. v. L'Oreal, S.A.	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Interference	Reduction to Practice	Reversed	BPAI Hearing
96-1420	Cabinet Vision v. Cabnetware	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMOL
97-1187	Leatherman v. Cooper	Bryson, Rader, Rich	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Transferred	NA
97-1139	Bloom Engineering v. North American	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Intervening Rights		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

97-1226	Bell & Howell v. Altek Systems	Archer, Lourie, Michel	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction
97-1226	Bell & Howell v. Altek Systems	Archer, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
97-1202	In Re Clement	Clevenger, Mayer, Smith	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reissue
96-1337	Fromson v. Anitec	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1337	Fromson v. Anitec	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1337	Fromson v. Anitec	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1337	Fromson v. Anitec	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
96-1416	Virginia v. Mac	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1416	Virginia v. Mac	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1416	Virginia v. Mac	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Patent Misuse		Reversed	Jury Verdict
96-1416	Virginia v. Mac	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
96-1416	Virginia v. Mac	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
96-1416	Virginia v. Mac	Archer, Lourie, Rader	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1416	Virginia v. Mac	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Antitrust		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
97-1248	Canon Computer v. Nu-Kote	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Inventorship		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
97-1248	Canon Computer v. Nu-Kote	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
97-1248	Canon Computer v. Nu-Kote	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
97-1120	ENZO v. GEAPAG	Archer, Lourie, Rich	Standing		Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1255	Multiform v. Medzam	Clevenger, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1255	Multiform v. Medzam	Clevenger, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1255	Multiform v. Medzam	Clevenger, Newman, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1255	Multiform v. Medzam	Clevenger, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1469	Phonometrics v. Northern Tele.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1469	Phonometrics v. Northern Tele.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1469	Phonometrics v. Northern Tele.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

96-1469	Phonometrics v. Northern Tele.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1358	Scholle v. Blackhawk	Archer, Michel, Newman	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1076	Gentry v. Berkline	Friedman, Lourie, Rich	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1076	Gentry v. Berkline	Friedman, Lourie, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1076	Gentry v. Berkline	Friedman, Lourie, Rich	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1076	Gentry v. Berkline	Friedman, Lourie, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1076	Gentry v. Berkline	Friedman, Lourie, Rich	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1076	Gentry v. Berkline	Friedman, Lourie, Rich	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Bench Trial
97-1245	Textile Productions v. Mead Corp	Archer, Lourie, Rader	Standing		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1269	Ethicon, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical	Rader, Skelton	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1269	Ethicon, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical	Rader, Skelton	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1269	Ethicon, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical	Rader, Skelton	License Agreement		Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1277	Kahn v. General Motors Corporation	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1277	Kahn v. General Motors Corporation	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1277	Kahn v. General Motors Corporation	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1277	Kahn v. General Motors Corporation	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
96-1536	Bradley v. Chiron Corporation	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1021	Exxon v. Lubrizol	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	New Trial		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
97-1224	Monarch v. Sulzer Morat	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
97-1173	Nike, Inc. v. Wal-Mart	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Damages	Infringer's Profits	Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1173	Nike, Inc. v. Wal-Mart	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Patent Marking		Reversed, Remanded	Bench Trial
96-1463	Nobelpharma v. Implant Innovations	Lourie, Plager, Rich	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	JMOL
96-1463	Nobelpharma v. Implant Innovations	Lourie, Plager, Rich	Antitrust		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
96-1463	Nobelpharma v. Implant Innovations	Lourie, Plager, Rich	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1042	Dawn Equipment v. Kentucky Farms	Michel, Newman, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL

96-1286	Cybor Corp. v. FAS Technologies	Archer, Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer,	Damages	Methodology	Affirmed	Bench Trial
96-1286	Cybor Corp. v. FAS Technologies	Archer, Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer,	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1286	Cybor Corp. v. FAS Technologies	Archer, Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer,	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1286	Cybor Corp. v. FAS Technologies	Archer, Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer,	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
96-1286	Cybor Corp. v. FAS Technologies	Archer, Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer,	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
96-1286	Cybor Corp. v. FAS Technologies	Archer, Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer,	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
96-1286	Cybor Corp. v. FAS Technologies	Archer, Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer,	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
97-1367	In Re Berg, Rowenhorst, Berg, & Leonard	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Michel	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
96-1557	Vehicular Technologies v Titan Wheel	Clevenger, Plager	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction
96-1557	Vehicular Technologies v Titan Wheel	Clevenger, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
97-1429	Fina Research v. Baroid	Clevenger, Schall, Smith	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
98-1005	Schlaflly v Caro-Kann Corp.	Lourie, Michel, Skelton	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1005	Schlaflly v Caro-Kann Corp.	Lourie, Michel, Skelton	Discovery	Motion to Compel	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1005	Schlaflly v Caro-Kann Corp.	Lourie, Michel, Skelton	Antitrust		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1569	Dainippon Screen v. CFMT, Inc	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion
97-1569	Dainippon Screen v. CFMT, Inc	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1258	In Re Zurko	Archer, Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer,	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
97-1099	Genentech, Inc. v. Regents of the Univ	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1099	Genentech, Inc. v. Regents of the Univ	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Sovereign Immunity	State	Reversed	Order on Motion
97-1422	Laitram Corp. v. MoreHouse Indus	Lourie, Michel, Skelton	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

97-1422	Laitram Corp. v. MoreHouse Indus	Lourie, Michel, Skelton	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1422	Laitram Corp. v. MoreHouse Indus	Lourie, Michel, Skelton	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1422	Laitram Corp. v. MoreHouse Indus	Lourie, Michel, Skelton	Validity	Unspecified	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1352	Hester v. Stein	Plager, Schall	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1194	Chiuminatta v. Cardinal	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
97-1194	Chiuminatta v. Cardinal	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Summary Judgment
97-1194	Chiuminatta v. Cardinal	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1194	Chiuminatta v. Cardinal	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
97-1194	Chiuminatta v. Cardinal	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1194	Chiuminatta v. Cardinal	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1194	Chiuminatta v. Cardinal	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1225	In Re Daniels	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
97-1553	Heidelberg Harris, Inc. v. Loebach	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1553	Heidelberg Harris, Inc. v. Loebach	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Standing		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1553	Heidelberg Harris, Inc. v. Loebach	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1425	Cravens L. Wanlass v. General Electric	Mayer, Michel	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1425	Cravens L. Wanlass v. General Electric	Mayer, Michel	Laches		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-5065	Rockwell International v. The U.S.	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-5065	Rockwell International v. The U.S.	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-5065	Rockwell International v. The U.S.	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
96-1514	Hyatt v. Boone	Michel, Newman, Plager	Interference	Priority	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
96-1514	Hyatt v. Boone	Michel, Newman, Plager	Interference	Reduction to Practice	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
96-1514	Hyatt v. Boone	Michel, Newman, Plager	Interference	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference

97-1418	Wanlass v. Fedders	Michel, Rader	Laches			Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
97-1526	Applied Medical v. U.S. Surgical Corp	Mayer, Plager, Schall	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1526	Applied Medical v. U.S. Surgical Corp	Mayer, Plager, Schall	Validity	Best Mode		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1526	Applied Medical v. U.S. Surgical Corp	Mayer, Plager, Schall	Evidence			Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1547	Woodland Trust v. Flowertree Nursery	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Validity	Anticipation		Reversed	Bench Trial
97-1438	Akron v. Exxel	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Exceptional Case			Reversed	Bench Trial
97-1438	Akron v. Exxel	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Inequitable Conduct			Reversed	Bench Trial
97-1512	Celeritas v. Rockwell	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Attorney's Fees	285		Reversed	Order on Motion
97-1512	Celeritas v. Rockwell	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Validity	Anticipation		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
97-1512	Celeritas v. Rockwell	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Agreement	Breach of Contract		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
97-1512	Celeritas v. Rockwell	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Breach of Contract	Damages		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
97-1512	Celeritas v. Rockwell	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	New Trial			Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1327	State Street Bank v. Signature	Bryson, Plager, Rich	Validity	Utility		Reversed	Summary Judgment
97-1551	Graphic Controls v. Utah Medical	Gajarsa, Plager, Rich	Jurisdiction	Personal		Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1564	Mentor v. Quickturn	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Estoppel	Assignor		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
97-1564	Mentor v. Quickturn	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
97-1466	Pannu v. IOLAB	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Inventorship	Co-Inventors		Reversed, Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
97-1466	Pannu v. IOLAB	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
97-1466	Pannu v. IOLAB	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
97-1466	Pannu v. IOLAB	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Validity	102(g)		Affirmed	JMOL
97-1495	John Hopkins University v. Cellpro, Inc	Lourie, Schall, Smith	Injunction	Permanent		Vacated in Part	Order on Motion
97-1495	John Hopkins University v. Cellpro, Inc	Lourie, Schall, Smith	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	JMOL
97-1495	John Hopkins University v. Cellpro, Inc	Lourie, Schall, Smith	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	JMOL
97-1495	John Hopkins University v. Cellpro, Inc	Lourie, Schall, Smith	Willfulness			Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

97-1495	John Hopkins University v. Cellpro, Inc	Lourie, Schall, Smith	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1495	John Hopkins University v. Cellpro, Inc	Lourie, Schall, Smith	Waiver	Defense	Reversed	Order on Motion
97-1554	Enercon GmbH v. USITC	Gajarsa, Newman, Smith	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	USITC Decision
97-1554	Enercon GmbH v. USITC	Gajarsa, Newman, Smith	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
97-1554	Enercon GmbH v. USITC	Gajarsa, Newman, Smith	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Hearing
98-1079	Mantech v. Hudson	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
98-1079	Mantech v. Hudson	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
98-1079	Mantech v. Hudson	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
97-1399	Hunter Douglas v. Harmonic Design	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1399	Hunter Douglas v. Harmonic Design	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1399	Hunter Douglas v. Harmonic Design	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1399	Hunter Douglas v. Harmonic Design	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Preemption		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
97-1556	Glaxo v. Torpharm	Archer, Plager, Rade	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1556	Glaxo v. Torpharm	Archer, Plager, Rade	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
97-1128	DH Technology v. Synergystex	Newman, Plager, Schall	Exceptional Case		Vacated	Order on Motion
97-1128	DH Technology v. Synergystex	Newman, Plager, Schall	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1128	DH Technology v. Synergystex	Newman, Plager, Schall	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1128	DH Technology v. Synergystex	Newman, Plager, Schall	Validity	Maintenance Fee Lapse	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
97-1302	Cooper v. Goldfarb	Clevenger, Schall, Skelton	Interference	Conception	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
97-1302	Cooper v. Goldfarb	Clevenger, Schall, Skelton	Interference	Reduction to Practice	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
98-1035	Additive Controls v. Flowdata	Bryson, Clevenger, Skelton	Contempt	Injunction	Affirmed	Contempt Hearing
98-1035	Additive Controls v. Flowdata	Bryson, Clevenger, Skelton	Contempt	Injunction	Reversed	Contempt Hearing
97-1537	Comark v. Harris Corp.	Gajarsa, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
97-1537	Comark v. Harris Corp.	Gajarsa, Newman, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1537	Comark v. Harris Corp.	Gajarsa, Newman, Schall	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

97-1537	Comark v. Harris Corp.	Gajarsa, Newman, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
98-1349	Slip Track v. Metal Life	Bryson, Newman, Skelton	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	NA	NA
98-1349	Slip Track v. Metal Life	Bryson, Newman, Skelton	Stay	Pending Reexam	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
97-1218	Phillips v. Huntsman	Newman, Rich, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1218	Phillips v. Huntsman	Newman, Rich, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1218	Phillips v. Huntsman	Newman, Rich, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1465	Voice Technologies v. VC Systems	Lourie, Newman, Rich	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
97-1465	Voice Technologies v. VC Systems	Lourie, Newman, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1258	In re Cortright	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
98-1258	In re Cortright	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
98-1258	In re Cortright	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
98-1294	Engel Industries v. Lockformer	Archer, Clevenger, Mayer	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1294	Engel Industries v. Lockformer	Archer, Clevenger, Mayer	Jurisdiction	On Remand	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1134	National Recovery Technologies v. Magnetic Separat	Gajarsa, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1134	National Recovery Technologies v. Magnetic Separat	Gajarsa, Rader, Schall	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1063	Sextant Avionique v. Analog Devices	Gajarsa, Lourie, Smith	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1063	Sextant Avionique v. Analog Devices	Gajarsa, Lourie, Smith	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1063	Sextant Avionique v. Analog Devices	Gajarsa, Lourie, Smith	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1024	DSC Communicationa Corp. v. Pulse Communications,	Bryson, Friedman, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
98-1024	DSC Communicationa Corp. v. Pulse Communications,	Bryson, Friedman, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment

98-1360	Pharmacia & Upjohn v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1360	Pharmacia & Upjohn v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1593	AI-Site Corp. v. VSI Int'l Inc	Mayer, Rader, Rich	Damages	Personal Liability	Reversed	Order on Motion
97-1593	AI-Site Corp. v. VSI Int'l Inc	Mayer, Rader, Rich	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing
97-1593	AI-Site Corp. v. VSI Int'l Inc	Mayer, Rader, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
97-1593	AI-Site Corp. v. VSI Int'l Inc	Mayer, Rader, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1593	AI-Site Corp. v. VSI Int'l Inc	Mayer, Rader, Rich	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1593	AI-Site Corp. v. VSI Int'l Inc	Mayer, Rader, Rich	Permanent Injunction		Reversed	Order on Motion
97-1593	AI-Site Corp. v. VSI Int'l Inc	Mayer, Rader, Rich	Trademark	Infringement	Reversed	Jury Verdict
97-1593	AI-Site Corp. v. VSI Int'l Inc	Mayer, Rader, Rich	Unfair Competition		Reversed	Jury Verdict
97-1432	Seal-Flex v. Athletic Track & Court Construction	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1432	Seal-Flex v. Athletic Track & Court Construction	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1432	Seal-Flex v. Athletic Track & Court Construction	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1432	Seal-Flex v. Athletic Track & Court Construction	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1432	Seal-Flex v. Athletic Track & Court Construction	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1432	Seal-Flex v. Athletic Track & Court Construction	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Antitrust		Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1432	Seal-Flex v. Athletic Track & Court Construction	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1477	Zeneca Ltd. v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals	Gajarsa, Rader	Transfer		Reversed	Order on Motion
96-1467	Glass Equipment v. Besten	Clevenger, Newman, Rich	Agreement	License	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1467	Glass Equipment v. Besten	Clevenger, Newman, Rich	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Summary Judgment
96-1467	Glass Equipment v. Besten	Clevenger, Newman, Rich	Antitrust		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1200	Amana v. Quadlux	Archer, Lourie, Mayer	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1171	Signtech USA v. Vutech	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Damages	Enhanced	Reversed	Order on Motion

98-1171	Signtech USA v. Vutech	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1171	Signtech USA v. Vutech	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
98-1171	Signtech USA v. Vutech	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1076	Rodime PLC v. Seagate Technology	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1076	Rodime PLC v. Seagate Technology	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Evidence	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1076	Rodime PLC v. Seagate Technology	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1076	Rodime PLC v. Seagate Technology	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1076	Rodime PLC v. Seagate Technology	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
98-1076	Rodime PLC v. Seagate Technology	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Tortious Inteference		Vacated	Summary Judgment
98-1076	Rodime PLC v. Seagate Technology	Friedman, Lourie, Rader	Unfair Competition		Vacated	Summary Judgment
98-1338	AT&T v. Excel Communications	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Validity	Utility	Reversed	Summary Judgment
97-1470	Karlin Technology v. Surgical Dynamics	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
97-1470	Karlin Technology v. Surgical Dynamics	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1448	Ohio Cellular Products v. Adams USA	Michel, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1331	Johnson Worldwide Assoc v. Zebco	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1331	Johnson Worldwide Assoc v. Zebco	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1331	Johnson Worldwide Assoc v. Zebco	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1331	Johnson Worldwide Assoc v. Zebco	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1331	Johnson Worldwide Assoc v. Zebco	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1498	In re Dembiczak	Clevenger, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
98-1385	Transmetric v. Gulton Industries	Lourie, Michel	Prejudgment Interest		Vacated	Order on Motion
98-1435	Midwest Industries v. Karavan Trailers	Bryson, Schall, Smith	Preemption		Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1106	Smiths Industrial Medica System v. Vital Signs	Clevenger, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1106	Smiths Industrial Medica System v. Vital Signs	Clevenger, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Bench Trial
98-1106	Smiths Industrial Medica System v. Vital Signs	Clevenger, Gajarsa	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial

98-1296	Delta & Pine Lands v. The Sinkers	Michel, Rader	Infringement	PVPA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1296	Delta & Pine Lands v. The Sinkers	Michel, Rader	Infringement	PVPA	Vacated	Bench Trial
98-1089	General Electric v. Nintendo	Michel, Newman, Plager	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1089	General Electric v. Nintendo	Michel, Newman, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1089	General Electric v. Nintendo	Michel, Newman, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1089	General Electric v. Nintendo	Michel, Newman, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1089	General Electric v. Nintendo	Michel, Newman, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
97-1365	ScalTech v. Retec/Tetra	Gajarsa, Plager, Rich	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
97-1017	Loral Fairchild v. Sony	Archer, Michel, Plager	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
97-1017	Loral Fairchild v. Sony	Archer, Michel, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
97-1017	Loral Fairchild v. Sony	Archer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
97-1017	Loral Fairchild v. Sony	Archer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1017	Loral Fairchild v. Sony	Archer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
98-1001	Augustine Medical v. Gaymer	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
98-1001	Augustine Medical v. Gaymer	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1001	Augustine Medical v. Gaymer	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1001	Augustine Medical v. Gaymer	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1001	Augustine Medical v. Gaymer	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Jury Verdict
98-1001	Augustine Medical v. Gaymer	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1388	Pall v. HemaSure	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Not Reached	NA
98-1411	Finnegan v. ITC	Lourie, Michel, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Determination
98-1411	Finnegan v. ITC	Lourie, Michel, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	ITC Determination
98-1411	Finnegan v. ITC	Lourie, Michel, Rich	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	ITC Determination
98-1298	Pitney Bowes v. Hewlett-Packard	Michel, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1298	Pitney Bowes v. Hewlett-Packard	Michel, Plager, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated	Summary Judgment

98-1298	Pitney Bowes v. Hewlett-Packard	Michel, Plager, Radei	Infringement	Literal		Vacated	Summary Judgment
97-1273	Burke v. Bruno Independent Living Aids	Archer, Lourie, Radei	Claim Construction	General		Vacated	Summary Judgment
97-1273	Burke v. Bruno Independent Living Aids	Archer, Lourie, Radei	Infringement	Literal		Vacated	Summary Judgment
98-1432	Rhine v. Casio	Clevenger, Mayer, Skelton	Claim Construction	Means for		Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1432	Rhine v. Casio	Clevenger, Mayer, Skelton	Claim Construction	General		Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1432	Rhine v. Casio	Clevenger, Mayer, Skelton	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1533	Odetics v. Storage Technology	Clevenger, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1533	Odetics v. Storage Technology	Clevenger, Schall	Damages	Enhanced		Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1533	Odetics v. Storage Technology	Clevenger, Schall	Injunction	Permanent		Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1533	Odetics v. Storage Technology	Clevenger, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	JMOL
98-1012	In re Cambridge Biotech	Archer, Lourie, Radei	Damages	Reasonable Royalty		Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1012	In re Cambridge Biotech	Archer, Lourie, Radei	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1288	Zenith Electronics v. Exzec	Gajarsa, Plager, Skelton	Preemption			Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1512	Brasseler v. Stryker	Clevenger, Lourie, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285		Vacated	Order on Motion
98-1512	Brasseler v. Stryker	Clevenger, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1307	WMS Gaming v. Int'l Game Technology	Rader, Rich, Schall	Damages	Methodology		Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1307	WMS Gaming v. Int'l Game Technology	Rader, Rich, Schall	Damages	Quantum		Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1307	WMS Gaming v. Int'l Game Technology	Rader, Rich, Schall	Damages	Enhanced		Vacated	Bench Trial
97-1307	WMS Gaming v. Int'l Game Technology	Rader, Rich, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for		Reversed	Markman Hearing
97-1307	WMS Gaming v. Int'l Game Technology	Rader, Rich, Schall	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1307	WMS Gaming v. Int'l Game Technology	Rader, Rich, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Bench Trial
97-1307	WMS Gaming v. Int'l Game Technology	Rader, Rich, Schall	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1307	WMS Gaming v. Int'l Game Technology	Rader, Rich, Schall	Evidence			Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1307	WMS Gaming v. Int'l Game Technology	Rader, Rich, Schall	New Trial			Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1501	Hockerson-Halberstadt v Converse	Gajarsa, Rader, Rich	Claim Construction	General		Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1501	Hockerson-Halberstadt v Converse	Gajarsa, Rader, Rich	Validity	Reexamination		Reversed	Summary Judgment

98-1214	Zelinski v. Brunswick	Bryson, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1214	Zelinski v. Brunswick	Bryson, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1214	Zelinski v. Brunswick	Bryson, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1081	Grain Processing v. American Maize-Products	Bryson, Friedman, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1379	Juicy Whip v. Orange Bang	Bryson, Rich, Schall	Validity	Utility	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1591	Oney v. Ratliff	Kelly, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1333	SunTiger v. Blublocker	Friedman, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1333	SunTiger v. Blublocker	Friedman, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Vacated/Remanded	Summary Judgment
98-1333	SunTiger v. Blublocker	Friedman, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1577	United Technologies v. Chromalloy Gas Turbine	Cudahy, Gajarsa, Schall	Breach of Contract	Damages	Vacated	Bench Trial
97-1502	Mitsubishi Electric v. Ampex	Lourie, Newman, Skelton	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1502	Mitsubishi Electric v. Ampex	Lourie, Newman, Skelton	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
97-1502	Mitsubishi Electric v. Ampex	Lourie, Newman, Skelton	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1477	Robotic Visions Systems v. View Engineering	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1477	Robotic Visions Systems v. View Engineering	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1477	Robotic Visions Systems v. View Engineering	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1044	Merck v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1082	Process Control v. HydReclaim	Bryson, Friedman, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
98-1082	Process Control v. HydReclaim	Bryson, Friedman, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Bench Trial
98-1082	Process Control v. HydReclaim	Bryson, Friedman, Gajarsa	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Bench Trial
98-1082	Process Control v. HydReclaim	Bryson, Friedman, Gajarsa	Validity	Utility	Reversed	Bench Trial
99-1041	Atlas Powder v. IRECO	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-1313	Seiko Epson v. Nu-Kote International	Bryson, Newman, Plager	Sanctions	Contempt	Affirmed/Modified	Order on Motion
97-1313	Seiko Epson v. Nu-Kote International	Bryson, Newman, Plager	Attorney's Fees	Sanction	Affirmed/Modified	Order on Motion
97-1313	Seiko Epson v. Nu-Kote International	Bryson, Newman, Plager	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Bench Trial

97-1313	Seiko Epson v. Nu-Kote International	Bryson, Newman, Plager	Validity	Unspecified	Reversed	Bench Trial
98-1380	Diversity Lever v. Ecolab	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1596	Elkay v. Ebco	Gajarsa, Plager, Rich	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
98-1596	Elkay v. Ebco	Gajarsa, Plager, Rich	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Bench Trial
98-1596	Elkay v. Ebco	Gajarsa, Plager, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
98-1488	L.D. Kichler v. Davoil	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Trademark	Cancellation/Likelihood of Confusion	Reversed/Remanded	Summary Judgment
98-1488	L.D. Kichler v. Davoil	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Trademark	Infringement	Reversed/Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1011	Tec Air v. Denso	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Damages	Methodology	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1011	Tec Air v. Denso	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1038	MEHL/Biophile Milgraum	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1393	Micro Chemical v. Great Plains Chemical	Bryson, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
98-1393	Micro Chemical v. Great Plains Chemical	Bryson, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
98-1393	Micro Chemical v. Great Plains Chemical	Bryson, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
98-1393	Micro Chemical v. Great Plains Chemical	Bryson, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1428	Overhead Door v. Chamberlain Group	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated in Part	Summary Judgment
98-1428	Overhead Door v. Chamberlain Group	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed in Part/Vacated in Part	Summary Judgment
98-1428	Overhead Door v. Chamberlain Group	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated in Part	Summary Judgment
98-1428	Overhead Door v. Chamberlain Group	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1428	Overhead Door v. Chamberlain Group	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated in Part	Summary Judgment
98-1428	Overhead Door v. Chamberlain Group	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated in Part	Summary Judgment
98-1364	Augustine Medical v. Progressive Dynamics	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
97-1238	Georgia-Pacific v. United States Gypsum	Archer, Bryson, Gajarsa	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Order on Motion
97-1238	Georgia-Pacific v. United States Gypsum	Archer, Bryson, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed in Part	Jury Verdict
97-1238	Georgia-Pacific v. United States Gypsum	Archer, Bryson, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Vacated in Part	Judgment
97-1238	Georgia-Pacific v. United States Gypsum	Archer, Bryson, Gajarsa	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed/Vacated	Judgment
97-1238	Georgia-Pacific v. United States Gypsum	Archer, Bryson, Gajarsa	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict

97-1238	Georgia-Pacific v. United States Gypsum	Archer, Bryson, Gajarsa	New Trial		Reversed	Order on Motion
97-1238	Georgia-Pacific v. United States Gypsum	Archer, Bryson, Gajarsa	New Trial		Vacated	Order on Motion
97-1238	Georgia-Pacific v. United States Gypsum	Archer, Bryson, Gajarsa	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1308	Intergraph v. Intel	Newman, Plager, Smith	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Order on Motion
98-1308	Intergraph v. Intel	Newman, Plager, Smith	Antitrust		Reversed	Preliminary Injunction
97-1468	Univ of Colorado Foundation v. American Cyanamid	Bryson, Rader, Rich	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Bench Trial
97-1468	Univ of Colorado Foundation v. American Cyanamid	Bryson, Rader, Rich	Inventorship	Changed Inventors	Vacated	Bench Trial
97-1468	Univ of Colorado Foundation v. American Cyanamid	Bryson, Rader, Rich	Unjust Enrichment		Vacated	Bench Trial
99-1025	Marquip, Inc. v. Fosber Am., Inc	Michel, Gajarsa, Rader	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1025	Marquip, Inc. v. Fosber Am., Inc	Michel, Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1334	Toro v. White Consolidated Industries	Friedman, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1334	Toro v. White Consolidated Industries	Friedman, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1334	Toro v. White Consolidated Industries	Friedman, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Remanded	None
98-1334	Toro v. White Consolidated Industries	Friedman, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1229	Hollyanne Corp. v. TFT, Inc	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1363	Wang Lab. v. Am Online Inc	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1363	Wang Lab. v. Am Online Inc	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1363	Wang Lab. v. Am Online Inc	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1082	Atmel v. Information Storage Devices, Inc.	Black, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reverse	Summary Judgment
98-1303	Vivid Technologies, Inc v Am Science & Eng'g, Inc	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
98-1303	Vivid Technologies, Inc v Am Science & Eng'g, Inc	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated/Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1190	Vanmoor v. Wal-Mart Stores	Archer, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1190	Vanmoor v. Wal-Mart Stores	Archer, Mayer, Rader	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1035	Pioneer Hi-Bred v. J.E.M Ag Supply	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1553	Winner Int'l. Royalty v. Wang	Gajarsa, Michel, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

98-1553	Winner Int'l. Royalty v. Wang	Gajarsa, Michel, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1553	Winner Int'l. Royalty v. Wang	Gajarsa, Michel, Rader	Transfer		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1316	Automated Business Companies v. NEC America	Bryson, Michel, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1267	Eaton v. Evans	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Interference	Reduction to Practice	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
99-1086	Phonometrics v. Hospitality Franchise Sys.	Archer, Rader, Skelton	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
99-1223	Nilssen v. Motorola	Bryson, Lourie	Transfer	Appellate	NA	NA
99-m595	In re Spalding Sports Worldwide	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Attorney Client Privilege		Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1081	Cortland Line v. Orvis	Archer, Friedman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1081	Cortland Line v. Orvis	Archer, Friedman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1081	Cortland Line v. Orvis	Archer, Friedman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1081	Cortland Line v. Orvis	Archer, Friedman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1081	Cortland Line v. Orvis	Archer, Friedman, Rader	Trademark	Infringement	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1240	Kraft Foods v. Int'l Trading	Michel, Rader, Smith	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1240	Kraft Foods v. Int'l Trading	Michel, Rader, Smith	Infringement	DOE	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1240	Kraft Foods v. Int'l Trading	Michel, Rader, Smith	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1241	In re Robert J. Gartside	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
99-1323	Smith CSU v. Xerox	Archer, Mayer, Plager	Antitrust		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1018	Ultra-tex Surfaces v. Hill Bros. Chemical	Archer, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1018	Ultra-tex Surfaces v. Hill Bros. Chemical	Archer, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1527	Speedplay v. Bebop	Bryson, Gajarsa, Skelton	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1527	Speedplay v. Bebop	Bryson, Gajarsa, Skelton	Attorney's Fees	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1527	Speedplay v. Bebop	Bryson, Gajarsa, Skelton	Standing		Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1527	Speedplay v. Bebop	Bryson, Gajarsa, Skelton	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1527	Speedplay v. Bebop	Bryson, Gajarsa, Skelton	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial

98-1527	Speedplay v. Bebop	Bryson, Gajarsa, Skelton	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1527	Speedplay v. Bebop	Bryson, Gajarsa, Skelton	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1527	Speedplay v. Bebop	Bryson, Gajarsa, Skelton	Trade Dress Infringement		Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1377	Semiconductor Energy Lab v. Samsung Electronics	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Preemption		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1377	Semiconductor Energy Lab v. Samsung Electronics	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1283	Jeneric/Pentron v. Dillon	Archer, Newman, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
99-1283	Jeneric/Pentron v. Dillon	Archer, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
99-1283	Jeneric/Pentron v. Dillon	Archer, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
99-1224	Zodiac Pool Care v. Hoffinger Industries	Bryson, Gajarsa, Skelton	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1224	Zodiac Pool Care v. Hoffinger Industries	Gajarsa, Skelton	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1224	Zodiac Pool Care v. Hoffinger Industries	Gajarsa, Skelton	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
99-1224	Zodiac Pool Care v. Hoffinger Industries	Gajarsa, Skelton	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Contributory	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1019	IMS Technology v. Haas Automation	Mayer, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1299	Clearstream Wastewater Sys. v. Hydro-Action	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment

99-1299	Clearstream Wastewater Sys. v. Hydro-Action	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1066	Union Oil v. Atlantic Richfield	Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
99-1066	Union Oil v. Atlantic Richfield	Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1066	Union Oil v. Atlantic Richfield	Mayer, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1066	Union Oil v. Atlantic Richfield	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1399	View Engineering v. Robotic Vision Sys.	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1196	Helifix v. Blok-Lok	Gajarsa, Rader, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1196	Helifix v. Blok-Lok	Gajarsa, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1196	Helifix v. Blok-Lok	Gajarsa, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1225	Optical Disk v. Del Mar Avionics	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1225	Optical Disk v. Del Mar Avionics	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1225	Optical Disk v. Del Mar Avionics	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1225	Optical Disk v. Del Mar Avionics	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1225	Optical Disk v. Del Mar Avionics	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1349	Kemco Sales v. Control Papers	Clevenger, Lourie, Plager	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1349	Kemco Sales v. Control Papers	Clevenger, Lourie, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1349	Kemco Sales v. Control Papers	Clevenger, Lourie, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1341	STX v. Brine	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1341	STX v. Brine	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1308	Helfgott & Karas v. Dickinson	Clevenger, Plager, Schall	APA		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1314	Hill-Rom v. Kinetic Concepts	Bryson, Lourie, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1314	Hill-Rom v. Kinetic Concepts	Bryson, Lourie, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1314	Hill-Rom v. Kinetic Concepts	Bryson, Lourie, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1111	Envirco v. Clestra Cleanroom	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1111	Envirco v. Clestra Cleanroom	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment

99-1111	Envirco v. Clestra Cleanroom	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
98-1465	Aqua-Aerobic Sys. v. Aerators	Bryson, Newman, Smith	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1465	Aqua-Aerobic Sys. v. Aerators	Bryson, Newman, Smith	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1465	Aqua-Aerobic Sys. v. Aerators	Bryson, Newman, Smith	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1182	In re Hyatt	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
99-1365	Bayer v. Elan Pharmaceutical Research	Bryson, Clevenger, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1365	Bayer v. Elan Pharmaceutical Research	Bryson, Clevenger, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1365	Bayer v. Elan Pharmaceutical Research	Bryson, Clevenger, Schall	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1274	Riverwood International v. Mead	Gajarsa, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
99-1012	Advanced Display Sys., et al. v. Kent State Univ.,	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Sanctions	Discovery	Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1012	Advanced Display Sys., et al. v. Kent State Univ.,	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Jury Instructions		Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1012	Advanced Display Sys., et al. v. Kent State Univ.,	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	New Trial		Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1042	Vehicular Technologies v. Titan Wheel Int'l.	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1586	Valves v. Dray	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
99-1586	Valves v. Dray	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1586	Valves v. Dray	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Breach of Contract	Damages	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
99-1586	Valves v. Dray	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Breach of Contract	Damages	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1586	Valves v. Dray	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Permanent Injunction		Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1466	Hoffman-La Roche v. Invamed	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1466	Hoffman-La Roche v. Invamed	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1466	Hoffman-La Roche v. Invamed	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1556	Elekta Instrument v. O.U.R. Scientific Internation	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1556	Elekta Instrument v. O.U.R. Scientific Internation	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment

98-1502	Reiffin v. Microsoft	Michel, Newman, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1218	Environ Products v. Furon	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Inventorship	Sole	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1218	Environ Products v. Furon	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Jury Instruction	Standard of Proof	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1208	Northern Telecom v. Samsung	Archer, Clevenger, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1208	Northern Telecom v. Samsung	Archer, Clevenger, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1208	Northern Telecom v. Samsung	Archer, Clevenger, Michel	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1275	Rotec v. Mitsubishi	Gajarsa, Michel, Newman	Infringement	271(f)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1275	Rotec v. Mitsubishi	Gajarsa, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-5086	Zacharin v. U.S.	Bryson, Michel, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1463	In re Baker Hughes	Lourie, Mayer, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
99-1463	In re Baker Hughes	Lourie, Mayer, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
99-1400	Collins v. Northern Telecom	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
99-1400	Collins v. Northern Telecom	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1400	Collins v. Northern Telecom	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1400	Collins v. Northern Telecom	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1064	Embrex v. Service Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1064	Embrex v. Service Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1064	Embrex v. Service Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1064	Embrex v. Service Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1064	Embrex v. Service Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Jury Instruction	Standard of Proof	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1064	Embrex v. Service Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1064	Embrex v. Service Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1064	Embrex v. Service Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

99-1064	Embrex v. Service Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Motion to Dismiss	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1064	Embrex v. Service Engineering	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1033	Solomon v. Kimberly-Clark	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1033	Solomon v. Kimberly-Clark	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Validity	102(f) inventorship	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1568	Canton Bio-Medical v. Integrated Liner Technologie	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1568	Canton Bio-Medical v. Integrated Liner Technologie	Friedman, Newman, Rader	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1231	In re Werner Kotzab	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
99-1537	Ishida Co. v. Alfred A. Taylor	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
99-1537	Ishida Co. v. Alfred A. Taylor	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1560	Fiskars v. Hunt Mfg.	Newman, Rader, Schall	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
98-1560	Fiskars v. Hunt Mfg.	Newman, Rader, Schall	Damages	Price Erosion	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
98-1560	Fiskars v. Hunt Mfg.	Newman, Rader, Schall	Sanctions	1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1560	Fiskars v. Hunt Mfg.	Newman, Rader, Schall	PHE		Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1560	Fiskars v. Hunt Mfg.	Newman, Rader, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
98-1560	Fiskars v. Hunt Mfg.	Newman, Rader, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
98-1560	Fiskars v. Hunt Mfg.	Newman, Rader, Schall	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1505	Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc., et al. v. Avia Group	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1505	Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc., et al. v. Avia Group	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent
99-1251	Schering Corp. et al. v. Amgen, Inc.	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1251	Schering Corp. et al. v. Amgen, Inc.	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1251	Schering Corp. et al. v. Amgen, Inc.	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1347	Tate Access Floors, Inc., et al. v. Maxcess Techno	Lourie, Michel, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
99-1347	Tate Access Floors, Inc., et al. v. Maxcess Techno	Lourie, Michel, Schall	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

99-1347	Tate Access Floors, Inc., et al. v. Maxcess Techno	Lourie, Michel, Schall	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
99-1347	Tate Access Floors, Inc., et al. v. Maxcess Techno	Lourie, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1347	Tate Access Floors, Inc., et al. v. Maxcess Techno	Lourie, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1347	Tate Access Floors, Inc., et al. v. Maxcess Techno	Lourie, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1481	Hilgrave Corp., v. McAfee Associates, Inc	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1481	Hilgrave Corp., v. McAfee Associates, Inc	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1481	Hilgrave Corp., v. McAfee Associates, Inc	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1481	Hilgrave Corp., v. McAfee Associates, Inc	Lourie, Michel, Rader	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1506	Genentech, Inc. v. Chiron Corp.	Archer, Bryson, Schall	Interference	Reduction to Practice	Reversed	BPAI Decision
99-1259	Singh v. Brake	Gajarsa, Lourie, Schall	Interference	Conception	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
99-1581	Prima Tek II v. A-Roo	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1581	Prima Tek II v. A-Roo	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Standing		Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1248	KCJ v. Kinetic Concepts	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
99-1248	KCJ v. Kinetic Concepts	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1248	KCJ v. Kinetic Concepts	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1248	KCJ v. Kinetic Concepts	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1278	CAE Screenplates v. Heinrich Fiedler	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1278	CAE Screenplates v. Heinrich Fiedler	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1278	CAE Screenplates v. Heinrich Fiedler	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1293	Nutrinova v. Intl Trade Comm	Bryson, Lourie, Plager	Infringement	271(g)	Affirmed	USITC Hearing
99-1312	C&F Packing v. IBP	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Preemption		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1312	C&F Packing v. IBP	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Trade Secret	Damages	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1312	C&F Packing v. IBP	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1312	C&F Packing v. IBP	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1312	C&F Packing v. IBP	Clevenger, Plager, Rader	Prejudgment Interest		Reversed	Order on Motion

98-1325	Perspective Biosystems v. Pharmacia Biotech	Clevenger, Plager	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
97-5035	Dow Chemical v. U.S.	Archer, Gajarsa, Rich	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	CFC Hearing
97-5035	Dow Chemical v. U.S.	Archer, Gajarsa, Rich	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	CFC Hearing
99-1381	Sibia Neurosciences v. Cadus Pharmaceutical,	Gajarsa, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict
99-1043	Ecolochem v. Southern California Edison	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
99-1043	Ecolochem v. Southern California Edison	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
99-1043	Ecolochem v. Southern California Edison	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1043	Ecolochem v. Southern California Edison	Clevenger, Michel, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
99-1092	Upjohn v. MOVA Pharmaceutical	Archer, Newman, Skelton	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1092	Upjohn v. MOVA Pharmaceutical	Archer, Newman, Skelton	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Jury Verdict
99-1092	Upjohn v. MOVA Pharmaceutical	Archer, Newman, Skelton	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict
99-1593	Caterpillar v. Deere	Mayer, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Infringement	271(f)	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Validity	Best Mode	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Validity	Enablement	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
99-1213	Southwest Software v. Harlequin, et al.	Michel, Schall, Skelton	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1232	Cultor v. A.E. Staley Manufacturing	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1232	Cultor v. A.E. Staley Manufacturing	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1232	Cultor v. A.E. Staley Manufacturing	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1232	Cultor v. A.E. Staley Manufacturing	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1550	Life Technologies v. Clontech Laboratories	Bryson, Gajarsa, Michel	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Bench Trial
98-1386	Moore, U.S.A. v. Standard Register	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Discovery	Rule 56	Affirmed	Order on Motion
98-1386	Moore, U.S.A. v. Standard Register	Clevenger, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
98-1386	Moore, U.S.A. v. Standard Register	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1386	Moore, U.S.A. v. Standard Register	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1386	Moore, U.S.A. v. Standard Register	Clevenger, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1386	Moore, U.S.A. v. Standard Register	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
98-1386	Moore, U.S.A. v. Standard Register	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1011	Lampi Corporation v. American Power Products	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
00-1011	Lampi Corporation v. American Power Products	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
00-1011	Lampi Corporation v. American Power Products	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
00-1011	Lampi Corporation v. American Power Products	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1011	Lampi Corporation v. American Power Products	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1011	Lampi Corporation v. American Power Products	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1011	Lampi Corporation v. American Power Products	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial

00-1011	Lampi Corporation v. American Power Products	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1011	Lampi Corporation v. American Power Products	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Estoppel	Judicial	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1030	Gerald Banks, et al. v. Unisys, et al.	Friedman, Mayer, Schall	Ownership	Co-Ownership	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1098	Ajinomoto v. Archer-Daniels-Midland	Newman, Rader, Smith	Amendment	Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1098	Ajinomoto v. Archer-Daniels-Midland	Newman, Rader, Smith	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Modified	Bench Trial
99-1098	Ajinomoto v. Archer-Daniels-Midland	Newman, Rader, Smith	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1098	Ajinomoto v. Archer-Daniels-Midland	Newman, Rader, Smith	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1098	Ajinomoto v. Archer-Daniels-Midland	Newman, Rader, Smith	Infringement	271(g)	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1098	Ajinomoto v. Archer-Daniels-Midland	Newman, Rader, Smith	Willfulness		Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1098	Ajinomoto v. Archer-Daniels-Midland	Newman, Rader, Smith	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1098	Ajinomoto v. Archer-Daniels-Midland	Newman, Rader, Smith	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1098	Ajinomoto v. Archer-Daniels-Midland	Newman, Rader, Smith	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1467	Bottom Line Management v. Pan Mar	Friedman, Mayer, Michel	Repair		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1389	Brown & Williamson Tobacco v. Philip Morris	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1416	Perdue Pharma v. Faulding	Bryson, Plager, Smith	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1381	Texas Instruments v. Tessera & U.S. ITC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction
00-1108	In re Mitchell R. Swartz	Archer, Dyk, Plager	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
00-1108	In re Mitchell R. Swartz	Archer, Dyk, Plager	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
99-1451	Li Second Family v. Toshiba	Clevenger, Plager, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1526	Watts v. XL Systems	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1526	Watts v. XL Systems	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1526	Watts v. XL Systems	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

99-1526	Watts v. XL Systems	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1052	LSI Industries v. Hubbell Lighting	Dyk, Linn, Gajarsa	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion
00-1052	LSI Industries v. Hubbell Lighting	Dyk, Linn, Gajarsa	Motion to Dismiss	Personal Jurisdiction	Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1557	Ruiz v. A.B. Chance	Michel, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1557	Ruiz v. A.B. Chance	Michel, Newman, Rader	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1557	Ruiz v. A.B. Chance	Michel, Newman, Rader	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1557	Ruiz v. A.B. Chance	Michel, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1557	Ruiz v. A.B. Chance	Michel, Newman, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1557	Ruiz v. A.B. Chance	Michel, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
99-1557	Ruiz v. A.B. Chance	Michel, Newman, Rader	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1557	Ruiz v. A.B. Chance	Michel, Newman, Rader	Tortious Inteference		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1165	Apple Computer v. Articulate Systems	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1165	Apple Computer v. Articulate Systems	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1165	Apple Computer v. Articulate Systems	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
99-1165	Apple Computer v. Articulate Systems	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1165	Apple Computer v. Articulate Systems	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1165	Apple Computer v. Articulate Systems	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1165	Apple Computer v. Articulate Systems	Archer, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	Contempt	Affirmed	Contempt Hearing
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Contempt	Injunction	Affirmed	Contempt Hearing
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1202	Stryker v. Davol	Mayer, Newman, Schall	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1427	Vanguard Products v. Parker Hannifin	Friedman, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
99-1427	Vanguard Products v. Parker Hannifin	Friedman, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
00-1053	Union Pacific Resources v. Chesapeake Energy	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1053	Union Pacific Resources v. Chesapeake Energy	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1053	Union Pacific Resources v. Chesapeake Energy	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
00-1053	Union Pacific Resources v. Chesapeake Energy	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1053	Union Pacific Resources v. Chesapeake Energy	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1053	Union Pacific Resources v. Chesapeake Energy	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1053	Union Pacific Resources v. Chesapeake Energy	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1007	Tronzo v. Biomet	Archer, Lourie, Newman	Fraud	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1007	Tronzo v. Biomet	Archer, Lourie, Newman	Fraud	Damages	Reversed	Order on Motion
00-1007	Tronzo v. Biomet	Archer, Lourie, Newman	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1110	Globetrotter Software v. Elan Computer Group	Bryson, Dyk, Plager	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction a
00-1110	Globetrotter Software v. Elan Computer Group	Bryson, Dyk, Plager	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
00-1398	Purdue Pharma v. Boehringer Ingelheim	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
00-1398	Purdue Pharma v. Boehringer Ingelheim	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
00-1398	Purdue Pharma v. Boehringer Ingelheim	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
00-1398	Purdue Pharma v. Boehringer Ingelheim	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
00-1398	Purdue Pharma v. Boehringer Ingelheim	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing

99-1489	Flex-Foot v. CRP	Linn, Mayer, Plager	Estoppel	Contractual	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1489	Flex-Foot v. CRP	Linn, Mayer, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Confirmation of Arbitrator Award
00-1241	Litton Systems v. Honeywell	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment/JMOL
00-1241	Litton Systems v. Honeywell	Mayer, Rader	Intentional Interference		Reversed	JMOL
00-1121	Wenger Manufacturing v Coating Systems Machinery	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1121	Wenger Manufacturing v Coating Systems Machinery	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1121	Wenger Manufacturing v Coating Systems Machinery	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1285	AFG Industries v. Cardinal	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
00-1285	AFG Industries v. Cardinal	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1041	Monon v. Stoughton Trailers	Michel, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1041	Monon v. Stoughton Trailers	Michel, Rader, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1185	Uroplasty v. Advanced Uroscience	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Vacated, Remanded	NA
00-1260	Biovail v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Attorney's Fees	Appeal	NA	NA
00-1260	Biovail v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1260	Biovail v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1260	Biovail v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1494	Forest Laboratories v. Abbott Laboratories	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1494	Forest Laboratories v. Abbott Laboratories	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
99-1494	Forest Laboratories v. Abbott Laboratories	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
99-1561	DeMarini Sports v. Wortf	Bryson, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1561	DeMarini Sports v. Wortf	Bryson, Linn, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1561	DeMarini Sports v. Wortf	Bryson, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1109	Amazon.com v. barnesandnoble.com	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Linn	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction

00-1109	Amazon.com v. barnesandnoble.com	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
00-1109	Amazon.com v. barnesandnoble.com	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
00-1109	Amazon.com v. barnesandnoble.com	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction
00-1109	Amazon.com v. barnesandnoble.com	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
00-1046	PETER B. COOPER v. DAVID GOLDFARB	Clevenger, Rader, Schall	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
99-1558	Crystal Semiconductor v. TriTech Microelectronics	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Reversed	JMOL
99-1558	Crystal Semiconductor v. TriTech Microelectronics	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Damages	Price Erosion	Affirmed	JMOL
99-1558	Crystal Semiconductor v. TriTech Microelectronics	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1558	Crystal Semiconductor v. TriTech Microelectronics	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Patent Marking		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1558	Crystal Semiconductor v. TriTech Microelectronics	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
99-1558	Crystal Semiconductor v. TriTech Microelectronics	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1558	Crystal Semiconductor v. TriTech Microelectronics	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1558	Crystal Semiconductor v. TriTech Microelectronics	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1558	Crystal Semiconductor v. TriTech Microelectronics	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
99-1558	Crystal Semiconductor v. TriTech Microelectronics	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1001	Mycogen Plant Science v. Monsanto	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Jury Instruction	Conception/Reduction to Practice	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1001	Mycogen Plant Science v. Monsanto	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
00-1001	Mycogen Plant Science v. Monsanto	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
00-1001	Mycogen Plant Science v. Monsanto	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1257	Networld v. Centraal	Archer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1257	Networld v. Centraal	Archer, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1257	Networld v. Centraal	Archer, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1499	SciMed Life Sys. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys.	Bryson, Dyk, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing

99-1499	SciMed Life Sys. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys.	Bryson, Dyk, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1499	SciMed Life Sys. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys.	Bryson, Dyk, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1176	Kroll v. Finnerty	Gajarsa, Michel	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1604	Hitzeman v. Rutter	Dyk, Linn, Michel	Interference	Conception	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
99-1234	Karsten Mfg. v. Cleveland Golf	Michel, Newman, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1234	Karsten Mfg. v. Cleveland Golf	Michel, Newman, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1234	Karsten Mfg. v. Cleveland Golf	Michel, Newman, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1234	Karsten Mfg. v. Cleveland Golf	Michel, Newman, Plager	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1234	Karsten Mfg. v. Cleveland Golf	Michel, Newman, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1234	Karsten Mfg. v. Cleveland Golf	Michel, Newman, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1234	Karsten Mfg. v. Cleveland Golf	Michel, Newman, Plager	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1234	Karsten Mfg. v. Cleveland Golf	Michel, Newman, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1234	Karsten Mfg. v. Cleveland Golf	Michel, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1327	Waymark v. Porta Systems	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Infringement	271(f)	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1327	Waymark v. Porta Systems	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1532	Mentor v. Medical Device Alliance	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1532	Mentor v. Medical Device Alliance	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1532	Mentor v. Medical Device Alliance	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Standing		NA	NA
99-1532	Mentor v. Medical Device Alliance	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Contributory	Reversed	JMOL
99-1532	Mentor v. Medical Device Alliance	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	JMOL
99-1532	Mentor v. Medical Device Alliance	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	JMOL
99-1532	Mentor v. Medical Device Alliance	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	New Trial	Inequitable Conduct	Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1532	Mentor v. Medical Device Alliance	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	New Trial	Anticipation	Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1532	Mentor v. Medical Device Alliance	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	New Trial	Obviousness	Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1511	Schoell v. Regal Marine Industries	Newman, Plager, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

99-1511	Schoell v. Regal Marine Industries	Newman, Plager, Schall	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1511	Schoell v. Regal Marine Industries	Newman, Plager, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1283	In Re Haruna	Friedman, Gajarsa, Schall	Validity	Obviousness		Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
99-1523	Electro Scientific v. General Scanning	Michel, Rader, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1523	Electro Scientific v. General Scanning	Michel, Rader, Schall	Costs			Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1523	Electro Scientific v. General Scanning	Michel, Rader, Schall	Damages	Lost Profits		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1523	Electro Scientific v. General Scanning	Michel, Rader, Schall	Damages	Enhanced		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1523	Electro Scientific v. General Scanning	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1523	Electro Scientific v. General Scanning	Michel, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1523	Electro Scientific v. General Scanning	Michel, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1523	Electro Scientific v. General Scanning	Michel, Rader, Schall	New Trial			Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1523	Electro Scientific v. General Scanning	Michel, Rader, Schall	Prejudgment Interest			Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1409	Aqua Marine Supply v. AIM Machining	Dyk, Lourie, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal		NA	NA
00-1304	Bristol-Myers Squibb v. Ben Venue Laboratories	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
00-1304	Bristol-Myers Squibb v. Ben Venue Laboratories	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1304	Bristol-Myers Squibb v. Ben Venue Laboratories	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1562	Telemac Cellular v. Topp Telecom	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1562	Telemac Cellular v. Topp Telecom	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1562	Telemac Cellular v. Topp Telecom	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1562	Telemac Cellular v. Topp Telecom	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1562	Telemac Cellular v. Topp Telecom	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1078	Oak Technology v. Int'l Trade Comm.	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	USITC Decision
99-1580	Shockley v. Arcan	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Damages	Lost Profits		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
99-1580	Shockley v. Arcan	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Intervening Rights			Affirmed	Order on Motion

99-1580	Shockley v. Arcan	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1236	Intellectual Property Development v. TCI Cablevisi	Gajarsa, Lourie, Schall	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1236	Intellectual Property Development v. TCI Cablevisi	Gajarsa, Lourie, Schall	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1343	Robotic Vision Systems v. View Engineering	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1239	Tegal v. Tokyo Electron	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Contempt	Injunction	Reversed	Contempt Hearing
99-1578	Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen v. Biocorp	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1578	Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen v. Biocorp	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1578	Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen v. Biocorp	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1578	Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen v. Biocorp	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1578	Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen v. Biocorp	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Infringement	271(g)	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1578	Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen v. Biocorp	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
99-1578	Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen v. Biocorp	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1578	Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen v. Biocorp	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1292	Inamed v. Kuzmak,	Clevenger, Dyk, Linn	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1533	Budde v. Harley-Davidson	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
99-1533	Budde v. Harley-Davidson	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
99-1533	Budde v. Harley-Davidson	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Stipulated Judgment
00-1127	Mycogen Plant Science et al. v. Monsanto	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Infringement	271(g)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1127	Mycogen Plant Science et al. v. Monsanto	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1127	Mycogen Plant Science et al. v. Monsanto	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1127	Mycogen Plant Science et al. v. Monsanto	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

99-1262	Eli Lilly v. Barr Laboratories [Order on Petition]	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1262	Eli Lilly v. Barr Laboratories [Order on Petition]	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Double Patenting	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1144	Lans v. Digital Equipment Corp., et al.	Plager, Rader, Schall	Rule 60(b)	Newly Discovered Evidence	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1144	Lans v. Digital Equipment Corp., et al.	Plager, Rader, Schall	Amendment	Add Party	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1144	Lans v. Digital Equipment Corp., et al.	Plager, Rader, Schall	Standing		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1144	Lans v. Digital Equipment Corp., et al.	Plager, Rader, Schall	Patent Notice		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1144	Lans v. Digital Equipment Corp., et al.	Plager, Rader, Schall	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1125	Crater v. Lucent Technologies	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
00-1125	Crater v. Lucent Technologies	Mayer, Newman, Schall	1498 Defense		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1163	Acromed v. Sofamor Danek Group	Linn, Michel, Rader	Inventorship		Affirmed	JMOL
00-1163	Acromed v. Sofamor Danek Group	Linn, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
00-1163	Acromed v. Sofamor Danek Group	Linn, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
00-1163	Acromed v. Sofamor Danek Group	Linn, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
00-1163	Acromed v. Sofamor Danek Group	Linn, Michel, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
00-1272	Apotex USA v. Merck	Clevenger, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1368	Intergraph v. Intel	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Law of the Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1014	Group One v. Hallmark Cards	Gajarsa, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1014	Group One v. Hallmark Cards	Gajarsa, Lourie, Plager	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1088	Gart v. Logitech [revised]	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Patent Notice		Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1088	Gart v. Logitech [revised]	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Patent Notice		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1088	Gart v. Logitech [revised]	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1088	Gart v. Logitech [revised]	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1088	Gart v. Logitech [revised]	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1261	Durel v. Osram Sylvania	Gajarsa, Lourie, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1261	Durel v. Osram Sylvania	Gajarsa, Lourie, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment

00-1261	Durel v. Osram Sylvania	Gajarsa, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Enablement	Vacated	Summary Judgment
00-1451	Rapoport v. Dement	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
00-1451	Rapoport v. Dement	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
00-1317	Chou v. Univ of Chicago	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Standing		Reversed	Order on Motion
00-1317	Chou v. Univ of Chicago	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Disqualification/Reassignment		NA	NA
99-1458	Hallco Mfg. v. Foster	Plager, Rader, Schall	Res Judicata		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
00-1526	Door-Master v. Yorktowne	Gajarsa, Linn, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1526	Door-Master v. Yorktowne	Gajarsa, Linn, Rader	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1526	Door-Master v. Yorktowne	Gajarsa, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Design	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
00-1526	Door-Master v. Yorktowne	Gajarsa, Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
99-1324	Interavtive Gift Express v Compuserve [Order]	Linn, Plager, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
99-1324	Interavtive Gift Express v Compuserve [Order]	Linn, Plager, Schall	Estoppel	Judicial	NA	NA
99-1324	Interavtive Gift Express v Compuserve [Order]	Linn, Plager, Schall	Waiver	Claim	NA	NA
00-1009	Tegal v. Tokyo Electron America	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Right to Jury Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1009	Tegal v. Tokyo Electron America	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Markman Ruling
00-1009	Tegal v. Tokyo Electron America	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1009	Tegal v. Tokyo Electron America	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
00-1009	Tegal v. Tokyo Electron America	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial a
00-1009	Tegal v. Tokyo Electron America	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1408	Intl Nutrition v. Horphag Research	Clevenger, Mayer, Michel	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1408	Intl Nutrition v. Horphag Research	Clevenger, Mayer, Michel	Standing		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1434	State Contracting and Engineering v. State of Flor	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Agreement	License	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1434	State Contracting and Engineering v. State of Flor	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA

00-1434	State Contracting and Engineering v. State of Flor	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1503	Dayco Products v. Total Containment	Dyk, Linn, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
00-1503	Dayco Products v. Total Containment	Dyk, Linn, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1503	Dayco Products v. Total Containment	Dyk, Linn, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1482	Pannu v. Storz Instruments	Friedman, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1569	Viskase v. American National Can	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1569	Viskase v. American National Can	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Rule 60(b)	Newly Discovered Evidence	NA	NA
99-1569	Viskase v. American National Can	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
99-1569	Viskase v. American National Can	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1569	Viskase v. American National Can	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1569	Viskase v. American National Can	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1569	Viskase v. American National Can	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Willfulness		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
99-1569	Viskase v. American National Can	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
99-1569	Viskase v. American National Can	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1569	Viskase v. American National Can	Lourie, Newman, Rader	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
96-1258	In re Zurko	Archer, Michel, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
00-1257	S3 v. Nvidia	Michel, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1454	Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. et al. v. Sc	Archer, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
00-1454	Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. et al. v. Sc	Archer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1454	Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. et al. v. Sc	Archer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1454	Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. et al. v. Sc	Archer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1454	Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. et al. v. Sc	Archer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment

00-1454	Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. et al. v. Sc	Archer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1454	Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc. et al. v. Sc	Archer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1459	INNOVAD v. Microsoft Corp., et al.	Friedman, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1459	INNOVAD v. Microsoft Corp., et al.	Friedman, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1459	INNOVAD v. Microsoft Corp., et al.	Friedman, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1459	INNOVAD v. Microsoft Corp., et al.	Friedman, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1203	Pall Corp., v. PTI Technologies, Inc. et al.	Dyk, Friedman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
00-1203	Pall Corp., v. PTI Technologies, Inc. et al.	Dyk, Friedman, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1203	Pall Corp., v. PTI Technologies, Inc. et al.	Dyk, Friedman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1092	MSM Investments v. Carolwood Corp.	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1092	MSM Investments v. Carolwood Corp.	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1106	Generation II Orthotics v. Medical Technology	Dyk, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
00-1106	Generation II Orthotics v. Medical Technology	Dyk, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
00-1106	Generation II Orthotics v. Medical Technology	Dyk, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
00-1106	Generation II Orthotics v. Medical Technology	Dyk, Linn, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Stipulated Judgment
00-1002	Monsanto v. Mycogen Plant Science	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1090	Okajima v. Bourdeau	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
00-1475	Bell Atlantic Network Svcs. v. Covad Communication	Gajarsa, Lourie, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1475	Bell Atlantic Network Svcs. v. Covad Communication	Gajarsa, Lourie, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1475	Bell Atlantic Network Svcs. v. Covad Communication	Gajarsa, Lourie, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1151	Glaxo Group v. Ranbaxy Pharmaceuticals	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction
01-1151	Glaxo Group v. Ranbaxy Pharmaceuticals	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
01-1151	Glaxo Group v. Ranbaxy Pharmaceuticals	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction

00-1324	McGinley v. Franklin Sports	Clevenger, Mayer	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1324	McGinley v. Franklin Sports	Clevenger, Mayer	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
00-1324	McGinley v. Franklin Sports	Clevenger, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1324	McGinley v. Franklin Sports	Clevenger, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
00-1324	McGinley v. Franklin Sports	Clevenger, Mayer	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1431	Jazz Photo, et al. v. ITC	Gajarsa, Michel, Newman	Repair		Reversed	USITC Decision
99-1431	Jazz Photo, et al. v. ITC	Gajarsa, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Design	Reversed	USITC Hearing
99-1431	Jazz Photo, et al. v. ITC	Gajarsa, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	USITC Hearing
99-1431	Jazz Photo, et al. v. ITC	Gajarsa, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Hearing
99-1431	Jazz Photo, et al. v. ITC	Gajarsa, Michel, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	USITC Hearing
99-1431	Jazz Photo, et al. v. ITC	Gajarsa, Michel, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Hearing
00-1349	TurboCare v. General Electric	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Summary Judgment
00-1349	TurboCare v. General Electric	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1349	TurboCare v. General Electric	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1349	TurboCare v. General Electric	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1349	TurboCare v. General Electric	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1349	TurboCare v. General Electric	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1349	TurboCare v. General Electric	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1349	TurboCare v. General Electric	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Validity	New Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1349	TurboCare v. General Electric	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1356	Surfco Hawaii v. Fin Control Sys.	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Repair		Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1356	Surfco Hawaii v. Fin Control Sys.	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Contributory	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1356	Surfco Hawaii v. Fin Control Sys.	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Summary Judgment
99-1564	Kustom Signals v. Applied Concepts	Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1564	Kustom Signals v. Applied Concepts	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1564	Kustom Signals v. Applied Concepts	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

99-1564	Kustom Signals v. Applied Concepts	Lourie, Newman	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1402	Ecolab v. Environchem	Friedman, Linn, Newman	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1402	Ecolab v. Environchem	Friedman, Linn, Newman	Laches		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1402	Ecolab v. Environchem	Friedman, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
00-1402	Ecolab v. Environchem	Friedman, Linn, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1449	Sandt Technology v. Resco Metal and Plastics	Dyk, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1449	Sandt Technology v. Resco Metal and Plastics	Dyk, Michel, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1449	Sandt Technology v. Resco Metal and Plastics	Dyk, Michel, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1545	Schaefer Fan v. J&D Mfg.	Rader, Schall	Attorney's Fees	Contempt	Affirmed	Contempt Hearing
00-1545	Schaefer Fan v. J&D Mfg.	Rader, Schall	Contempt	Enforce Settlement	Affirmed	Contempt Hearing
00-1545	Schaefer Fan v. J&D Mfg.	Rader, Schall	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1545	Schaefer Fan v. J&D Mfg.	Rader, Schall	Breach of Contract	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1545	Schaefer Fan v. J&D Mfg.	Rader, Schall	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1417	Advanced Cardiovascular Sys. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Amendment	Defenses	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1417	Advanced Cardiovascular Sys. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1417	Advanced Cardiovascular Sys. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
00-1417	Advanced Cardiovascular Sys. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1417	Advanced Cardiovascular Sys. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1417	Advanced Cardiovascular Sys. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Discovery	Settlement	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1417	Advanced Cardiovascular Sys. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1417	Advanced Cardiovascular Sys. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1417	Advanced Cardiovascular Sys. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1015	Kopykake Ent. v. Lucks	Dyk, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1015	Kopykake Ent. v. Lucks	Dyk, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1516	Fin Control Sys. v. OAM	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

00-1516	Fin Control Sys. v. OAM	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1516	Fin Control Sys. v. OAM	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1373	Hilgraeve v. Symantec	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1373	Hilgraeve v. Symantec	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1373	Hilgraeve v. Symantec	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1519	Pieczenik v. Dyax	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1578	Technology v. Ewen	Bryson, Dyk, Schall	Inventorship	Change Order of Inventors	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
00-1552	Brown v. 3M, et al.	Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1552	Brown v. 3M, et al.	Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1143	In re Inland Steel	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAA Decision - Reexamination
00-5077	Exxon Research and Engineering v. U.S.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1173	Exxon v. Phillips Petroleum	Friedman, Linn, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1487	Loral Fairchild v. Matsushita Electrical Industrial	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1508	EMI Group v. Cypress Semiconductor	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
00-1508	EMI Group v. Cypress Semiconductor	Dyk, Rader, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
00-1508	EMI Group v. Cypress Semiconductor	Dyk, Rader, Plager	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
00-1508	EMI Group v. Cypress Semiconductor	Dyk, Rader, Plager	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1561	Toro v. White Consolidated Indus.	Linn, Newman, Rade	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1223	Bio-Technology General v. Genentech	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Amendment	Defenses	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1223	Bio-Technology General v. Genentech	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	JMOL
00-1223	Bio-Technology General v. Genentech	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1223	Bio-Technology General v. Genentech	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Antitrust		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1003	Dow Chemical Co. v. Astro-Valcour	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1464	Xerox v. 3Com	Clevenger, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

00-1464	Xerox v. 3Com	Clevenger, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	DOE		Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1464	Xerox v. 3Com	Clevenger, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1194	Brasseler v. Stryker Sales	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1194	Brasseler v. Stryker Sales	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Plager	Exceptional Case			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1194	Brasseler v. Stryker Sales	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Plager	Inequitable Conduct			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1514	Asyst Technologies v. Empak	Archer, Bryson, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1514	Asyst Technologies v. Empak	Archer, Bryson, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for		Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1514	Asyst Technologies v. Empak	Archer, Bryson, Newman	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1257	Mylan Pharmaceuticals v. Thompson	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Injunction	Preliminary		Reversed	Preliminary Injunction
00-1393	Ballard Medical Products v. Allegiance Healthcare	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Claim Construction	Means for		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1393	Ballard Medical Products v. Allegiance Healthcare	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1393	Ballard Medical Products v. Allegiance Healthcare	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1005	Scaltech v. Retec/Tetra	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1053	Special Devices v. OEA	Friedman, Lourie, Michel	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1201	Special Devices v. OEA	Friedman, Lourie, Michel	Jurisdiction	Appeal		Dismissed	NA
00-1233	Superior Fireplace v. Majestic Products	Linn, Mayer	Amendment	Judgment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1233	Superior Fireplace v. Majestic Products	Linn, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
00-1233	Superior Fireplace v. Majestic Products	Linn, Mayer	Exceptional Case			Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
00-1233	Superior Fireplace v. Majestic Products	Linn, Mayer	Validity	Broadening Certificate of Correction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1233	Superior Fireplace v. Majestic Products	Linn, Mayer	Evidence			Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1295	J&M v. Harley Davidson	Archer, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1295	J&M v. Harley Davidson	Archer, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1295	J&M v. Harley Davidson	Archer, Gajarsa, Linn	PHE			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1468	APTIX Corp., et al. v. Quickturn Design Systems, I	Linn, Rader	Attorney's Fees	Litigation Misconduct		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1468	APTIX Corp., et al. v. Quickturn Design Systems, I	Linn, Rader	Exceptional Case			Affirmed	Order on Motion

00-1468	APTIX Corp., et al. v. Quickturn Design Systems, I	Linn, Rader	Unclean Hands		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1468	APTIX Corp., et al. v. Quickturn Design Systems, I	Linn, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated	Order on Motion
00-1468	APTIX Corp., et al. v. Quickturn Design Systems, I	Linn, Rader	Motion to Dismiss	Litigation Misconduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1122	Novartis Corp. v. Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc., et	Clevenger, Friedman Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1122	Novartis Corp. v. Ben Venue Laboratories, Inc., et	Clevenger, Friedman Schall	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1269	Space Systems/Loral, Inc. v. Lockheed Martin Corp.	Michel, Newman, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1395	Rexnord Corporation v. The Laitram Corporation, et	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1395	Rexnord Corporation v. The Laitram Corporation, et	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1395	Rexnord Corporation v. The Laitram Corporation, et	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1114	Dethmers Manufacturing v. Automatic Equipment Mfg.	Clevenger, Dyk, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1114	Dethmers Manufacturing v. Automatic Equipment Mfg.	Clevenger, Dyk, Schall	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1114	Dethmers Manufacturing v. Automatic Equipment Mfg.	Clevenger, Schall	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1114	Dethmers Manufacturing v. Automatic Equipment Mfg.	Clevenger, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Reissue	Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1160	Smith & Nephew v. Ethicon	Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Markman Hearing
00-1160	Smith & Nephew v. Ethicon	Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1054	Bose v. JBL	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1054	Bose v. JBL	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1101	Intermatic v. Lamson & Sessions	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
00-1101	Intermatic v. Lamson & Sessions	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
00-1101	Intermatic v. Lamson & Sessions	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

00-1101	Intermatic v. Lamson & Sessions	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1101	Intermatic v. Lamson & Sessions	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
01-1029	Interactive Pictures v. Infinite Pictures	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
01-1029	Interactive Pictures v. Infinite Pictures	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Ensnarement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
01-1029	Interactive Pictures v. Infinite Pictures	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
01-1029	Interactive Pictures v. Infinite Pictures	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	PHE		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
00-1501	LNP Engineering Plastics, Inc., et al. v. Miller W	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
00-1501	LNP Engineering Plastics, Inc., et al. v. Miller W	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
00-1501	LNP Engineering Plastics, Inc., et al. v. Miller W	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1501	LNP Engineering Plastics, Inc., et al. v. Miller W	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	JMOL
00-1501	LNP Engineering Plastics, Inc., et al. v. Miller W	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
00-1501	LNP Engineering Plastics, Inc., et al. v. Miller W	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1501	LNP Engineering Plastics, Inc., et al. v. Miller W	Bryson, Newman, Rader	New Trial		Reversed	Order on Motion
99-1598	Linear Technology v. Micrel	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
01-1001	Rheox v. Entact	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1421	Talbert Fuel Sys. Patents v. Unocal	Michel, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1421	Talbert Fuel Sys. Patents v. Unocal	Michel, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1421	Talbert Fuel Sys. Patents v. Unocal	Michel, Newman, Rader	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1421	Talbert Fuel Sys. Patents v. Unocal	Michel, Newman, Rader	Interference	Interference in Fact	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1590	Brown v. Barbacid, et al.	Michel, Rader	Interference	Priority	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1121	H.R. Technologies v. Astechnologies	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion

00-1443	EZ Dock v. Schafer Sys.	Linn, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1650	Andrx Pharmaceuticals v. Biovail v. Thompson	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	ANDA Decision		Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1158	In re Sang Su Lee	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
00-1583	Symbol Technologies v. Lemelson Medical	Clevenger, Mayer	Prosecution Laches		Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1129	In re Kenneth L. Berger	Archer, Linn, Newman	Validity	102(e) applicati on filed earlier	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
01-1129	In re Kenneth L. Berger	Archer, Linn, Newman	Interference	135(b)(1)	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
00-1440	University of West Virginia v. Vanvoorhies	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1440	University of West Virginia v. Vanvoorhies	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Discovery	Motion to Compel	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1440	University of West Virginia v. Vanvoorhies	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Fraud		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1440	University of West Virginia v. Vanvoorhies	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Disqualificati on/Reassignm ent		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1288	Hoop, et al. v. Hoop, et al.	Dyk, Mayer	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
01-1043	Epcon Gas Sys. v. Bauer Compressors	Clevenger, Linn, Mayer	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1043	Epcon Gas Sys. v. Bauer Compressors	Clevenger, Linn, Mayer	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
01-1043	Epcon Gas Sys. v. Bauer Compressors	Clevenger, Linn, Mayer	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed-Step for	Markman Hearing
01-1043	Epcon Gas Sys. v. Bauer Compressors	Clevenger, Linn, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
01-1043	Epcon Gas Sys. v. Bauer Compressors	Clevenger, Linn, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
01-1043	Epcon Gas Sys. v. Bauer Compressors	Clevenger, Linn, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1043	Epcon Gas Sys. v. Bauer Compressors	Clevenger, Linn, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1533	Vulcan Engineering v. Fata Aluminium	Lourie, Newman	Damages	Price Erosion	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
00-1533	Vulcan Engineering v. Fata Aluminium	Lourie, Newman	Damages	Price Erosion	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1533	Vulcan Engineering v. Fata Aluminium	Lourie, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1533	Vulcan Engineering v. Fata Aluminium	Lourie, Newman	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion

00-1533	Vulcan Engineering v. Fata Aluminium	Lourie, Newman	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1533	Vulcan Engineering v. Fata Aluminium	Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1533	Vulcan Engineering v. Fata Aluminium	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1533	Vulcan Engineering v. Fata Aluminium	Lourie, Newman	Willfulness		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1533	Vulcan Engineering v. Fata Aluminium	Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1533	Vulcan Engineering v. Fata Aluminium	Lourie, Newman	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1087	Hildebrand v. Steck Mfg	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1087	Hildebrand v. Steck Mfg	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Motion to Dismiss	Want of Prosecution	Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1275	Tate Access Floors v. Interface Architectural Reso	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
01-1275	Tate Access Floors v. Interface Architectural Reso	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
01-1275	Tate Access Floors v. Interface Architectural Reso	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
01-1329	Bayer v. Biovail	Friedman, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1329	Bayer v. Biovail	Friedman, Michel, Rader	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1008	Dana v. American Axle & Mfg.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1008	Dana v. American Axle & Mfg.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1008	Dana v. American Axle & Mfg.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Unspecified	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1161	Scott v. Koyama	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Interference	Priority	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1161	Scott v. Koyama	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Interference	Reduction to Practice	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1088	Antonious v. Spalding	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Sanctions	Rule 11	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
01-1193	Fiskars, Inc., et al. v. Hunt Manufacturing Co.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1157	Contessa Food Products, Inc. v. Conagra, Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1157	Contessa Food Products, Inc. v. Conagra, Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1358	Kohus v. COSCO, Inc., et al.	Mayer, Prost	Costs		Reversed	Order on Motion

00-1571	In Re Glaug	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
00-1266	Rhone-Poulenc v. DeKalb Genetics [revised]	Clevenger, Dyk, Schall	Agreement	License	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1076	Johnson & Johnston Assocs. v. R.E. Service	Archer, Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lou	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1255	Leggett & Platt v. Hickory Springs Mfg.	Bryson, Clevenger, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
01-1255	Leggett & Platt v. Hickory Springs Mfg.	Bryson, Clevenger, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1255	Leggett & Platt v. Hickory Springs Mfg.	Bryson, Clevenger, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1255	Leggett & Platt v. Hickory Springs Mfg.	Bryson, Clevenger, Rader	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1399	Griffin v. Bertina	Dyk, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1399	Griffin v. Bertina	Dyk, Lourie, Michel	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1173	Pickholtz v. Rainbow Technologies	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1173	Pickholtz v. Rainbow Technologies	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	Inherent Powers	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
01-1173	Pickholtz v. Rainbow Technologies	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
01-1173	Pickholtz v. Rainbow Technologies	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1204	Ecolab v. Paraclipse	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
01-1204	Ecolab v. Paraclipse	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Jury Instruction
01-1204	Ecolab v. Paraclipse	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1204	Ecolab v. Paraclipse	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Waiver	Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1094	In re Sastry	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
01-1169	Adang v. Fischhoff	Friedman, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1169	Adang v. Fischhoff	Friedman, Gajarsa, Linn	Interference	Enablement	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference

01-1169	Adang v. Fischhoff	Friedman, Gajarsa, Linn	Interference	Priority	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
00-1226	Techsearch v. Intel	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
00-1226	Techsearch v. Intel	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1640	In re Kollar	Clevenger, Friedman Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
01-1631	Neomagic v. Trident Microsystems	Clevenger, Friedman Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
01-1631	Neomagic v. Trident Microsystems	Clevenger, Friedman Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Hearing
01-1631	Neomagic v. Trident Microsystems	Clevenger, Friedman Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
01-1631	Neomagic v. Trident Microsystems	Clevenger, Friedman Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1631	Neomagic v. Trident Microsystems	Clevenger, Friedman Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1374	Abbott Laboratories v. Dey	Gajarsa, Newman, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1374	Abbott Laboratories v. Dey	Gajarsa, Newman, Prost	PHE		Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1217	Fantasy Sports Properties v. Sportsline.com	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Attorney's Fees	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1217	Fantasy Sports Properties v. Sportsline.com	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1217	Fantasy Sports Properties v. Sportsline.com	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1217	Fantasy Sports Properties v. Sportsline.com	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1217	Fantasy Sports Properties v. Sportsline.com	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1217	Fantasy Sports Properties v. Sportsline.com	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Contributory	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1098	Genentech v. Amgen	Michel, Rader, Schall	Discovery	Rule 56	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1098	Genentech v. Amgen	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1098	Genentech v. Amgen	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1369	Minnesota Mining & Mfg. v. Barr Laboratories	Dyk, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1369	Minnesota Mining & Mfg. v. Barr Laboratories	Dyk, Michel	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

01-1139	CCS Fitness v. Brunswick	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1139	CCS Fitness v. Brunswick	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Infringement	DOE	Reversed, Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1139	CCS Fitness v. Brunswick	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1324	Catalina Marketing Int'l. v. Coolsavings.com	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1324	Catalina Marketing Int'l. v. Coolsavings.com	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1324	Catalina Marketing Int'l. v. Coolsavings.com	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1324	Catalina Marketing Int'l. v. Coolsavings.com	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1324	Catalina Marketing Int'l. v. Coolsavings.com	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1324	Catalina Marketing Int'l. v. Coolsavings.com	Mayer, Prost, Rader	PHE		Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1144	Crown Operations Int'l. v Solutia	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1144	Crown Operations Int'l. v Solutia	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Enablement	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1144	Crown Operations Int'l. v Solutia	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1383	Cooper Cameron v. Kvaerner Oilfield Prods.	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1383	Cooper Cameron v. Kvaerner Oilfield Prods.	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1346	Husky Injection Molding Sys. v. R&D Tool & Enginee	Dyk, Lourie, Michel	Repair		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1346	Husky Injection Molding Sys. v. R&D Tool & Enginee	Dyk, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1268	Transclean v. Bridgewood Services	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1268	Transclean v. Bridgewood Services	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	JMOL
01-1268	Transclean v. Bridgewood Services	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1268	Transclean v. Bridgewood Services	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1268	Transclean v. Bridgewood Services	Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1268	Transclean v. Bridgewood Services	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1268	Transclean v. Bridgewood Services	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Jury Verdict
01-1268	Transclean v. Bridgewood Services	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1268	Transclean v. Bridgewood Services	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

01-1268	Transclean v. Bridgewood Services	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Trademark	Infringement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1012	Pixton v. B&B Plastics	Dyk, Mayer, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1311	Berman, et al. v. Housey	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Interference	135(b)(1)	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1301	Beckson Marine, et al. v. NFM	Newman, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
01-1301	Beckson Marine, et al. v. NFM	Newman, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1301	Beckson Marine, et al. v. NFM	Newman, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1301	Beckson Marine, et al. v. NFM	Newman, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1518	Frank's Casing Crew & Rental Tools v. Vincent	Archer, Clevenger, Dyk	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
00-1518	Frank's Casing Crew & Rental Tools v. Vincent	Archer, Clevenger, Dyk	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1518	Frank's Casing Crew & Rental Tools v. Vincent	Archer, Clevenger, Dyk	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
00-1518	Frank's Casing Crew & Rental Tools v. Vincent	Archer, Clevenger, Dyk	Waiver	Defense	NA	NA
01-1263	Juicy Whip v. Orange Bang	Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1263	Juicy Whip v. Orange Bang	Linn, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1439	In re Michael P. Doyle	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Validity	Reissue	Reversed, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Reissue
01-1307	In Re C. Steven McDaniel, et al.	Linn, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
01-1307	In Re C. Steven McDaniel, et al.	Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
01-1557	Vardon Golf v. Karsten Mfg.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Motion to Dismiss	Collateral Estoppel	Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1557	Vardon Golf v. Karsten Mfg.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Sanctions	Litigation Misconduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1557	Vardon Golf v. Karsten Mfg.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1372	Teleflex v. Ficosa North America	Gajarsa, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Jury Verdict
01-1372	Teleflex v. Ficosa North America	Gajarsa, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

01-1372	Teleflex v. Ficosa North America	Gajarsa, Linn, Rader	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1372	Teleflex v. Ficosa North America	Gajarsa, Linn, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1372	Teleflex v. Ficosa North America	Gajarsa, Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1372	Teleflex v. Ficosa North America	Gajarsa, Linn, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1563	Catalina Lighting v. Lamps Plus	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Reversed	JMOL
01-1563	Catalina Lighting v. Lamps Plus	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	JMOL
01-1563	Catalina Lighting v. Lamps Plus	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1563	Catalina Lighting v. Lamps Plus	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1563	Catalina Lighting v. Lamps Plus	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1568	Trintec Industries v. Top-U.S.A.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1568	Trintec Industries v. Top-U.S.A.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1455	Netscape Communications v. Konrad	Mayer, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1028	New Railhead Mfg. v. Vermeer Mfg.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1553	Riles v. Shell Exploration & Prod.	Gajarsa, Rader	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1553	Riles v. Shell Exploration & Prod.	Gajarsa, Rader	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1553	Riles v. Shell Exploration & Prod.	Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1553	Riles v. Shell Exploration & Prod.	Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
01-1238	Allen Engineering v. Bartell Indus.	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Patent Marking		Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1238	Allen Engineering v. Bartell Indus.	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
01-1238	Allen Engineering v. Bartell Indus.	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1238	Allen Engineering v. Bartell Indus.	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1238	Allen Engineering v. Bartell Indus.	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Bench Trial

01-1238	Allen Engineering v. Bartell Indus.	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1238	Allen Engineering v. Bartell Indus.	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1360	Trovan v. Sokymat	Bryson, Linn	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1360	Trovan v. Sokymat	Bryson, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
01-1360	Trovan v. Sokymat	Bryson, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1436	Honeywell v. Victor Co. of Japan	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1436	Honeywell v. Victor Co. of Japan	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1436	Honeywell v. Victor Co. of Japan	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1286	Bayer, AG et al. v. Schein Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1286	Bayer, AG et al. v. Schein Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Validity	102(d) prior patenting in a foreign country	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1445	In Re Thrift	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
01-1445	In Re Thrift	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
02-1014	Abbott Laboratories v. Torpharm	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1014	Abbott Laboratories v. Torpharm	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1014	Abbott Laboratories v. Torpharm	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1014	Abbott Laboratories v. Torpharm	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1014	Abbott Laboratories v. Torpharm	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1014	Abbott Laboratories v. Torpharm	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1077	Bayer v. Carlsbad Technology	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Validity	Unspecified	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1453	Bionx Implants v. Linvatec	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1453	Bionx Implants v. Linvatec	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1031	In Re Cruciferous Sprout Litigation	Bryson, Clevenger, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1031	In Re Cruciferous Sprout Litigation	Bryson, Clevenger, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing

02-1031	In Re Cruciferous Sprout Litigation	Bryson, Clevenger, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1390	Monsanto v. McFarling	Bryson, Newman	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1390	Monsanto v. McFarling	Bryson, Newman	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
01-5107	Masco v. U.S.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing
01-5107	Masco v. U.S.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
01-5107	Masco v. U.S.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-5107	Masco v. U.S.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-5107	Masco v. U.S.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	Summary Judgment
00-1429	Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing v. Chemque	Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
00-1429	Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing v. Chemque	Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	JMOL
00-1429	Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing v. Chemque	Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
00-1429	Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing v. Chemque	Gajarsa, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
02-1053	BBA Nonwovens Simpsonville v. Superior Nonwovens	Dyk, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1053	BBA Nonwovens Simpsonville v. Superior Nonwovens	Dyk, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1053	BBA Nonwovens Simpsonville v. Superior Nonwovens	Dyk, Linn, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
02-1053	BBA Nonwovens Simpsonville v. Superior Nonwovens	Linn, Newman	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
02-1053	BBA Nonwovens Simpsonville v. Superior Nonwovens	Dyk, Linn, Newman	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1053	BBA Nonwovens Simpsonville v. Superior Nonwovens	Dyk, Linn, Newman	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1251	Jack Guttman, Inc. v. Kopykake Enterprises	Bryson, Clevenger, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction
02-1251	Jack Guttman, Inc. v. Kopykake Enterprises	Bryson, Clevenger, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
02-1056	PIN/NIP v. Platte Chemical	Linn, Lourie, Mayer	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA

02-1056	PIN/NIP v. Platte Chemical	Linn, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1056	PIN/NIP v. Platte Chemical	Linn, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
02-1056	PIN/NIP v. Platte Chemical	Linn, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
02-1056	PIN/NIP v. Platte Chemical	Linn, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1056	PIN/NIP v. Platte Chemical	Linn, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
02-1056	PIN/NIP v. Platte Chemical	Linn, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1373	Vanguard Research, Inc. v. Peat, Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Attorney's Fees	Vexatious Litigation	Vacated	Order on Motion
01-1373	Vanguard Research, Inc. v. Peat, Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1187	Slip Track Systems, Inc v. Metal-Lite, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Interference	Conception	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1187	Slip Track Systems, Inc v. Metal-Lite, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Interference	Priority	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1187	Slip Track Systems, Inc v. Metal-Lite, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Interference	Reduction to Practice	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1187	Slip Track Systems, Inc v. Metal-Lite, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1354	IN RE Bogese	Dyk, Prost	Prosecution Laches		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
01-1544	Eagle Comtronics, Inc. v. Arrow Comm. Laboratories	Linn, Rader, Schall	Contempt	Protective Order	Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1544	Eagle Comtronics, Inc. v. Arrow Comm. Laboratories	Linn, Rader, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1544	Eagle Comtronics, Inc. v. Arrow Comm. Laboratories	Linn, Rader, Schall	PHE		Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1634	Creo Products v. Presstek	Bryson, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
01-1634	Creo Products v. Presstek	Bryson, Clevenger, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
01-1634	Creo Products v. Presstek	Bryson, Clevenger, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1634	Creo Products v. Presstek	Bryson, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1634	Creo Products v. Presstek	Bryson, Rader	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1095	Novo Nordisk A/S, ET AL v. Becton Dickinson and Co	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict

01-1095	Novo Nordisk A/S, ET AL v. Becton Dickinson and Co	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1095	Novo Nordisk A/S, ET AL v. Becton Dickinson and Co	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
01-1095	Novo Nordisk A/S, ET AL v. Becton Dickinson and Co	Bryson, Newman, Schall	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Reversed, Remanded	JMOL
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed, Remanded	JMOL
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed, Remanded	JMOL
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	JMOL
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	JMOL
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1001	Union Carbide Chemical: & Plastics Technology	Dyk, Prost	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1271	Rosco v. Mirror Lite	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Functionality	Reversed	Bench Trial
01-1271	Rosco v. Mirror Lite	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1271	Rosco v. Mirror Lite	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
01-1271	Rosco v. Mirror Lite	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1271	Rosco v. Mirror Lite	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Trademark	Infringement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1271	Rosco v. Mirror Lite	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1615	Schwing v. Putzmeister Aktiengesellschaft	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1615	Schwing v. Putzmeister Aktiengesellschaft	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1615	Schwing v. Putzmeister Aktiengesellschaft	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1615	Schwing v. Putzmeister Aktiengesellschaft	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1615	Schwing v. Putzmeister Aktiengesellschaft	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment

01-1392	Thompson v. Haynes, et al.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Inventorship		Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1392	Thompson v. Haynes, et al.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Lanham Act	Damages	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1392	Thompson v. Haynes, et al.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Unfair Competition	Damages	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1392	Thompson v. Haynes, et al.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1392	Thompson v. Haynes, et al.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Unfair Competition	Damages	Reversed	Bench Trial
01-1392	Thompson v. Haynes, et al.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1567	Madey v. Duke University	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Sovereign Immunity	1498	Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1567	Madey v. Duke University	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Experimental Use		Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1567	Madey v. Duke University	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1567	Madey v. Duke University	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Motion to Dismiss	1498	Reversed	Order on Motion
01-1567	Madey v. Duke University	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Motion to Dismiss		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1010	Electro Scientific Indus. v. Dynamic Details	Friedman, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1010	Electro Scientific Indus. v. Dynamic Details	Friedman, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1032	Texas Digital Sys. v. Telegenix	Linn, Michel, Schall	Patent Marking		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1032	Texas Digital Sys. v. Telegenix	Linn, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1032	Texas Digital Sys. v. Telegenix	Linn, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1032	Texas Digital Sys. v. Telegenix	Linn, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1032	Texas Digital Sys. v. Telegenix	Linn, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
02-1032	Texas Digital Sys. v. Telegenix	Linn, Michel, Schall	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1048	In re Huston	Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
02-1100	Schumer v. Laboratory Computer Sys.	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1100	Schumer v. Laboratory Computer Sys.	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1100	Schumer v. Laboratory Computer Sys.	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1107	All Dental Prodx v. Advantage Dental Products	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

02-1107	All Dental Prodx v. Advantage Dental Products	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1107	All Dental Prodx v. Advantage Dental Products	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1107	All Dental Prodx v. Advantage Dental Products	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1646	In re: Scott T. Jolley	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1147	Inverness Medical Switzerland v. Warner Lambert	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1147	Inverness Medical Switzerland v. Warner Lambert	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1188	Inverness Medical Switzerland v. Princeton Biomed	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1188	Inverness Medical Switzerland v. Princeton Biomed	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1626	Golan v. Pingel Enterprise, Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Prost	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1417	Verve v. Crane Cams	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1417	Verve v. Crane Cams	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1151	Middleton v. Minnesota Mining & Mfg.	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1151	Middleton v. Minnesota Mining & Mfg.	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1151	Middleton v. Minnesota Mining & Mfg.	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1302	Toxgon v. BNFL	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
02-1046	In re Robert T. Bass	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
02-1283	Paradise Creations v. UV Sales	Bryson, Dyk	Standing		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Michel, Schall	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Michel, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1191	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1129	In re Lance G. Peterson, et al.	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
02-1132	Oakley, Incorporated v. Sunglass Hut International	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
02-1132	Oakley, Incorporated v. Sunglass Hut International	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
02-1132	Oakley, Incorporated v. Sunglass Hut International	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
02-1132	Oakley, Incorporated v. Sunglass Hut International	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
02-1132	Oakley, Incorporated v. Sunglass Hut International	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
02-1132	Oakley, Incorporated v. Sunglass Hut International	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
02-1011	Plant Genetic Systems v. DeKalb Genetics	Michel, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1011	Plant Genetic Systems v. DeKalb Genetics	Michel, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1011	Plant Genetic Systems v. DeKalb Genetics	Michel, Newman, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1449	Rambus v. Infineon Technologies	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
01-1449	Rambus v. Infineon Technologies	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
01-1449	Rambus v. Infineon Technologies	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
01-1449	Rambus v. Infineon Technologies	Bryson, Rader	Fraud		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

01-1449	Rambus v. Infineon Technologies	Bryson, Rader	Fraud		Affirmed	JMOL
02-1073	Warner-Lambert v. Apotex	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1073	Warner-Lambert v. Apotex	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1121	Micro Chemical v. Lextron	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Disqualification/Reassignment		NA	NA
02-1155	Micro Chemical, Incorporated v. Lextron, Incorporated	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
02-1155	Micro Chemical, Incorporated v. Lextron, Incorporated	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1155	Micro Chemical, Incorporated v. Lextron, Incorporated	Lourie, Michel, Plager	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1108	Bowers v. Baystate Technologies	Clevenger, Rader	Preemption		Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1108	Bowers v. Baystate Technologies	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
01-1108	Bowers v. Baystate Technologies	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Jury Verdict
01-1108	Bowers v. Baystate Technologies	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
01-1108	Bowers v. Baystate Technologies	Clevenger, Rader	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
01-1621	Singh v. Brake	Friedman, Lourie, Prost	Interference	Enablement	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1621	Singh v. Brake	Friedman, Lourie, Prost	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1621	Singh v. Brake	Friedman, Lourie, Prost	Interference	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
02-1121	Micro Chemical v. Lextron	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Damages	Lost Profits	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1058	Biogen v. Berlex Laboratories	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1058	Biogen v. Berlex Laboratories	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1058	Biogen v. Berlex Laboratories	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1164	Prima Tek v. Polypap	Gajarsa, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1164	Prima Tek v. Polypap	Gajarsa, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1164	Prima Tek v. Polypap	Gajarsa, Plager, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1137	Altiris v. Symantec	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing

02-1137	Altiris v. Symantec	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1137	Altiris v. Symantec	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1137	Altiris v. Symantec	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Stipulated Judgment
02-1314	Phonometrics v. Westin Hotel	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Sanctions	Rule 38	NA	NA
02-1314	Phonometrics v. Westin Hotel	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1314	Phonometrics v. Westin Hotel	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Disqualification/Reassignment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1309	Springs Window Fashions, et al. v. Novo Industries	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1309	Springs Window Fashions, et al. v. Novo Industries	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1309	Springs Window Fashions, et al. v. Novo Industries	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Tortious Inteference		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1212	Intel v. VIA Technologies	Clevenger, Mayer, Michel	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1212	Intel v. VIA Technologies	Clevenger, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1120	In re: Richard A. Berg, et al.	Bryson, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
02-1026	Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica v. Schering-Plough	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1026	Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica v. Schering-Plough	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1026	Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica v. Schering-Plough	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1026	Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica v. Schering-Plough	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1067	Pandroll USA v. Airboss Rwy. Prods.	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
02-1067	Pandroll USA v. Airboss Rwy. Prods.	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1067	Pandroll USA v. Airboss Rwy. Prods.	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1067	Pandroll USA v. Airboss Rwy. Prods.	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1067	Pandroll USA v. Airboss Rwy. Prods.	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Waiver	Defense	Reversed	Order on Motion

02-1067	Pandroll USA v. Airboss Rwy. Prods.	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1018	The Regents of the University of New Mexicc v. Kni	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1018	The Regents of the University of New Mexicc v. Kni	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Sovereign Immunity	State	Reversed	Order on Motion
02-1018	The Regents of the University of New Mexicc v. Kni	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Ownership	Sole	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1018	The Regents of the University of New Mexicc v. Kni	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1018	The Regents of the University of New Mexicc v. Kni	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1218	Duro-Last, Inc. v. Custom Seal, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1218	Duro-Last, Inc. v. Custom Seal, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
01-1371	Lacks Indus. v. McKechnie Vehicle Components, USA	Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
01-1371	Lacks Indus. v. McKechnie Vehicle Components, USA	Clevenger, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1371	Lacks Indus. v. McKechnie Vehicle Components, USA	Clevenger, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1371	Lacks Indus. v. McKechnie Vehicle Components, USA	Clevenger, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
01-1371	Lacks Indus. v. McKechnie Vehicle Components, USA	Clevenger, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1269	Mazzari v. Rogan	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1269	Mazzari v. Rogan	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1387	Abbott Laboratories v. Novopharm	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1387	Abbott Laboratories v. Novopharm	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1387	Abbott Laboratories v. Novopharm	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1310	Lockheed Martin v. Space Systems/Loral	Friedman, Gajarsa, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Modified	Markman Hearing
00-1310	Lockheed Martin v. Space Systems/Loral	Friedman, Gajarsa, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
01-1633	Eaton v. Rockwell Int'l.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing

01-1633	Eaton v. Rockwell Int'l.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1633	Eaton v. Rockwell Int'l.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Inequitable Conduct			Affirmed	Bench Trial
01-1633	Eaton v. Rockwell Int'l.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Derivation		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1633	Eaton v. Rockwell Int'l.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	New Trial			Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1449	Allergan, Inc., et al. v. Alcon Laboratories, Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Inducing		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1372	Hoffman-La Roche v. Promega	Archer, Bryson, Newman	Inequitable Conduct			Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
02-1024	Northrup Grumman v. Intel	Bryson, Friedman, Mayer	Claim Construction	Means for		Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1024	Northrup Grumman v. Intel	Bryson, Friedman, Mayer	Claim Construction	General		Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1024	Northrup Grumman v. Intel	Bryson, Friedman, Mayer	Infringement	Literal		Vacated, Remanded	Stipulated Judgment
02-1030	Riverwood Int'l. v. R.A. Jones & Co.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1030	Riverwood Int'l. v. R.A. Jones & Co.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1030	Riverwood Int'l. v. R.A. Jones & Co.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Validity	Anticipation		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1030	Riverwood Int'l. v. R.A. Jones & Co.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Waiver	Defense		NA	NA
01-1063	Moba v. Diamond Automation	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General		Reversed	Markman Hearing
01-1063	Moba v. Diamond Automation	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
01-1063	Moba v. Diamond Automation	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Inducing		Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1063	Moba v. Diamond Automation	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1063	Moba v. Diamond Automation	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1063	Moba v. Diamond Automation	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1063	Moba v. Diamond Automation	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Validity	Enablement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
01-1063	Moba v. Diamond Automation	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Validity	Written Description		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

02-1195	Bio-Technology General v. Duramed Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1195	Bio-Technology General v. Duramed Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1195	Bio-Technology General v. Duramed Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1303	Apex v. Raritan Computer	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Bench Trial
02-1303	Apex v. Raritan Computer	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
02-1303	Apex v. Raritan Computer	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
02-1280	Bristol-Meyers Squibb Company v. Rhone-Poulenc Ror	Clevenger, Prost, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1329	Silent Drive v. Strong Indus.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion
02-1329	Silent Drive v. Strong Indus.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Jurisdiction	Supplemental	Reversed	Order on Motion
02-1508	RF Delaware v. Pacific Keystone Technologies	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1508	RF Delaware v. Pacific Keystone Technologies	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1508	RF Delaware v. Pacific Keystone Technologies	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1508	RF Delaware v. Pacific Keystone Technologies	Michel, Rader, Schall	Estoppel	Judicial	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1508	RF Delaware v. Pacific Keystone Technologies	Michel, Rader, Schall	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1207	Invitrogen Corporation v. Biocrest Manufacturing,	Bryson, Dy, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1207	Invitrogen Corporation v. Biocrest Manufacturing,	Bryson, Dy, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1207	Invitrogen Corporation v. Biocrest Manufacturing,	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1232	StorageTek v. Cisco Systems	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1232	StorageTek v. Cisco Systems	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1232	StorageTek v. Cisco Systems	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1232	StorageTek v. Cisco Systems	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1232	StorageTek v. Cisco Systems	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1232	StorageTek v. Cisco Systems	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1232	StorageTek v. Cisco Systems	Gajarsa, Plager, Schall	Transfer		Affirmed	Order on Motion

02-1487	Anton/Bauer v. PAG	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	Exhaustion/First Sale		Reversed	Preliminary Injunction
02-1487	Anton/Bauer v. PAG	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction
02-1497	Dayco Products, Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1497	Dayco Products, Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1497	Dayco Products, Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
00-1012	Pioneer Magnetics v. Micro Linear	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Stipulated Judgment
00-1012	Pioneer Magnetics v. Micro Linear	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	PHE		Affirmed	Stipulated Judgment
02-1184	Waner v. Ford Motor Co.	Archer, Michel	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
02-1184	Waner v. Ford Motor Co.	Archer, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1184	Waner v. Ford Motor Co.	Archer, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1184	Waner v. Ford Motor Co.	Archer, Michel	Unjust Enrichment		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1052	Integra Lifesciences v. Merck	Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1052	Integra Lifesciences v. Merck	Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1052	Integra Lifesciences v. Merck	Prost, Rader	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
02-1052	Integra Lifesciences v. Merck	Prost, Rader	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1177	Tehrani v. Hamilton Medical, Inc. et al.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1109	Board of Education v. American Bioscience	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Reversed	Bench Trial
02-1109	Board of Education v. American Bioscience	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed, Vacated	Bench Trial
02-1145	Brookhill-Wilk 1 v. Intuitive Surgical [order 8 op	Bryson, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1145	Brookhill-Wilk 1 v. Intuitive Surgical [order 8 op	Bryson, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1400	Abbott Labs. v. Baxter Pharm. Prods.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1400	Abbott Labs. v. Baxter Pharm. Prods.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1610	Eli Lilly v. Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Washin	Gajarsa, Michel	Interference	Interference in Fact	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1546	Omega Engineering v. Raytech	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment

01-1546	Omega Engineering v. Raytech	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1546	Omega Engineering v. Raytech	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1546	Omega Engineering v. Raytech	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1203	Abbott Laboratories v. Syntron Bioresearch, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1203	Abbott Laboratories v. Syntron Bioresearch, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1203	Abbott Laboratories v. Syntron Bioresearch, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1203	Abbott Laboratories v. Syntron Bioresearch, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1203	Abbott Laboratories v. Syntron Bioresearch, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1203	Abbott Laboratories v. Syntron Bioresearch, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1203	Abbott Laboratories v. Syntron Bioresearch, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1012	Waymark Corporation, et al. v. Porta Systems Corpo	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1012	Waymark Corporation, et al. v. Porta Systems Corpo	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	1927	Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1012	Waymark Corporation, et al. v. Porta Systems Corpo	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1012	Waymark Corporation, et al. v. Porta Systems Corpo	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Sanctions	1927	Reversed	Order on Motion
02-1555	Media Technologies Licensing, LLC, v. The Upper De	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Res Judicata		Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1524	Sunrace Roots Enterprise Co., Ltd., et al. v. Sram	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1524	Sunrace Roots Enterprise Co., Ltd., et al. v. Sram	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Stipulated Judgment
02-1248	Intellectual Property Development v. UA-Columbia C	Dyk, Gajarsa, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1248	Intellectual Property Development v. UA-Columbia C	Dyk, Gajarsa, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

02-1248	Intellectual Property Development v. UA-Columbia C	Dyk, Gajarsa, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1590	Torpharm v. Ranbaxy Pharmaceuticals	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1622	Ultra-Precision Mfg. v. Ford Motor Co.	Gajarsa, Newman, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
02-1560	Minton v. Nat'l Ass'n. of Securities Dealers	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1560	Minton v. Nat'l Ass'n. of Securities Dealers	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1516	McNeil-PPC, Inc. v. L. Perrigo Company, et al.	Archer, Lourie, Michel	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
02-1516	McNeil-PPC, Inc. v. L. Perrigo Company, et al.	Archer, Lourie, Michel	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Bench Trial
02-1516	McNeil-PPC, Inc. v. L. Perrigo Company, et al.	Archer, Lourie, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1540	Schering Corporation v. Geneva Pharmaceuticals, In	Bryson, Plager, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1201	Resonate v. Alteon Websystems	Bryson, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1201	Resonate v. Alteon Websystems	Bryson, Plager, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Stipulation
02-1496	BJ Svcs. v. Haliburton Energy Svcs.	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1496	BJ Svcs. v. Haliburton Energy Svcs.	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1496	BJ Svcs. v. Haliburton Energy Svcs.	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1496	BJ Svcs. v. Haliburton Energy Svcs.	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Validity	102(f) inventorship	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1496	BJ Svcs. v. Haliburton Energy Svcs.	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1372	Hewlett-Packard v. Mustek Systems, et al.	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	JMOL
02-1372	Hewlett-Packard v. Mustek Systems, et al.	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1372	Hewlett-Packard v. Mustek Systems, et al.	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
02-1372	Hewlett-Packard v. Mustek Systems, et al.	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
02-1372	Hewlett-Packard v. Mustek Systems, et al.	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	New Trial		NA	NA
03-1067	Forest Laboratories, Inc. et al. v. Tokyo Tanabe C	Dyk, Lourie, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1067	Forest Laboratories, Inc. et al. v. Tokyo Tanabe C	Dyk, Lourie, Rader	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Order on Motion

02-1457	Cordis v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1457	Cordis v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	JMOL
02-1457	Cordis v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1457	Cordis v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	JMOL
02-1457	Cordis v. Medtronic	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
02-1592	Anchor Wall Sys. v. Rockwood Retaining Walls	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1592	Anchor Wall Sys. v. Rockwood Retaining Walls	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1592	Anchor Wall Sys. v. Rockwood Retaining Walls	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1592	Anchor Wall Sys. v. Rockwood Retaining Walls	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1536	Electronics for Imaging v Coyle	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion
02-1593	E-PASS Technologies, Inc. v. 3COM Corporation (als	Clevenger, Dyk, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1593	E-PASS Technologies, Inc. v. 3COM Corporation (als	Clevenger, Dyk, Linn	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1593	E-PASS Technologies, Inc. v. 3COM Corporation (als	Clevenger, Dyk, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1598	Bayer v. Housey Pharmaceuticals	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Infringement	271(g)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1598	Bayer v. Housey Pharmaceuticals	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1393	Honeywell Int'l v. Int'l Trade Comm., et al.	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
02-1533	Univ. of W. Va. Bd. of Trustees v. VanVoorhies	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Rule 60(b)		Reversed	Order on Motion
02-1587	Univ. of Colo. Found. v. Am. Cyanamid	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1587	Univ. of Colo. Found. v. Am. Cyanamid	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Preemption		Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1587	Univ. of Colo. Found. v. Am. Cyanamid	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Unjust Enrichment	Damages	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1587	Univ. of Colo. Found. v. Am. Cyanamid	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Unjust Enrichment		Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1117	Dow Chemical v. Mee Indus.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
03-1117	Dow Chemical v. Mee Indus.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial

03-1117	Dow Chemical v. Mee Indus.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Infringement	Contributory	Vacated	Bench Trial
03-1117	Dow Chemical v. Mee Indus.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated	Bench Trial
03-1117	Dow Chemical v. Mee Indus.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1117	Dow Chemical v. Mee Indus.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1117	Dow Chemical v. Mee Indus.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
02-1531	Dana v. E.S. Originals, et al.	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Collateral Estoppel		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1069	Jansen v. Rexall Sundown	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1069	Jansen v. Rexall Sundown	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1069	Jansen v. Rexall Sundown	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1222	Alloc, et al. v. Int'l Trade Comm., et al.	Michel, Rader	Patent Misuse		Affirmed	USITC Decision
02-1222	Alloc, et al. v. Int'l Trade Comm., et al.	Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
02-1222	Alloc, et al. v. Int'l Trade Comm., et al.	Michel, Rader	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	USITC Decision
02-1222	Alloc, et al. v. Int'l Trade Comm., et al.	Michel, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	USITC Decision
02-1222	Alloc, et al. v. Int'l Trade Comm., et al.	Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
03-1007	Akami Technologies v. Cable & Wireless Internet Sv	Dyk, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1007	Akami Technologies v. Cable & Wireless Internet Sv	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
03-1007	Akami Technologies v. Cable & Wireless Internet Sv	Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1007	Akami Technologies v. Cable & Wireless Internet Sv	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1348	Glaxo Wellcome v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
02-1348	Glaxo Wellcome v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Vacated	Summary Judgment
02-1348	Glaxo Wellcome v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
03-1032	Medical Instrumentation and Diagnostics v. Elekta	Clevenger, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1032	Medical Instrumentation and Diagnostics v. Elekta	Clevenger, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

03-1032	Medical Instrumentation and Diagnostics v. Elekta	Clevenger, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1032	Medical Instrumentation and Diagnostics v. Elekta	Clevenger, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1074	AK Steel v. Sollac, et al.	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1074	AK Steel v. Sollac, et al.	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1074	AK Steel v. Sollac, et al.	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1517	Arlington Indus. v. Bridgeport Fittings	Archer, Linn	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1517	Arlington Indus. v. Bridgeport Fittings	Archer, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1517	Arlington Indus. v. Bridgeport Fittings	Archer, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
03-1151	Taskett v. Dentlinger	Dyk, Michel, Prost	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
95-1066	Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, Mic	Infringement	DOE	Vacated	Summary Judgment
95-1066	Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, Mic	Prosecution History Estoppel		Vacated	Summary Judgment
00-1218	Rhône-Poulenc Agro v. DeKalb Genetics	Clevenger, Dyk, Schall	Fraud	Damages	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
02-1325	Cygnus Telecomm. Tech v. TotalAxxess.com	Lourie, Newman	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
00-1467	Elan Pharmaceuticals v. Mayo Foundation	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1567	ACCO Brands, Inc. v. Micro Security Devices, Inc.,	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1567	ACCO Brands, Inc. v. Micro Security Devices, Inc.,	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1567	ACCO Brands, Inc. v. Micro Security Devices, Inc.,	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1588	State Contracting & Engineering Corp. v. Condotte	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
02-1588	State Contracting & Engineering Corp. v. Condotte	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1588	State Contracting & Engineering Corp. v. Condotte	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Laches		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1588	State Contracting & Engineering Corp. v. Condotte	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Willfulness		Affirmed	Order on Motion

02-1588	State Contracting & Engineering Corp. v. Condotte	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	JMOL
02-1588	State Contracting & Engineering Corp. v. Condotte	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed, Remanded	JMOL
02-1588	State Contracting & Engineering Corp. v. Condotte	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1588	State Contracting & Engineering Corp. v. Condotte	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Jury Instructions		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1491	ACTV, Inc, et al. v. The Walt Disney Company, et a	Friedman, Linn, Plager	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1312	Genzyme Corp., et al. v. Transkaryotic Therapies,	Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1312	Genzyme Corp., et al. v. Transkaryotic Therapies,	Linn, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Hearing
02-1312	Genzyme Corp., et al. v. Transkaryotic Therapies,	Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1163	Resqnet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.	Michel, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1163	Resqnet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.	Michel, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1163	Resqnet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.	Michel, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1013	Deering Precision Instruments, L.L.C. v. Vector Di	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1013	Deering Precision Instruments, L.L.C. v. Vector Di	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Summary Judgment
02-1013	Deering Precision Instruments, L.L.C. v. Vector Di	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1013	Deering Precision Instruments, L.L.C. v. Vector Di	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1176	Polymer Industrial Products Company, et al. v. Bri	Michel, Newman, Rader	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1037	Chen, et al. v. Bouchard, et al.	Lourie, Schall	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
03-1037	Chen, et al. v. Bouchard, et al.	Lourie, Schall	Evidence		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
99-1421	Talbert Fuel Systems Patents Co., v. Unocal Corpor	Michel, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
99-1421	Talbert Fuel Systems Patents Co., v. Unocal Corpor	Michel, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

99-1421	Talbert Fuel Systems Patents Co., v. Unocal Corpor	Michel, Newman, Rader	Interference	Interference in Fact	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1168	Merck & Co., Inc., v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, In	Mayer, Newman, Prost	Patent Term Extension	156	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1168	Merck & Co., Inc., v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, In	Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1168	Merck & Co., Inc., v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, In	Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1168	Merck & Co., Inc., v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, In	Mayer, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1366	Velander et al. v. Garner, et al.	Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
01-1452	CFMT, Inc., et al. v. Yieldup International Corpor	Friedman, Linn, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Summary Judgment
01-1452	CFMT, Inc., et al. v. Yieldup International Corpor	Friedman, Linn, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1251	Combined Systems v. Defense Technology of America,	Bryson, Mayer, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1251	Combined Systems v. Defense Technology of America,	Bryson, Mayer, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1439	Geneva Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Glaxosmith	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1501	Phonometrics, Inc., et al. v. Economy Inns of Amei	Michel, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1429	Ranbaxy Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Apotex, I	Bryson, Clevenger, Mayer	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
02-1501	Phonometrics, Inc., v. Westin Hotel Co.	Michel, Rader	Attorney's Fees	1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1203	3M Innovative Properties Co., et al. v. Avery Denn	Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1203	3M Innovative Properties Co., et al. v. Avery Denn	Clevenger, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1380	Ferguson Beauregard/Logic Controls, et al. v. Mega	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
02-1380	Ferguson Beauregard/Logic Controls, et al. v. Mega	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1380	Ferguson Beauregard/Logic Controls, et al. v. Mega	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing

02-1380	Ferguson Beauregard/Logic Controls, et al. v. Mega	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
02-1380	Ferguson Beauregard/Logic Controls, et al. v. Mega	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1380	Ferguson Beauregard/Logic Controls, et al. v. Mega	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1380	Ferguson Beauregard/Logic Controls, et al. v. Mega	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Reversed	Order on Motion
02-1380	Ferguson Beauregard/Logic Controls, et al. v. Mega	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1380	Ferguson Beauregard/Logic Controls, et al. v. Mega	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1081	Utah Medical Products, Inc., v. Graphic Controls C	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
03-1081	Utah Medical Products, Inc., v. Graphic Controls C	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1081	Utah Medical Products, Inc., v. Graphic Controls C	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
03-1081	Utah Medical Products, Inc., v. Graphic Controls C	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1081	Utah Medical Products, Inc., v. Graphic Controls C	Mayer, Michel, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1230	Novo Industries, L.P., v. Micro Molds Corp., et al	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1230	Novo Industries, L.P., v. Micro Molds Corp., et al	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Indefiniteness	NA	NA
01-1320	Ulead Systems, Inc. (a California Corporation), et	Dyk, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1320	Ulead Systems, Inc. (a California Corporation), et	Dyk, Prost	Validity	Maintenance Fee Lapse	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
01-1320	Ulead Systems, Inc. (a California Corporation), et	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1571	Ericsson, Inc., et al. v. Harris Corp., et al.	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1571	Ericsson, Inc., et al. v. Harris Corp., et al.	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Damages	Price Erosion	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1571	Ericsson, Inc., et al. v. Harris Corp., et al.	Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	JMOL
02-1551	Kumar v. Ovonic Battery Co., Inc., et al.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Hearing

02-1551	Kumar v. Ovonic Battery Co., Inc., et al.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
02-1461	Medichem v. Rolabo	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
02-1461	Medichem v. Rolabo	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Interference	Interference in Fact	Reversed	Bench Trial
02-1461	Medichem v. Rolabo	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Interference	Priority	Vacated	Bench Trial
03-1215	In Re John P. Curtis, et al.	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
03-1121	In Re Lavaughn F. Watts, Jr.	Dyk, Michel, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
02-1187	Noelle v. Lederman, et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
02-1187	Noelle v. Lederman, et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Interference	Interference in Fact	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
02-1608	Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al.	Prost, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1608	Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al.	Prost, Schall	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1608	Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al.	Prost, Schall	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1608	Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al.	Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1608	Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al.	Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1608	Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al.	Prost, Schall	Willfulness		Affirmed	Bench Trial
02-1608	Golight, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., et al.	Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1089	PSC Computer v. Foxconn International	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1160	Toro Company v. Deere and Company	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1160	Toro Company v. Deere and Company	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1160	Toro Company v. Deere and Company	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1160	Toro Company v. Deere and Company	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1160	Toro Company v. Deere and Company	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
03-1146	Liquid Dynamics v. Vaughn	Dyk, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1146	Liquid Dynamics v. Vaughn	Dyk, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment

02-1581	Smithkline Beecham v. Excel Pharmaceuticals	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1013	Glaxo Wellcome, Inc. v. Impax Laboratories, Inc.	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1013	Glaxo Wellcome, Inc. v. Impax Laboratories, Inc.	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1256	National Steel Car v. Canadian Pacific Railway	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction
03-1333	Ruiz, et al. v. A.B. Chance Company	Michel, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1138	Microsoft Corp. v. Multi-Tech Systems, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1138	Microsoft Corp. v. Multi-Tech Systems, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Stipulation
03-1167	Fieldturf, Inc., et al. v. Southwest Recreational	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Standing		Dismissed	NA
03-1364	Nomos Corp. v. Brainlab USA, Inc., et al.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1364	Nomos Corp. v. Brainlab USA, Inc., et al.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1364	Nomos Corp. v. Brainlab USA, Inc., et al.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1082	Liebel-Flarsheim Company, et al. v. Medrad, Inc.	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1082	Liebel-Flarsheim Company, et al. v. Medrad, Inc.	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1561	Superguide Corporation v. Directv Enterprises, Inc	Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1561	Superguide Corporation v. Directv Enterprises, Inc	Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1561	Superguide Corporation v. Directv Enterprises, Inc	Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Markman Hearing
02-1561	Superguide Corporation v. Directv Enterprises, Inc	Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1304	University of Rochester v. G.D. Searle & Co., Inc.,	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1279	Chef America v. Lamb-Weston	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1279	Chef America v. Lamb-Weston	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1279	Chef America v. Lamb-Weston	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1227	Pfizer, Inc., v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd.et	Lourie, Newman	Patent Term Extension	156	Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1181	Bancorp Services, L.L.C., v. Hartford Life Insuran	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment

03-1181	Bancorp Services, L.L.C., v. Hartford Life Insuran	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1617	Gen-Probe Inc., v. Vysis, Inc.	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Vacated	Order on Motion
03-1184	Q-Pharma, Inc. v. The Andrew Jergens Company	Archer, Clevenger, Lourie	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1184	Q-Pharma, Inc. v. The Andrew Jergens Company	Archer, Clevenger, Lourie	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1184	Q-Pharma, Inc. v. The Andrew Jergens Company	Archer, Clevenger, Lourie	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1184	Q-Pharma, Inc. v. The Andrew Jergens Company	Archer, Clevenger, Lourie	Discovery	56f	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1184	Q-Pharma, Inc. v. The Andrew Jergens Company	Archer, Clevenger, Lourie	Antitrust		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1077	Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, et al. v. Pharmachem	Bryson, Michel	Validity	Double Patenting	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1324	International Rectifier Corp. v. Samsung Electroni	Linn, Newman, Prost	Contempt	Injunction	Reversed	Contempt Hearing
02-1324	International Rectifier Corp. v. Ixys Corp.	Linn, Newman, Prost	Estoppel	Equitable	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1324	International Rectifier Corp. v. Ixys Corp.	Linn, Newman, Prost	Laches		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1324	International Rectifier Corp. v. Ixys Corp.	Linn, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1324	International Rectifier Corp. v. Ixys Corp.	Linn, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1324	International Rectifier Corp. v. Ixys Corp.	Linn, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1324	International Rectifier Corp. v. Ixys Corp.	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Derivation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1324	International Rectifier Corp. v. Ixys Corp.	Linn, Newman, Prost	Disqualificati n/Reassignm ent		Denied	None
03-1278	In re Jeffrey M. Sullivan et al.	Archer, Dyk, Linn	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
03-1179	Globetrotter Software, Inc., et al. v. Elan Comput	Archer, Dyk, Linn	Preemption		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1179	Globetrotter Software, Inc., et al. v. Elan Comput	Archer, Dyk, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
03-1179	Globetrotter Software, Inc., et al. v. Elan Comput	Archer, Dyk, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment

03-1339	Arnold Partnership v. Jor Dudas	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Patent Term Extension	156	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1550	Kinik Company v. International Trade Commission, e	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	ITC Decision
02-1550	Kinik Company v. International Trade Commission, e	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	USITC Decision
02-1550	Kinik Company v. International Trade Commission, e	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1214	Summit Technology, Inc., v. Nidek Co., LTD., et al	Bryson, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
03-1158	Chiron Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Rader	Jury Instruction	Presumption of Validity	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1158	Chiron Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Rader	Jury Instruction	Written Description	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1158	Chiron Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Rader	Jury Instruction	Enablement	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1158	Chiron Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Rader	Jury Instruction	Validity of Dependent Claims	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1158	Chiron Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
03-1158	Chiron Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
03-1158	Chiron Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1158	Chiron Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.	Archer, Rader	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1201	Monsanto Corp v. Bayer Bioscience	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1201	Monsanto Corp v. Bayer Bioscience	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1201	Monsanto Corp v. Bayer Bioscience	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1201	Monsanto Corp v. Bayer Bioscience	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1244	Unova, Inc. v. Acer Inc., et al.	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Settlement Agreement		Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1305	Dynacore Holdings Corp., et al. v. U.S. Philips Co	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1305	Dynacore Holdings Corp., et al. v. U.S. Philips Co	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1305	Dynacore Holdings Corp., et al. v. U.S. Philips Co	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

03-1295	Dr. Harry Gaus v. Conair Corporation	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1211	Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp. , et al. v. Eon Lab	Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1211	Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp. , et al. v. Eon Lab	Dyk, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1211	Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp. , et al. v. Eon Lab	Dyk, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1211	Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp. , et al. v. Eon Lab	Dyk, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1490	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Friedman, Newman	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Reversed, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1490	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Friedman, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1490	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Friedman, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1490	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Friedman, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
02-1490	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Friedman, Newman	Willfulness		Affirmed	JMOL
02-1490	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Friedman, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1490	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Friedman, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1490	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Friedman, Newman	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1177	Monsanto Company v. McFarling	Clevenger, Lourie, Plager	Patent Misuse		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1177	Monsanto Company v. McFarling	Clevenger, Lourie, Plager	Preemption		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1177	Monsanto Company v. McFarling	Clevenger, Lourie, Plager	Antitrust		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1177	Monsanto Company v. McFarling	Clevenger, Lourie, Plager	Breach of Contract	Damages	Vacated	Summary Judgment/Stipulation
03-1483	Inland Steel Company v. LTV Steel Company, et al.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Michel	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1356	Sierra Applied Sciences, Inc. v. Advanced Energy	Dyk, Gajarsa, Michel	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Bench Trial
03-1356	Sierra Applied Sciences, Inc. v. Advanced Energy	Dyk, Gajarsa, Michel	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
03-1356	Sierra Applied Sciences, Inc. v. Advanced Energy	Dyk, Gajarsa, Michel	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1298	Golden Blount, Inc. v. Robert H. Peterson, Co.	Linn, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial

03-1298	Golden Blount, Inc. v. Robert H. Peterson, Co.	Linn, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
03-1298	Golden Blount, Inc. v. Robert H. Peterson, Co.	Linn, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1298	Golden Blount, Inc. v. Robert H. Peterson, Co.	Linn, Mayer	Waiver	Defense	Waived	NA
03-1465	Scanner Technologies v. Icos Vision Systems	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1465	Scanner Technologies v. Icos Vision Systems	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1465	Scanner Technologies v. Icos Vision Systems	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1349	Vanderlande Industries Nederland BV, et al. v. Int	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	USITC Decision
03-1349	Vanderlande Industries Nederland BV, et al. v. Int	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Decision
03-1479	Stevens v. Tamai	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Interference	Priority	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
03-1354	Elan Corporation, PLC v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, In	Dyk, Lourie, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1193	Housey Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Astrazeneca UK LTD	Clevenger, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1193	Housey Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Astrazeneca UK LTD	Clevenger, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Judgment on Stipulation
03-1394	Intirtool, LTD. v. Texar Corp. (doing business as	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Laches		Reversed	Bench Trial
03-1394	Intirtool, LTD. v. Texar Corp. (doing business as	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
03-1394	Intirtool, LTD. v. Texar Corp. (doing business as	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Bench Trial
03-1478	Microchip Technology Inc. v. U.S. Philips Corp., e	Dyk, Lourie, Michel	Arbitration Referral		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1524	In Re John Ngai and David Lin	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
03-1531	In Re American Academy of Science Tech Center	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
03-1531	In Re American Academy of Science Tech Center	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination

03-1300	Knoll Pharmaceutical Company, Inc., et al. v. Teva	Archer, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1297	Jacobs v. Nintendo of America, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Michel	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1005	Honeywell International, Inc., et al. v. Hamilton	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, May	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1005	Honeywell International, Inc., et al. v. Hamilton	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, May	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
02-1005	Honeywell International, Inc., et al. v. Hamilton	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, May	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1417	W.E. Hall Company, Inc. v. Atlanta Corrugating, LI	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1417	W.E. Hall Company, Inc. v. Atlanta Corrugating, LI	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1120	Metabolite Laboraories, Inc., et al. v. Laboratory	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1120	Metabolite Laboraories, Inc., et al. v. Laboratory	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1120	Metabolite Laboraories, Inc., et al. v. Laboratory	Friedman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1120	Metabolite Laboraories, Inc., et al. v. Laboratory	Friedman, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1120	Metabolite Laboraories, Inc., et al. v. Laboratory	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1120	Metabolite Laboraories, Inc., et al. v. Laboratory	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1120	Metabolite Laboraories, Inc., et al. v. Laboratory	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1120	Metabolite Laboraories, Inc., et al. v. Laboratory	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1120	Metabolite Laboraories, Inc., et al. v. Laboratory	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1120	Metabolite Laboraories, Inc., et al. v. Laboratory	Friedman, Rader, Schall	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1409	Goldenberg, et al. v. Cytogen, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1409	Goldenberg, et al. v. Cytogen, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1409	Goldenberg, et al. v. Cytogen, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Summary Judgment
02-1630	TI Group Automotive Systems (North America) v. VDO	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing

02-1630	TI Group Automotive Systems (North America) v. VDO	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
02-1630	TI Group Automotive Systems (North America) v. VDO	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
02-1630	TI Group Automotive Systems (North America) v. VDO	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
02-1630	TI Group Automotive Systems (North America) v. VDO	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMOL
03-1380	Competitive Technologies, Inc., et al. v. Fujitsu	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
03-1197	Typyright Keyboard Corporation v. Microsoft Corpor	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
03-1197	Typyright Keyboard Corporation v. Microsoft Corpor	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1606	Searfoss, et al. v. Pioneer Consolidated Corporati	Michel, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated	Markman Hearing
03-1606	Searfoss, et al. v. Pioneer Consolidated Corporati	Michel, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1606	Searfoss, et al. v. Pioneer Consolidated Corporati	Michel, Newman, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1367	Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, et al. v. Ab	Gajarsa, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1367	Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, et al. v. Ab	Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
03-1367	Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, et al. v. Ab	Gajarsa, Prost	Collateral Estoppel		NA	None
04-1054	Pellegrini v. Analog Devices, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	271(f)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1472	Unitherm Food Systems, Inc., et al. v. Swift-Eckri	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1472	Unitherm Food Systems, Inc., et al. v. Swift-Eckri	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1472	Unitherm Food Systems, Inc., et al. v. Swift-Eckri	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Antitrust		Reversed, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMOL
03-1472	Unitherm Food Systems, Inc., et al. v. Swift-Eckri	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Tortious Inteferece		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
03-1078	AFG Industries, Inc., et al. v. Cardinal IG Compan	Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment

03-1336	Eli Lilly and Company v. Aradigm Corporation	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1336	Eli Lilly and Company v. Aradigm Corporation	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie	Jury Instruction	Inventorship	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1336	Eli Lilly and Company v. Aradigm Corporation	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1336	Eli Lilly and Company v. Aradigm Corporation	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Lourie	Unjust Enrichment		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1575	Glaxo Group Limited, et al. v. Apotex, Inc.	Gajarsa, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1575	Glaxo Group Limited, et al. v. Apotex, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Schall	Willfulness		Reversed	Bench Trial
03-1575	Glaxo Group Limited, et al. v. Apotex, Inc.	Gajarsa, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1575	Glaxo Group Limited, et al. v. Apotex, Inc.	Gajarsa, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1575	Glaxo Group Limited, et al. v. Apotex, Inc.	Gajarsa, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1477	High Concrete Structures, Inc. v. New Enterprise S	Clevenger, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1617	Mars, Inc. v. H.J. Heinz Company, L.P., et al.	Dyk, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Hearing
03-1617	Mars, Inc. v. H.J. Heinz Company, L.P., et al.	Dyk, Prost, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated	Summary Judgment
03-1617	Mars, Inc. v. H.J. Heinz Company, L.P., et al.	Dyk, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
03-1327	In Re David Wallach, et al.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1097	Innova / Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtrati	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1097	Innova / Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtrati	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
04-1097	Innova / Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtrati	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1097	Innova / Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtrati	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1569	In Re Wilhelm Elsner	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
02-1569	Linear Technology Corporation v. Impala Linear Cor	Linn, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
02-1569	Linear Technology Corporation v. Impala Linear Cor	Linn, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Contributory	Vacated	Summary Judgment

02-1569	Linear Technology Corporation v. Impala Linear Cor	Linn, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated	Summary Judgment
03-1083	Power Mosfet Technologies, L.L.C., et al v. Siemen	Gajarsa, Michel, Prost	Attorney's Fees	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1083	Power Mosfet Technologies, L.L.C., et al v. Siemen	Gajarsa, Michel, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1083	Power Mosfet Technologies, L.L.C., et al v. Siemen	Gajarsa, Michel, Prost	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1083	Power Mosfet Technologies, L.L.C., et al v. Siemen	Gajarsa, Michel, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1083	Power Mosfet Technologies, L.L.C., et al v. Siemen	Gajarsa, Michel, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1083	Power Mosfet Technologies, L.L.C., et al v. Siemen	Gajarsa, Michel, Prost	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1583	In Re Carl F. Klopensteir and John L. Brent, Jr.	Michel, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
03-1565	Koito Manufacturing Co., LTD., et al. v. Turn-Key-	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
03-1565	Koito Manufacturing Co., LTD., et al. v. Turn-Key-	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMOL
03-1565	Koito Manufacturing Co., LTD., et al. v. Turn-Key-	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	JMOL
03-1565	Koito Manufacturing Co., LTD., et al. v. Turn-Key-	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	New Matter	Affirmed	JMOL
03-1565	Koito Manufacturing Co., LTD., et al. v. Turn-Key-	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMOL
03-1565	Koito Manufacturing Co., LTD., et al. v. Turn-Key-	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	JMOL
03-1358	In Re Bigio	Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
03-1358	In Re Bigio	Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
02-1532	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc., et al. v. St. Jude Medic	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Sanctions	False Testimony	Vacated	Order on Motion

02-1532	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc., et al. v. St. Jude Medic	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Modified-Step for	Markman Hearing
02-1532	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc., et al. v. St. Jude Medic	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Remanded	Jury Verdict
02-1532	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc., et al. v. St. Jude Medic	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	JMOL
02-1532	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc., et al. v. St. Jude Medic	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Validity	Maintenance Fee Lapse	Affirmed	Order on Motion
02-1532	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc., et al. v. St. Jude Medic	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
02-1532	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc., et al. v. St. Jude Medic	Friedman, Newman, Rader	New Trial		Vacated	Order on Motion
03-1370	Home Diagnostics, Inc. v. Lifescan, Inc.	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1406	Xechem International, Inc. v. The University of Te	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1406	Xechem International, Inc. v. The University of Te	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1118	The Chamberlain Group, Inc., v. Skylink Technologi	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	DMCA	Violation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1534	Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1534	Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Plager	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1534	Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Plager	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated	JMOL
03-1534	Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	JMOL
03-1534	Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
03-1534	Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
03-1609	Juicy Whip, Inc. v. Orange Bang, Inc., et al.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1609	Juicy Whip, Inc. v. Orange Bang, Inc., et al.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Damages	Lost Profits	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
03-1609	Juicy Whip, Inc. v. Orange Bang, Inc., et al.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1609	Juicy Whip, Inc. v. Orange Bang, Inc., et al.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Disqualification/Reassignment		NA	NA
03-1243	Monsanto Company v. Kem L. Ralph	Gajarsa, Lourie, Prost	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL

03-1243	Monsanto Company v. Kem L. Ralph	Gajarsa, Lourie, Prost	Sanctions	Rule 37	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1243	Monsanto Company v. Kem L. Ralph	Gajarsa, Lourie, Prost	Breach of Contract	Damages	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1243	Monsanto Company v. Kem L. Ralph	Gajarsa, Lourie, Prost	Disqualification/Reassignment		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1243	Monsanto Company v. Kem L. Ralph	Gajarsa, Lourie, Prost	Trademark	Cancellation/Likelihood of Confusion	Affirmed	Order on Motion
01-1357	Knorr-Bremse Systeme Fuer Nutzfahrzeuge GMBH v. Da	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, Mayer, N	Willfulness		Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
03-1424	The Toro Company v. White Consolidated Industries,	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1014	International Rectifier Corporation v. IXYS Corpor	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
04-1022	Poly-America, L.P. v. GSE Lining Technology, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Reversed, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1022	Poly-America, L.P. v. GSE Lining Technology, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1022	Poly-America, L.P. v. GSE Lining Technology, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1022	Poly-America, L.P. v. GSE Lining Technology, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Rader	New Trial		Reversed, Vacated	Order on Motion
04-1154	Irdeto Access, Inc. v. Echostar Satellite Corporat	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1154	Irdeto Access, Inc. v. Echostar Satellite Corporat	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1052	Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc., et al. v. In	Clevenger, Linn	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Reversed	USITC Decision
03-1052	Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc., et al. v. In	Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	ITC Decision
03-1052	Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc., et al. v. In	Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	ITC Decision
03-1052	Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc., et al. v. In	Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Decision
03-1052	Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc., et al. v. In	Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	ITC Decision

03-1052	Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc., et al. v. In	Clevenger, Linn	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	ITC Decision
03-1052	Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc., et al. v. In	Clevenger, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	ITC Decision
03-1052	Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc., et al. v. In	Clevenger, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	ITC Decision
03-1052	Gemstar-TV Guide International, Inc., et al. v. In	Clevenger, Linn	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	ITC Decision
04-1100	Astrazeneca AB, et al. v. Mutual Pharmaceutical Co	Archer, Bryson, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
04-1100	Astrazeneca AB, et al. v. Mutual Pharmaceutical Co	Archer, Bryson, Michel	Infringement	DOE	NA	NA
04-1100	Astrazeneca AB, et al. v. Mutual Pharmaceutical Co	Archer, Bryson, Michel	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1100	Astrazeneca AB, et al. v. Mutual Pharmaceutical Co	Archer, Bryson, Michel	Validity	Unspecified	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1572	Laboratory Corporation c America Holdings, et al.	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
03-1572	Laboratory Corporation c America Holdings, et al.	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
99-1584	Insituform Technologies, Inc., et al. v. CAT Contr	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1584	Insituform Technologies, Inc., et al. v. CAT Contr	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1584	Insituform Technologies, Inc., et al. v. CAT Contr	Mayer, Michel, Schall	PHE		Affirmed	Bench Trial
99-1584	Insituform Technologies, Inc., et al. v. CAT Contr	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Willfulness		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
99-1584	Insituform Technologies, Inc., et al. v. CAT Contr	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Damages	Quantum	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
99-1584	Insituform Technologies, Inc., et al. v. CAT Contr	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Alter Ego		Affirmed	Order on Motion
99-1584	Insituform Technologies, Inc., et al. v. CAT Contr	Mayer, Michel, Schall	Joinder		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1016	Fuji Photo Film, Co., LTD., v. International Trade	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	ITC Decision
03-1016	Fuji Photo Film, Co., LTD., v. International Trade	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	ITC Decision
03-1016	Fuji Photo Film, Co., LTD., v. International Trade	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Decision
03-1016	Fuji Photo Film, Co., LTD., v. International Trade	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	ITC Decision

03-1528	Bilstad, et al. v. Wakalopoulos, et al.	Bryson, Linn, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
03-1528	Bilstad, et al. v. Wakalopoulos, et al.	Bryson, Linn, Plager	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	BPAI Decision - Interference
04-1291	On-Line Technologies v. Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmer	Archer, Bryson, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1291	On-Line Technologies v. Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmer	Archer, Bryson, Michel	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1291	On-Line Technologies v. Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmer	Archer, Bryson, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
04-1291	On-Line Technologies v. Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmer	Archer, Bryson, Michel	Fraud		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1291	On-Line Technologies v. Bodenseewerk Perkin-Elmer	Archer, Bryson, Michel	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1024	Bernhardt, L.L.C., v. Collezione Europa USA, Inc.	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
04-1024	Bernhardt, L.L.C., v. Collezione Europa USA, Inc.	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
04-1045	Capo, Inc. v. Dioptrics Medical Products, Inc.	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
03-1444	Caterpillar v. Sturman Indus.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1444	Caterpillar v. Sturman Indus.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Inventorship	Inventor	Reversed	Bench Trial
03-1444	Caterpillar v. Sturman Indus.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Waiver	Objection to Juror	Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1444	Caterpillar v. Sturman Indus.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
03-1444	Caterpillar v. Sturman Indus.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Conversion		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
03-1444	Caterpillar v. Sturman Indus.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
04-1135	C.R. Bard, et al. v. United States Surgical Corp.	Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1135	C.R. Bard, et al. v. United States Surgical Corp.	Michel, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1135	C.R. Bard, et al. v. United States Surgical Corp.	Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1348	Colida v. Matsushita Electric Corp. for America	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

04-1281	The Regents of the University of New Mexico v. Kni	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Amendment	Counterclaim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1281	The Regents of the University of New Mexico v. Kni	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Waiver	Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1281	The Regents of the University of New Mexico v. Kni	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Disqualification/Reassignment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1310	Medpointe Healthcare, Inc. v. Hi-Tech Pharmacal Co	Prost, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction
03-1548	Catalina Marketing International, Inc. v. Coolsavi	Michel, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1548	Catalina Marketing International, Inc. v. Coolsavi	Michel, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1519	Frank's Casing Crew & Rental Tools v. Weatherford	Dyk, Friedman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1519	Frank's Casing Crew & Rental Tools v. Weatherford	Dyk, Friedman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1519	Frank's Casing Crew & Rental Tools v. Weatherford	Dyk, Friedman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1519	Frank's Casing Crew & Rental Tools v. Weatherford	Dyk, Friedman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1345	Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. President Electronics, L	Michel, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1070	Slip Track Systems, Inc. v. Metal Lite, Inc.	Friedman, Schall	Estoppel	Assignee	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1070	Slip Track Systems, Inc. v. Metal Lite, Inc.	Friedman, Schall	Estoppel	Marking	Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1070	Slip Track Systems, Inc. v. Metal Lite, Inc.	Friedman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
04-1070	Slip Track Systems, Inc. v. Metal Lite, Inc.	Friedman, Schall	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1267	In Re Daniel S. Fulton and James Huang	Gajarsa, Michel, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1287	Colida v. Sanyo North America Corporation	Michel, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1574	Centricut, LLC, et al. v. The ESAB Group, Inc.	Clevenger, Dyk, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
04-1225	In re Bruce Beasley	Archer, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection

04-1145	In Re John Dash and Patrick S. Keefe	Mayer, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1145	In Re John Dash and Patrick S. Keefe	Mayer, Plager, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1145	In Re John Dash and Patrick S. Keefe	Mayer, Plager, Prost	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1344	Alza Corporation, et al. v Mylan Laboratories, In	Archer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1344	Alza Corporation, et al. v Mylan Laboratories, In	Archer, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1344	Alza Corporation, et al. v Mylan Laboratories, In	Archer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1344	Alza Corporation, et al. v Mylan Laboratories, In	Archer, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1445	Versa Corporation v. AG-BAG International Limited	Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1445	Versa Corporation v. AG-BAG International Limited	Dyk, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1149	Iron Grip Barbell Company, Inc., et al. v. USA Spo	Archer, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1221	Pieczenik v. DYAX Corporation	Bryson, Dyk, Schall	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1075	In re Crish	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1075	In re Crish	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1185	Anheuser-Busch, et al. v Crown Cork & Seal Techno	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
04-1185	Anheuser-Busch, et al. v Crown Cork & Seal Techno	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1185	Anheuser-Busch, et al. v Crown Cork & Seal Techno	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1215	Matthew Stephens, et al. v. Tech International, et	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion

04-1215	Matthew Stephens, et al. v. Tech International, et	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1160	Star Fruits S.N.C., et al. v. U.S. et al.	Clevenger, Dyk	APA		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1379	Brooks Furniture Manufacturing v. Dutailie Int'l,	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
03-1379	Brooks Furniture Manufacturing v. Dutailie Int'l,	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1266	Electronics for Imaging, Inc. v. Coyle, et al.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1009	Business Objects, S.A. v. Microstrategy	Prost, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1009	Business Objects, S.A. v. Microstrategy	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1009	Business Objects, S.A. v. Microstrategy	Prost, Rader, Schall	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1009	Business Objects, S.A. v. Microstrategy	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1009	Business Objects, S.A. v. Microstrategy	Prost, Rader, Schall	PHE		Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1093	NCR Corporation v. Palm, Inc., et al.	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1093	NCR Corporation v. Palm, Inc., et al.	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1093	NCR Corporation v. Palm, Inc., et al.	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1152	Teleflex, Inc., et al. v. KSR International Co.	Mayer, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1203	Freeman, et al. v. Gerber Products Company, et al.	Archer, Michel, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1163	Jore Corporation v. Kouvato v. Home Depot U.S.A.,	Bryson, Friedman, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1114	Bruno Independent Living Aids v. Acorn Mobility Se	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1114	Bruno Independent Living Aids v. Acorn Mobility Se	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1233	Oleh Weres v. Nancy H. Weres	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Motion to Dismiss	Collateral Attack	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1318	Phonometrics v. Hospitality International	Linn, Michel, Rader	Discovery	Rule 56	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1318	Phonometrics v. Hospitality International	Linn, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1483	Colida v. Qualcomm Incorporated	Linn, Michel, Rader	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1477	Pieczenik v. Domantis, et al.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1477	Pieczenik v. Domantis, et al.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Mandamus		Denied	NA

03-1324	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. Jazz Photo Corp., et	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1324	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. Jazz Photo Corp., et	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1324	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. Jazz Photo Corp., et	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Repair		Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1324	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. Jazz Photo Corp., et	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
03-1324	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. Jazz Photo Corp., et	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1562	Rosco v. Mirror Lite	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1562	Rosco v. Mirror Lite	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
03-1562	Rosco v. Mirror Lite	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Bench Trial
03-1562	Rosco v. Mirror Lite	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1293	Trintec Industries v. Pedre Promotional Products	Bryson, Friedman, Rader	Jurisdiction	Personal	Vacated, Remanded	Motion to Dismiss
04-1186	Teva Pharmaceuticals USA v. Pfizer	Clevenger, Schall	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1278	McKechnie Vehicle Components USA v. Lacks Industri	Dyk, Prost, Schall	Interference	Priority	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1278	McKechnie Vehicle Components USA v. Lacks Industri	Dyk, Prost, Schall	Interference	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1196	Independent Ink v. Illinois Tool Works	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Antitrust		Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1196	Independent Ink v. Illinois Tool Works	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Antitrust		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1005	Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA	Gajarsa, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
04-1005	Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA	Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
04-1207	Silicon Image v. Genesis Microchip	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
04-1068	IEX Corp. v. Blue Pumpkin Software	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Hearing
04-1068	IEX Corp. v. Blue Pumpkin Software	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1381	Chicago Brand Industrial Inc. v. Mitutoyo Corp.	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1381	Chicago Brand Industrial Inc. v. Mitutoyo Corp.	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1007	Isco International, Inc. v. Conductus, Inc, et al.	Archer, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

04-1007	Isco International, Inc. v. Conductus, Inc, et al.	Archer, Lourie, Prost	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	JMOL
04-1090	Alltrade Tools v. Olympia Group	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
04-1090	Alltrade Tools v. Olympia Group	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
04-1090	Alltrade Tools v. Olympia Group	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
04-1208	Junker v. Eddings	Bryson, Friedman, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
04-1208	Junker v. Eddings	Bryson, Friedman, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
04-1208	Junker v. Eddings	Bryson, Friedman, Newman	Breach of Contract	Damages	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
04-1397	Thatcher v. Kohl's Department Stores, Inc., et al.	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1129	Leon Stambler v. RSA Security, Inc., et al.	Archer, Bryson, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
04-1129	Leon Stambler v. RSA Security, Inc., et al.	Archer, Bryson, Rader	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1275	Lisle Corporation v. A.J. Manufacturing Company	Bryson, Friedman, Lourie	Jury Instruction	Burden of Proof	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1275	Lisle Corporation v. A.J. Manufacturing Company	Bryson, Friedman, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1275	Lisle Corporation v. A.J. Manufacturing Company	Bryson, Friedman, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Markman Hearing
04-1275	Lisle Corporation v. A.J. Manufacturing Company	Bryson, Friedman, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1275	Lisle Corporation v. A.J. Manufacturing Company	Bryson, Friedman, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
04-1275	Lisle Corporation v. A.J. Manufacturing Company	Bryson, Friedman, Lourie	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1516	Gyory v. Reebok International, LTD.	Clevenger, Friedman, Mayer	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1212	Rates Technology, Inc. v Nortel Networks Corp.	Linn, Michel, Rader	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1212	Rates Technology, Inc. v Nortel Networks Corp.	Linn, Michel, Rader	Motion to Dismiss	Jurisdiction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1303	Puritan-Bennett Corp., et al. v. Penox Technologie	Plager, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1541	Evident Corp., et al. v. Church & Dwight Co., et a	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1541	Evident Corp., et al. v. Church & Dwight Co., et a	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion

03-1541	Evident Corp., et al. v. Church & Dwight Co., et al	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Standing		NA	NA
04-1128	Bd. Of Education of the State of Florida v. American	Michel, Newman, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1128	Bd. Of Education of the State of Florida v. American	Michel, Newman, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
03-5169	Sparton Corp. v. U.S.	Archer, Michel, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1234	Eolas Technologies v. Microsoft	Friedman, Plager, Rader	Jury Instruction	Claim Construction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1234	Eolas Technologies v. Microsoft	Friedman, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1234	Eolas Technologies v. Microsoft	Friedman, Plager, Rader	Infringement	271(f)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1234	Eolas Technologies v. Microsoft	Friedman, Plager, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
04-1234	Eolas Technologies v. Microsoft	Friedman, Plager, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated	JMOL
04-1234	Eolas Technologies v. Microsoft	Friedman, Plager, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
04-1200	Playtex Products v. Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1200	Playtex Products v. Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
04-1200	Playtex Products v. Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1200	Playtex Products v. Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1200	Playtex Products v. Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1326	Iowa State Univ. Research Found. V. Wiley Organics	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
04-1072	Decorations for Generations, Inc. v. Home Depot US	Archer, Lourie, Prost	Trade Dress		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
04-1258	Bellehumeur v. Bonnett (doing business as J.B. Mar	Bryson, Linn, Michel	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1258	Bellehumeur v. Bonnett (doing business as J.B. Mar	Bryson, Linn, Michel	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1258	Bellehumeur v. Bonnett (doing business as J.B. Mar	Bryson, Linn, Michel	Standing		Remanded	NA
04-1545	Klein v. Dudas, et al.	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

04-1392	Sentry Protection Products, Inc., et al. v. Eagle	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Patent Marking		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1392	Sentry Protection Products, Inc., et al. v. Eagle	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1392	Sentry Protection Products, Inc., et al. v. Eagle	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1392	Sentry Protection Products, Inc., et al. v. Eagle	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1408	V-Formation, Inc. v. Benetton Group SPA, et al.	Mayer, Rader, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1408	V-Formation, Inc. v. Benetton Group SPA, et al.	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1408	V-Formation, Inc. v. Benetton Group SPA, et al.	Mayer, Rader, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1408	V-Formation, Inc. v. Benetton Group SPA, et al.	Mayer, Rader, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1408	V-Formation, Inc. v. Benetton Group SPA, et al.	Mayer, Rader, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1153	Israel Bio-Engineering Project v. Amgen, Inc., et	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1153	Israel Bio-Engineering Project v. Amgen, Inc., et	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Employee Status		Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1153	Israel Bio-Engineering Project v. Amgen, Inc., et	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Intervention		Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1600	Mercexchange, L.L.C. v. eBay, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1600	Mercexchange, L.L.C. v. eBay, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1600	Mercexchange, L.L.C. v. eBay, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1600	Mercexchange, L.L.C. v. eBay, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1600	Mercexchange, L.L.C. v. eBay, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1600	Mercexchange, L.L.C. v. eBay, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1600	Mercexchange, L.L.C. v. eBay, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

03-1600	Mercexchange, L.L.C. v. eBay, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1600	Mercexchange, L.L.C. v. eBay, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1600	Mercexchange, L.L.C. v. eBay, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Michel	Validity	Written Description	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1134	Medrad, Inc. v. MRI Devices Corp.	Bryson, Friedman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1134	Medrad, Inc. v. MRI Devices Corp.	Bryson, Friedman, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1134	Medrad, Inc. v. MRI Devices Corp.	Bryson, Friedman, Rader	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1211	ASM America, Inc., et al. v. Genus, Inc.	Bryson, Friedman, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1211	ASM America, Inc., et al. v. Genus, Inc.	Bryson, Friedman, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1048	Asyst Technologies v. Emtrak, et al.	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1048	Asyst Technologies v. Emtrak, et al.	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1048	Asyst Technologies v. Emtrak, et al.	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1048	Asyst Technologies v. Emtrak, et al.	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1279	Schreiber Foods v. Beatrice Cheese, et al.	Archer, Dyk, Rader	Rule 60(b)		Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1279	Schreiber Foods v. Beatrice Cheese, et al.	Archer, Dyk, Rader	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1292	Aspex Eyewear v. E'Lite Optik	Michel, Rader, Schall	Standing		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1302	Howmedica Osteonics Corporation, v. Tranquil Prosp	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Hearing
04-1302	Howmedica Osteonics Corporation, v. Tranquil Prosp	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1302	Howmedica Osteonics Corporation, v. Tranquil Prosp	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1503	Outlast Technologies v. Frisby Technologies	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1503	Outlast Technologies v. Frisby Technologies	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1244	In Re Setsuo Fujimura, et al.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1194	Bayer AG. et al. v. Housey Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Clevenger, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
04-1436	VM Tech, Inc. v. Compac Computer Corp., et al.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion

03-1285	Smithkline Beecham Corp., et al. v. Apotex Corp.,	Bryson, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
03-1285	Smithkline Beecham Corp., et al. v. Apotex Corp.,	Bryson, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
04-1247	Nellcore Puritan Bennett Inc., et al. v. Masimo C	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
04-1247	Nellcore Puritan Bennett Inc., et al. v. Masimo C	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1101	Nazomi Communications, Inc. v. Arm Holdings, PLC,	Michel, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1101	Nazomi Communications, Inc. v. Arm Holdings, PLC,	Michel, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1246	Chimie, et al. v. PPG Industries, Inc.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1246	Chimie, et al. v. PPG Industries, Inc.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1246	Chimie, et al. v. PPG Industries, Inc.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1246	Chimie, et al. v. PPG Industries, Inc.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1246	Chimie, et al. v. PPG Industries, Inc.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	PHE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1364	Bowling v. Hasbro, Inc.	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Attorney's Fees	Appeal	NA	NA
04-1364	Bowling v. Hasbro, Inc.	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Motion to Dismiss	Delay	Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
04-1059	Sunny Fresh Foods, Inc. v. Michael Foods, Inc., et	Archer, Dyk, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1059	Sunny Fresh Foods, Inc. v. Michael Foods, Inc., et	Archer, Dyk, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1059	Sunny Fresh Foods, Inc. v. Michael Foods, Inc., et	Archer, Dyk, Rader	Jury Instructions		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1059	Sunny Fresh Foods, Inc. v. Michael Foods, Inc., et	Archer, Dyk, Rader	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-5149	Hornback v. U.S.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-5149	Hornback v. U.S.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1501	Space Systems/Loran v. Lockheed Martin	Gajarsa, Michel, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1103	Hoffer v. Microsoft, et al.	Bryson, Dyk	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1103	Hoffer v. Microsoft, et al.	Bryson, Dyk	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1103	Hoffer v. Microsoft, et al.	Bryson, Dyk	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1103	Hoffer v. Microsoft, et al.	Bryson, Dyk	Discovery	56f	Affirmed	Order on Motion

04-1220	The Gillette Company v. Energizer Holdings, Inc.	Michel, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction
04-1220	The Gillette Company v. Energizer Holdings, Inc.	Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
03-1464	Clontech Labs v. Invitrogen Corp.	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	False Patent Marking		Reversed	Bench Trial
04-1180	PC Connector Solutions LLC v. Smartdisk Corp., et	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1180	PC Connector Solutions LLC v. Smartdisk Corp., et	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1323	Arthrocare Corp., et al. v Smith & Nephew, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1323	Arthrocare Corp., et al. v Smith & Nephew, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1323	Arthrocare Corp., et al. v Smith & Nephew, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Broadening Certificate of Correction	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1323	Arthrocare Corp., et al. v Smith & Nephew, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
05-1050	Abbey v. Mercedes-Benz of North America	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Res Judicata		Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1296	Group One LTD v. Hallmark Cards	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Discovery	Additional	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1296	Group One LTD v. Hallmark Cards	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	JMOL
04-1296	Group One LTD v. Hallmark Cards	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Maintenance Fee Lapse	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1296	Group One LTD v. Hallmark Cards	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed, Remanded	JMOL
04-1296	Group One LTD v. Hallmark Cards	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Defective Terminal Disclaimer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1296	Group One LTD v. Hallmark Cards	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1296	Group One LTD v. Hallmark Cards	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Prejudgment Interest		Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1252	Syntex (U.S.A.) LLC, et al. v. Apotex	Clevenger, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1252	Syntex (U.S.A.) LLC, et al. v. Apotex	Clevenger, Gajarsa	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1252	Syntex (U.S.A.) LLC, et al. v. Apotex	Clevenger, Gajarsa	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed, Remanded	Bench Trial
04-1262	Imonex Services v. W.H. Munzprufer Dietmar Trenner	Archer, Bryson, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1262	Imonex Services v. W.H. Munzprufer Dietmar Trenner	Archer, Bryson, Rader	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion

04-1262	Imonex Services v. W.H. Munzprufer Dietmar Trenner	Archer, Bryson, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1262	Imonex Services v. W.H. Munzprufer Dietmar Trenner	Archer, Bryson, Rader	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1262	Imonex Services v. W.H. Munzprufer Dietmar Trenner	Archer, Bryson, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1017	Mattox v. Infotopia, Inc., et al.	Clevenger, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
05-1017	Mattox v. Infotopia, Inc., et al.	Clevenger, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1370	In Re Kenneth Harris and Jacqueline B. Wahl	Clevenger, Friedman Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
03-1438	Designing Health v. Udo Erasmus	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1438	Designing Health v. Udo Erasmus	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Attorney's Fees	Appeal	NA	NA
03-1438	Designing Health v. Udo Erasmus	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Offer for Sale	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1438	Designing Health v. Udo Erasmus	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Breach of Fiduciary Duty	Damages	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1438	Designing Health v. Udo Erasmus	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Fraud	Damages	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1438	Designing Health v. Udo Erasmus	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Fiduciary Duty	Breach	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1438	Designing Health v. Udo Erasmus	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Fraud		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1438	Designing Health v. Udo Erasmus	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Libel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1499	Medimmune v. Centocor	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1387	Air Turbine Technology v Atlas Copco AB	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1387	Air Turbine Technology v Atlas Copco AB	Lourie, Prost, Schall	False Advertising		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1387	Air Turbine Technology v Atlas Copco AB	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1387	Air Turbine Technology v Atlas Copco AB	Lourie, Prost, Schall	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1505	Owen Mumford USA, Inc. v. Surgilance	Dyk, Michel	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1505	Owen Mumford USA, Inc. v. Surgilance	Dyk, Michel	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1505	Owen Mumford USA, Inc. v. Surgilance	Dyk, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment

04-1437	Boss Control, Inc., et al. v. Bombardier, Inc., et	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1437	Boss Control, Inc., et al. v. Bombardier, Inc., et	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1437	Boss Control, Inc., et al. v. Bombardier, Inc., et	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Waiver	Claim	NA	NA
04-1493	Princeton Biochemicals, Inc. v. Beckman Coulter, I	Gajarsa, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
04-1539	Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., et al. v. Eon Labs Ma	Archer, Plager, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1539	Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., et al. v. Eon Labs Ma	Archer, Plager, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1539	Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., et al. v. Eon Labs Ma	Archer, Plager, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1539	Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., et al. v. Eon Labs Ma	Archer, Plager, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1329	Ultra-Precision Manufacturing v. Ford Motor Co.	Clevenger, Linn, Mayer	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1329	Ultra-Precision Manufacturing v. Ford Motor Co.	Clevenger, Linn, Mayer	Preemption		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1329	Ultra-Precision Manufacturing v. Ford Motor Co.	Clevenger, Linn, Mayer	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1069	Default Proof Credit Card System v. Home Depot	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1405	Upsher-Smith Laboratories v. PamLab	Michel, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1395	Checkpoint Systems, Inc. v. All-Tag Security S.A.,	Dyk, Michel, Schall	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1395	Checkpoint Systems, Inc. v. All-Tag Security S.A.,	Dyk, Michel, Schall	Validity	102(f) inventorship	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1368	Aptix Corp., et al. v. Quickturn Design Systems, I	Clevenger, Gajarsa	Security Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1365	Fort James Corporation v. Solo Cup Company	Gajarsa, Rader	Attorney Client Privilege		Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1365	Fort James Corporation v. Solo Cup Company	Gajarsa, Rader	Discovery	Compelling	Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1365	Fort James Corporation v. Solo Cup Company	Gajarsa, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1411	Prima Tek II, L.L.C., et al. v. Polypap, et at.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
04-1411	Prima Tek II, L.L.C., et al. v. Polypap, et at.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial

04-1191	Rasmusson, et al. v. Smithkline Beecham Corporatio	Bryson, Plager, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
04-1191	Rasmusson, et al. v. Smithkline Beecham Corporatio	Bryson, Plager, Prost	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
04-1249	Northpoint Technology, LTD v. MDS America, Inc., e	Bryson, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1249	Northpoint Technology, LTD v. MDS America, Inc., e	Bryson, Schall	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1249	Northpoint Technology, LTD v. MDS America, Inc., e	Bryson, Schall	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1375	Seachange International. Inc. v. C-Cor, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
04-1375	Seachange International. Inc. v. C-Cor, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Markman Hearing-Stipulated Infringement
04-1375	Seachange International. Inc. v. C-Cor, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Markman Hearing-Stipulation of Infringement
04-1375	Seachange International. Inc. v. C-Cor, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1375	Seachange International. Inc. v. C-Cor, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1375	Seachange International. Inc. v. C-Cor, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	New Trial		Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
03-1459	Lockformer Co., et al. v. PPG Industries, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1459	Lockformer Co., et al. v. PPG Industries, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1459	Lockformer Co., et al. v. PPG Industries, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1418	Izumi Products Company v. Koninklijke Philips Elec	Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1418	Izumi Products Company v. Koninklijke Philips Elec	Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1418	Izumi Products Company v. Koninklijke Philips Elec	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1418	Izumi Products Company v. Koninklijke Philips Elec	Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1418	Izumi Products Company v. Koninklijke Philips Elec	Lourie, Newman	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion

04-1013	Salazar v. Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1013	Salazar v. Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1013	Salazar v. Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1013	Salazar v. Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Rader	PHE		Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1422	Sandisk Corp. v. Memorex Products, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1422	Sandisk Corp. v. Memorex Products, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Estoppel	Judicial	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1269	Phillips v. AWH Corporation, et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Michel, Pro	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1269	Phillips v. AWH Corporation, et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Michel, Pro	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1269	Phillips v. AWH Corporation, et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Michel, Pro	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
03-1269	Phillips v. AWH Corporation, et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Michel, Pro	Statute of Limitations	Trade Secrets	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1285	AT&T Corp. v. Microsoft Corp.	Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	271(f)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1452	Board of Regents Univ. of Texas v. Nippon Telegraph & Telephone Corp.	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1570	Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe, Inc., et al.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
05-1044	Eli Lilly and Company, et al. v. Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.	Bryson, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1044	Eli Lilly and Company, et al. v. Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.	Bryson, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1044	Eli Lilly and Company, et al. v. Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.	Bryson, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1306	North American Container, Inc. v. Plastipak Packaging, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1306	North American Container, Inc. v. Plastipak Packaging, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Hearing
04-1306	North American Container, Inc. v. Plastipak Packaging, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1306	North American Container, Inc. v. Plastipak Packaging, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1306	North American Container, Inc. v. Plastipak Packaging, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

04-1457	In re Joseph Battiston	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1480	Hartco Engineering v. Wang's International, et al.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Prost	Damages	Design	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1480	Hartco Engineering v. Wang's International, et al.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1480	Hartco Engineering v. Wang's International, et al.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Prost	Trade Dress	Infringement	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1480	Hartco Engineering v. Wang's International, et al.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Prost	Trade Dress	Infringement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1546	Transonic Systems v. Non-Invasive Med. Tech.	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1546	Transonic Systems v. Non-Invasive Med. Tech.	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1546	Transonic Systems v. Non-Invasive Med. Tech.	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	PHE		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1510	Carl Landers, et al. v. Sideways, LLC	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Bench Trial
04-1510	Carl Landers, et al. v. Sideways, LLC	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
04-1510	Carl Landers, et al. v. Sideways, LLC	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
04-1510	Carl Landers, et al. v. Sideways, LLC	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
04-1510	Carl Landers, et al. v. Sideways, LLC	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1510	Carl Landers, et al. v. Sideways, LLC	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1510	Carl Landers, et al. v. Sideways, LLC	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	License Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1412	Electromotive Div. of Gen. Motors v. Trans. System	Linn, Michel, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1531	Beckson Marine, Inc., et al. v. NFM, Inc.	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1531	Beckson Marine, Inc., et al. v. NFM, Inc.	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Decision on the Record
04-1531	Beckson Marine, Inc., et al. v. NFM, Inc.	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1531	Beckson Marine, Inc., et al. v. NFM, Inc.	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1615	NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, LTD.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1615	NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, LTD.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1615	NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, LTD.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing

03-1615	NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, LTD.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1615	NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, LTD.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1615	NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, LTD.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1615	NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, LTD.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1615	NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, LTD.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1615	NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, LTD.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Evidence			Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1060	Frazier et al v. Roessel Cine Photo Tech et al	Dyk, Linn	Attorney's Fees	285		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
04-1060	Frazier et al v. Roessel Cine Photo Tech et al	Dyk, Linn	Inequitable Conduct			Reversed	Bench Trial
04-1060	Frazier et al v. Roessel Cine Photo Tech et al	Dyk, Linn	Inequitable Conduct			Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1202	Collegenet, Inc. v. Applyyourself, Inc.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General		Reversed	Markman Hearing
04-1202	Collegenet, Inc. v. Applyyourself, Inc.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1202	Collegenet, Inc. v. Applyyourself, Inc.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	JMOL
04-1202	Collegenet, Inc. v. Applyyourself, Inc.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	New Trial			Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1484	Ericsson v. Interdigital Communications Corp. v N	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Intervention			Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1625	Harris Corporation v. Ericsson, Inc.	Clevenger, Prost	Damages	Reasonable Royalty		Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
03-1625	Harris Corporation v. Ericsson, Inc.	Clevenger, Prost	Damages	Enhanced		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1625	Harris Corporation v. Ericsson, Inc.	Clevenger, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for		Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1625	Harris Corporation v. Ericsson, Inc.	Clevenger, Prost	Infringement	Literal		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1625	Harris Corporation v. Ericsson, Inc.	Clevenger, Prost	Willfulness			Affirmed	Jury Verdict
03-1625	Harris Corporation v. Ericsson, Inc.	Clevenger, Prost	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1444	Ericsson, Inc., et al. v. Harris Corp., et al.	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Jury Verdict-Reinstated by earlier appeal

04-1444	Ericsson, Inc., et al. v. Harris Corp., et al.	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1564	Datamize, L.L.C. v. Plumtree Software, Inc.	Bryson, Clevenger, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1441	Marley Mouldings, Ltd. v. Mikron Industries, Inc.	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1478	Pharmacia v. Par Pharmaceutical	Linn, Rader, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1216	Freedman Seating Co. v. American Seating Co.	Mayer, Plager, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1216	Freedman Seating Co. v. American Seating Co.	Mayer, Plager, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1506	Warner-Lambert Company v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA	Linn, Prost, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
03-1480	Capon, et al. v. Eshhar, et al. v. Dudas	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
04-1074	In Re Sujeet Kumar, et al.	Archer, Dyk, Newman	Evidence		Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1263	Pause Technology, LLC v. Tivo, Inc.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1263	Pause Technology, LLC v. Tivo, Inc.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1337	Terlep v. The Brinkmann Corp., et al.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1337	Terlep v. The Brinkmann Corp., et al.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1337	Terlep v. The Brinkmann Corp., et al.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1634	Tap Pharmaceutical Products v. Owl Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1634	Tap Pharmaceutical Products v. Owl Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1634	Tap Pharmaceutical Products v. Owl Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1634	Tap Pharmaceutical Products v. Owl Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1634	Tap Pharmaceutical Products v. Owl Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1605	Research Plastics v. Federal Packaging	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
04-1605	Research Plastics v. Federal Packaging	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1605	Research Plastics v. Federal Packaging	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment

04-1528	Ocean Innovations v. Rick Archer	Linn, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1528	Ocean Innovations v. Rick Archer	Linn, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1528	Ocean Innovations v. Rick Archer	Linn, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1008	Broadcast Innovation v. Charter Communications	Dyk, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1088	Aquatex Industries, Inc. v. Technische Solutions	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1088	Aquatex Industries, Inc. v. Technische Solutions	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	DOE	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1088	Aquatex Industries, Inc. v. Technische Solutions	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1396	MEMC Electronic Materials v. Mitsubishi Materials	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1396	MEMC Electronic Materials v. Mitsubishi Materials	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1396	MEMC Electronic Materials v. Mitsubishi Materials	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1396	MEMC Electronic Materials v. Mitsubishi Materials	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1396	MEMC Electronic Materials v. Mitsubishi Materials	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1396	MEMC Electronic Materials v. Mitsubishi Materials	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Offer for Sale	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1462	Storage Tech. Corp. v. Custom Hardware Engr.	Bryson, Schall	Copyright	Preliminary Injunction	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
04-1462	Storage Tech. Corp. v. Custom Hardware Engr.	Bryson, Schall	Trade Secret	Preliminary Injunction	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
04-1526	Sunbeam Products. v. Wing Shing Products (BVI) LTD	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Damages	Methodology	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1526	Sunbeam Products. v. Wing Shing Products (BVI) LTD	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1526	Sunbeam Products. v. Wing Shing Products (BVI) LTD	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
04-1526	Sunbeam Products. v. Wing Shing Products (BVI) LTD	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1526	Sunbeam Products. v. Wing Shing Products (BVI) LTD	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Patent Marking		Affirmed	Bench Trial

04-1596	Engineered Products, Co. v. Donalson Co., Inc.	Archer, Newman, Schall	Amendment	Counterclaim	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1596	Engineered Products, Co. v. Donalson Co., Inc.	Archer, Newman, Schall	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1596	Engineered Products, Co. v. Donalson Co., Inc.	Archer, Newman, Schall	Damages	Lost Profits	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1596	Engineered Products, Co. v. Donalson Co., Inc.	Archer, Newman, Schall	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1596	Engineered Products, Co. v. Donalson Co., Inc.	Archer, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
04-1596	Engineered Products, Co. v. Donalson Co., Inc.	Archer, Newman, Schall	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1596	Engineered Products, Co. v. Donalson Co., Inc.	Archer, Newman, Schall	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1596	Engineered Products, Co. v. Donalson Co., Inc.	Archer, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1596	Engineered Products, Co. v. Donalson Co., Inc.	Archer, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1596	Engineered Products, Co. v. Donalson Co., Inc.	Archer, Newman, Schall	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1594	Bateman v. Por-Ta Targe (also known as Porta Targ	Linn, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1594	Bateman v. Por-Ta Targe (also known as Porta Targ	Linn, Michel, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1594	Bateman v. Por-Ta Targe (also known as Porta Targ	Linn, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1594	Bateman v. Por-Ta Targe (also known as Porta Targ	Linn, Michel, Rader	False Advertising		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1349	Crater Corp. v. Lucent Technologies	Dyk, Schall	Discovery	Motion to Compel	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1465	In re: Dane K. Fisher	Bryson, Michel	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1495	Mallinckrodt v. Masimo	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1495	Mallinckrodt v. Masimo	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	JMOL
04-1495	Mallinckrodt v. Masimo	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Willfulness		Affirmed	JMOL
04-1495	Mallinckrodt v. Masimo	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

04-1495	Mallinckrodt v. Masimo	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Validity	102(g)	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1495	Mallinckrodt v. Masimo	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1495	Mallinckrodt v. Masimo	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1495	Mallinckrodt v. Masimo	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1445	Network Commerce v. Microsoft Corp.	Dyk, Friedman, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1445	Network Commerce v. Microsoft Corp.	Dyk, Friedman, Mayer	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1445	Network Commerce v. Microsoft Corp.	Dyk, Friedman, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1445	Network Commerce v. Microsoft Corp.	Dyk, Friedman, Mayer	Discovery	56f	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1451	Symbol Tech. v. Lemelson Medical Educ. & Research	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Prosecution Laches	Affirmed	Bench Trial
misc dk	In Re Technology Licensing Corporation	Bryson, Rader	Right to Jury Trial		Affirmed/Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
04-1414	Biagro Western Sales, Inc. et al. v. Grow More, In	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1414	Biagro Western Sales, Inc. et al. v. Grow More, In	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1414	Biagro Western Sales, Inc. et al. v. Grow More, In	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1092	Nystrom v. Trex Company, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1092	Nystrom v. Trex Company, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
03-1092	Nystrom v. Trex Company, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1092	Nystrom v. Trex Company, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1092	Nystrom v. Trex Company, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Sanctions	1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1006	Free Motion Fitness v. Cybex International	Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
05-1006	Free Motion Fitness v. Cybex International	Dyk, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1069	Pandrol USA, LP, et al. v. Airboss Railway Product	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Estoppel	Assignor	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1069	Pandrol USA, LP, et al. v. Airboss Railway Product	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1069	Pandrol USA, LP, et al. v. Airboss Railway Product	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion

04-1361	U.S. Philips Corp. v. ITC	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Patent Misuse		Reversed, Remanded	USITC Decision
04-1446	Cytologix Corp. v. Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Jury Verdict
04-1446	Cytologix Corp. v. Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1446	Cytologix Corp. v. Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1446	Cytologix Corp. v. Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
04-1446	Cytologix Corp. v. Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1446	Cytologix Corp. v. Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict
04-1446	Cytologix Corp. v. Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
04-1446	Cytologix Corp. v. Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1429	International Rectifier Corp. v. Samsung Electroni	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Attorney's Fees	Contempt	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
04-1429	International Rectifier Corp. v. Samsung Electroni	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Attorney's Fees	Contempt	Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
04-1570	Enzo-Biochem v. Gen-Probe, II	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Contributory	Vacated	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Vacated	Summary Judgment

05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1043	Cross Medical Products v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1054	In re C. Douglass Thomas	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
05-1054	In re C. Douglass Thomas	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1410	JVW Enterprises v. Interact Accessories	Michel, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing
04-1410	JVW Enterprises v. Interact Accessories	Michel, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
04-1410	JVW Enterprises v. Interact Accessories	Michel, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1475	Union Carbide v. Shell Oil	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1475	Union Carbide v. Shell Oil	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1475	Union Carbide v. Shell Oil	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Evidence		Reversed	Order on Motion
05-1062	Lizardtech, et al. v. Earth Resource Mapping, et a	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1062	Lizardtech, et al. v. Earth Resource Mapping, et a	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1273	Invitrogen Corp. v. Biocrest Manufacturing, L.P.,	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1273	Invitrogen Corp. v. Biocrest Manufacturing, L.P.,	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment

04-1273	Invitrogen Corp. v. Biocrest Manufacturing, L.P.,	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1581	Novo Nordisk Pharmaceuticals v. Bio-Technology Gen	Archer, Bryson, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1581	Novo Nordisk Pharmaceuticals v. Bio-Technology Gen	Archer, Bryson, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1138	World Kitchen (GHC) v. Zyliss Haushal Twaren AG	Archer, Newman, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction a
05-1138	World Kitchen (GHC) v. Zyliss Haushal Twaren AG	Archer, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
04-1300	Medimmune, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., et al.	Clevenger, Mayer, Newman	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1300	Medimmune, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., et al.	Clevenger, Mayer, Newman	Antitrust		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1300	Medimmune, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc., et al.	Clevenger, Mayer, Newman	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1066	Sicom Systems LTD. v. Agilent Technologies, Inc.,	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1056	Dane Industries, Inc. v. Ameritek Industries, LLC	Archer, Rader, Schall	Estoppel	Assignor	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1056	Dane Industries, Inc. v. Ameritek Industries, LLC	Archer, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1056	Dane Industries, Inc. v. Ameritek Industries, LLC	Archer, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1597	Callicrate v. Wadsworth Manufacturing, Inc.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated	Markman Hearing
04-1597	Callicrate v. Wadsworth Manufacturing, Inc.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Hearing
04-1597	Callicrate v. Wadsworth Manufacturing, Inc.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1597	Callicrate v. Wadsworth Manufacturing, Inc.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
04-1597	Callicrate v. Wadsworth Manufacturing, Inc.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
05-1020	Sorensen, et al. v. International Trade Commission	Linn, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Investigator
05-1020	Sorensen, et al. v. International Trade Commission	Linn, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1098	McMullin v. Carroll	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Interference	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference

05-1258	Fisher-Price, Inc. v. Graco Children's Products, I	Linn, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1026	Dorel Juvenile Gropup, Inc. v. Graco Children's Pr	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1026	Dorel Juvenile Gropup, Inc. v. Graco Children's Pr	Clevenger, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1091	Kapusta v. Gale Corporation	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1091	Kapusta v. Gale Corporation	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1572	Microstrategy, Inc. v. Business Objects, S.A., et	Archer, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1572	Microstrategy, Inc. v. Business Objects, S.A., et	Archer, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1572	Microstrategy, Inc. v. Business Objects, S.A., et	Archer, Newman, Rader	Conspiracy		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1572	Microstrategy, Inc. v. Business Objects, S.A., et	Archer, Newman, Rader	Trade Secret	Damages	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1572	Microstrategy, Inc. v. Business Objects, S.A., et	Archer, Newman, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1572	Microstrategy, Inc. v. Business Objects, S.A., et	Archer, Newman, Rader	Tortious Inteference		Affirmed	JMOL
04-1572	Microstrategy, Inc. v. Business Objects, S.A., et	Archer, Newman, Rader	Tortious Inteference		Reversed, Remanded	JMOL
04-1039	Invitrogen Corp v. Clontech Labs	Gajarsa, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1039	Invitrogen Corp v. Clontech Labs	Gajarsa, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1039	Invitrogen Corp v. Clontech Labs	Gajarsa, Michel, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1039	Invitrogen Corp v. Clontech Labs	Gajarsa, Michel, Rader	Validity	102(g)	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1039	Invitrogen Corp v. Clontech Labs	Gajarsa, Michel, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1009	IPXL Holdings, L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc.	Clevenger, Rader, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
05-1009	IPXL Holdings, L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc.	Clevenger, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1009	IPXL Holdings, L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc.	Clevenger, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1009	IPXL Holdings, L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc.	Clevenger, Rader, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1331	Pfizer, Inc., et al. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA,	Newman, Prost, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction

05-1331	Pfizer, Inc., et al. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA,	Newman, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
05-1331	Pfizer, Inc., et al. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA,	Newman, Prost, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
05-1331	Pfizer, Inc., et al. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA,	Newman, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
04-1571	IP Innovation, L.L.C. v. Ecollege.com, et al.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1571	IP Innovation, L.L.C. v. Ecollege.com, et al.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1571	IP Innovation, L.L.C. v. Ecollege.com, et al.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1571	IP Innovation, L.L.C. v. Ecollege.com, et al.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1072	In re Sibia Neurosciences, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
05-1172	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1172	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1172	Norian Corp. v. Stryker Corp.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1022	Perricone, M.D. v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1022	Perricone, M.D. v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1022	Perricone, M.D. v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp.	Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1022	Perricone, M.D. v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1022	Perricone, M.D. v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1022	Perricone, M.D. v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp.	Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1022	Perricone, M.D. v. Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1101	In re Andrew H. Huber, Louis N. Gastinel, and Pame	Bryson, Friedman, Mayer	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection

05-1101	In re Andrew H. Huber, Louis N. Gastinel, and Pame	Bryson, Friedman, Mayer	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
05-1126	Grayzel v. St. Jude Medical, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Michel, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
05-1126	Grayzel v. St. Jude Medical, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1126	Grayzel v. St. Jude Medical, Inc., et al.	Gajarsa, Michel, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1203	Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Vendingdata Corp.	Bryson, Friedman	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction
05-1203	Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Vendingdata Corp.	Bryson, Friedman	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
05-1063	Cannon Rubber Limited, et al. v. The First Years,	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
05-1063	Cannon Rubber Limited, et al. v. The First Years,	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1215	Koepnick Medical & Education Research Foundation v	Archer, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1215	Koepnick Medical & Education Research Foundation v	Archer, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1334	Golden Eagle USA, LLC v. Consolidated Industria C	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Standing		Vacated	Order on Motion
04-1553	Fieldturf International, Inc., et al. v. Sprinturf	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
04-1553	Fieldturf International, Inc., et al. v. Sprinturf	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Offer for Sale	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1553	Fieldturf International, Inc., et al. v. Sprinturf	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Tortious Inteference		Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1553	Fieldturf International, Inc., et al. v. Sprinturf	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Unfair Competition		Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1341	Ncube Corp. (now C-Cor Inc.) v. Seachange Interna	Friedman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1341	Ncube Corp. (now C-Cor Inc.) v. Seachange Interna	Friedman, Rader	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1341	Ncube Corp. (now C-Cor Inc.) v. Seachange Interna	Friedman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
03-1341	Ncube Corp. (now C-Cor Inc.) v. Seachange Interna	Friedman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
03-1341	Ncube Corp. (now C-Cor Inc.) v. Seachange Interna	Friedman, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

03-1341	Ncube Corp. (now C-Cor Inc.) v. Seachange Interna	Friedman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1341	Ncube Corp. (now C-Cor Inc.) v. Seachange Interna	Friedman, Rader	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
03-1341	Ncube Corp. (now C-Cor Inc.) v. Seachange Interna	Friedman, Rader	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1265	Aspex Eyewear, Inc., et al. v. Miracle Optics, Inc	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Standing		Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1559	Lamps Plus, Inc, et al. v. Dolan, et al.	Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1559	Lamps Plus, Inc, et al. v. Dolan, et al.	Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1559	Lamps Plus, Inc, et al. v. Dolan, et al.	Mayer, Rader	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1559	Lamps Plus, Inc, et al. v. Dolan, et al.	Dyk, Mayer, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1559	Lamps Plus, Inc, et al. v. Dolan, et al.	Dyk, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1559	Lamps Plus, Inc, et al. v. Dolan, et al.	Dyk, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1291	Stern v. The Trustees of Columbia University	Linn, Mayer, Rader	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1149	Applied Medical Resources Corp. v. United States S	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Costs		Affirmed, Remanded	Order on Motion
05-1149	Applied Medical Resources Corp. v. United States S	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1149	Applied Medical Resources Corp. v. United States S	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
05-1149	Applied Medical Resources Corp. v. United States S	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1149	Applied Medical Resources Corp. v. United States S	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1018	Energizer Holdings v. International Trade Commissi	Archer, Newman, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	ITC Proceeding
05-1201	Minebea Co, LTD. v. Think Outside, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1201	Minebea Co, LTD. v. Think Outside, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1201	Minebea Co, LTD. v. Think Outside, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1292	Summit Technology, Inc. v. Nidek Co., LTD, et al.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Rader	Costs		Affirmed, Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion

05-1321	In re Scott E. Johnston	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
04-1189	Purdue Pharma v. Endo Pharmaceuticals	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
04-1189	Purdue Pharma v. Endo Pharmaceuticals	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1189	Purdue Pharma v. Endo Pharmaceuticals	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
05-1136	Varco, L.P. v. Pason Systems USA Corp.	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction
05-1136	Varco, L.P. v. Pason Systems USA Corp.	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
05-1119	Brown v. Barbacid	Newman, Prost, Rader	Interference	Priority	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
05-1179	Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Schall	Interference	Interference in Fact	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1179	Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Schall	Interference	Priority	Reversed	Bench Trial
05-1128	Digital Control v. The Charles Machine Works (also	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1128	Digital Control v. The Charles Machine Works (also	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated	Summary Judgment
05-1446	Hazelquist v. Guchi Moochie Tackle Co.	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Bankruptcy		Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1609	Golden Blount, Inc. v. Robert H. Peterson, Co.	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1609	Golden Blount, Inc. v. Robert H. Peterson, Co.	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Damages	Lost Profits	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
04-1609	Golden Blount, Inc. v. Robert H. Peterson, Co.	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1609	Golden Blount, Inc. v. Robert H. Peterson, Co.	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1609	Golden Blount, Inc. v. Robert H. Peterson, Co.	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1609	Golden Blount, Inc. v. Robert H. Peterson, Co.	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Willfulness		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1284	Ferring B.V., et al. v. Barr Laboratories, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1373	Curtiss-Wright Flow Control, Corp. v. Velan, Inc.	Dyk, Friedman, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction
05-1373	Curtiss-Wright Flow Control, Corp. v. Velan, Inc.	Dyk, Friedman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
05-1346	MAG-NIF, Inc. v. Royal Sovereign Int'l, Inc., et a	Dyk, Rader, Schall	Contempt	Settlement Agreement	Affirmed	Order on Motion

05-1346	MAG-NIF, Inc. v. Royal Sovereign Int'l, Inc., et a	Dyk, Rader, Schall	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1390	Forcillo v. Lemond Fitness, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Mayer, Michel	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1253	Lawman Armor v. Winne Internnational	Dyk, Friedman, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1522	SmithKline Beecham Corp. v. Apotex [corrected date	Dyk, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1224	M. Eagles Tool Warehouse v. Fisher Tooling Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
05-1224	M. Eagles Tool Warehouse v. Fisher Tooling Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1224	M. Eagles Tool Warehouse v. Fisher Tooling Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Lanham Act		Vacated	Summary Judgment
05-1224	M. Eagles Tool Warehouse v. Fisher Tooling Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Unfair Competition		Vacated	Summary Judgment
05-1096	Jazz Photo v. U.S.	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Exhaustion/First Sale		Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1138	Aspex Eyewear, Inc., et al. v. Miracle Optics, Inc	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
04-1138	Aspex Eyewear, Inc., et al. v. Miracle Optics, Inc	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1138	Aspex Eyewear, Inc., et al. v. Miracle Optics, Inc	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1114	Bonzel v. Pfizer, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1159	Amini Innovation Corp. v Anthony California, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1159	Amini Innovation Corp. v Anthony California, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1159	Amini Innovation Corp. v Anthony California, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Rader	Copyright	Infringement	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1488	Fiber Optic Designs, Inc., et al. v. Seasonal Spec	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction
05-1488	Fiber Optic Designs, Inc., et al. v. Seasonal Spec	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
05-1370	In Re Micheal C. Scroogie, et al.	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
05-1370	In Re Micheal C. Scroogie, et al.	Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection

05-1370	In Re Micheal C. Scroogie, et al.	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
05-1370	In Re Micheal C. Scroogie, et al.	Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
05-1278	Schoenhaus v. Genesco	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1278	Schoenhaus v. Genesco	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1339	Microchip Technology v. The Chamberlain Group	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1236	Arlaine & Gina Rockey v. Cordis Corp.	Gajarsa, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1236	Arlaine & Gina Rockey v. Cordis Corp.	Gajarsa, Michel, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1236	Arlaine & Gina Rockey v. Cordis Corp.	Gajarsa, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1556	Rozenblat v. Sandia Corp., et al.	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1556	Rozenblat v. Sandia Corp., et al.	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1556	Rozenblat v. Sandia Corp., et al.	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Administrative Exhaustion		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1168	Bicon, Inc, et al. v. The Straumann Company, et al	Bryson, Gajarsa, Michel	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1168	Bicon, Inc, et al. v. The Straumann Company, et al	Bryson, Gajarsa, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1168	Bicon, Inc, et al. v. The Straumann Company, et al	Bryson, Gajarsa, Michel	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1168	Bicon, Inc, et al. v. The Straumann Company, et al	Bryson, Gajarsa, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1375	Infiltrator Systems, Inc., et al. v. Cultec, Inc.,	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Injunction	Preliminary	Dismissed	Preliminary Injunction
04-1616	In re Leonard R. Kahn	Linn, Michel, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
05-1038	Kao Corporation, et al. v. Unilever United States,	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1038	Kao Corporation, et al. v. Unilever United States,	Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1038	Kao Corporation, et al. v. Unilever United States,	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial

05-1038	Kao Corporation, et al. v. Unilever United States,	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1038	Kao Corporation, et al. v. Unilever United States,	Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1338	Exigent Technology, Inc. v. Atrana Solutions, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
05-1338	Exigent Technology, Inc. v. Atrana Solutions, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Discovery	56f	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1338	Exigent Technology, Inc. v. Atrana Solutions, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1338	Exigent Technology, Inc. v. Atrana Solutions, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1338	Exigent Technology, Inc. v. Atrana Solutions, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Settlement Agreement		Reversed	Order on Motion
05-1103	Wilson Sporting Goods Company v. Hillerich & Brads	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
05-1359	Atofina v. Great Lakes Chemical Corporation	Dyk, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1359	Atofina v. Great Lakes Chemical Corporation	Dyk, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1359	Atofina v. Great Lakes Chemical Corporation	Dyk, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
05-1359	Atofina v. Great Lakes Chemical Corporation	Lourie, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Bench Trial a
05-1388	U.S. Philips Corp. v. Princo Corp., et al.	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Patent Misuse		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1329	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. et al. v. Aspex Eyewear,	Gajarsa, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
05-1329	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. et al. v. Aspex Eyewear,	Gajarsa, Newman, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-5100	Zoltek Corp. v. U.S.	Dyk, Gajarsa	Sovereign Immunity	1498	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-5100	Zoltek Corp. v. U.S.	Dyk, Gajarsa	Sovereign Immunity	Tucker Act	Reversed	Order on Motion
05-1074	On Demand Machine Corp. v. Ingram Industries, Inc.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
05-1074	On Demand Machine Corp. v. Ingram Industries, Inc.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict
05-1378	Athletic Alternatives, Inc. v. Benetton Trading US	Bryson, Mayer, Michel	Right to Jury Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1378	Athletic Alternatives, Inc. v. Benetton Trading US	Bryson, Mayer, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1378	Athletic Alternatives, Inc. v. Benetton Trading US	Bryson, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Bench Trial
05-1378	Athletic Alternatives, Inc. v. Benetton Trading US	Bryson, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1378	Athletic Alternatives, Inc. v. Benetton Trading US	Bryson, Mayer, Michel	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1378	Athletic Alternatives, Inc. v. Benetton Trading US	Bryson, Mayer, Michel	Statute of Limitations	Contract	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

05-1299	Semitool Inc. v. Dynamic Micro Systems	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1299	Semitool Inc. v. Dynamic Micro Systems	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1471	Pods, Inc. v. Porta Stor, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1221	Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Metabolite La	Friedman, Linn, Michel	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion
05-1221	Breckenridge Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Metabolite La	Friedman, Linn, Michel	Unfair Competition		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1513	Aventis Pharma S.A., et al. v. Amphastar Pharmaceu	Prost, Rader, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1568	Glenayre Electronics, Inc. v. Jackson, et al.	Bryson, Prost	Damages	Base	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1452	Patriot Scientific Corp., et al. v. Moore et al.	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Disqualification/Reassignment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1351	Philips Electronics North America, et al. v. Conte	Friedman, Gajarsa, Michel	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
05-1351	Philips Electronics North America, et al. v. Conte	Friedman, Gajarsa, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1351	Philips Electronics North America, et al. v. Conte	Friedman, Gajarsa, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1351	Philips Electronics North America, et al. v. Conte	Friedman, Gajarsa, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
05-1351	Philips Electronics North America, et al. v. Conte	Friedman, Gajarsa, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
05-1177	Lava Trading v. Sonic Trading Management, et al.	Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
05-1412	Bruckelmyer v. Ground Heaters, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1173	Sun Coast Merchandise Corp., et al. v. CCL Product	Linn, Michel, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1173	Sun Coast Merchandise Corp., et al. v. CCL Product	Linn, Michel, Prost	Attorney's Fees	1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1173	Sun Coast Merchandise Corp., et al. v. CCL Product	Linn, Michel, Prost	Attorney's Fees	Inherent Powers	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1173	Sun Coast Merchandise Corp., et al. v. CCL Product	Linn, Michel, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
05-1173	Sun Coast Merchandise Corp., et al. v. CCL Product	Linn, Michel, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing

05-1173	Sun Coast Merchandise Corp., et al. v. CCL Product	Linn, Michel, Prost	Infringement	Literal		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1173	Sun Coast Merchandise Corp., et al. v. CCL Product	Linn, Michel, Prost	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1173	Sun Coast Merchandise Corp., et al. v. CCL Product	Linn, Michel, Prost	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1493	Parental Guide of Texas, Inc. v. Thomson, Inc.	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Settlement Agreement			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1269	Kinzenbaw, et al. v. Case LLC	Dyk, Bryson, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1269	Kinzenbaw, et al. v. Case LLC	Bryson, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
05-1319	Kwik Products, Inc., et al. v. National Express, I	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Claim Construction	Means for		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1319	Kwik Products, Inc., et al. v. National Express, I	Lourie, Mayer, Miche	Infringement	Design		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1483	Kinzenbaw, et al. v. Case LLC	Bryson, Dyk, Schall	Costs			Affirmed, Reversed	Order on Motion
05-1340	Global Maintech Corp., et al. v. I/O Concepts, Inc	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1340	Global Maintech Corp., et al. v. I/O Concepts, Inc	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1131	Kyocera Wireless Company v. President Electronics,	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1131	Kyocera Wireless Company v. President Electronics,	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Bench Trial
Misc-80	In re EchoStar Communications Corporation [Order]	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Attorney Client Privilege	Opinion of Counsel		Mandamus Granted	Order on Motion
05-1271	All Computers, Inc. v. Intel Corp.	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Jurisdiction	Appeal		Dismissed	NA
05-5025	Paymaster Technologies Inc. v. U.S.	Dyk, Friedman, Michel	Damages	Base		Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
05-5025	Paymaster Technologies Inc. v. U.S.	Dyk, Friedman, Michel	Damages	Reasonable Royalty		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-5025	Paymaster Technologies Inc. v. U.S.	Dyk, Friedman, Michel	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1542	Dow Agrosiences LLC v. Crompton Corp., et al.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Schall	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1542	Dow Agrosiences LLC v. Crompton Corp., et al.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Schall	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1542	Dow Agrosiences LLC v. Crompton Corp., et al.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Schall	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1123	Old Town Canoe Company v. Confluence Holdings Corp	Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Hearing

05-1123	Old Town Canoe Company v. Confluence Holdings Corp	Linn, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
05-1123	Old Town Canoe Company v. Confluence Holdings Corp	Linn, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
05-1123	Old Town Canoe Company v. Confluence Holdings Corp	Linn, Schall	Validity	Best Mode	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
05-1123	Old Town Canoe Company v. Confluence Holdings Corp	Linn, Schall	Validity	Enablement	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
05-1123	Old Town Canoe Company v. Confluence Holdings Corp	Linn, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
05-1123	Old Town Canoe Company v. Confluence Holdings Corp	Linn, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	JMOL
05-1233	Inpro II Licensing, S.A.R.L. v. T-Mobile USA, et a	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1233	Inpro II Licensing, S.A.R.L. v. T-Mobile USA, et a	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1233	Inpro II Licensing, S.A.R.L. v. T-Mobile USA, et a	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1510	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. et al. v. Aspex Eyewear,	Clevenger, Prost, Schall	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
05-1314	Applied Medical Resources v. United States Surgica	Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1129	Mstar Semiconductor, Inc. v. ITC, et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Investigator
05-1129	Mstar Semiconductor, Inc. v. ITC, et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Investigator
05-1280	Advanced Cardiovascula Systems v. Medtronic Vascu	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1280	Advanced Cardiovascula Systems v. Medtronic Vascu	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1280	Advanced Cardiovascula Systems v. Medtronic Vascu	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Statute of Limitations	State Law Claims	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1324	Falko-Gunter Falkner, et al. v. Inglis, et al.	Archer, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
05-1105	Liquid Dynamics Corp. v. Vaughan Company, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1105	Liquid Dynamics Corp. v. Vaughan Company, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1105	Liquid Dynamics Corp. v. Vaughan Company, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	JMOL

05-1105	Liquid Dynamics Corp. v. Vaughan Company, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1105	Liquid Dynamics Corp. v. Vaughan Company, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1105	Liquid Dynamics Corp. v. Vaughan Company, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1260	Pactive Corp. v. Dow Chemical Company	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1470	Xerox Corp. v. 3Com Corp., et al.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
04-1470	Xerox Corp. v. 3Com Corp., et al.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1470	Xerox Corp. v. 3Com Corp., et al.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1574	Motionless Keyboard Co., et al. v. Microsoft Corp.	Bryson, Michel, Plager	Intervention		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1337	Panduit Corp. v. Hellermannntyton Corp.	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1337	Panduit Corp. v. Hellermannntyton Corp.	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1337	Panduit Corp. v. Hellermannntyton Corp.	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1337	Panduit Corp. v. Hellermannntyton Corp.	Gajarsa, Linn, Michel	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1001	Primos, Inc. v. Hunter's Specialties, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Jury Instruction	Adverse Inference	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1001	Primos, Inc. v. Hunter's Specialties, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1001	Primos, Inc. v. Hunter's Specialties, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1001	Primos, Inc. v. Hunter's Specialties, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1001	Primos, Inc. v. Hunter's Specialties, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1237	Competitive Techs. v. Fujitsu Ltd.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1237	Competitive Techs. v. Fujitsu Ltd.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1237	Competitive Techs. v. Fujitsu Ltd.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1237	Competitive Techs. v. Fujitsu Ltd.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1237	Competitive Techs. v. Fujitsu Ltd.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1425	Bennett Regulator Guards, Inc. v. Canadian Meter C	Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1110	Gemmy Industries Corp. v. Chrisha Creations Limite	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion

05-1110	Gemmy Industries Corp. v. Chrisha Creations Limite	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1407	Honeywell International, Inc., et al. v. ITT Indus	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1407	Honeywell International, Inc., et al. v. ITT Indus	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Reversed-1 element	Summary Judgment
05-1407	Honeywell International, Inc., et al. v. ITT Indus	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1407	Honeywell International, Inc., et al. v. ITT Indus	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1433	Abbott Laboratories v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction
05-1433	Abbott Laboratories v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
05-1433	Abbott Laboratories v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
05-1433	Abbott Laboratories v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
05-1079	AGFA Corp. v. CREO Products, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1079	AGFA Corp. v. CREO Products, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Rader	Right to Jury Trial	Inequitable Conduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1079	AGFA Corp. v. CREO Products, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1079	AGFA Corp. v. CREO Products, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1273	NPF, Ltd. v. Smart Parts, Inc.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
05-1418	Cordis Corp. v. Boston Scientific Corp., et al.	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
05-1418	Cordis Corp. v. Boston Scientific Corp., et al.	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1614	Momentum Golf, Inc. v. Swingrite Golf Corp. (also	Archer, Dyk, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1614	Momentum Golf, Inc. v. Swingrite Golf Corp. (also	Archer, Dyk	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1251	LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Exhaustion/First Sale		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1251	LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1251	LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Agreement	License	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1251	LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Exhaustion/First Sale		Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1251	LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

05-1251	LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1251	LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1251	LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1251	LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1251	LG Electronics, Inc. v. Bizcom Electronics, Inc.,	Mayer, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1247	Rogers v. Desa International, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1354	Flex-Rest, LLC v. Steelcase, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1354	Flex-Rest, LLC v. Steelcase, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1354	Flex-Rest, LLC v. Steelcase, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1354	Flex-Rest, LLC v. Steelcase, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Motion Ruling
05-1354	Flex-Rest, LLC v. Steelcase, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1032	Intel v. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Re	Bryson, Michel, Plager	Sovereign Immunity	Foreign	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1374	Regents of the University of California v. Universi	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Interference	135(b)(1)	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Linn, Lourie, Plager	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Jury Trial
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Plager, Linn	Inventorship	Misjoinder	Affirmed	Jury Trial
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Plager, Linn	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Plager, Linn	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Plager, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Plager, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Plager, Linn	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Plager, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Plager, Linn	False Advertising	Damages	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Plager, Linn	Evidence		Affirmed	Motion Ruling
05-1182	Jumpsport, Inc. v. Jumpking, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Plager, Linn	False Advertising		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1595	Louisville Bedding Company v. Pollowtex Corporatio	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion

06-1054	Wireless Agents LLC v. Sony Ericsson Mobile Commun	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
06-1054	Wireless Agents LLC v. Sony Ericsson Mobile Commun	Rader, Gajarsa, Dyk	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
05-1507	Syngenta Seeds, Inc., et al. v. Delta Cotton Co-Op	Rader, Schall, Gajarsa	Damages	PVPA	Reversed	Jury Trial
05-1507	Syngenta Seeds, Inc., et al. v. Delta Cotton Co-Op	Rader, Schall, Gajarsa	Infringement	PVPA	Reversed	Jury Trial
05-1507	Syngenta Seeds, Inc., et al. v. Delta Cotton Co-Op	Rader, Schall, Gajarsa	Lanham Act		Reversed	Jury Verdict
06-1238	Eolas Technologies v. Microsoft Corp.	Plager, Prost, Rader	Disqualification/Reassignment		Reversed	Motion Ruling
06-1179	Pfizer, Inc. v. Ranbaxy Laboratories, Limited	Dyk, Michel, Schall	Patent Term Extension		Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1179	Pfizer, Inc. v. Ranbaxy Laboratories, Limited	Michel, Schall, Dyk	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1157	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel (now known as Aven	Clevenger, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
05-1157	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel (now known as Aven	Michel, Clevenger, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1157	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel (now known as Aven	Michel, Clevenger, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Bench Trial
05-1157	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel (now known as Aven	Michel, Clevenger, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed in part, Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
05-1157	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel (now known as Aven	Michel, Clevenger, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1157	Amgen v. Hoechst Marion Roussel (now known as Aven	Michel, Clevenger, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1083	Bayer AG v. Housey Pharmaceuticals	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Motion Ruling
05-1537	Venture Industries Corp., et al. v. Autoliv ASP, I	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1537	Venture Industries Corp., et al. v. Autoliv ASP, I	Linn, Dyk, Prost	Evidence		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1537	Venture Industries Corp., et al. v. Autoliv ASP, I	Linn, Dyk, Prost	Jury Instructions		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1537	Venture Industries Corp., et al. v. Autoliv ASP, I	Linn, Dyk, Prost	Prejudgment Interest		Vacate, Remanc	Motion Ruling
05-1440	Pennington Seed, Inc., et al. v. Produce Exchange	Rader, Schall, Gajarsa	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1440	Pennington Seed, Inc., et al. v. Produce Exchange	Rader, Schall, Gajarsa	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Order on Motion

05-1106	Serio-Us Industries, Inc. v. Plastic Recovery Tech	Lourie, Rader, Linn	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1106	Serio-Us Industries, Inc. v. Plastic Recovery Tech	Lourie, Rader, Linn	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1106	Serio-Us Industries, Inc. v. Plastic Recovery Tech	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Evidence			Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1106	Serio-Us Industries, Inc. v. Plastic Recovery Tech	Lourie, Rader, Linn	Jury Instructions			Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1106	Serio-Us Industries, Inc. v. Plastic Recovery Tech	Lourie, Rader, Linn	Lanham Act			Affirmed	Involuntary Dismissal
05-1106	Serio-Us Industries, Inc. v. Plastic Recovery Tech	Lourie, Rader, Linn	New Trial			NA	NA
05-1106	Serio-Us Industries, Inc. v. Plastic Recovery Tech	Lourie, Rader, Linn	Tortious Inteference			Affirmed	Involuntary Dismissal
05-1106	Serio-Us Industries, Inc. v. Plastic Recovery Tech	Lourie, Rader, Linn	Unfair Competition			Affirmed	Involuntary Dismissal
05-1553	Tegic Communications Corp. v. Board of Regents of	Archer, Gajarsa, Newman	Sovereign Immunity	State		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1532	Monsanto Company v. Scruggs, et al.	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Exhaustion/First Sale			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1532	Monsanto Company v. Scruggs, et al.	Mayer, Bryson, Dyk	Agreement	License		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1532	Monsanto Company v. Scruggs, et al.	Mayer, Bryson	Patent Misuse			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1532	Monsanto Company v. Scruggs, et al.	Mayer, Bryson, Dyk	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1532	Monsanto Company v. Scruggs, et al.	Mayer, Bryson, Dyk	Validity	Enablement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1532	Monsanto Company v. Scruggs, et al.	Mayer, Bryson, Dyk	Validity	Written Description		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1225	Korsinsky v. Microsoft Corp.	Newman, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1225	Korsinsky v. Microsoft Corp.	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Validity	Maintenance Fee Lapse		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1363	Conoco v. Energy & Environmental International	Archer, Bryson, Gajarsa	Injunction	Permanent		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1363	Conoco v. Energy & Environmental International	Bryson, Archer, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Hearing and Bench Trial-with Expert Testim
05-1363	Conoco v. Energy & Environmental International	Bryson, Archer, Gajarsa	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1363	Conoco v. Energy & Environmental International	Bryson, Archer, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1458	Cook Biotech Inc., et al. v. Acell, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Inventorship	Co-Inventors		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1458	Cook Biotech Inc., et al. v. Acell, Inc., et al.	Newman, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General		Reversed	Markman Hearing

05-1458	Cook Biotech Inc., et al. v. Acell, Inc., et al.	Newman, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
05-1458	Cook Biotech Inc., et al. v. Acell, Inc., et al.	Newman, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
05-1458	Cook Biotech Inc., et al. v. Acell, Inc., et al.	Newman, Lourie, Prost	Unjust Enrichment		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1445	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. Benun, et al.	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
05-1555	Meade Instruments v. Yamcon	Mayer, Bryson, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1555	Meade Instruments v. Yamcon	Bryson, Linn, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1426	Ormco Corporation v. Align Technology	Dyk, Gajarsa, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1019	Alza Corporation v. Mylan Laboratories	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1019	Alza Corporation v. Mylan Laboratories	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1047	Alza Corporation v. Impax Laboratories, Inc.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1139	Dinesol Building Products, Ltd. v. Tapco Internati	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Order on motion
05-1142	Massachusetts Institute of Technology v. Abacus So	Dyk, Friedman	Amendment	Patent Contentions	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
05-1142	Massachusetts Institute of Technology v. Abacus So	Dyk, Friedman	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1142	Massachusetts Institute of Technology v. Abacus So	Dyk, Friedman	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
05-1142	Massachusetts Institute of Technology v. Abacus So	Dyk, Friedman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1414	Kim v. Conagra Foods, Inc.	Archer, Dyk	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1414	Kim v. Conagra Foods, Inc.	Archer, Dyk	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
05-1414	Kim v. Conagra Foods, Inc.	Archer, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1414	Kim v. Conagra Foods, Inc.	Archer, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1414	Kim v. Conagra Foods, Inc.	Archer, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	JMOL
06-1087	Nichols Institute Diagnostics v. Scantibodies Clin	Linn, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1479	Kemin Foods v. Pigmentos Vegetales De Centro S.A.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Amendment	Counterclaim	Affirmed	Order on Motion

05-1479	Kemin Foods v. Pigmentos Vegetales De Centro S.A.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1479	Kemin Foods v. Pigmentos Vegetales De Centro S.A.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1479	Kemin Foods v. Pigmentos Vegetales De Centro S.A.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1479	Kemin Foods v. Pigmentos Vegetales De Centro S.A.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1479	Kemin Foods v. Pigmentos Vegetales De Centro S.A.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1479	Kemin Foods v. Pigmentos Vegetales De Centro S.A.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1479	Kemin Foods v. Pigmentos Vegetales De Centro S.A.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Evidence		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1161	Sheinbein v. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Disbarment		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1283	AERO Products International, Inc., et al. v. INTEX	Dyk, Rader, Schall	Trademark Damages	Infringer's Profits	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
05-1283	AERO Products International, Inc., et al. v. INTEX	Dyk, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1283	AERO Products International, Inc., et al. v. INTEX	Dyk, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1283	AERO Products International, Inc., et al. v. INTEX	Dyk, Rader, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Order on Motion after trial
05-1365	SRAM Corp. v. AD-II Engineering, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1088	Dystar Textilfarben GMBH & Co Deutschland KG v. C.	Michel, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
05-1545	Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, et al. v. Nov	Linn, Mayer, Newman	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1063	In re Martin G. Reiffin	Linn, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
06-1063	In re Martin G. Reiffin	Linn, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection

06-1063	In re Martin G. Reiffin	Linn, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
05-5144	Figueroa v. U.S.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Constitutionality	Patent Clause	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1515	Medtronic, Inc. v. Guidant Corporation, et al.	Michel, Schall	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1082	Medrad, Inc. v. Tyco Healthcare Group LP, et al.	Archer, Linn, Michel	Validity	Reissue	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1317	Qualcomm Incorporated, et al. v. Nokia Corporation	Prost, Schall	Arbitration Referral		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1182	Safeclick, LLC v. Visa International Service Assoc	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1241	Go Medical Industries PTY, LTD, et al. v. Inmec Co	Archer, Linn, Michel	Trademark	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	JMOL
05-1241	Go Medical Industries PTY, LTD, et al. v. Inmec Co	Archer, Linn, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1241	Go Medical Industries PTY, LTD, et al. v. Inmec Co	Archer, Linn, Michel	Breach of Contract	Damages	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
05-1241	Go Medical Industries PTY, LTD, et al. v. Inmec Co	Archer, Linn, Michel	Permanent Injunction		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1241	Go Medical Industries PTY, LTD, et al. v. Inmec Co	Archer, Linn, Michel	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1021	Abbott Laboratories, et al. v. Baxter Pharmaceutical	Archer, Bryson, Gajarsa	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
06-1090	Akeva L.L.C. v. Adidas-Salomon AG, et al.	Michel, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
06-1090	Akeva L.L.C. v. Adidas-Salomon AG, et al.	Michel, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1064	02 Micro International v. Monolithic Power Systems	Dyk, Michel, Prost	Amendment	Patent Contentions	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1064	02 Micro International v. Monolithic Power Systems	Dyk, Michel, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1064	02 Micro International v. Monolithic Power Systems	Dyk, Michel, Prost	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1118	Abraxis Bioscience v. Mayne Pharma	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
06-1118	Abraxis Bioscience v. Mayne Pharma	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial

06-1118	Abraxis Bioscience v. Mayne Pharma	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
05-1518	Optivus Technology, Inc. et al. v. Ion Beam Appli	Archer, Bryson, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1518	Optivus Technology, Inc. et al. v. Ion Beam Appli	Archer, Bryson, Linn	Unfair Competition		Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1518	Optivus Technology, Inc. et al. v. Ion Beam Appli	Archer, Bryson, Linn	Intentional Interference		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1518	Optivus Technology, Inc. et al. v. Ion Beam Appli	Archer, Bryson, Linn	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1518	Optivus Technology, Inc. et al. v. Ion Beam Appli	Archer, Bryson, Linn	Lanham Act		Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1518	Optivus Technology, Inc. et al. v. Ion Beam Appli	Archer, Bryson, Linn	Lanham Act		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1169	PHG Technologies, LLC v. St. John Companies, Inc.	Dyk, Michel, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction
05-1311	Depuy Spine, Inc. (formerly known as Depuy Acromed	Linn, Newman, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1311	Depuy Spine, Inc. (formerly known as Depuy Acromed	Linn, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1311	Depuy Spine, Inc. (formerly known as Depuy Acromed	Linn, Newman, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1311	Depuy Spine, Inc. (formerly known as Depuy Acromed	Linn, Newman, Prost	License Agreement		Affirmed	JMOL
05-1313	Impax Laboratories, Inc. v. Aventis Pharmaceutical	Prost, Rader, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial a
05-1313	Impax Laboratories, Inc. v. Aventis Pharmaceutical	Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
05-1547	Highway Equipment Company, Inc. v. Feco, LTD, et a	Dyk, Linn, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1547	Highway Equipment Company, Inc. v. Feco, LTD, et a	Dyk, Linn, Schall	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1547	Highway Equipment Company, Inc. v. Feco, LTD, et a	Dyk, Linn, Schall	Jurisdiction	Supplemental	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
05-1612	Dentsply International, Inc., et al. v. Hu-Friedy	Michel, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1612	Dentsply International, Inc., et al. v. Hu-Friedy	Michel, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1073	Thompson v. Microsoft Corporation	Bryson, Linn, Mayer	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Transfer	NA
06-1613	Sanofi-Synthelabo, et al. v. Apotex, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion

06-1010	Classified Cosmetics, Inc. v. Del Laboratories, In	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1010	Classified Cosmetics, Inc. v. Del Laboratories, In	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Validity	Utility	Reversed	Summary Judgment
04-1620	DSU Medical Corporation, et al. v. JMC Co., LTD, e	Linn, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
04-1620	DSU Medical Corporation, et al. v. JMC Co., LTD, e	Linn, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1620	DSU Medical Corporation, et al. v. JMC Co., LTD, e	Linn, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1620	DSU Medical Corporation, et al. v. JMC Co., LTD, e	Linn, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1620	DSU Medical Corporation, et al. v. JMC Co., LTD, e	Linn, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
04-1620	DSU Medical Corporation, et al. v. JMC Co., LTD, e	Linn, Rader, Schall	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1620	DSU Medical Corporation, et al. v. JMC Co., LTD, e	Linn, Rader, Schall	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1476	Planet Bingo, LLC, et al. v. Gametech International	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1476	Planet Bingo, LLC, et al. v. Gametech International	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1476	Planet Bingo, LLC, et al. v. Gametech International	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1476	Planet Bingo, LLC, et al. v. Gametech International	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1111	Monster Cable Products, Inc. v. The Quest Group (d	Gajarsa, Moore, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1111	Monster Cable Products, Inc. v. The Quest Group (d	Gajarsa, Moore, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1111	Monster Cable Products, Inc. v. The Quest Group (d	Gajarsa, Moore, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1065	L&W, Inc. v. Shertech, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1065	L&W, Inc. v. Shertech, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Mayer	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1065	L&W, Inc. v. Shertech, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1065	L&W, Inc. v. Shertech, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Clevenger, Mayer	Inconsistent Verdicts		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1017	Plumtree Software, Inc. v. Datamize, LLC	Dyk, Friedman, Newman	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

06-1017	Plumtree Software, Inc. v. Datamize, LLC	Dyk, Friedman, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1473	Douglas, Sr. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1473	Douglas, Sr. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1473	Douglas, Sr. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Copyright	Infringement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1473	Douglas, Sr. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Default		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1473	Douglas, Sr. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	False Advertising		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1473	Douglas, Sr. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Trade Dress	Infringement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1473	Douglas, Sr. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Trademark	Infringement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1473	Douglas, Sr. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1396	Eli Lily v. Zenith Goldline	Gajarsa, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1396	Eli Lily v. Zenith Goldline	Gajarsa, Rader, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1396	Eli Lily v. Zenith Goldline	Gajarsa, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1140	Engate v. Esquire Deposition Services, LLC	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1140	Engate v. Esquire Deposition Services, LLC	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1140	Engate v. Esquire Deposition Services, LLC	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1140	Engate v. Esquire Deposition Services, LLC	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1140	Engate v. Esquire Deposition Services, LLC	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
06-1140	Engate v. Esquire Deposition Services, LLC	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1074	Ventana Medical Systems, Inc. v. Biogenex Laborato	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
06-1222	Propat International Corp., et al. v. Rpost, Inc.,	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1222	Propat International Corp., et al. v. Rpost, Inc.,	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Attorney's Fees	1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1222	Propat International Corp., et al. v. Rpost, Inc.,	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1222	Propat International Corp., et al. v. Rpost, Inc.,	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion

06-1222	Propat International Corp., et al. v. Rpost, Inc.,	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Motion to Dismiss	Standing	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1101	Abbott Laboratories v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Ellis*, Michel, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
06-1101	Abbott Laboratories v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Ellis*, Michel, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
06-1101	Abbott Laboratories v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Ellis*, Michel, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
06-1096	Amini Innovation Corp.v. Anthony California, Inc.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1245	Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Concepts in Optics	Archer, Moore, Radei	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1245	Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Concepts in Optics	Archer, Moore, Radei	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1556	Grasty v. U.S. Patent & Trademark Off.	Michel, Prost, Schall	Motion to Dismiss	Lack of Jurisdiction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1556	Grasty v. U.S. Patent & Trademark Off.	Michel, Prost, Schall	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1575	Roper v. Jo-Ann Stores, Inc.	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1575	Roper v. Jo-Ann Stores, Inc.	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1168	Desa IP v. EML Technologies [corrected cover sheet	Michel, Plager, Radei	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Hearing
06-1272	Shanghai Meihao Electric v. Leviton	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1272	Shanghai Meihao Electric v. Leviton	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
06-1272	Shanghai Meihao Electric v. Leviton	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1017	Charles W. Parker v. Microsoft	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Motion to Dismiss	Frivolous	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1618	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. International Trade C	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Standing		Dismissed	NA
04-1618	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. International Trade C	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
04-1618	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. International Trade C	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Repair		Affirmed	USITC Decision
04-1618	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. International Trade C	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Repair		Reversed	USITC Decision
06-1356	E-Pass Technologies, Inc. v. 3Com Corporation (als	Linn, Michel, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1077	Transclean Corporation et al. v. Jiffy Lube Intern	Bryson, Michel, Plager	Estoppel	Judicial	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1077	Transclean Corporation et al. v. Jiffy Lube Intern	Bryson, Michel, Plager	Estoppel	Judicial	Reversed	Summary Judgment

06-1077	Transclean Corporation et al. v. Jiffy Lube Intern	Bryson, Michel, Plager	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1102	Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Caraco Pharma	Gajarsa, McKinney, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1102	Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Caraco Pharma	Gajarsa, McKinney, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1102	Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Caraco Pharma	Gajarsa, McKinney, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1100	VAS-CATH, Inc. v. Curators of the University of Mi	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Sovereign Immunity	State	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1100	VAS-CATH, Inc. v. Curators of the University of Mi	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Motion to Dismiss	Sovereign Immunity	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1062	MBO Laboratories, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Comp	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
06-1062	MBO Laboratories, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Comp	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
06-1062	MBO Laboratories, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Comp	Bryson, Clevenger, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
06-1114	Logan, Jr., et al. v Hormel Foods, Inc., et al.	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Summary Judgment
06-1114	Logan, Jr., et al. v Hormel Foods, Inc., et al.	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1114	Logan, Jr., et al. v Hormel Foods, Inc., et al.	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1188	Hydril Company, LP, et al. v. Grant Prideco LP, et	Bryson, Friedman	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1188	Hydril Company, LP, et al. v. Grant Prideco LP, et	Bryson, Friedman	Antitrust		Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1376	Adrain v. Superchips, Inc., et al.	Dyk, Michel, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
06-1376	Adrain v. Superchips, Inc., et al.	Dyk, Michel, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1376	Adrain v. Superchips, Inc., et al.	Dyk, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1434	Andersen Corp. v. Fiber Composites, LLC	Bryson, Prost, Saris	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
05-1434	Andersen Corp. v. Fiber Composites, LLC	Bryson, Prost, Saris	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
05-1434	Andersen Corp. v. Fiber Composites, LLC	Bryson, Prost, Saris	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment

05-1434	Andersen Corp. v. Fiber Composites, LLC	Bryson, Prost, Saris	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1434	Andersen Corp. v. Fiber Composites, LLC	Bryson, Prost, Saris	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1434	Andersen Corp. v. Fiber Composites, LLC	Bryson, Prost, Saris	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1368	International Electronic Technology Corp. v. Hughe	Gajarsa, Jordan, Moore	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
06-1218	Israel Bio-Engineering Project v. Amgen, Inc., et	Bryson, Prost, Saris	Standing		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1218	Israel Bio-Engineering Project v. Amgen, Inc., et	Bryson, Prost, Saris	Ownership	Sole	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1238	Voda, M.D. v. Cordis Corporation	Gajarsa, Prost	Jurisdiction	Supplemental	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1289	Medtronic Navigation v. Brainlab Medizinische Comp	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
06-1289	Medtronic Navigation v. Brainlab Medizinische Comp	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
06-1289	Medtronic Navigation v. Brainlab Medizinische Comp	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
06-1289	Medtronic Navigation v. Brainlab Medizinische Comp	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	PHE		Affirmed	JMOL
06-1289	Medtronic Navigation v. Brainlab Medizinische Comp	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
06-1289	Medtronic Navigation v. Brainlab Medizinische Comp	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
05-1330	Dippin' Dots, Inc., et al. v. Mosey, et al. v. Est	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Antitrust Attorney's Fees		Reversed	Order on Motion
05-1330	Dippin' Dots, Inc., et al. v. Mosey, et al. v. Est	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1330	Dippin' Dots, Inc., et al. v. Mosey, et al. v. Est	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1330	Dippin' Dots, Inc., et al. v. Mosey, et al. v. Est	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1330	Dippin' Dots, Inc., et al. v. Mosey, et al. v. Est	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
05-1330	Dippin' Dots, Inc., et al. v. Mosey, et al. v. Est	Gajarsa, Mayer, Rader	Antitrust		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
06-1231	Colassi v. Cybex International	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
06-1231	Colassi v. Cybex International	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing

06-1231	Colassi v. Cybex International	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1265	Cargill v. Cambra Foods	Jordan, Linn, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1265	Cargill v. Cambra Foods	Jordan, Linn, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1450	Mukherjee v. Chu	Michel, Rader, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
05-1610	RFR Indus. v. Century Steps	Michel, Prost, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
05-1610	RFR Indus. v. Century Steps	Michel, Prost, Schall	Motion to Dismiss	Rule 41	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1147	Mymail, Ltd. v. America Online, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1147	Mymail, Ltd. v. America Online, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
06-1147	Mymail, Ltd. v. America Online, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1391	Sevenson Environmental Services, Inc. v. Shaw Envi	Dyk, Linn	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1391	Sevenson Environmental Services, Inc. v. Shaw Envi	Dyk, Linn	Sovereign Immunity	1498	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1398	Hakim v. Cannon Avent Group, PLC, et al.	Michel, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1398	Hakim v. Cannon Avent Group, PLC, et al.	Michel, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1398	Hakim v. Cannon Avent Group, PLC, et al.	Michel, Newman, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1398	Hakim v. Cannon Avent Group, PLC, et al.	Michel, Newman, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1407	Aquatex Industries, Inc. v. Techniche Solutions	Dyk, Rader, Whyte*	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1407	Aquatex Industries, Inc. v. Techniche Solutions	Dyk, Rader, Whyte*	PHE		Reversed	Summary Judgment
MISC841	In re Princo Corporation, et al.	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Mandamus		Granted	NA
06-1190	Sawgrass Technologies, Inc. v. Texas Original Grap	Archer, Prost, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
06-1420	Walter Kidde Portable Equipment, Inc. v. Universal	Gajarsa, Jordan*, Moore	Motion to Dismiss	Rule 41	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1242	In re Arnold B. Serenkin	Gajarsa, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reissue
07-1088	Whirlpool Corp., et al. v. LG Electronics, Inc., e	Linn, Newman, Rade	Motion to Dismiss	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
07-1105	Baychar, Inc., et al. v. Salomon North America, In	Linn, Newman, Rade	Motion to Dismiss	Appeal	Dismissed	NA

06-1497	Polypro, Inc. v. Addison, et al.	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1514	Cellco Partnership (doing business as Verizon Wire	Mayer, Plager, Prost	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1415	Cross Medical Products, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1415	Cross Medical Products, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1415	Cross Medical Products, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1415	Cross Medical Products, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1415	Cross Medical Products, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor	Prost, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1415	Cross Medical Products, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor	Prost, Rader, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1415	Cross Medical Products, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor	Prost, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1385	Espeed, Inc., et al. v. Brokertec USA, L.L.C., et	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1156	Liebel-Flarsheim Company, et al. v. Medrad, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1156	Liebel-Flarsheim Company, et al. v. Medrad, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1261	Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc. (formerly known as To	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
05-1587	RFR Industries, Inc. v. Rex-Hide Industries v. Cen	Michel, Prost, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
05-1300	Sandisk Corp. v. Stmicroelectronics, Inc., et al.	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1070	Nike, Inc. v. Meitac International Enterprise Co.,	Bryson, Friedman, Prost	Motion to Dismiss	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
07-1121	Barbacid, et al. v. Brown, et al.[order]	Bryson, Friedman, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
07-1132	EON-NET, L.P., et al. v. Zimmerman, et al.[order]	Bryson, Friedman, Prost	Stay	Judgment	Denied	NA
06-1211	Citizen Electronics Company, LTD. v. Osrar GMBH, e	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion

06-1211	Citizen Electronics Company, LTD. v. Osram GMBH, e	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1401	Merck & Co., Inc. v. HI-TECH Pharmacal Co.	Friedman, Linn, Plager	Patent Term Extension		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1601	AFG Industries v. Cardinal IG Company	Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1601	AFG Industries v. Cardinal IG Company	Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict
06-1181	Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. v. Novartis Pharmac	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1307	Central Admixture Pharmacy Services v. Advanced Ca	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Amendment	Counterclaim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1307	Central Admixture Pharmacy Services v. Advanced Ca	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1307	Central Admixture Pharmacy Services v. Advanced Ca	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Summary Judgment
06-1307	Central Admixture Pharmacy Services v. Advanced Ca	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
06-1307	Central Admixture Pharmacy Services v. Advanced Ca	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1307	Central Admixture Pharmacy Services v. Advanced Ca	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Validity	Broadening Certificate of Correction	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1077	Black & Decker v. Rober Bosch Tool Corporation[or	Bryson, Friedman, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
MISC847	In re Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., et al. [order]	Bryson, Friedman, Prost	Mandamus		Denied	NA
07-1029	Korsinsky v. Duda	Archer, Gajarsa, Schall	Validity	Maintenance Fee Lapse	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1276	Bass Pro Trademarks v. Cabela's	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Contempt Hearing
06-1276	Bass Pro Trademarks v. Cabela's	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Contempt Hearing
06-1260	Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corporation, et al.	Gajarsa, Linn	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1260	Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corporation, et al.	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
06-1260	Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corporation, et al.	Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1260	Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corporation, et al.	Gajarsa, Linn	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1546	Intamin, LTD. v. Magnetar Technologies, Corp.	Plager, Prost, Rader	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion

05-1546	Intamin, LTD. v. Magnetar Technologies, Corp.	Plager, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1546	Intamin, LTD. v. Magnetar Technologies, Corp.	Plager, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1546	Intamin, LTD. v. Magnetar Technologies, Corp.	Plager, Prost, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1546	Intamin, LTD. v. Magnetar Technologies, Corp.	Plager, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1540	Advanced Technology Materials, Inc. v. Praxair, In	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
04-1621	In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
04-1621	In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1621	In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1621	In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation	Bryson, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1621	In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation	Bryson, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1621	In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1504	Pods, Inc. v. Porta Stor, Inc., et al.	Dyk, O'Malley, Lourie	Standing		NA	NA
06-1504	Pods, Inc. v. Porta Stor, Inc., et al.	Dyk, O'Malley, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
06-1504	Pods, Inc. v. Porta Stor, Inc., et al.	Dyk, O'Malley, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	JMOL
06-1504	Pods, Inc. v. Porta Stor, Inc., et al.	Dyk, O'Malley, Lourie	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	JMOL
06-1504	Pods, Inc. v. Porta Stor, Inc., et al.	Dyk, O'Malley, Lourie	PHE		Reversed	JMOL
06-1582	Foremost in Packaging Systems (Doing Business as E	Friedman, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1582	Foremost in Packaging Systems (Doing Business as E	Friedman, Newman, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1582	Foremost in Packaging Systems (Doing Business as E	Friedman, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1582	Foremost in Packaging Systems (Doing Business as E	Friedman, Newman, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1582	Foremost in Packaging Systems (Doing Business as E	Friedman, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

06-1604	E-Pass Technologies v. Microsoft Corporation, et al.	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1604	E-Pass Technologies v. Microsoft Corporation, et al.	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1203	Syngenta Seeds v. Monsanto Company, et al.	Bryson, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
06-1203	Syngenta Seeds v. Monsanto Company, et al.	Bryson, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
06-1203	Syngenta Seeds v. Monsanto Company, et al.	Bryson, Mayer, Schall	Waiver	Claim	NA	NA
06-1203	Syngenta Seeds v. Monsanto Company, et al.	Bryson, Mayer, Schall	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1402	Leapfrog Enterprises, Inc. v. Fisher-Price, Inc.,	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1402	Leapfrog Enterprises, Inc. v. Fisher-Price, Inc.,	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1542	Henkel Corporation v. The Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Interference	Conception	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
06-1542	Henkel Corporation v. The Procter & Gamble Company	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Interference	Priority	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
06-1419	Brand, et al. v. Miller, et al.	Archer, Dyk, Michel	Interference	Priority	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
06-1419	Brand, et al. v. Miller, et al.	Archer, Dyk, Michel	Interference	Derivation	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
06-1569	General Mills, Inc. v. Kraft Foods Global, Inc.	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1569	General Mills, Inc. v. Kraft Foods Global, Inc.	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Waiver	Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1120	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Company Inc., e	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
06-1120	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Company Inc., e	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
06-1120	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Company Inc., e	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1120	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Company Inc., e	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Willfulness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1120	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Company Inc., e	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL

06-1120	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Company Inc., e	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1120	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Company Inc., e	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1120	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Company Inc., e	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Jury Instructions		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1120	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Company Inc., e	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Disqualification/Reassignment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1242	Wechsler v. Macke International Trade, Inc., et al	Gajarsa, Prost	Damages	Lost Profits	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
05-1242	Wechsler v. Macke International Trade, Inc., et al	Gajarsa, Mayer, Prost	Personal Liability		Reversed	JMOL
05-1242	Wechsler v. Macke International Trade, Inc., et al	Gajarsa, Mayer, Prost	Alter Ego		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1517	McKesson Information Solutions, Inc. v. Bridge Med	Bryson, Clevenger	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1523	Byrne v. The Black & Decker Corporation, et al.	Gajarsa, O'Malley, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1523	Byrne v. The Black & Decker Corporation, et al.	Gajarsa, O'Malley, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1064	Furnace Brook, LLC v. Overstock.com, Inc.	Bryson, Clevenger, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1064	Furnace Brook, LLC v. Overstock.com, Inc.	Bryson, Clevenger, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1064	Furnace Brook, LLC v. Overstock.com, Inc.	Bryson, Clevenger, Mayer	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1570	Monsanto Co. v. McFarling	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
05-1570	Monsanto Co. v. McFarling	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1570	Monsanto Co. v. McFarling	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1112	Honeywell v. Uinversal Avionics	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1112	Honeywell v. Uinversal Avionics	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
05-1112	Honeywell v. Uinversal Avionics	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
05-1112	Honeywell v. Uinversal Avionics	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
05-1112	Honeywell v. Uinversal Avionics	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1112	Honeywell v. Uinversal Avionics	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial

05-1112	Honeywell v. Universal Avionics	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1497	Motionless Keyboard Company v. Microsoft Corporation	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1497	Motionless Keyboard Company v. Microsoft Corporation	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1497	Motionless Keyboard Company v. Microsoft Corporation	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
05-1497	Motionless Keyboard Company v. Microsoft Corporation	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
05-1497	Motionless Keyboard Company v. Microsoft Corporation	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1490	Haberman v. Gerber Products Company	Gajarsa, Michel, Robinson*	Amendment	Counterclaim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1490	Haberman v. Gerber Products Company	Gajarsa, Michel, Robinson*	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1490	Haberman v. Gerber Products Company	Gajarsa, Michel, Robinson*	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1490	Haberman v. Gerber Products Company	Gajarsa, Michel, Robinson*	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	JMOL
06-1490	Haberman v. Gerber Products Company	Gajarsa, Michel, Robinson*	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict
06-1587	Automated Technologies Inc. v. Knapp Logistics &	Garbis*, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1587	Automated Technologies Inc. v. Knapp Logistics &	Garbis*, Mayer, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1645	NMT Medical, Inc., et al. v. Cardia, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1645	NMT Medical, Inc., et al. v. Cardia, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1645	NMT Medical, Inc., et al. v. Cardia, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
07-1207	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. Benun, et al.[order]	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
07-1207	Fuji Photo Film Co., LTD v. Benun, et al.[order]	Gajarsa, Linn, Schall	Sanctions	Rule 38	NA	NA
04-1440	Entegris, Inc. (formerly known as Mykrolis Corpora	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
04-1440	Entegris, Inc. (formerly known as Mykrolis Corpora	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
04-1440	Entegris, Inc. (formerly known as Mykrolis Corpora	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
04-1440	Entegris, Inc. (formerly known as Mykrolis Corpora	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction

07-1056	In re Jed Margolin	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
07-1056	In re Jed Margolin	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
06-1350	Biomedino, LLC v. Waters Technologies Corporation,	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
06-1350	Biomedino, LLC v. Waters Technologies Corporation,	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1044	Omegaflex, Inc. v. Parker- Hannifin Corporation	Dyk, Garbis*, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1044	Omegaflex, Inc. v. Parker- Hannifin Corporation	Dyk, Garbis*, Michel	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
06-1243	Bender v. Dudas	Linn, Plager, Rader	Disbarment	PTO	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1585	International Rectifier Corporation v. Samsung Ele	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Attorney's Fees	Contempt	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1585	International Rectifier Corporation v. Samsung Ele	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Disqualification	Judge	NA	NA
06-1320	Microstrategy Incorporated v. Business Objects Ame	Clevenger, Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1320	Microstrategy Incorporated v. Business Objects Ame	Clevenger, Mayer, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1320	Microstrategy Incorporated v. Business Objects Ame	Clevenger, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1320	Microstrategy Incorporated v. Business Objects Ame	Clevenger, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1455	Young v. Lumenis, Inc.	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1455	Young v. Lumenis, Inc.	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1576	The Saunders Group, Inc. v. Comfortrac, Inc., et a	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1576	The Saunders Group, Inc. v. Comfortrac, Inc., et a	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1189	Leggett & Platt, Incorporated, et al. v. VUTEK, In	Linn, Mayer, Schall	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA

M853no	In re Star Scientific, Inc. [order]	Friedman, Mayer, Newman	Mandamus	Issue Opinion	Mandamus Denied	NA
06-1171	Hyatt v. Dudas	Archer, Dyk, Michel	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
06-1171	Hyatt v. Dudas	Archer, Dyk, Michel	PTO Procedure	Prima Facie Case	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1329	Takeda Chemical Industries, LTD., et al. v. Alphap	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1329	Takeda Chemical Industries, LTD., et al. v. Alphap	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1584	Frazier, et al. v. Layne Christensen Company, et a	Bryson, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	JMOL
06-1584	Frazier, et al. v. Layne Christensen Company, et a	Bryson, Linn, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
06-1584	Frazier, et al. v. Layne Christensen Company, et a	Bryson, Linn, Schall	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1406	Honeywell International, Inc., et al. v. Universal	Bryson, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1406	Honeywell International, Inc., et al. v. Universal	Bryson, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1539	Hutchins v. Zoll Medical Corporation	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1539	Hutchins v. Zoll Medical Corporation	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Copyright Infringement	Idea/Expression	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1539	Hutchins v. Zoll Medical Corporation	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1492	Festo Corporation v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabush	Dyk, Michel	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
05-1490	Pharmasterm Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell, Inc., e	Bryson, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
05-1490	Pharmasterm Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell, Inc., e	Bryson, Prost	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
05-1490	Pharmasterm Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell, Inc., e	Bryson, Prost	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	JMOL
05-1490	Pharmasterm Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell, Inc., e	Bryson, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
06-1620	Automed Technologies, Inc. v. Microfil, LLC, et al	Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Summary Judgment
06-1620	Automed Technologies, Inc. v. Microfil, LLC, et al	Clevenger, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1620	Automed Technologies, Inc. v. Microfil, LLC, et al	Clevenger, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1620	Automed Technologies, Inc. v. Microfil, LLC, et al	Clevenger, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment

06-1620	Automed Technologies, Inc. v. Microfil, LLC, et al	Clevenger, Linn	Contract Damages	Nominal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1122	Benitec Australia, LTD. v Nucleonics, Inc.	Rader, Whyte*	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1323	Colida v. Nokia America Corp.[order]	Michel, Moore, Prost	Waiver	Right to Appeal	Dismissed	NA
07-1323	Colida v. Nokia America Corp.[order]	Michel, Moore, Prost	Sanctions	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
07-1392	LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A. v. International	Mayer, Michel, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
06-1254	In re Metoprolol Succinate Patent Litigation, Astr	Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1254	In re Metoprolol Succinate Patent Litigation, Astr	Gajarsa, Mayer, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1092	Cybersettle, Inc. v. National Arbitration Forum, I	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1092	Cybersettle, Inc. v. National Arbitration Forum, I	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
02-1052	Integra Lifesciences I, LTD., et al. v. Merck KGaA	Newman, Prost	Infringement	271(e)(1) exemption	Reversed	Jury Verdict
06-1471	Boynton, et al. v. Headwaters, Inc. (formerly know	Bryson, Holderman*, Schall	Dismissal Motion	12(c)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1562	Egyptian Goddess, Inc., et al. v. Swisa, Inc., et	Archer, Moore	Infringement	Design	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1507	In Re John B. Sullivan, e al.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
06-1249	Shum v. Intel Corporation, et al.	Lourie, Newman	Right to Jury Trial		Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1249	Shum v. Intel Corporation, et al.	Lourie, Newman	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Vacated	Order on Motion
06-1249	Shum v. Intel Corporation, et al.	Lourie, Newman	Unjust Enrichment		Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1240	Ormco Corporation, et al v. Align Technology, Inc	Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1240	Ormco Corporation, et al v. Align Technology, Inc	Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1240	Ormco Corporation, et al v. Align Technology, Inc	Dyk, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1240	Ormco Corporation, et al v. Align Technology, Inc	Dyk, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1240	Ormco Corporation, et al v. Align Technology, Inc	Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1240	Ormco Corporation, et al v. Align Technology, Inc	Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

06-1240	Ormco Corporation, et al v. Align Technology, Inc	Dyk, Lourie	Law of the Case		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1240	Ormco Corporation, et al v. Align Technology, Inc	Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1599	In Re Trans Texas Holdings Corp.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Collateral Estoppel	Non-Mutual	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
06-1599	In Re Trans Texas Holdings Corp.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
06-1599	In Re Trans Texas Holdings Corp.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
M830	In re Seagate Technology, LLC.	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, Mayer, Newman,	Attorney Client Privilege	Waiver	Reversed	Order on Motion
M830	In re Seagate Technology, LLC.	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, Mayer, Newman,	Work Product	Waiver	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1154	Frazer, et al. v. Schlegel, et al.	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Interference	Priority	Reversed, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
06-1592	Nisus Corporation v. Perma-Chink Systems, Inc. v.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
06-1592	Nisus Corporation v. Perma-Chink Systems, Inc. v.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Intervention		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1365	Advanced Cardiovascular Systems v. Medtronic Vascu	Gajarsa, Mayer, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
06-1434	Boston Scientific Scimed (formerly known as Scimed	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-5057	Thomas v. U.S.	Linn, Rader, Schall	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1535	Safetcare Manufacturing v. Tele-Made	Gajarsa, Michel, Robinson*	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
06-1535	Safetcare Manufacturing v. Tele-Made	Gajarsa, Michel, Robinson*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1535	Safetcare Manufacturing v. Tele-Made	Gajarsa, Michel, Robinson*	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1363	Sony Electronics v. Guardian Media Technologies	Friedman, Newman, Prost	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1593	Biotechnology Industry Organization, et al. v. Dis	Bryson, Gajarsa, Plager	Preemption	Patent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1593	Biotechnology Industry Organization, et al. v. Dis	Bryson, Gajarsa, Plager	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
06-1593	Biotechnology Industry Organization, et al. v. Dis	Bryson, Gajarsa, Plager	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion

06-1573	In Re Icon Health and Fitness, Inc.	Mayer, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
06-1573	In Re Icon Health and Fitness, Inc.	Mayer, Prost, Schall	Analogous Art		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
06-1573	In Re Icon Health and Fitness, Inc.	Mayer, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
06-1572	In Re Gabapentin Patent Litigation, et al.	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1572	In Re Gabapentin Patent Litigation, et al.	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1087	GP Industries, Inc., et al. v. Eran Industries, In	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
06-1503	BMC Resources, Inc. v. Paymentech, L.P.	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1371	In Re Petrus A.C.M. Nuijten	Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Subject Matter	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
06-1286	In Re Stephen W. Comiskey	Dyk, Michel, Prost	Validity	Subject Matter	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
06-1286	In Re Stephen W. Comiskey	Dyk, Michel, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
06-1305	MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc. v. Mitsubishi Mate	Dyk, Plager, Schall	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1305	MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc. v. Mitsubishi Mate	Dyk, Plager, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1305	MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc. v. Mitsubishi Mate	Dyk, Plager, Schall	Validity	Enablement	Vacated	Summary Judgment
06-1571	Adenta GMBH, et al. v. Orthoarm, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Michel, Robertson*	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1571	Adenta GMBH, et al. v. Orthoarm, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Michel, Robertson*	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1571	Adenta GMBH, et al. v. Orthoarm, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Michel, Robertson*	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1571	Adenta GMBH, et al. v. Orthoarm, Inc., et al.	Lourie, Michel, Robertson*	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion

06-1512	Morrow, et al. v. Microsoft Corporation	Moore, Plager	Standing	Constitutional	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1512	Morrow, et al. v. Microsoft Corporation	Moore, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
06-1548	McZeal, Jr. (doing business as International Walki	Archer, Michel	Dismissal Motion	12(b)(6)	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1564	Daiichi Sankyo Co., LTD (formerly known as Daiichi	Archer, Dyk, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
06-1570	Acco Brands, Inc. (doing business as Kensington Te	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1570	Acco Brands, Inc. (doing business as Kensington Te	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1570	Acco Brands, Inc. (doing business as Kensington Te	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Misjoinder	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1561	Arminak and Associates, Inc., et al. v. Saint-Goba	Gajarsa, Holderman* Michel	Claim Construction	Design	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1561	Arminak and Associates, Inc., et al. v. Saint-Goba	Gajarsa, Holderman* Michel	Infringement	Ordinary Observer Test	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1561	Arminak and Associates, Inc., et al. v. Saint-Goba	Gajarsa, Holderman* Michel	Infringement	Point of Novelty Test	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1465	L.B. Plastics, Inc. v. Amerimax Home Products, Inc	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1465	L.B. Plastics, Inc. v. Amerimax Home Products, Inc	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1465	L.B. Plastics, Inc. v. Amerimax Home Products, Inc	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1530	Aventis Pharma Deutschland GMBH, et al. v. Lupin,	Linn, Mayer, Robertson*	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
06-1382	Gillespie v. DYWIDAG Systems International, USA	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
06-1382	Gillespie v. DYWIDAG Systems International, USA	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1013	Automotive Technologies International, Inc. v. BMW	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1612	Toshiba Corporation v. Juniper Networks, Inc.	Linares*, Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
06-1612	Toshiba Corporation v. Juniper Networks, Inc.	Linares*, Mayer, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent
06-1603	Data Encryption Corporation v. Microsoft Corporat	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

06-1603	Data Encryption Corporation v. Microsoft Corporat	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1059	Forest Laboratories, Inc., et al. v. Ivax Pharmace	Friedman, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1059	Forest Laboratories, Inc., et al. v. Ivax Pharmace	Friedman, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1059	Forest Laboratories, Inc., et al. v. Ivax Pharmace	Friedman, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1059	Forest Laboratories, Inc., et al. v. Ivax Pharmace	Friedman, Lourie, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Modified	Bench Trial
07-1059	Forest Laboratories, Inc., et al. v. Ivax Pharmace	Friedman, Lourie	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1312	Mitutoyo Corporation, et al. v. Central Purchasing	Mayer, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1312	Mitutoyo Corporation, et al. v. Central Purchasing	Mayer, Moore, Rader	Motion to Dismiss	Rule 12(b)(6)	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1312	Mitutoyo Corporation, et al. v. Central Purchasing	Mayer, Moore, Rader	Motion to Dismiss	Failure to Prosecute	Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1312	Mitutoyo Corporation, et al. v. Central Purchasing	Mayer, Moore, Rader	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1312	Mitutoyo Corporation, et al. v. Central Purchasing	Mayer, Moore, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1312	Mitutoyo Corporation, et al. v. Central Purchasing	Mayer, Moore, Rader	Damages	Royalty Rate	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1312	Mitutoyo Corporation, et al. v. Central Purchasing	Mayer, Moore, Rader	Damages	Royalty Base	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Gajarsa, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Michel	Jury Instructions	Claim Construction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Gajarsa	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Gajarsa, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Gajarsa, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Gajarsa	Damages		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Michel	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Gajarsa	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion

07-1240	Verizon Services Corp., et al. v. Vonage Holdings	Dyk, Gajarsa, Michel	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1108	Maurice Mitchell Innovations, L.P. v. Intell Corpo	Moore, Rader, Yeakel*	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1108	Maurice Mitchell Innovations, L.P. v. Intell Corpo	Moore, Rader, Yeakel*	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1489	In Re David Buszard, et al.	Friedman, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
06-1342	CIAS, Inc. v. Alliance Gaming Corporation, et al.	Moore, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1342	CIAS, Inc. v. Alliance Gaming Corporation, et al.	Moore, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1342	CIAS, Inc. v. Alliance Gaming Corporation, et al.	Moore, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1342	CIAS, Inc. v. Alliance Gaming Corporation, et al.	Moore, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1132	EON-Net LP, et al. v. Flagstar Bancorp	Bucklo*, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1132	EON-Net LP, et al. v. Flagstar Bancorp	Bucklo*, Michel, Schall	Sanctions	Rule 11	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1132	EON-Net LP, et al. v. Flagstar Bancorp	Bucklo*, Michel, Schall	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1513	TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP V. MUTUAL PHARMACEUTICAL	Bryson, Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-M972	IN RE IPCOM GMBH & CO., KG.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Prost	Mandamus	Discovery	Denied	Order on Motion
11-M973	IN RE NISSIM CORP.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Prost	Mandamus		Denied	Order on Motion
10-1427	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. V. ARTHREX, INC.	Moore, Plager, Rader	Infringement		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1265	IMAGECUBE LLC. V. THE BOEING CO.	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1438	DURAMED PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. V. WATSON LABORATORI	Newman	Injunction	TRO		
10-1525	INVENTIO AG V. THYSENKRUPP ELEVATOR AMERICAS CORP	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1328	STAMPS.COM V. ENDICIA, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Limitation on Number of Claims		Affirmed	Order on Motion

09-1503	AMERICAN CALCAR, INC. V. AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO.,	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1445	CREATIVE COMPOUNDS, LLC. V. STARMARK LABORATORIES	Clevenger, Linn, Rader	Validity	102(g)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1021	IN RE 213 PARTNERS, LLC.	Bryson, Linn, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1173	KELLOGG V. NIKE, INC	Newman, Rader, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	
11-1057	MSHIFT, INC. V. DIGITAL INSIGHT CORP.	Mayer, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1447	RESPIRONICS, INC. V. INVACARE CORP.	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1115	GENERAL PROTECH GROUP, INC. V. LEVITON MANUFACTURING	Dyk, Linn, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
11-1039	IN RE HALLIDAY	O'Malley, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
11-1231	RECKITT BENCKISER INC. V. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1382	KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. V. FIRST QUALITY BA	Dyk, Friedman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction
08-1511	THERASENSE, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON AND CO.	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn, Dyk,	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
10-1394	ALLERGAN, INC. V. ATHENA COSMETICS, INC.	Gajarsa, Newman, Prost	Unfair Competition	Standing	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1176	TESSERA, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
10-1456	IN RE CLARK	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
11-1292	ARRIS GROUP, INC. V. BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PLC	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1102	IN RE BRIMONIDINE PATENT LITIGATION	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial

10-1501	GREAT NECK SAW MANUFACTURERS, INC. V. STAR ASIA U.	Bryson, Plager, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1459	MALICO INC. V. COOLER MASTER USA INC.	Gajarsa, linn, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1307	IN RE HUAI-HUNG KAO	Linn, Moore, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1263	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Spoliation of Evidence		Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1299	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Spoliation of Evidence		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
11-1015	ALLSTAR TIRE & WHEEL, INC. V. WHEEL PROS, INC.	Clevenger, Linn, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1540	IN RE BERTRAND MARC	Clevenger, Linn, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
11-1037	WORLD WIDE STATIONARY MANUFACTURING CO., LTD. V. U	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1358	WORLD WIDE STATIONARY MANUFACTURING CO., LTD. V. U	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
11-1075	RICHARDS V. SEN	Moore, Newman, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1384	IN RE RODGERS	Moore, Newman, Rader	Unknown		a	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
11-1160	ODOM V. MICROSOFT CORP.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1260	IN RE MOSTAFAZADEH	Dyk, Friedman, Prost	Validity	Recapture - 251	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reissue
10-1401	BILLUPS-ROTHENBERG, INC. V. ASSOCIATED REGIONAL AN	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1249	WELLMAN, INC. V. EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO.	Lourie, Rader, Whyte*	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1327	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. V. SHIPLEY	Linn, Newman, Rade	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion

10-1373	JOHN MEZZALINGUA ASSOCIATES, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	USITC Decision
10-1390	RADIO SYSTEMS CORP. V. ACCESSION, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1215	CREATIVE INTERNET ADVERTISING CORP. V. YAHOO!, INC	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
09-1494	LEXION MEDICAL, LLC. V. NORTHGATE TECHNOLOGIES, IN	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1431	ARONOWITZ V. HOME DIANOSTICS, INC.	Gajarsa, Prost, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1490	IN RE LUND, LLC.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1418	SANDERS V. THE MOSAIC CO.	Moore, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Reversed	Markman Ruling
09-1374	TIVO INC. V. ECHOSTAR CORP.	Rader, Newman, Mayer, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Lin	Contempt		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
10-1002	REMBRANDT DATA TECHNOLOGIES, LP. V AOL, LLC.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Exhaustion/First Sale		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1262	IN RE TANAKA	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Reissue		Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reissue
10-1379	ACCURIDE INTERNATIONAL INC. V SSW HOLDING CO., IN	Dyk, Linn, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1357	OMURA V. SHAFER	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Interference	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
10-1336	JUDKINS V. HT WINDOW FASHIONS CORP.	Gajarsa, Mayer, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	
11-M962	IN RE MORGAN STANLEY, ET AL. V. U.S.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Transfer		Mandamus Granted	Order on Motion
10-1020	CROWN PACKAGING TECHNOLOGY, INC. V. BALL METAK BEV	Dyk, Newman, Whyte*	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1335	RADAR INDUSTRIES, INC. V. CLEVELAND DIE & MANUFACT	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	License Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-M976	IN RE VIOLATION OF RULE 28(D)	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Sanctions	Appeal	Granted	None
10-1019	IN RE JUNG	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection

10-1331	DURAMED PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. V. WATSON LABORATORI	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
M-956	IN RE VERIZON BUSINESS	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1236	MOVE INC v. REAL ESTATE ALLIANCE	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1283	AMERICAN PILEDIVING EQUIPMENT V. GEOQUIP	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1290	INNOVENTION TOYS V. MGA ENTERTAINMENT	Lourie, Rader, Whyte*	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1354	QUAD/TECH V. Q.I. PRESS CONTROLS	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
10-1247	OLD RELIABLE WHOLESALE, INC. V. CORNELL CORP.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-M960	IN RE BP LUBRICANTS USA INC.	Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie	Mandamus			
10-1424	YANGAROO, INC. V. DESTINY MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES INC.	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1340	COMPETITIVE EDGE V. STAPLES	Gajarsa, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1429	INFOSINT S.A. V. H. LUNDBECK A/S	Gajarsa, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1118	ALTAIR ENGINEERING V. LED DYNAMICS	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1414	IN RE OMEPRAZOLE PATENT LITIGATION	Moore, O'Malley, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1415	IN RE RICOH COMPANY, LTD. PATENT LITIGATION	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
11-M968	IN RE GOOGLE INC.	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Transfer		Mandamus Denied	Proceeding on Motion
10-1348	CIMLINE, INC. V. CRAFTCO, INC.	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1334	REIFFIN V. MICROSOFT CORP.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1145	SIEMENS MEDICAL SOLUTIONS USA, INC. V. SAINT-GOBAL	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Burden of Proof	Preponderance of the Evidence	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1235	HOLOGIC, INC. V. SENORX, INC.	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1144	CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC. V. ABBOTT LABORATORIE	Bryson, Clevenger, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1397	BARTEX RESEARCH, LLC. V. FEDEX CORP.	Linn, Newman, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1386	CIES BISKER, LLC. V. 3M CO.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	

10-1096	BIOPOLYMER ENGINEERING, INC. V. IMMUNOCORP	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1450	IN RE KATZ INTERACTIVE PROCESSING PATENT LITIGATION	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Limitation on Number of Claims		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1227	ABB INC. V. COOPER IND., LLC.	Dyk, Lourie, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1296	MCHUGH V. HILLERICH & BRADSBY CO.	Moore, Prost, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1421	HAKO-MED USA, INC. V. AXIOM WORLDWIDE, INC.	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1321	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA V. KAPPOS	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1306	PRICEPLAY, INC. V. GOOGLE, INC.	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1279	MAG INSTRUMENT, INC. V. THE COLEMAN CO., INC.	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1417	MAX RACK, INC. V. HOIST FITNESS SYSTEMS, INC.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1398	BARNHARDT MANUFACTURING CO. V. ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1057	TOKAI V. EASTON ENTERPRISES	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1258	EMCORE CORP. V. OPTIUM CORP.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1525	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. V. GLOBUS MEDICAL, INC.	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1201	FIFTH GENERATION COMPUTER CORP. V. INTERNATIONAL B	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1250	ALCOHOL MONITORING SYSTEMS, INC. V. ACTSOFT, INC.	Clevenger, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1371	VANGUARD IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS, INC. V. KAPPOS	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1312	AMERICAN SEATING CO. V. KUSTOM SEATING UNLIMITED,	Gajarsa, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1110	CENTILLION DATA SYSTEMS V. QWEST COMMUNICATIONS IN	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Use	Reversed	Summary Judgment

10-1025	ARLINGTON INDUSTRIES V. BRIDGEPORT FITTING	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1270	QIMONDA AG. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	USITC Decision
10-1329	MORRIS V. MOODY	Dyk, Gajarsa, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1245	PUPOLS V. U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim		Order on Motion
10-1091	WARRIOR SPORTS, INC. V. DICKINSON WRIGHT, P.L.L.C.	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1117	ILOR, LLC V. GOOGLE, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Order on Motion
10-M944	IN RE MICROSOFT CORP.	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Mandamus	Transfer		
10-1141	IN RE GLATT AIR TECHNIQUES, INC.	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1035	UNILOC USA, INC. V. MICROSOFT CORP.	Linn, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	JMOL
10-1338	SANOFI-AVENTIS V. SANDOZ, INC.	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Consent Judgment		Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1385	SHUM V. INTEL CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Breach of Fiduciary Duty		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1109	SHUM V. INTEL CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Costs	Prevailing Party	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1266	WIAV SOLUTIONS LLC V. MOTOROLA, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Standing	Constitutional	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1251	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. PHOTOSCRIBE T	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction		Reversed	
09-1460	SPANSION, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
10-1209	IN RE KRYZPOW	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1179	AEROTEL, LTD. V. T-MOBILE USA, INC.	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-M959	IN RE WYETH	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Mandamus	Transfer	Denied	Order on Motion
10-1304	IN RE MEYER MANUFACTURING CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Moore	Priority Date		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1267	ALEXSAM V. INTERACTIVE COMMUNICATIONS	Friedman, Lourie, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	

10-1337	IN RE FLETCHER	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1197	SUNBEAM PRODUCTS V. HOMEDICS	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1218	MICHAEL S. SUTTON V NOKIA CORP.	Dyk, Newman, Whyte*	Unknown			
10-M954	IN RE VISTAPRINT LTD.	Gajarsa, Moore, Schall	Mandamus	Transfer		
10-1229	WHITE V. HITACHI, LTD	Clevenger, Linn, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1107	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. V. SSL SERVICES, LLC.	Linn, Plager, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1030	IN RE KORB	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1213	ATLANTIS ENTERPRISES, INC. V. E&B GIFTWARE, LLC.	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1003	INTAMIN, LTD. V. MAGNETAR TECH. CORP.	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1106	MINKS V. POLARIS IND., INC.	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1284	U.S. RING BENDER, L.P. V. STAPLES THE OFFICE SUPER	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1149	APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. V. MULTIMETRIXS LLC.	Gajarsa, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1298	PROBERT V. THE CLOROX CO., INC.	Gajarsa, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1151	TAYLOR BRANDS, LLC V. BG II CORP.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Dismissal Motion			
08-1425	ERBE ELEKTROMEDIZIN GMBH V. CANADY TECH. LLC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Waiver	Defense		
10-1037	RESEARCH CORP. TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. MICROSOFT COR	Newman, Plager, Rader	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1538	PRECISION LINKS INC. V. USA PRODUCTS, INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Jurisdiction	Appeal		
10-1547	CELSIS IN VITRO, INC. V. CELLZDIRECT, INC.	Gajarsa, Moore, Schall	Stay	Pending Appeal		
10-M961	IN RE BNY CONVERGEX GROUP, LLC.	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Mandamus	Jurisdiction		Order on Motion

10-1080	THE WESTERN UNION CO. V. MONEYGRAM PAYMENT SYSTEMS	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Waiver	Defense	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-M942	IN RE ACER AMERICA CORP.	Gajarsa, Moore, Schall	Mandamus	Transfer		
10-1054	IN RE CECCARELLI	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1189	LOCKWOOD V. MULLIN	Newman, Plager, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1100	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS V. ABBYY SOFTWARE HOUSE	Newman, Prost, Rader	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1059	A123 SYSTEMS V. HYDRO-QUEBEC	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Parties	Necessary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1362	MIKKILINENI V. STOLL	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	APA		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1539	ABRAXIS BIOSCIENCE V. NAVINTA	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Standing	Constitutional	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1204	CANCER RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY AND SCHERING V. BARR LA	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Prosecution Laches		Reversed	Bench Trial
09-1583	SENSORMATIC ELECTRONICS CORPORATION V. WG SECURITY	Bryson, Plager, Prost	Unknown			
07-1066	HYATT V. KAPPOS	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn, Dyk,	35 USC 145	Evidence	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
10-1069	JAPAN CASH MACHINE CO. V. MEI	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1216	COMBINED TACTICAL SYSTEMS V. DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1576	FINJAN V. SECURE COMPUTING CORP.	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1501	FLEXITEEK AMERICAS, INC. V. PLASDECK, INC.	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1381	ASTRAZENECA LP V. APOTEX, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
10-1121	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. V NOKIA CORP.	Linn, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1077	AKAZAWA V. LINK NEW TECH, INC.	Moore, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1143	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. V. GRAPHON CORP.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	

09-1548	HITACHI KOKI CO., LTD V. KAPPOS	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Unknown			Affirmed	
09-1161	SOLVAY S.A. V. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	Validity	102(g)		Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1127	IN RE SCHWEMBERGER	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1171	MCDAVID KNEE GUARD, INC. V. NIKE USA, INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Unknown			Affirmed	
09-1587	AUCTION MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONNS, INC. V. MANHEIM AUC	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Unknown			Affirmed	
10-1092	IN RE BOROS	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Unknown			Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1162	HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP. V. ZIMMER, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Unknown			Affirmed	
10-1169	CHEETAH OMNI LLC V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, I	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Unknown			Affirmed	
10-1152	TEHRANI V. POLAR ELECTRIC INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Rader	Unknown			Affirmed	
2010118	SLR PARTNERS, LLC V B. BRAUN MEDICAL INC.	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Unknown			Affirmed	
10-1098	RIPMAX LTD. V. HORIZON HOBBY, INC.	Clevenger, Linn, Prost	Unknown			Affirmed	
10-1185	ESN, LLC. V. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Unknown			Affirmed	
10-1081	ACACIA MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES CORP V. MEDIACOM COMMUN	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Unknown			Affirmed	
10-1166	PAPYRUS TECHNOLOGY CORP. V. NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANG	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Unknown			Affirmed	
09-1470	LUCKY LITTER LLC V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Dyk, Friedman, Moore	Claim Construction	General		Reversed	USITC Decision
09-1593	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. V. EISAI CO., LTD.	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter		Reversed	Order on Motion

09-1325	EXTREME NETWORKS INC. V. ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal		None
10-1040	COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY V. INTEL	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1028	LARYNGEAL MASK COMPANY V. AMBU	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
10-1045	FUJITSU V. NETGEAR	Friedman, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1323	AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. V. BIOLITEC, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1086	ROSCO, INC. V. MIRROR LITE CO.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1337	TRI-STAR ELECTRONICS INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. PRECI-	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1053	BASELOAD ENERGY, INC. V. ROBERTS	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Settlement Agreement		Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1511	DAIICHI SANKYO CO., LTD. V. MATRIX LABORATORIES, L	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1044	ROMALA STONE, INC. V. THE HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.	Friedman, Linn, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1538	SPINE SOLUTIONS, INC. V. MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK U	Dyk, Friedman, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1163	IN RE BONNSTETTER	Linn, Moore, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1455	GREEN EDGE ENTERPRISES, LLC V. RUBBER MULCH ETC.,	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1225	FUNAI ELECTRIC CO., LTD. V. DAEWOO ELECTRONICS CO.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1005	ELI LILLY AND CO. V. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC	Linn, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1212	DESENBERG V. GOOGLE, INC.	Mayer, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1428	STAUFFER V. BROOKS BROTHERS, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Standing		Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1284	CLEARWATER SYSTEMS CORP. V. EVAPCO, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation		Summary Judgment
07-1386	PRINCO CORP. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Rader, Newman, Mayer, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Lin	Patent Misuse		Affirmed	USITC Decision

09-1378	GENERAL PROTECHT GROUP, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	USITC Decision
09-1338	PASS & SEYMOUR, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMIS	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1132	GEO M. MARTIN CO. V. ALLIANCE MACHINE SYSTEMS INTE	Archer, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
09-1556	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING V. MAERSK C	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1389	TRUEPOSITION INC., V ANDREW CORPORATION	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1058	BARAN V. MEDICAL DEVICE TECHNOLOGIES	Bryson, Gararsa, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1306	GOLDEN HOUR DATA SYSTEMS, INC. V. EMSCHARTS, INC.	Dyk, Friedman, Newman	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality		JMOL
09-1537	RING PLUS, INC. V. CINGULAR WIRELESS CORP.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1246	ADAMS RESPIRATORY THERAPEUTICS, INC. V. PERRIGO CO	Friedman, Linn, Moore	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
09-1457	MARCTEC, LLC V. JOHNSON & JOHNSON	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1568	INTERVET INC. V. MERMIAL LTD.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction		Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1125	PHOENIX SOLUTIONS, INC. V. THE DIRECTV GROUP, INC.	Moore, Newman, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1078	DURATEHC INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. BRIDGEV	Moore, Newman, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1167	ENOVSYYS LLC V. NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1483	WEBZERO, LLC V. CLICVU, INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1437	KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. V. EON LABS, INC,	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-M933	IN RE ECHOSTAR	Bryson, Moore, Rader	Transfer		Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
09-1053	BECTON, DICKINSON AND CO V. TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP,	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Claim Construction		Reversed	Summary Judgment

10-1105	SUN PHARMECEUTICAL IND.,LTD., V ELI LILLY AND CO.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1412	WYERS V. MASTER LOCK CO.	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1029	REVOLUTION EYEWEAR, INC., V. ASPEX EYEWEAR, INC. (R	Mayer, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1074	IN RE ADAMS (RULE 36)	Mayer, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1012	PARADOX SECURITY SYSTEMS, LTD. V. ADT SECURITY SER	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
10-1113	RUTHERFORD CONTROLS INT'L CORP. V. VANGUARD SECURI	Mayer, Prost, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1088	ATSER RESEARCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. RABA-KISTNER	Moore, Newman, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1165	TAYLOR V. U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Waiver		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1400	IN RE GIACOMINI	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Waiver	Defense		BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1175	TELECordia TECHNOLOGIES V. CISCO SYSTEMS	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-M938	IN RE ZIMMER HOLDINGS	Friedman, Gajarsa, Rader	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1042	HALPERN V. PERITEC BIOSCIENCES	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter		Order on Motion
09-1403	LINCOLN NAT'L LIFE INS. V. TRANSAMERICA LIFE INS.	Clevenger, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1579	IN RE KITE & HATTON	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1544	ENCYCLOPAEDIA BRITANNICA V. ALPINE ELECTRONICS OF	Bryson, Gajarsa, Moore	Priority Date		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

09-1454	WORDTECH SYSTEMS V. INTEGRATED NETWORKS SOLUTIONS	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	New Trial		Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1102	ADVANCED MAGNETIC CLOSURES V. ROME FASTENER	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1423	TRIMED, INC. V. STRYKER CORP.	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1334	SILICON GRAPHICS, INC. V. ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1553	PURDUE PHARMA PRODUCTS L.P. V. PAF PHARMACEUTICAL,	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1557	HAEMONETICS, CORP. V. BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORP.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1112	YIP V. HUG TO GO LLC	Newman, Prost, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	
09-1524	DOW JONES AND CO., INC. V. ABLAISE LTD.	Folsom*, Michel, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1421	LEVITON MANUFACTURING CO., INC. V. UNIVERSAL SECUR	Michel, Moore, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-M920	IN RE DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST CO. AMERICAS	Linn, Michel, Prost	Mandamus	Discovery	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
09-1487	FUJIFILM CORP. V. BENUN	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Waiver		NA	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1386	VIZIO, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Clevenger, Dyk, Mayer	Claim Construction		Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1404	IN RE VAIDYANATHAN [CORRECTED- IMAGE ENLARGED]	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1147	ASPEX EYEWEAR INC. V. CLARITI EYEWEAR, INC.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1168	CARTER V. ALK HOLDINGS, INC.	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Sanctions	Rule 11	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1079	MICROTHIN.COM, INC. V. SILICONEZONE USA INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1439	SEIKO EPSON CORP. V. CORETRONIC CORP	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
09-1130	ORION IP, LLC. V. HYUNDAI MOTOR CO.	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Waiver	Rule 50	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1447	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION FOR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Standing		Reversed	Order on Motion

09-1305	SOUTHERN MILLS, INC V. POLARTEC, LLC.	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-M932	IN RE APPLE INC.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Mandamus	Transfer		
09-1344	TALTECH LTD. V. ESQUEL ENTERPRISES LTD.	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1367	RANDALL MAY INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. DEG MUSICE PROD	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Jurisdiction	Appeal		
09-1391	PATENT RIGHTS PROTECTION GROUP, LLC V. VIDEO GAMIN	Archer, Linn, Rader	Jurisdiction	Personal	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
09-1362	ORTHO-MCNEIL PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. V. LUPIN PHARMAC	Linn, Newman, Rade	Patent Term Extension		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1327	NISSIM CORP. V. CLEARPLAY, INC.	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Breach of Contract		Vacated, Remanded	R&R
09-1393	PHOTOCURE ASA V. KAPPOS	Linn, Newman, Rade	Patent Term Extension		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1507	STORM PRODUCTS V. EBONITE INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1124	BAKER HUGHES, INC. V. NALCO CO.	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1551	IN RE PELZ	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1530	BROWN V. BAYLOR HEALTHCARE SYSTEM	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1495	NILSSEN V. WAL-MART STORES, INC.	Linn, Lourie, Mayer	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1523	TEKNOLEDGE CORP V. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1481	SCANTIBODIES LABORATORY, INC. V. IMMUTOPICS, INC.	Michel, Moore, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1555	MARS INC. V. JCM AMERICAN CORP.	Linn, Lourie, Mayer	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1039	WING SHING PRODUCTS (BVI) CO. LTD. V. SUNBEAM PROD	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1448	CEIVA LOGIC, INC. V. FRAME MEDIA, INC.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1480	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC V. LIMELIGHT NETWORKS,	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	

10-1052	SE-KURE CONTROLS, INC. V. DIAM USA, INC.	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1307	ROLLS-ROYCE PLC V. UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1222	ROBERTSON V. TIMMERMANS	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Interference	Written Description	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Interference
09-1456	ROSS V. U.S.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1265	OPTIUM CORP. V. EMCORE CORP.	Mayer, Newman, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1582	COLIDA V. PANASONIC CORP. OF NORTH AMERICA	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1047	HEMPHILL V. KIMBERLY-CLARK CORP.	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1368	WYETH HOLDINGS, CORP. V. SEC. OF HEALTH AND HUMAN	Bryson, Folsom*, Moore	Patent Term Extension		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1183	ORACLE CORP. V. PARALLEL NETWORKS [REVISED]	Plager, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
09-1472	BRADFORD CO. V. CONTEYOR NORTH AMERICA, INC.	Clevenger, Lourie, Rader	Priority Date		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1537	B-K LIGHTING V. FRESNO VALVES & CASTINGS	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
09-1482	IN RE KNAUS	Newman, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1581	IN RE KNAUS	Newman, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1216	AVID IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS, INC. V. CRYSTAL IMPOR	Linn, Mayer, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	
09-1350	ALZA CORP. V. ANDRAX PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC.	Dyk, Prost, Schall	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1058	MEDTRONIC NAVIGATION, INC. V. BRAINLAB MEDIZINISCH	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Order on Motion

09-1449	EASTERN AWNING SYSTEMS, INC. V. HAROLDS CANVAS AND	Guilford*, Lourie, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1471	CLEARPLAY, INC. V. ABECASSIS	Archer, Bryson, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	
09-1415	GILLIG V. NIKE, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Statute of Limitations	Trade Secrets	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1342	ILIGHT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. FALLON LUMINOUS PRODU	Gajarsa, Mayer, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
09-1406	HARARI V. HOLLMER	Archer, Bryson, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
09-1086	VERIZON SERVICES CORP. V. COX FIBERNET VIRGINIA, I	Linn, Mayer, Prost	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1543	HORNBACK V. U.S.	Folsom*, Michel, Rader	Invention Secrecy Act		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1418	IN RE SUITCO SURFACE, INC.	Moore, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1001	NOVO NORDISK A/S V. CARACO PHARMACEUTICAL LABORATO	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Hatch Waxman	Counterclaims	Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1500	ANASCAPE V. NINTENDO	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Priority Date		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1262	SIRF TECHNOLOGY V. ITC	Clevenger, Dyk, Michel	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1508	TIGER TEAM TECHNOLOGIES C. SYNESI GROUP	Clevenger, Michel, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal		
09-1383	IN RE BAUER	Bryson, Dyk, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1569	IN RE SCHRECK	Gajarsa, Mayer, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1288	MBO LABORATORIES V. BECTON, DICKINSON & CO.	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Recapture - 251	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1532	IN RE LACKEY	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection

09-1258	VANDERBILT UNIV. V. ICOS CORP. [REVISED]	Clevenger, Dyk, Michel	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
04-1579	PIXTON V. B&B PLASTICS, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Mayer	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1541	MTS SYSTEMS CORP. V. HYSITRON INC.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1068	BID FOR POSITION, LLC. V. AOL	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1577	YORKEY V. DIAB	Gajarsa, Kendall*, Michel	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
08-1578	YORKEY V. DIAB	Gajarsa, Kendall*, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
09-1500	MEDPOINTE HEALTHCARE INC. V. KOZACHUK	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1529	GENTILE V. SUN PRODUCTS, INC.	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Laches		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1364	HEARING COMPONENTS, INC. V. SHURE, INC.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1085	INNOVATIVE THERAPIES, INC. V. KINETIC CONCEPTS, L	Michel, Newman, Prost	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1602	PRESSURE PRODUCTS MEDICAL SUPPLIES, INC. V. GREATB	Newman, Lourie, Rader, Gajarsa, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Jury Instruction
06-1522	HIF BIO, INC. V. YUNG SHIN PHARMACEUTICALS INDUSTR	Gajarsa, Holderman* Michel	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
08-1501	POWER-ONE, INC. V. ARTESYN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Gajarsa, Kendall*, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1281	ENZO BIOCHEM, INC. V. APPLERA CORP.	Linn, Michel, Plager	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1253	APPLERA CORP.-APPLIED BIOSYSTEMS GROUP V. ILLUMINA	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Ownership	Sole	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1248	ARIAD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. V. ELI LILLY AND CO.	Michel, Newman, Mayer, Lourie, Rader Bryson, Gaja	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1031	MARRIN V. GRIFFIN	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1496	FENNER INVESTMENTS LTD. V. MICROSOFT CORP.	Linn, Newman, Rade	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1597	LABORATORY CORP. OF AMERICA HOLDINGS V. METABLOITE	Dyk, Gajarsa, Moore	Jurisdiction	Appeal		None

09-1357	DELAWARE VALLEY FLORAL GROUP, INC. V. SHAW ROSE NE	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1506	IN RE ARORA	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1354	RICHARDSON V. STANLEY WORKS, INC.	Dyk, Kendall*, Lourie	Claim Construction	Design	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1382	SIEMENS AG V. SEAGATE TECH.	Archer, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1021	IN RE THORPE	Archer, Gajarsa, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1498	WNS HOLDINGS, LLC V. UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1081	AJINOMOTO CO., INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISS	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	USITC Decision
11-1584	POZEN INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1092	IN RE AVID IDENTIFICATION	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
09-1107	ALLOC, INC. V. PERGO, INC. [REVISED]	Dyk, Kendall*, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1285	BARON SERVICES, INC. v. MEDIA WEATHER INNOVATIONS	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Discovery	Rule 56	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1584	POZEN INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1193	SENSORMATIC ELECTRONICS, LLC. V. KAHLE	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1044	MATTHEWS INTERNATIONAL CORP V. BIOSAFE ENGINEERIN	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1171	OUTSIDE THE BOX INNOVATIONS V. TRAVEL CADDY	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Disqualificatic n	Attorney		None
10-1164	ADVANCED MAGNETIC CLOSURES V. ROME FASTENER CORP	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal		

11-1309	TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC. V. AMERICAN EUROCOPTER CO	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Prosecution History Estoppel		Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1309	TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC. V. AMERICAN EUROCOPTER CO	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1584	POZEN INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-M909	IN RE: VTECH COMMUNICATIONS	Linn, Michel, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Denied	Order on Motion
12-1092	IN RE AVID IDENTIFICATION	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
09-1595	CREATIVE COMPOUNDS V. STARMARK LAB	Linn, Michel, Schall	Jurisdiction	Appeal		
10-1499	IN RE LOVIN	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	APA			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
11-1025	FURNACE BROOK v. AEROPOSTALE	Bryson, Moore, O'Malley	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1419	DURAMED PHARMA v. PADDOCK LABS	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1515	AEROTEL V. TELCO GROUP	Linn, O'Malley, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1438	DURAMED PHARMACEUTICALS V. WATSON LABORATORIES	Laurie	Injunction	Preliminary		
10-1553	WALKER DIGITAL V. CAPITAL ONE SERVICES	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Unknown			
11-1094	ALLOC V. PERGO	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	
09-1308	EON-NET V. FLAGSTAF BANCORP	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1500	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY V. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH	Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1406	ASSOCIATION FOR MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY V. MYRIAD GENE	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1277	IN RE NTP, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Claim Construction	General		BPAI Decision - Reexamination

10-1243	IN RE NTP, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Claim Construction		Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1432	MITSUBISHI CHEMICAL CORP. V. BARR LABORATORIES, IN	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1113	IMES V. GALASSO	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Unknown			
10-1555	CHET'S SHOES, INC. V. KASTNER	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Unknown			
11-1139	IN RE SCRIPTPRO LLC	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1323	JOOVY LLC. V. TARGET CORP.	Linn, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation		Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1031	O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LTD. V. SELS	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Unknown			
10-1287	MHL TEK, LLC. V. NISSAN MOTOR CO.	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1202	CBT FLINT PARTNERS, LLC. V. RETURN PATH, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1027	PRIVACASH, INC. V. AMERICAN EXPRESS CO.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-4070	KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. V. EON LABS, INC.	Newman, Rader, Reyna	Unknown			
09-1358	CYBERSOURCE CORP V. RETAIL DECISIONS, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Invalidity	Utility	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1340	3D SYSTEMS, INC. V. ENVISIONTEC, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal		
11-1471	MERIAL LTD. V. CIPLA LTD.	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Stay	Pending Appeal		
11-M974	IN RE THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TE	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Mandamus			
11-M983	IN RE XOFT, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Mandamus		Transfer	
11-M985	IN RE VERTICAL COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Mandamus		Transfer	
11-1027	PRIVACASH, INC. V. AMERICAN EXPRESS CO.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1202	CBT FLINT PARTNERS, LLC. V. RETURN PATH, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction		Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1145	ILLUMINA, INC. V. AFFYMETRIX, INC.	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	

10-1202	CBT FLINT PARTNERS, LLC. V. RETURN PATH, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Lourie	Claim Correction		Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1287	MHL TEK, LLC. V. NISSAN MOTOR CO.	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Standing		Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1432	MITSUBISHI CHEMICAL CORP. V. BARR LABORATORIES, IN	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1432	MITSUBISHI CHEMICAL CORP. V. BARR LABORATORIES, IN	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1432	MITSUBISHI CHEMICAL CORP. V. BARR LABORATORIES, IN	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1243	IN RE NTP, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1243	IN RE NTP, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Publicly Accessible	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1243	IN RE NTP, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1243	IN RE NTP, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1243	IN RE NTP, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Enabling Reference	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1243	IN RE NTP, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Obviousness		BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1277	IN RE NTP, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Priority Date			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
09-1308	EON-NET V. FLAGSTAF BANCORP	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1308	EON-NET V. FLAGSTAF BANCORP	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1308	EON-NET V. FLAGSTAF BANCORP	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1458	AUGUST TECH CORP v CAMTEK LTD	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
10-1264	GENETICS INSTITUTE, LLC. V NOVARTIS VACCINES AND	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Jurisdiction	Appeal		

10-1264	GENETICS INSTITUTE, LLC. .V NOVARTIS VACCINES AND	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Patent Term Extension		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1264	GENETICS INSTITUTE, LLC. .V NOVARTIS VACCINES AND	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Interference	Interference in Fact	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1458	AUGUST TECH CORP v CAMTEK LTD	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1458	AUGUST TECH CORP v CAMTEK LTD	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1458	AUGUST TECH CORP v CAMTEK LTD	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Jury Instructions		Reversed	Jury Instruction
10-1458	AUGUST TECH CORP v CAMTEK LTD	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1458	AUGUST TECH CORP v CAMTEK LTD	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1546	DELANO FARMS CO. V. THE CALIFORNIA TABLE GRAPE COM	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Parties	Necessary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1546	DELANO FARMS CO. V. THE CALIFORNIA TABLE GRAPE COM	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Sovereign Immunity		Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1546	DELANO FARMS CO. V. THE CALIFORNIA TABLE GRAPE COM	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1546	DELANO FARMS CO. V. THE CALIFORNIA TABLE GRAPE COM	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Antitrust		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1500	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY V. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH	Lourie, Newman	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1500	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY V. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH	Lourie, Newman	Validity	Utility	Reversed	Bench Trial
10-1500	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY V. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1500	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY V. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Contributory	Reversed	Bench Trial
10-1515	AEROTEL V. TELCO GROUP	Linn, O'Malley, Rader	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
10-1515	AEROTEL V. TELCO GROUP	Linn, O'Malley, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1515	AEROTEL V. TELCO GROUP	Linn, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Consent Judgment
09-1251	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. PHOTOSCRIBE T	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1251	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. PHOTOSCRIBE T	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L

09-1251	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. PHOTOSCRIBE T	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Jury Instructions			Jury Instruction
09-1251	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. PHOTOSCRIBE T	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Validity		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1251	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. PHOTOSCRIBE T	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1251	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. PHOTOSCRIBE T	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
09-1251	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. PHOTOSCRIBE T	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Exceptional Case		Vacated	Order on Motion
09-1251	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. PHOTOSCRIBE T	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
09-1385	SHUM V. INTEL CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Fraudulent Concealment		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1385	SHUM V. INTEL CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Unjust Enrichment		Affirmed	JMOL
09-1385	SHUM V. INTEL CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Breach of Contract		Affirmed	JMOL
09-1385	SHUM V. INTEL CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Intentional Misrepresentation		Affirmed	JMOL
09-1385	SHUM V. INTEL CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1109	SHUM V. INTEL CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Costs	Amount	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1460	SPANSION, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1460	SPANSION, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1460	SPANSION, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1460	SPANSION, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1460	SPANSION, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Friedman, Linn, Lourie	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	USITC Decision
10-1179	AEROTEL, LTD. V. T-MOBILE USA, INC.	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
10-1265	IMAGECUBE LLC. V. THE BOEING CO.	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1328	STAMPS.COM V. ENDICIA, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Content of Prior Art		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1328	STAMPS.COM V. ENDICIA, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

10-1328	STAMPS.COM V. ENDICIA, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1328	STAMPS.COM V. ENDICIA, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1328	STAMPS.COM V. ENDICIA, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1525	INVENTIO AG V. THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR AMERICAS CORP	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1525	INVENTIO AG V. THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR AMERICAS CORP	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1186	STAR SCIENTIFIC, INC. V. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Priority Date		Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1006	UNIGENE LABORATORIES, INC. V. APOTEX, INC.	Moore, O'Malley, Rader	Attorney Client Privilege	Crime Fraud Exception		Order on Motion
10-1186	STAR SCIENTIFIC, INC. V. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1186	STAR SCIENTIFIC, INC. V. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1186	STAR SCIENTIFIC, INC. V. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1186	STAR SCIENTIFIC, INC. V. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1186	STAR SCIENTIFIC, INC. V. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1186	STAR SCIENTIFIC, INC. V. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1006	UNIGENE LABORATORIES, INC. V. APOTEX, INC.	Moore, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1006	UNIGENE LABORATORIES, INC. V. APOTEX, INC.	Moore, O'Malley, Rader	Amendment	Counterclaim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1304	IN RE MEYER MANUFACTURING CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Moore	Rule 131 Affidavit		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1304	IN RE MEYER MANUFACTURING CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	A	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1197	SUNBEAM PRODUCTS V. HOMEDICS	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

10-1197	SUNBEAM PRODUCTS V. HOMEDICS	Bryson, Clevenger, Plager	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1425	ERBE ELEKTROMEDIZIN GMBH V. CANADY TECH. LLC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1425	ERBE ELEKTROMEDIZIN GMBH V. CANADY TECH. LLC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1425	ERBE ELEKTROMEDIZIN GMBH V. CANADY TECH. LLC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Antitrust	Noerr Pennington	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1552	IN RE AOYAMA	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1037	RESEARCH CORP. TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. MICROSOFT COR	Newman, Plager, Rader	Priority Date		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1080	THE WESTERN UNION CO. V. MONEYGRAM PAYMENT SYSTEMS	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1634	CLASSEN IMMUNOTHERAPIES, INC. V. BIOGEN IDEC	Newman, Moore, Rader	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1058	AIA ENGINEERING LTD V. MAGOTTEAUX INTERNATIONAL S	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction		Reversed	Markman Ruling
06-1634	CLASSEN IMMUNOTHERAPIES, INC. V. BIOGEN IDEC	Newman, Moore, Rader	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1634	CLASSEN IMMUNOTHERAPIES, INC. V. BIOGEN IDEC	Newman, Moore, Rader	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1075	HARARI V. LEE	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Incorporation by Reference		Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference
11-1058	AIA ENGINEERING LTD V. MAGOTTEAUX INTERNATIONAL S	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Recapture - 251	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1054	IN RE CECCARELLI	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1075	HARARI V. LEE	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	BPAI Decision - Interference
10-1075	HARARI V. LEE	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction		Vacated	BPAI Decision - Interference

10-1075	HARARI V. LEE	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
10-1100	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS V. ABBYY SOFTWARE HOUSE	Newman, Prost, Rader	Discovery	Jurisdictional	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1100	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS V. ABBYY SOFTWARE HOUSE	Newman, Prost, Rader	Dismissal Motion	Improper Service of Process	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1059	A123 SYSTEMS V. HYDRO-QUEBEC	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Motion to Dismiss	Sovereign Immunity	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1059	A123 SYSTEMS V. HYDRO-QUEBEC	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Parties	Indispensable	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1207	MYTEE PRODUCTS, INC. V. HARRIS RESEARCH, INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1207	MYTEE PRODUCTS, INC. V. HARRIS RESEARCH, INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1207	MYTEE PRODUCTS, INC. V. HARRIS RESEARCH, INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1207	MYTEE PRODUCTS, INC. V. HARRIS RESEARCH, INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1204	CANCER RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY AND SCHERING V. BARR LA	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
10-1362	MIKKILINENI V. STOLL	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter		Order on Motion
09-1544	ENCYCLOPAEDIA BRITANNICA V. ALPINE ELECTRONICS OF	Bryson, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1454	WORDTECH SYSTEMS V. INTEGRATED NETWORKS SOLUTIONS	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Motion for New Trial
09-1454	WORDTECH SYSTEMS V. INTEGRATED NETWORKS SOLUTIONS	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Infringement	Contributory	Reversed	Motion for New Trial
09-1454	WORDTECH SYSTEMS V. INTEGRATED NETWORKS SOLUTIONS	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Reversed	Jury Verdict/Order on Motion
09-1454	WORDTECH SYSTEMS V. INTEGRATED NETWORKS SOLUTIONS	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1576	FINJAN V. SECURE COMPUTING CORP.	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

09-1576	FINJAN V. SECURE COMPUTING CORP.	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Waiver		NA	
09-1576	FINJAN V. SECURE COMPUTING CORP.	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1576	FINJAN V. SECURE COMPUTING CORP.	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Damages	Damages Base	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1576	FINJAN V. SECURE COMPUTING CORP.	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Damages	Reasonable Royalty		Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1576	FINJAN V. SECURE COMPUTING CORP.	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Damages	Damages Period	Remanded	Order on Motion
09-1381	ASTRAZENECA LP V. APOTEX, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
09-1381	ASTRAZENECA LP V. APOTEX, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
09-1381	ASTRAZENECA LP V. APOTEX, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
09-1381	ASTRAZENECA LP V. APOTEX, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
09-1381	ASTRAZENECA LP V. APOTEX, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
09-1161	SOLVAY S.A. V. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1161	SOLVAY S.A. V. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Dyk, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1127	IN RE SCHWEMBERGER	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1470	LUCKY LITTER LLC V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Dyk, Friedman, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1470	LUCKY LITTER LLC V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Dyk, Friedman, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	USITC Decision
09-1470	LUCKY LITTER LLC V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Dyk, Friedman, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1325	EXTREME NETWORKS INC. V. ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1325	EXTREME NETWORKS INC. V. ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1325	EXTREME NETWORKS INC. V. ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1325	EXTREME NETWORKS INC. V. ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment

09-1325	EXTREME NETWORKS INC. V. ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1305	MARKEM-IMAJE CORP. V. ZIPHER LTD.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
10-1040	COMPUTER CACHE COHERENCY V. INTEL	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1305	MARKEM-IMAJE CORP. V. ZIPHER LTD.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1305	MARKEM-IMAJE CORP. V. ZIPHER LTD.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
10-1028	LARYNGEAL MASK COMPANY V. AMBU	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
10-1028	LARYNGEAL MASK COMPANY V. AMBU	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	
10-1045	FUJITSU V. NETGEAR	Friedman, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Contributory	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1045	FUJITSU V. NETGEAR	Friedman, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1045	FUJITSU V. NETGEAR	Friedman, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1045	FUJITSU V. NETGEAR	Friedman, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1045	FUJITSU V. NETGEAR	Friedman, Lourie, Moore	Patent Marking		Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1045	FUJITSU V. NETGEAR	Friedman, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1323	AMERICAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. V. BIOLITEC, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Remanded	Summary Judgment
10-1544	ULTRAMERCIAL, LLC. V. HULU, LLC.	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter		Order on Motion
09-1538	SPINE SOLUTIONS, INC. V. MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK U	Dyk, Friedman, Moore	Standing		Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1538	SPINE SOLUTIONS, INC. V. MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK U	Dyk, Friedman, Moore	Limitation of Damages		Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1538	SPINE SOLUTIONS, INC. V. MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK U	Dyk, Friedman, Moore	Willfulness		Reversed	JMOL
09-1538	SPINE SOLUTIONS, INC. V. MEDTRONIC SOFAMOR DANEK U	Dyk, Friedman, Moore	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1455	GREEN EDGE ENTERPRISES, LLC V. RUBBER MULCH ETC.,	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1455	GREEN EDGE ENTERPRISES, LLC V. RUBBER MULCH ETC.,	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Remanded	Order on Motion
09-1455	GREEN EDGE ENTERPRISES, LLC V. RUBBER MULCH ETC.,	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment		Order on Motion

09-1455	GREEN EDGE ENTERPRISES, LLC V. RUBBER MULCH ETC.,	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Evidence		Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1016	IN RE SCROGGIE	O'Malley, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1225	FUNAI ELECTRIC CO., LTD. V. DAEWOO ELECTRONICS CO.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1225	FUNAI ELECTRIC CO., LTD. V. DAEWOO ELECTRONICS CO.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Successor Liability		Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1225	FUNAI ELECTRIC CO., LTD. V. DAEWOO ELECTRONICS CO.	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Choice of Law	Law Applied	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1016	IN RE SCROGGIE	O'Malley, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation		BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
11-1016	IN RE SCROGGIE	O'Malley, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1005	ELI LILLY AND CO. V. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC	Linn, Prost, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1005	ELI LILLY AND CO. V. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC	Linn, Prost, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1428	STAUFFER V. BROOKS BROTHERS, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Intervention		Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1212	DESENBERG V. GOOGLE, INC.	Mayer, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Indirect	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1212	DESENBERG V. GOOGLE, INC.	Mayer, Newman, Prost	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1284	CLEARWATER SYSTEMS CORP. V. EVAPCO, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation		Summary Judgment
09-1284	CLEARWATER SYSTEMS CORP. V. EVAPCO, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction		Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1284	CLEARWATER SYSTEMS CORP. V. EVAPCO, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1284	CLEARWATER SYSTEMS CORP. V. EVAPCO, INC.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment

09-1378	GENERAL PROTECHT GROUP, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	USITC Decision
09-1378	GENERAL PROTECHT GROUP, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction		Reversed	USITC Decision
09-1378	GENERAL PROTECHT GROUP, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	USITC Decision
09-1556	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING V. MAERSK C	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1556	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING V. MAERSK C	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Sale	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1556	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING V. MAERSK C	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1556	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING V. MAERSK C	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Willfulness		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1058	BARAN V. MEDICAL DEVICE TECHNOLOGIES	Bryson, Gararsa, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1058	BARAN V. MEDICAL DEVICE TECHNOLOGIES	Bryson, Gararsa, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1058	BARAN V. MEDICAL DEVICE TECHNOLOGIES	Bryson, Gararsa, Prost	Infringement	Means For	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1058	BARAN V. MEDICAL DEVICE TECHNOLOGIES	Bryson, Gararsa, Prost	Evidence	Expert Testimony		Order on Motion
09-1306	GOLDEN HOUR DATA SYSTEMS, INC. V. EMSCHARTS, INC.	Dyk, Friedman, Newman	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Vacated	JMOL
09-1306	GOLDEN HOUR DATA SYSTEMS, INC. V. EMSCHARTS, INC.	Dyk, Friedman, Newman	Infringement	Joint	Affirmed	JMOL
09-1457	MARCTEC, LLC V. JOHNSON & JOHNSON	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1457	MARCTEC, LLC V. JOHNSON & JOHNSON	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1537	RING PLUS, INC. V. CINGULAR WIRELESS CORP.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
09-1537	RING PLUS, INC. V. CINGULAR WIRELESS CORP.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling

09-1537	RING PLUS, INC. V. CINGULAR WIRELESS CORP.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1537	RING PLUS, INC. V. CINGULAR WIRELESS CORP.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Disqualification	Attorney	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1246	ADAMS RESPIRATORY THERAPEUTICS, INC. V. PERRIGO CO	Friedman, Linn, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
10-1246	ADAMS RESPIRATORY THERAPEUTICS, INC. V. PERRIGO CO	Friedman, Linn, Moore	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1568	INTERVET INC. V. MERMAL LTD.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction		Reversed	Markman Ruling
09-1568	INTERVET INC. V. MERMAL LTD.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Prosecution History Estoppel		Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1568	INTERVET INC. V. MERMAL LTD.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1568	INTERVET INC. V. MERMAL LTD.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1167	ENOVSYYS LLC V. NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Waiver			
09-1483	WEBZERO, LLC V. CLICVU, INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1483	WEBZERO, LLC V. CLICVU, INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1483	WEBZERO, LLC V. CLICVU, INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Discovery	56f		Order on Motion
09-1437	KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. V. EON LABS, INC,	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1437	KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. V. EON LABS, INC,	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1437	KING PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. V. EON LABS, INC,	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy		na
09-1053	BECTON, DICKINSON AND CO V. TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP,	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1053	BECTON, DICKINSON AND CO V. TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP,	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1030	IN RE LEITHEM	Bryson, Linn, Newman	APA		Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1419	DURAMED PHARMA v. PADDOCK LABS	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

10-1012	PARADOX SECURITY SYSTEMS, LTD. V. ADT SECURITY SER	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
11-1313	MEDTRONIC INC. V. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Validity		Vacated	Bench Trial
11-1313	MEDTRONIC INC. V. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Waiver		NA	None
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	New Trial	Infringement	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Waiver		na	na
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Validity	Anticipation		Jury Verdict/JMOL
10-1402	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
10-1447	RESPIRONICS, INC. V. INVACARE CORP.	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1115	GENERAL PROTECH GROUP, INC. V. LEVITON MANUFACTURING	Dyk, Linn, Schall	License Agreement		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
11-1115	GENERAL PROTECH GROUP, INC. V. LEVITON MANUFACTURING	Dyk, Linn, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
11-1115	GENERAL PROTECH GROUP, INC. V. LEVITON MANUFACTURING	Dyk, Linn, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary		Preliminary Injunction

11-1115	GENERAL PROTECHT GROUP, INC. V. LEVITON MANUFACTUI	Dyk, Linn, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
12-1092	IN RE AVID IDENTIFICATION	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1022	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHNOLOGIES, LP	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
10-1068	MONSANTO CO v. BOWMAN	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Exhaustion/First Sale		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1068	MONSANTO CO v. BOWMAN	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1068	MONSANTO CO v. BOWMAN	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Patent Notice	Actual	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-M978	IN RE SHARED MEMORY GRAPHICS	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Mandamus	Disqualification	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1231	RECKITT BENCKISER INC. V. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1231	RECKITT BENCKISER INC. V. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1231	RECKITT BENCKISER INC. V. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1400	IN RE GIACOMINI	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Priority Date		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1400	IN RE GIACOMINI	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1175	TELECORDIA TECHNOLOGIES V. CISCO SYSTEMS	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1175	TELECORDIA TECHNOLOGIES V. CISCO SYSTEMS	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1175	TELECORDIA TECHNOLOGIES V. CISCO SYSTEMS	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness		Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1175	TELECORDIA TECHNOLOGIES V. CISCO SYSTEMS	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1175	TELECORDIA TECHNOLOGIES V. CISCO SYSTEMS	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1042	HALPERN V. PERITEC BIOSCIENCES	Bryson, Dyk, Rader	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1102	ADVANCED MAGNETIC CLOSURES V. ROME FASTENER	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Due Process		Affirmed	Order on Motion

09-1102	ADVANCED MAGNETIC CLOSURES V. ROME FASTENER	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	JMOL
09-1102	ADVANCED MAGNETIC CLOSURES V. ROME FASTENER	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	JMOL
09-1102	ADVANCED MAGNETIC CLOSURES V. ROME FASTENER	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1102	ADVANCED MAGNETIC CLOSURES V. ROME FASTENER	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Attorney's Fees	1927	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1423	TRIMED, INC. V. STRYKER CORP.	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1423	TRIMED, INC. V. STRYKER CORP.	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Reassignment	Judge		
10-1502	CORDANCE CORP. V. AMAZON.COM, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Priority Date		Affirmed	JMOL
08-1334	SILICON GRAPHICS, INC. V. ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1334	SILICON GRAPHICS, INC. V. ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
08-1334	SILICON GRAPHICS, INC. V. ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	License Defense		Vacated	Summary Judgment
08-1334	SILICON GRAPHICS, INC. V. ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1334	SILICON GRAPHICS, INC. V. ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation		Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1334	SILICON GRAPHICS, INC. V. ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1334	SILICON GRAPHICS, INC. V. ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	New Trial	Anticipation	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1334	SILICON GRAPHICS, INC. V. ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1502	CORDANCE CORP. V. AMAZON.COM, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Priority Date		Affirmed	JMOL
10-1502	CORDANCE CORP. V. AMAZON.COM, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
10-1502	CORDANCE CORP. V. AMAZON.COM, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	JMOL
10-1502	CORDANCE CORP. V. AMAZON.COM, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1502	CORDANCE CORP. V. AMAZON.COM, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL

09-1553	PURDUE PHARMA PRODUCTS L.P. V. PAF PHARMACEUTICAL,	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1557	HAEMONETICS, CORP. V. BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORP.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	JMOL
09-1557	HAEMONETICS, CORP. V. BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORP.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMOL
09-1557	HAEMONETICS, CORP. V. BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORP.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMOL
10-1382	KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. V. FIRST QUALITY BA	Dyk, Friedman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction
10-1382	KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. V. FIRST QUALITY BA	Dyk, Friedman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction
10-1382	KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. V. FIRST QUALITY BA	Dyk, Friedman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction
10-1382	KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. V. FIRST QUALITY BA	Dyk, Friedman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction
09-1421	LEVITON MANUFACTURING CO., INC. V. UNIVERSAL SECUR	Michel, Moore, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1421	LEVITON MANUFACTURING CO., INC. V. UNIVERSAL SECUR	Michel, Moore, Prost	Attorney's Fees	Vexatious Litigation	Vacated	Order on Motion
09-1524	DOW JONES AND CO., INC. V. ABLAISE LTD.	Folsom*, Michel, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1112	YIP V. HUG TO GO LLC	Newman, Prost, Rader	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1112	YIP V. HUG TO GO LLC	Newman, Prost, Rader	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1112	YIP V. HUG TO GO LLC	Newman, Prost, Rader	Dismissal Motion		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1487	FUJIFILM CORP. V. BENUN	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Waiver			
09-1487	FUJIFILM CORP. V. BENUN	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Exhaustion/First Sale		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
09-1487	FUJIFILM CORP. V. BENUN	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
09-1487	FUJIFILM CORP. V. BENUN	Linn, Mayer, Michel	Contempt	Injunction	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
09-1386	VIZIO, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Clevenger, Dyk, Mayer	Claim Construction		Affirmed	USITC Decision

09-1386	VIZIO, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Clevenger, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1386	VIZIO, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Clevenger, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1386	VIZIO, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Clevenger, Dyk, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
09-1386	VIZIO, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Clevenger, Dyk, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	USITC Decision
08-1511	THERASENSE, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON AND CO.	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn, Dyk,	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Vacated	Bench Trial
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Patent Notice	Actual	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Evidence	Rule 403	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1548	MARINE POLYMER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. HEMCON, INC.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Intervening Rights	Absolute	NA	None
11-1019	SPREAD SPECTRUM SCREENING LLC. V. EASTMAN KODAK CO	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	None
11-1309	TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC. V. AMERICAN EUROCOPTER CO	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1548	MARINE POLYMER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. HEMCON, INC.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement		Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1548	MARINE POLYMER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. HEMCON, INC.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Intervening Rights	Equitable	Remanded	NA
08-1168	CARTER V. ALK HOLDINGS, INC.	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion

11-1584	POZEN INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents		Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1147	ASPEX EYEWEAR INC. V. CLARITI EYEWEAR, INC.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Exceptional Case			Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1147	ASPEX EYEWEAR INC. V. CLARITI EYEWEAR, INC.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1147	ASPEX EYEWEAR INC. V. CLARITI EYEWEAR, INC.	Bryson, Newman, Rader	Inequitable Conduct			Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1404	IN RE VAIDYANATHAN [CORRECTED- IMAGE ENLARGED]	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	General		Reversed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1404	IN RE VAIDYANATHAN [CORRECTED- IMAGE ENLARGED]	Dyk, Michel, Newman	Validity	Obviousness		Vacated	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1176	TESSERA, INC. .V INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	USITC Decision
10-1176	TESSERA, INC. .V INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Jurisdiction	Appeal		NA	NA
10-1176	TESSERA, INC. .V INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Exhaustion/Fi rst Sale			Affirmed	USITC Decision
10-1176	TESSERA, INC. .V INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal		Vacated	USITC Decision
10-1102	IN RE BRIMONIDINE PATENT LITIGATION	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1102	IN RE BRIMONIDINE PATENT LITIGATION	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Injunction	Permanent		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1102	IN RE BRIMONIDINE PATENT LITIGATION	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Bench Trial
99-1229	Hollyanne Corp. v. TFT, Inc	Michel, Schall, Skelton	Transfer			Vacated	Order on Motion
97-5035	Dow Chemical v. U.S.	Archer, Gajarsa, Rich	Claim Construction	General		Reversed	
95-1066	Festo v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki	Schall, Mayer, Plager, Lourie, Clevenger, Bryson,	Infringement	DOE		Reversed	Jury Verdict
00-1012	Pioneer Magnetics v. Micro Linear,	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	DOE		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1012	Pioneer Magnetics v. Micro Linear,	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	PHE			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
00-1590	Brown v. Barbacid, et al.	Michel, Rader	Evidence			Reversed	BPAI Decision - Interference

01-1058	Biogen v. Berlex Laboratories,	Friedman, Newman, Rader	PHE			Reversed	
04-1139	Commissariat À l'Énergie Atomique v. Chi Mei Op	Dyk, Friedman, Rader	Discovery	Jurisdictional		Reversed	Order on Motion
04-1246	Chimie, et al. v. PPG Industries, Inc.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Newman	Evidence			Affirmed	
06-1101	Abbott Laboratories v. Andrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Ellis*, Michel, Prost	Collateral Estoppel			Affirmed	
06-1404	Time & Temperature v. Sensitech	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Injunction	Permanent		Reversed	Order on Motion
06-1404	Time & Temperature v. Sensitech	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation		Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1551	Oilfield Equipment Marketing v. New Tech Systems	Bryson, Moore, Rader	Unknown				
07-1118	Venture Industries Corporation v. Autoliv ASP	Clevenger, Linn, Prost	Unknown				
M830	In re Seagate Technology, LLC.	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, Mayer, Newman,	Willfulness			Explained	
06-1565	POSTX Corporation, et al. v. Secure Data in Motion	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Unknown				
07-1057	Caritas Technologigies, Inc. v. Comcast Corporation,	Newman, Prost, Rader	Unknown				
06-1637	Capital Bridge v. IVL Technologies	Bucklo*, Michel, Schall	Unknown				
07-1091	In Re Rodger Burrows [corrected]	Farnan*, Rader, Schall	Unknown				BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
07-1116	Foremost in Packaging Systems (doing business as E	Linn, Rader, Schall	Unknown				
06-1583	Dolby Laboratories v. Lucent Technologies	Linn, Rader, Schall	Unknown				
07-1069	In Re Darrin Benzer	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Unknown				BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
06-1570	Acco Brands, Inc. (doing business as Kensington Te	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	
07-1434	Judkins v. HT Window Fashion Corp.	Bryson, Kennelly*, Michel	Lanham Act			Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1434	Judkins v. HT Window Fashion Corp.	Bryson, Kennelly*, Michel	Injunction	Preliminary		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing

07-1302	O2 Micro International Limited v. Beyond Innovatio	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
07-1302	O2 Micro International Limited v. Beyond Innovatio	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Jury Verdict
07-1249	Microprocessor Enhancement Corp. v. Texas Instrume	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1249	Microprocessor Enhancement Corp. v. Texas Instrume	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1249	Microprocessor Enhancement Corp. v. Texas Instrume	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1404	Caraco Pharmaceutical Laboratories v. Forest Labor	Gajarsa, Prost	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy	Reversed	Motion to Dismiss
07-1184	Akira Akazawa v. Link New Technology International	Archer, Linn, Newman	Jurisdiction	Standing	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1223	Ortho-Mcneil Pharmaceutical Inc. v. Mylan Laborato	Linn, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1223	Ortho-Mcneil Pharmaceutical Inc. v. Mylan Laborato	Linn, Michel, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1223	Ortho-Mcneil Pharmaceutical Inc. v. Mylan Laborato	Linn, Michel, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1223	Ortho-Mcneil Pharmaceutical Inc. v. Mylan Laborato	Linn, Michel, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1258	Ortho-Mcneil Pharmaceutical Inc. v. Cobalt Pharmac	Linn, Michel, Rader	Unknown			
07-1415	Agrizap v. Woodstream	Bryson, Moore, Wolle*	Fraudulent Misrepresentation	Liability	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1415	Agrizap v. Woodstream	Bryson, Moore, Wolle*	Fraudulent Misrepresentation	Damages	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1415	Agrizap v. Woodstream	Bryson, Moore, Wolle*	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1419	Aristocrat Tech. Australia PTY Limited v. Internat	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1481	A G Design & Assoc. v. Trainman Lantern Co.	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1169	Computer Docking Station Corp. v. Dell, Inc.	Michel, Plager, Radei	Claim Construction	Disavowal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1169	Computer Docking Station Corp. v. Dell, Inc.	Michel, Plager, Radei	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

07-1169	Computer Docking Station Corp. v. Dell, Inc.	Michel, Plager, Rader	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1169	Computer Docking Station Corp. v. Dell, Inc.	Michel, Plager, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1292	LSI Industries Inc. v. Imagepoint Inc.	Linn, Rader, Wolle*	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1292	LSI Industries Inc. v. Imagepoint Inc.	Linn, Rader, Wolle*	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1292	LSI Industries Inc. v. Imagepoint Inc.	Linn, Rader, Wolle*	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
07-1292	LSI Industries Inc. v. Imagepoint Inc.	Linn, Rader, Wolle*	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
07-1292	LSI Industries Inc. v. Imagepoint Inc.	Linn, Rader, Wolle*	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
07-1014	Amgen, Inc. v. International Trade Commission [rei]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Infringement	271(e)(1) exemption	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1014	Amgen, Inc. v. International Trade Commission [rei]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Jurisdiction		Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1325	Neutrino Development Corp. v. Sonosite	Friedman, Newman, Schall	Unknown			
07-1271	Pfizer, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	Dyk, Kennelly*, Michel	Validity	Double Patenting	Reversed	Bench Trial
07-1271	Pfizer, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	Dyk, Kennelly*, Michel	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1271	Pfizer, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	Dyk, Kennelly*, Michel	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1271	Pfizer, Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA Inc.	Dyk, Kennelly*, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1570	Craig v. Foldfast Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Unknown			
07-1263	Gubb v. P&M Services Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Moore	Unknown			
07-1080	Micron Tech. v. Mosaid Tech.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1334	Regents of the U. of California v. Dakocytomation	Lourie, Prost, Mayer	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
06-1334	Regents of the U. of California v. Dakocytomation	Lourie, Prost, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1334	Regents of the U. of California v. Dakocytomation	Lourie, Prost, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1334	Regents of the U. of California v. Dakocytomation	Lourie, Prost, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Hearing

06-1334	Regents of the U. of California v. Dakocytomation	Lourie, Prost, Mayer	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Summary Judgment
06-1334	Regents of the U. of California v. Dakocytomation	Lourie, Prost, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
07-1257	Gammino v. Southwestern Bell Telephone	Bryson, Moore, Wolle*	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1236	Amado v. Microsoft Corp	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Stay	Permanent Injunction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1236	Amado v. Microsoft Corp	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1236	Amado v. Microsoft Corp	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1236	Amado v. Microsoft Corp	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Rule 60(b)(6)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1236	Amado v. Microsoft Corp	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Damages	271(f)	Factual Questions	Order on Motion
07-1375	Aristocrat Tech. Australia Pty Ltd. v. Multimedia	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1375	Aristocrat Tech. Australia Pty Ltd. v. Multimedia	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1188	Atlanta Attachment Co. v. Leggett and Platt Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1188	Atlanta Attachment Co. v. Leggett and Platt Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1190	Nazomi Communications Inc. v. Arm Holdings	Prost, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1190	Nazomi Communications Inc. v. Arm Holdings	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1314	Chamberlain Group v. Lear Corp.	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Hearing
07-1314	Chamberlain Group v. Lear Corp.	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1314	Chamberlain Group v. Lear Corp.	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1168	Erico International Corp. v. Vutec Corp.	Dyk, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1168	Erico International Corp. v. Vutec Corp.	Dyk, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1367	MGM Well Services v. Mega Lift Systems	Linn, Newman, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1211	DDB Tech. v. MLB Advaced Media	Clevenger, Dyk	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1211	DDB Tech. v. MLB Advaced Media	Clevenger, Dyk	Right to Jury Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1211	DDB Tech. v. MLB Advaced Media	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Discovery	Jurisdictional	Reversed	Order on Motion

07-1063	International Rectifier v. IXYS	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Infringement	DOE	Reversed	Jury Verdict
07-1473	Rocky Mountain Technology Engineering v. Hutchens	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Dismissal Motion	Want of Prosecution	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1089	Ampex v. Eastman Kodak	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Unknown			
07-1315	Prism Technologies v. Verisign	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Unknown			
06-1628	Miken Composites v. Wilson Sporting Goods	Linn, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
06-1628	Miken Composites v. Wilson Sporting Goods	Linn, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1628	Miken Composites v. Wilson Sporting Goods	Linn, Newman, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1104	Monsanto v. David	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1104	Monsanto v. David	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1104	Monsanto v. David	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1104	Monsanto v. David	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1104	Monsanto v. David	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
07-1174	Sitrick v. Dreamworks [revised]	Michel, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
Misc 85	In Re Roche Molecular Systems	Friedman, Mayer	Mandamus		Denied	
06-1574	TIVO v. Echostar Communications	Bryson, Keeley*, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict
06-1574	TIVO v. Echostar Communications	Bryson, Keeley*, Plager	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1574	TIVO v. Echostar Communications	Bryson, Keeley*, Plager	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1574	TIVO v. Echostar Communications	Bryson, Keeley*, Plager	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1574	TIVO v. Echostar Communications	Bryson, Keeley*, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1574	TIVO v. Echostar Communications	Bryson, Keeley*, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Jury Verdict
06-1574	TIVO v. Echostar Communications	Bryson, Keeley*, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
06-1574	TIVO v. Echostar Communications	Bryson, Keeley*, Plager	New Trial	Prejudice	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1574	TIVO v. Echostar Communications	Bryson, Keeley*, Plager	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1247	Emergis Technologies v. PNM Resources	Dyk, Gajarsa, Moran'	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1247	Emergis Technologies v. PNM Resources	Dyk, Gajarsa, Moran'	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1247	Emergis Technologies v. PNM Resources	Dyk, Gajarsa, Moran'	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

07-1247	Emergis Technologies v. PNM Resources	Dyk, Gajarsa, Moran'	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1350	F & G Research v. Dynapoint	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1350	F & G Research v. Dynapoint	Gajarsa, Linn, Moore	Sanctions	Rule 38	Granted	
07-1214	Oatey v. IPS	Linn, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
07-1214	Oatey v. IPS	Linn, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1112	American Seating v. USSC Group	Bryson, Fogel*, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
07-1112	American Seating v. USSC Group	Bryson, Fogel*, Mayer	Damages	Convoyed Sales	Affirmed	JMOL
07-1112	American Seating v. USSC Group	Bryson, Fogel*, Mayer	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
07-1327	TriMed v. Stryker	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1327	TriMed v. Stryker	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1149	Halliburton Energy Svcs. v. M-I	Bryson, Fogel*, Michel	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1109	Monsanto v. Bayer Bioscience	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1109	Monsanto v. Bayer Bioscience	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial
06-1615	Canon v. GCC International	Dyk, Garbis*, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
06-1615	Canon v. GCC International	Dyk, Garbis*, Michel	Repair			Preliminary Injunction Hearing
06-1615	Canon v. GCC International	Dyk, Garbis*, Michel	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1191	In re Sang Su Lee	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Evidence	282	Affirmed	Order on Motion

07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1145	Innogenetics v. Abbott Laboratories	Bryson, Clevenger, Moore	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	JMOL
07-1262	Baldwin Graphic Systems v. Siebert	Michel, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1262	Baldwin Graphic Systems v. Siebert	Michel, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1262	Baldwin Graphic Systems v. Siebert	Michel, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1262	Baldwin Graphic Systems v. Siebert	Michel, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1262	Baldwin Graphic Systems v. Siebert	Michel, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1253	Outside the Box Innovations v. Travel Caddy	Archer, Bryson	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1253	Outside the Box Innovations v. Travel Caddy	Archer, Bryson	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1253	Outside the Box Innovations v. Travel Caddy	Archer, Bryson	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1253	Outside the Box Innovations v. Travel Caddy	Archer, Bryson, Dyk	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1253	Outside the Box Innovations v. Travel Caddy	Archer, Bryson, Dyk	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1253	Outside the Box Innovations v. Travel Caddy	Archer, Bryson, Dyk	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1358	Howmedica Osteonics v. Tranquil Prospects	Moore, Prost, Rader	Unknown			
07-1412	In Re R & S Trading Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
07-1298	Maxwell v. The Stanley Works	Michel, Moore, Rader	Due Process			NA
07-1298	Maxwell v. The Stanley Works	Michel, Moore, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
07-1065	SRI International v. Internet Security Systems	Mayer, Moore, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

07-1065	SRI International v. Internet Security Systems	Mayer, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1318	Invisible Fence v. Perimeter Technologies	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Unknown			
07-1377	Clark v. Crues	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Copyright	Idea/Expression	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1377	Clark v. Crues	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1393	Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1393	Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	In Limine Ruling
06-1393	Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1393	Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	In Limine Ruling
06-1393	Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Jury Instructions	Obviousness		
06-1393	Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1393	Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	In Limine Ruling
06-1393	Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1393	Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Evidence	Rule 403	Affirmed	In Limine Ruling
06-1393	Cordis Corp. v. Medtronic	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Validity	305-broadening reissue	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1243	Black & Decker v. Robert Bosch Tool	Friedman, Prost, Rader	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1243	Black & Decker v. Robert Bosch Tool	Friedman, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
07-1243	Black & Decker v. Robert Bosch Tool	Friedman, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1243	Black & Decker v. Robert Bosch Tool	Friedman, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1125	Hyperphrase Technologies v. Google	Gajarsa, Lourie, Michel	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	
07-1125	Hyperphrase Technologies v. Google	Gajarsa, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1125	Hyperphrase Technologies v. Google	Gajarsa, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1125	Hyperphrase Technologies v. Google	Gajarsa, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1125	Hyperphrase Technologies v. Google	Gajarsa, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

07-1125	Hyperphrase Technologies v. Google	Gajarsa, Lourie, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1633	Sinorgchem v. ITC & Flexsys America	Dyk, Yeakel*	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	USITC Decision
06-1633	Sinorgchem v. ITC & Flexsys America	Dyk, Yeakel*	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	USITC Decision
07-1273	Wleklinski v. Targus	Prost, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1273	Wleklinski v. Targus	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1273	Wleklinski v. Targus	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1273	Wleklinski v. Targus	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1210	Meritor Transmission Corp. v. Eaton Corp.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Unknown			
07-1183	MPT v. Marathon Labels	Moore, Moran*, Schall	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
07-1183	MPT v. Marathon Labels	Moore, Moran*, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
07-1183	MPT v. Marathon Labels	Moore, Moran*, Schall	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	JMOL
07-1183	MPT v. Marathon Labels	Moore, Moran*, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1264	Wavestream v. CAP Wireless	Lourie, Mayer, Plage	Unknown			
07-1403	Millman v. PTO	Linn, Mayer, Schall	Maintenance Fee	Revival	Affirmed	Motion Ruling
07-1221	In re Garner	Moore, Rader, Schall	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
07-1196	In re Paul Lew	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
07-1097	Elbex Video v. Sensormatic Electronics	Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1097	Elbex Video v. Sensormatic Electronics	Dyk, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1097	Elbex Video v. Sensormatic Electronics	Dyk, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1186	Stumbo v. Eastman Outdoors	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1186	Stumbo v. Eastman Outdoors	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1186	Stumbo v. Eastman Outdoors	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1150	In Re Chatani	Jacobs*, Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection

07-1150	In Re Chatani	Jacobs*, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
06-1405	Apotex Corp. v. Merck & Co.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Fraud on the Court		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1405	Apotex Corp. v. Merck & Co.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Discovery	Crime Fraud Exception	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1638	Z4 Technologies v. Microsoft Corporation, et al.	Bucklo*, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
06-1638	Z4 Technologies v. Microsoft Corporation, et al.	Bucklo*, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1638	Z4 Technologies v. Microsoft Corporation, et al.	Bucklo*, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1638	Z4 Technologies v. Microsoft Corporation, et al.	Bucklo*, Linn, Lourie	Jury Instructions		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1638	Z4 Technologies v. Microsoft Corporation, et al.	Bucklo*, Linn, Lourie	Damages	271(f)		
06-1615	Canon v. GCC International Limited	Dyk, Garbis*, Michel	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
06-1615	Canon v. GCC International Limited	Dyk, Garbis*, Michel	Repair		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
06-1615	Canon v. GCC International Limited	Dyk, Garbis*, Michel	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1083	Connell v. KLN Steel Products Company	Michel, Moore, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal		
07-1083	Connell v. KLN Steel Products Company	Michel, Moore, Rader	Transfer	1404	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1083	Connell v. KLN Steel Products Company	Michel, Moore, Rader	Transfer	1631	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1083	Connell v. KLN Steel Products Company	Michel, Moore, Rader	Parties	Indispensable	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1213	Slaby v. Berndt, et al.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Prost	Unknown			
06-1522	HIF Bio v. Yung Shin Pharmaceuticals Industrial Co	Gajarsa, Holderman* Michel	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	
07-1182	Amini Innovation Corporation v. Anthony California	Moore, Plager, Rader	Unknown			
07-1086	Stant Manufacturing v. Gerdes GMBH (Kerpen, German	Moore, Rader, Schall	Unknown			

06-1266	Zenon Environmental, Inc. v. United States Filter	Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
07-1396	Hutchins v. Zoll Medical Corproation	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Dismissal Motion	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1396	Hutchins v. Zoll Medical Corproation	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1396	Hutchins v. Zoll Medical Corproation	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Rule 60(b)	60(b)(1)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1396	Hutchins v. Zoll Medical Corproation	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Rule 60(b)	60(b)(3)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1117	U.S. Philips Corporation v. Iwasaki Electric Compa	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Damages	Actual Notice	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1117	U.S. Philips Corporation v. Iwasaki Electric Compa	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1117	U.S. Philips Corporation v. Iwasaki Electric Compa	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1117	U.S. Philips Corporation v. Iwasaki Electric Compa	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1133	Digeo v. Audible	Cote*, Michel, Moore	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1133	Digeo v. Audible	Cote*, Michel, Moore	Attorney's Fees		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1282	Osram GMBH, et al. v. International Trade Commissi	Newman, Rader	Claim Construction		Reversed, Remanded	USITC Decision
06-1282	Osram GMBH, et al. v. International Trade Commissi	Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	USITC Decision
07-1162	Systems Division v. Teknek Electronics	Michel, Moore, Newman	Joinder	Alter Ego	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1162	Systems Division v. Teknek Electronics	Michel, Moore, Newman	Dismissal Motion	Forum Non Conviens	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1093	Pharmaceutical Resources v. Roxane Laboratories	Cote*, Michel, Moore	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1120	Adang, et al. v. Umbeck	Bryson, Friedman, Keeley*	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
07-1026	Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation v. Xenon Phar	Gajarsa, Mayer, Restani*	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Transferred	
06-1515	Biomedical Patent Management Corporatiou v. State	Gajarsa, O'Malley, Rader	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1610	Paice v. Toyota Motor Corporation	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

06-1610	Paice v. Toyota Motor Corporation	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1610	Paice v. Toyota Motor Corporation	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Damages	On-Going Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1610	Paice v. Toyota Motor Corporation	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Right to Jury Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1146	In Re Michael L. Beigel	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Unknown			
06-1432	Immunocept, LLC, et al. v. Fulbright & Jaworski, L	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Jurisdiction	1338	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1432	Immunocept, LLC, et al. v. Fulbright & Jaworski, L	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Statute of Limitations	Malpractice	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1034	International Gamco v. Multimedia Games	Moore, Rader	Dismissal Motion	Standing	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1034	International Gamco v. Multimedia Games	Moore, Rader	Standing		Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1035	Air Measurement Technologies v. Akin Gump Strauss	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Jurisdiction	1338	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1206	F&G Research v. Paten Wireless Technology	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1192	In re Translogic Technology, Inc.	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
06-1192	In re Translogic Technology, Inc.	Mayer, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
07-1074	Schwarz Pharma v. Paddock Laboratories	Lourie, Michel, Moore	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1440	Allvoice Computing PLC v. Nuance Communications, I	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1440	Allvoice Computing PLC v. Nuance Communications, I	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1440	Allvoice Computing PLC v. Nuance Communications, I	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction		Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1440	Allvoice Computing PLC v. Nuance Communications, I	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1440	Allvoice Computing PLC v. Nuance Communications, I	Gajarsa, Newman, Rader	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1019	Abbott Laboratories v. Torpharm	Dyk, Michel, Otero*	Contempt		Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1047	In Re Craig W. Barnett, et al. [corrected 10/30/20	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Unknown			
06-1550	Nilssen v. Osram Sylvania	Linares*, Lourie, Mayer	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial

06-1330	Baum Research and Development Company v. Universit	Friedman, Moore, Newman	Jurisdiction	Sovereign Immunity	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1098	Southern Grouts & Mortars v. Laticrete Internation	Jacobs*, Mayer, Prost	Unknown			
07-1447	Somerset Pharmaceuticals v. Dudas[resissued as pre	Michel, Moore, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
06-1472	Monsanto Co. v. Syngenta Seeds	Gajarsa, O`Malley, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
06-1472	Monsanto Co. v. Syngenta Seeds	Gajarsa, O`Malley, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1472	Monsanto Co. v. Syngenta Seeds	Gajarsa, O`Malley, Rader	Infringement	271(g)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1472	Monsanto Co. v. Syngenta Seeds	Gajarsa, O`Malley, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1012	IpVenture v. ProStar Computer	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Joinder	Necessary Party	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1012	IpVenture v. ProStar Computer	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Standing		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-M858	In Re AD-II Engineering [order]	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Mandamus		Mandamus Denied	
07-1317	Datatreasury Corp. v. Wells Fargo	Bryson, Fogel*, Mayer	Contracts	Arbitration	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1317	Datatreasury Corp. v. Wells Fargo	Bryson, Fogel*, Mayer	Dismissal Motion		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1288	Zenith Electronics Corp. v. PDI Communications Sys	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1288	Zenith Electronics Corp. v. PDI Communications Sys	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1288	Zenith Electronics Corp. v. PDI Communications Sys	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1288	Zenith Electronics Corp. v. PDI Communications Sys	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1288	Zenith Electronics Corp. v. PDI Communications Sys	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1288	Zenith Electronics Corp. v. PDI Communications Sys	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Agreement	License	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1503	Helena Laboratories Corp. v. Alpha Scientific Corp	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1503	Helena Laboratories Corp. v. Alpha Scientific Corp	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1503	Helena Laboratories Corp. v. Alpha Scientific Corp	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

07-1508	McNeil-PPC, Inc. v. Perrigo Co.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Unknown			
07-1201	Symantec Corp. v. Computer Associates Internationa	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1201	Symantec Corp. v. Computer Associates Internationa	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	Preamble	Vacated/Remanded	Markman Ruling
07-1201	Symantec Corp. v. Computer Associates Internationa	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement		Vacated/Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1201	Symantec Corp. v. Computer Associates Internationa	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction		Vacated/Remanded	Markman Ruling
07-1201	Symantec Corp. v. Computer Associates Internationa	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated/Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1201	Symantec Corp. v. Computer Associates Internationa	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Laches		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1201	Symantec Corp. v. Computer Associates Internationa	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Inventorship	Co-Inventorship	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1046	Kerr Corp. v. Westside Resources Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Unknown			
08-1076	In Re Speas	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1076	In Re Speas	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
07-1353	Luma Corp. v. Striker Corp.	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1353	Luma Corp. v. Striker Corp.	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1353	Luma Corp. v. Striker Corp.	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1353	Luma Corp. v. Striker Corp.	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1353	Luma Corp. v. Striker Corp.	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Attorney's Fees		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1369	Poweroasis Inc. v. Wayport Inc.	Moore, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1265	Poweroasis Inc. v. T-Mobile USA Inc.	Moore, Newman, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1265	Poweroasis Inc. v. T-Mobile USA Inc.	Moore, Newman, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1023	Finisar Corp. v. The DirecTV Group	Michel, Moore, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

07-1023	Finisar Corp. v. The DirecTV Group	Michel, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
07-1023	Finisar Corp. v. The DirecTV Group	Michel, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1023	Finisar Corp. v. The DirecTV Group	Michel, Moore, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1023	Finisar Corp. v. The DirecTV Group	Michel, Moore, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1023	Finisar Corp. v. The DirecTV Group	Michel, Moore, Rader	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1602	Honeywell International Inc. v. Hamilton Sundstran	Dyk, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1197	Energizer Holdings Inc. v. International Trade Com	Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
07-1299	Monsanto Co. v. Bayer Bioscience N.V.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Attorney's Fees		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1456	Dominant Semiconductors SDN. v. Osram GMBH	Dyk, Kennelly*, Michel	Unfair Competition		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1456	Dominant Semiconductors SDN. v. Osram GMBH	Dyk, Kennelly*, Michel	Libel	Trade	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1646	Litecubes LLC. v. Northern Light Product Inc.	Archer, Gajarsa, Newman	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1646	Litecubes LLC. v. Northern Light Product Inc.	Archer, Gajarsa, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1579	Samsung Electronics Co. v. Rambus Inc.	Farnan*, Rader, Schall	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1512	PSN Illinois LLC. v. Ivoclar Vivadent, Inc.	Linn, Prost, Michel	Claim Construction		Vacated	Summary Judgment
07-1512	PSN Illinois LLC. v. Ivoclar Vivadent, Inc.	Linn, Prost, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1512	PSN Illinois LLC. v. Ivoclar Vivadent, Inc.	Linn, Prost, Michel	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1277	Decisioning.com Inc. v. Federated Dept. Stores Inc	Mayer, Schall	Claim Construction		Vacated	Markman Ruling
07-1277	Decisioning.com Inc. v. Federated Dept. Stores Inc	Linn, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1391	Solomon Tech. Inc. v. International Trade Commissi	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	USITC Decision
07-1391	Solomon Tech. Inc. v. International Trade Commissi	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
07-1334	Lucent Tech. Inc v. Gateway, Inc.	Linn, Prost	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling

07-1334	Lucent Tech. Inc v. Gateway, Inc.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1334	Lucent Tech. Inc v. Gateway, Inc.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1334	Lucent Tech. Inc v. Gateway, Inc.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1334	Lucent Tech. Inc v. Gateway, Inc.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1020	Tech. Properties Limited Inc. v. Arm, Ltd.	Dyk, Hochberg*, Prost	Unknown			
07-1349	General Atomics Diazyme Lab. Division v. Axis-Shie	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1349	General Atomics Diazyme Lab. Division v. Axis-Shie	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1115	Acumed LLC. v. Stryker Corp.	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Res Judicata		Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1568	E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co. v. Macdermid Printin	Michel, Pogue*, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
07-1405	Genlyte Thomas Group LLC. v. Arch Lighting Group I	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1405	Genlyte Thomas Group LLC. v. Arch Lighting Group I	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1405	Genlyte Thomas Group LLC. v. Arch Lighting Group I	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1405	Genlyte Thomas Group LLC. v. Arch Lighting Group I	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1250	Mangosoft Inc. v. Oracle Corp.	Linn, Michel, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1250	Mangosoft Inc. v. Oracle Corp.	Linn, Michel, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1280	Aventis Pharma S.A. v. Amphastar Pharmaceuticals I	Moore, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1036	Advanceme Inc. v. Rapidpay, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Schall	Unknown			
07-1538	Airgo IP, LLC. v. Arvinmeritor, Inc.	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Unknown			
07-1203	Vita Zahnfabrik H. Raute GMBH & Co. KG v. Dentspl	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Unknown			
07-1215	Golden Bridge Tech. Inc. v. Nokia Inc.	Michel, Moore, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1505	Rattler Tools, Inc. v. Bilco Tools, Inc.	Rader, Schall, Zobel*	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1505	Rattler Tools, Inc. v. Bilco Tools, Inc.	Rader, Schall, Zobel*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial

07-1505	Rattler Tools, Inc. v. Bilco Tools, Inc.	Rader, Schall, Zobel*	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1506	Taltech Ltd. v. Esquel Apparel, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
07-1506	Taltech Ltd. v. Esquel Apparel, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1506	Taltech Ltd. v. Esquel Apparel, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1506	Taltech Ltd. v. Esquel Apparel, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1506	Taltech Ltd. v. Esquel Apparel, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1506	Taltech Ltd. v. Esquel Apparel, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1506	Taltech Ltd. v. Esquel Apparel, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1577	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Collins	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1577	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Collins	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1577	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Collins	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	305-Improper Reexam	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1577	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Collins	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1577	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Collins	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1577	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Collins	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1577	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Collins	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
07-1577	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Collins	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Motion in Limine
07-1533	Lawler Manufacturing Co., Inc. v. Bradley Corp	Lourie, Schall	Agreement	License	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1443	Cat Tech. LLC. v. Tubemaster Inc.	Mayer, Schall, Young*	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1443	Cat Tech. LLC. v. Tubemaster Inc.	Mayer, Schall, Young*	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1443	Cat Tech. LLC. v. Tubemaster Inc.	Mayer, Schall, Young*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1409	Mars Inc. v. Coin Acceptors Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Prost	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1409	Mars Inc. v. Coin Acceptors Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Prost	Standing		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1409	Mars Inc. v. Coin Acceptors Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Prost	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1312	Metro. Life Ins. Co. v. Bancorp Services L.L.C.	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1312	Metro. Life Ins. Co. v. Bancorp Services L.L.C.	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Discovery	56(f)	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1312	Metro. Life Ins. Co. v. Bancorp Services L.L.C.	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment

08-1027	Helmsderfer v. Bobrick Washroom Equipment Inc.	Friedman, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1027	Helmsderfer v. Bobrick Washroom Equipment Inc.	Friedman, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1069	In Re Prazoff	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1041	Wuxi Multimedia v. Koninklijke Philips Electronics	Bryson, Prost, Zigel*	Unknown			
08-1091	Pellegrini v. Analog Devices	Bryson, Prost, Zigel*	Sanctions	Litigation Misconduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1091	Pellegrini v. Analog Devices	Bryson, Prost, Zigel*	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1368	Beam v. Roller Derby Skate Corp.	Gajarsa, Newman, Ward*	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1368	Beam v. Roller Derby Skate Corp.	Gajarsa, Newman, Ward*	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1542	Novartis Pharmaceuticals v. Teva Pharmaceuticals U	Linn, Mayer, Schall	Unknown			
08-1034	Spiroflow Systems v. Flexicon Corp. [corrected]	Archer, Dyk, Rader	Unknown			
07-1504	Burandt v. Director, USPTO	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Maintenance Fee	Reinstatement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1476	In re Omeprazole Patent Litigation	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1424	Innovation Technologies v. Splash! Medical Devices	Friedman, Mayer, Moore	Exceptional Case		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1424	Innovation Technologies v. Splash! Medical Devices	Friedman, Mayer, Moore	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1575	Central Admixture Pharmacy Services v. Advanced Ca	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Schall	Mandate		Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1423	ADC Telecommunications v. Switchcraft	Prost, Schall, Ward*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1344	Synthon IP v. Pfizer	Dyk, Mayer, Plager	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1344	Synthon IP v. Pfizer	Dyk, Mayer, Plager	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1344	Synthon IP v. Pfizer	Dyk, Mayer, Plager	Attorney's Fees		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1198	Nilssen v. Osram Sylvania	Lourie, Mayer	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1198	Nilssen v. Osram Sylvania	Lourie, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion

07-1198	Nilssen v. Osram Sylvania	Lourie, Mayer	Expert Witness Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1052	Baychar v. Salomon North America	Dyk, Mayer, Plager	Infringement	License	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1241	TIP Systems v. Phillips & Brooks/Gladwin	Michel, Pogue*, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1241	TIP Systems v. Phillips & Brooks/Gladwin	Michel, Pogue*, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1241	TIP Systems v. Phillips & Brooks/Gladwin	Michel, Pogue*, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1241	TIP Systems v. Phillips & Brooks/Gladwin	Michel, Pogue*, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1399	Scanner Tech. Corp. v. Icos Vision Systems Corp. N	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Michel	Inequitable Conduct	False Statements	Reversed	Bench Trial
07-1399	Scanner Tech. Corp. v. Icos Vision Systems Corp. N	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Michel	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Bench Trial
07-1399	Scanner Tech. Corp. v. Icos Vision Systems Corp. N	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Michel	Attorney's Fees		Reversed	Bench Trial
07-1399	Scanner Tech. Corp. v. Icos Vision Systems Corp. N	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1399	Scanner Tech. Corp. v. Icos Vision Systems Corp. N	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Michel	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1399	Scanner Tech. Corp. v. Icos Vision Systems Corp. N	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1564	Board of Trustees: Leland Stanford Jr. Uni. v. Mot	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Dismissal Motion	Failure to Prosecute	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1387	Realsource, Inc. v. Best Buy Co.	Gajarsa, Michel, Young*	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1387	Realsource, Inc. v. Best Buy Co.	Gajarsa, Michel, Young*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1417	Heuft Systemtechnik GMBH v. Industrial Dynamics Co	Linn, Michel, Newman	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1417	Heuft Systemtechnik GMBH v. Industrial Dynamics Co	Linn, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1417	Heuft Systemtechnik GMBH v. Industrial Dynamics Co	Linn, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1523	Fargo Electronics Inc. v. Iris, Ltd.	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1523	Fargo Electronics Inc. v. Iris, Ltd.	Moore, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1523	Fargo Electronics Inc. v. Iris, Ltd.	Moore, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

07-1523	Fargo Electronics Inc. v. Iris, Ltd.	Moore, Newman, Prost	Repair		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1021	Roche Palo Alto LLC v. Apotex Inc.	Hochberg*, Michel, Prost	Infringement	Reverse Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1021	Roche Palo Alto LLC v. Apotex Inc.	Hochberg*, Michel, Prost	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1113	Venture Ind. Corp. v. Autoliv Asp Inc.	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Unknown			
08-1025	In Re Babu	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1080	In Re Grace	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1204	Baystate Tech. Inc. v. Bowers	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Intervene	3rd party	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
08-1204	Baystate Tech. Inc. v. Bowers	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Discovery	Protective Order	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1492	Andersen Manufacturing Inc. v. Diversi-Tech Corp	Clevenger, Lourie, Moore	Unknown			
08-1084	Luhn v. Scott	Clevenger, Lourie, Moore	Unknown			
07-1485	Muniauction, Inc. v. Thomson Corp.	Gajarsa, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1485	Muniauction, Inc. v. Thomson Corp.	Gajarsa, Plager, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1043	Ekstam v. Ekstam	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Unknown			
07-1532	Goldberg v. Bass	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Interference
07-1519	Goldberg v. Bass	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Interference
08-1055	Cotapaxi Custom Design and Manufacturing v. Corpor	Clevenger, Linn, Moore	Unknown			
07-1385	Jang v. Boston Scientific Corp.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
08-1075	Serdarevic v. Advanced Medical Optics, Inc.	Linn, Michel, Zagel*	Laches		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1075	Serdarevic v. Advanced Medical Optics, Inc.	Linn, Michel, Zagel*	Statute of Limitations	State Claims	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1075	Serdarevic v. Advanced Medical Optics, Inc.	Linn, Michel, Zagel*	Discovery	Rule 56(f)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1362	Merck & Co. Inc. v. Apotex Inc.	Friedman, Gajarsa, Prost	Amendment	Counterclaim	Affirmed	Order on Motion

07-1397	Eisai Co. Ltd. v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc.	Linn, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1397	Eisai Co. Ltd. v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc.	Linn, Prost, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1445	In Re Stauffer	Prost, Schall, Ward*	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
07-1388	Board of Regents of the Univ. of Texas System v. B	Dyk, Hochberg*, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1388	Board of Regents of the Univ. of Texas System v. B	Dyk, Hochberg*, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1225	Novo Nordisk A/S v. Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
07-1311	Yingbin Nature Wood Ind. Co. v. International Trad	Dyk, Michel, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	USITC Decision
08-M875	In Re Freescale Semiconductor Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Mandamus		Denied	USITC Decision
07-1380	Aspex Eyewear Inc. v. Altair Eyewear Inc.	Linn, Michel, Zagel*	Claim Construction		Reversed, Remanded	Markman Ruling
07-1380	Aspex Eyewear Inc. v. Altair Eyewear Inc.	Linn, Michel, Zagel*	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1380	Aspex Eyewear Inc. v. Altair Eyewear Inc.	Linn, Michel, Zagel*	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1380	Aspex Eyewear Inc. v. Altair Eyewear Inc.	Linn, Michel, Zagel*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1380	Aspex Eyewear Inc. v. Altair Eyewear Inc.	Linn, Michel, Zagel*	Standing		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1275	Research Corp. Technologies Inc. v. Microsoft Corp	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Bench Trial
06-1275	Research Corp. Technologies Inc. v. Microsoft Corp	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Exceptional Case		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1275	Research Corp. Technologies Inc. v. Microsoft Corp	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1275	Research Corp. Technologies Inc. v. Microsoft Corp	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1275	Research Corp. Technologies Inc. v. Microsoft Corp	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
06-1275	Research Corp. Technologies Inc. v. Microsoft Corp	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment

06-1275	Research Corp. Technologies Inc. v. Microsoft Corp	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
06-1275	Research Corp. Technologies Inc. v. Microsoft Corp	Friedman, Newman, Rader	Disqualification/Reassignment			
07-1428	Proveris Scientific Corp. v. Innovasystems Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Infringement	271(e)(1)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1428	Proveris Scientific Corp. v. Innovasystems Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
07-1428	Proveris Scientific Corp. v. Innovasystems Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1428	Proveris Scientific Corp. v. Innovasystems Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1428	Proveris Scientific Corp. v. Innovasystems Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1148	In Re Lee	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1121	Uniloc USA Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.	Linn, Michel, Moore	Disqualification	Judge	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1121	Uniloc USA Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.	Linn, Michel, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1121	Uniloc USA Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.	Linn, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1151	In Re Lundahl	Illston*, Rader, Schall	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1053	Baychair Inc. v. Burton Corp.	Illston*, Linn, Mayer	Unknown			
08-1014	Allan Block Corp. v. E. Dillon & Co.	Michel, Moore, O'Grady*	Unknown			
08-1001	Jacobsen v. Katzer	Hochberg*, Michel, Prost	Copyright Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
07-1524	Prasco v. Medicus Pharmaceutical Corp.	Clevenger, Gajarsa, Moore	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1460	Brady Construction Innovations Inc. v. Perfect Wal	Michel, Moore, Rader	Validity	305-Broadening Reissue	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1460	Brady Construction Innovations Inc. v. Perfect Wal	Michel, Moore, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1297	Voda v. Cordis Corp.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
07-1297	Voda v. Cordis Corp.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling

07-1297	Voda v. Cordis Corp.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1297	Voda v. Cordis Corp.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1297	Voda v. Cordis Corp.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Jury Instruction	Willfulness	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1297	Voda v. Cordis Corp.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1297	Voda v. Cordis Corp.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1085	DSW Inc. v. Shoe Pavilion	Linn, Mayer, Schall	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1085	DSW Inc. v. Shoe Pavilion	Linn, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1085	DSW Inc. v. Shoe Pavilion	Linn, Mayer, Schall	Damages	Limitation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1130	Cooper Technologies Co v. Director U.S. Patent an	Linn, Lourie, Michel	Rule Interpretation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1328	In Re Cygnus Telecommuincations Tech., LLC.	Bryson, Newman, Pogue*	Collateral Estoppel			None
07-1328	In Re Cygnus Telecommuincations Tech., LLC.	Bryson, Newman, Pogue*	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1328	In Re Cygnus Telecommuincations Tech., LLC.	Bryson, Newman, Pogue*	Statute of Limitations	Trade Secret	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1414	In Re Omeprazole Paten Litigation	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Jurisdiction	Mootness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1414	In Re Omeprazole Paten Litigation	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1414	In Re Omeprazole Paten Litigation	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1414	In Re Omeprazole Paten Litigation	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1414	In Re Omeprazole Paten Litigation	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1414	In Re Omeprazole Paten Litigation	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1414	In Re Omeprazole Paten Litigation	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1133	Merck & Co., v. Apotex	Illston*, Linn, Mayer	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment		
07-1515	Leggett & Platt v. Vutek	Archer, Bryson, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1515	Leggett & Platt v. Vutek	Archer, Bryson, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1448	Star Scientific v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.	Dyk, Michel, Schall	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial

07-1448	Star Scientific v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.	Dyk, Michel, Schall	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Reversed	Bench Trial
07-1448	Star Scientific v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.	Dyk, Michel, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1420	Lexion Medical, LLC v. Northgate Tech. Inc.	Michel, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1420	Lexion Medical, LLC v. Northgate Tech. Inc.	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1420	Lexion Medical, LLC v. Northgate Tech. Inc.	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1420	Lexion Medical, LLC v. Northgate Tech. Inc.	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1420	Lexion Medical, LLC v. Northgate Tech. Inc.	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1420	Lexion Medical, LLC v. Northgate Tech. Inc.	Michel, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
07-1572	Linear Tech. Corp. v. International Trade Commissi	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
07-1272	800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Securities, Ltd.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Validity		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
07-1272	800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Securities, Ltd.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1272	800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Securities, Ltd.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1272	800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Securities, Ltd.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Damages		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1272	800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Securities, Ltd.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1272	800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Securities, Ltd.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Willful Infringement		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1272	800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Securities, Ltd.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1272	800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Securities, Ltd.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	New Trial		Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1272	800 Adept, Inc. v. Murex Securities, Ltd.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Plager	Preemption	Tortious Interference	Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1363	Howmedia Osteonics Corp. v. Wright Med. Tech. Inc.	Dyk, Hochberg*	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
07-1363	Howmedia Osteonics Corp. v. Wright Med. Tech. Inc.	Dyk, Hochberg*	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
07-1363	Howmedia Osteonics Corp. v. Wright Med. Tech. Inc.	Dyk, Hochberg*	Agreement	Release	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

08-1062	Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V. v. Apotex	Michel, Moore, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1534	In Re Swanson	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Reexamination	Substantial New Question	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
07-1534	In Re Swanson	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
07-1534	In Re Swanson	Bryson, Gajarsa, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
07-1266	Carnegie Mellon University v. Hoffmann La-Roche	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1266	Carnegie Mellon University v. Hoffmann La-Roche	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1479	Ricoh Corp. v. Pitney Bowes	Dyk, Rader, Walker*	Unknown		Affirmed	
08-1047	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Co.	Mayer, Michel, Stearns*	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1047	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Co.	Mayer, Michel, Stearns*	Attorney's Fees		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1047	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Co.	Mayer, Michel, Stearns*	Rule 60(b)(2) & (3)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1082	Fortunet v. Planet Bingo, LLC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Unknown			
07-1163	Medical Solutions v. C. Change Surgical	Bryson, Prost, Zigel*	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1163	Medical Solutions v. C. Change Surgical	Bryson, Prost, Zigel*	Discovery	Jurisdictional	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1157	Duratech Ind. International v. Bridgeview Manufact	Michel, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
08-1157	Duratech Ind. International v. Bridgeview Manufact	Michel, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1140	Excelstor Tech. Inc. v. PAPST Licensing GMBH & Co.	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1109	The Campbell Pet Co. v. Miale	Archer, Bryson, Prost	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1164	Broadcom Corp. v. International Trade Commission	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
07-1164	Broadcom Corp. v. International Trade Commission	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	USITC Decision
07-1164	Broadcom Corp. v. International Trade Commission	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision

07-1449	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Or	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1449	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Or	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1449	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Or	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	New Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1449	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Or	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
06-1562	Egyptian Goddess v. Swisa Inc.	Archer, Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, Mayer,	Infringement	Design	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1016	Aristocrat Technologies Australia PTY Ltd. v. Inte	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Validity	Improper Revival	Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1016	Aristocrat Technologies Australia PTY Ltd. v. Inte	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1199	Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm	Friedman, Linn, Prosi	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1199	Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm	Friedman, Linn, Prosi	Claim Construction		Reversed	Markman Ruling
08-1199	Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm	Friedman, Linn, Prosi	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1199	Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm	Friedman, Linn, Prosi	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1199	Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm	Friedman, Linn, Prosi	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1199	Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm	Friedman, Linn, Prosi	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1199	Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm	Friedman, Linn, Prosi	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1546	Lucent Tech. Inc. v. Gateway	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Standing		Affirmed	JMOL
07-1546	Lucent Tech. Inc. v. Gateway	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
07-1483	Praxair v. ATMI	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1483	Praxair v. ATMI	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1483	Praxair v. ATMI	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
07-1483	Praxair v. ATMI	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1483	Praxair v. ATMI	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
07-1483	Praxair v. ATMI	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L

07-1483	Praxair v. ATMI	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1483	Praxair v. ATMI	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1530	The John Hopkins University v. Datascope Corp.	Schall, Zobel*	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1513	Impax Laboratories Inc. v. Aventis Pharmaceuticals	Rader, Schall, Zobel*	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1163	Murphey v. TPS Enterprises	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1260	Georgalis v. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Prost, Rader, Schall	Maintenance Fee	Reinstatement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	JMOL
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Claim Construction		Reversed, Remanded	Markman Ruling
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Damages	Lost Profits	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1029	Cohesive Tech. Inc. v. Waters Corp.	Linn, Prost	Disqualification/Reassignment			
08-M880	In re Volkswagen of America [order]	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Transfer	1404	Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
08-1132	1st Tech. LLC. v. Rational Enterprises LTDA.	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Unknown			
08-1205	Celerity Inc. v. Ultra Clean Tech. Systems and Ser	Dyk, Gajarsa, Moore	Unknown			
07-1282	Sanitec Industries Inc. v. Micro-Waste Corp.	Mayer, Moore, Schall	Unknown			
07-1539	Predicate Logic, Inc. v. Distributive Software Inc	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	305-Broadening Reexam	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1300	Amgen Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd.	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	Unknown			

07-1370	Whirlpool Corp. v. LG Electronics	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	Unknown			
07-1441	Technology Licensing Corp. v. Videotek	Newman, Plager, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1441	Technology Licensing Corp. v. Videotek	Newman, Plager, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1441	Technology Licensing Corp. v. Videotek	Newman, Plager, Schall	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1554	Asyst Technologies v. Emtrak	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
07-1493	Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. International Trade Comm	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	USITC Decision
07-1493	Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. International Trade Comm	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
07-1493	Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. International Trade Comm	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
07-1493	Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. International Trade Comm	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated, Remanded	USITC Decision
08-1155	The Massachusetts Institute of Tech. v. Abacus Sof	Dyk, Friedman, Mayer	Unknown			
08-1097	In Re Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litiga	Prost, Schall, Ward*	Antitrust	Section 1	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1119	In Re Tzipori	Fiedman, Michel, Walker*	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
07-1490	Minks v. Polaris Industries	Gajarsa, Newman, Plager	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL
07-1490	Minks v. Polaris Industries	Gajarsa, Newman, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1490	Minks v. Polaris Industries	Gajarsa, Newman, Plager	Jury Instruction	Notice	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1490	Minks v. Polaris Industries	Gajarsa, Newman, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
07-1490	Minks v. Polaris Industries	Gajarsa, Newman, Plager	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1198	Abbott Laboratories v. Johnson and Johnson, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Unknown			
07-1565	Net MoneyIN Inc. v. Verisign, Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1565	Net MoneyIN Inc. v. Verisign, Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1565	Net MoneyIN Inc. v. Verisign, Inc.	Clevenger, Linn, Moore	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion

07-1300	Abbott Laboratories v. Sandoz	Archer, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
07-1300	Abbott Laboratories v. Sandoz	Archer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
07-1300	Abbott Laboratories v. Sandoz	Archer, Newman	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
07-1300	Abbott Laboratories v. Sandoz	Archer, Newman	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
07-1300	Abbott Laboratories v. Sandoz	Archer, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
07-1300	Abbott Laboratories v. Sandoz	Archer, Newman	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
08-1108	Commonwealth Scientific & Ind. Research org. v. To	Dyk, Linn, Stearns*	Intervention		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1108	Commonwealth Scientific & Ind. Research org. v. To	Dyk, Linn, Stearns*	Disqualification	Attorney	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1527	Tavory v. NTP, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Inventorship	Co-Inventorship	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1527	Tavory v. NTP, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Preemption	Patent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1527	Tavory v. NTP, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1090	Tavory v. NTP, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Newman	Attorney's Fees	505	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1100	Systems Division Inc. v. Teknek LLC.	Mayer, Moore, Schall	Sanctions	Rule 38		
08-1173	Depuy Mitek, Inc. v. Arthrex, Inc.	Linn, Newman, O'Grady*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1173	Depuy Mitek, Inc. v. Arthrex, Inc.	Linn, Newman, O'Grady*	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1079	In Re Alonso	Mayer, Michel, Stearns*	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
07-1130	In Re Bilski	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn, Lourie, Michel, Prost	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1098	Amazin' Raisins International, Inc. v. Ocean Spray	Alsup*, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1098	Amazin' Raisins International, Inc. v. Ocean Spray	Alsup*, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

08-1120	In Re DBC	Dyk, Linn, Stearns*	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1125	Dippin' Dots, Inc. v. Mosey	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Unknown			
08-1314	Takeda Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. v. Teva Pharmaceuti	Linn, Michel, Ware*	Unknown			
08-1229	Touchcom, Inc. v. Bereskin & Parr [order]	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Disqualification	Attorney		n/a
08-1283	The Mathworks, Inc. v. Comsol Ab.	Linn, Moore, Rader	Unknown			
07-1450	In Re Basell Poliolefine Italia S.P.A.	Linn, Lourie	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1123	Informatica Corp. v. Business Objects Data Integra	Gajarsa, Mayer, Prost	Unknown			
07-1536	Andersen Corp. v. Pella Corp.	Alsup*, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1536	Andersen Corp. v. Pella Corp.	Alsup*, Rader, Schall	Dismissal Motion	w/o prejudice	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1114	Medegen MMS, Inc v. ICU Medical, Inc.	Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
08-1114	Medegen MMS, Inc v. ICU Medical, Inc.	Dyk, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1167	Lacks Industries, Inc. v. McKechnie Vehicle Compon	Clevenger, Michel, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1545	Qualcomm Inc. v. Broadcom Corp.	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Agreement	Duty to Disclose	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1545	Qualcomm Inc. v. Broadcom Corp.	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Waiver		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1545	Qualcomm Inc. v. Broadcom Corp.	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Remedies	Unenforceability	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
07-1545	Qualcomm Inc. v. Broadcom Corp.	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1545	Qualcomm Inc. v. Broadcom Corp.	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Attorney's Fees		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1442	Unitronics (1989) (Râ €?G) Ltd. v. Gharb	Linn, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1379	Firetrace USA LLC. v. Jesclard	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Unknown			
08-1399	RFR Ind., Inc. v. Rex-Hide Ind. Inc.	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Unknown			
08-1105	The Procter and Gamble Co. v. Kraft Foods Global,	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Jurisdiction	Appellate		n/a
08-1105	The Procter and Gamble Co. v. Kraft Foods Global,	Bryson, Dyk, Gajarsa	Stay		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion

07-1578	Sumitomo Mitsubishi Silicon Corp. v. MEMC Electron	Newman, Plager, Schall	Unknown			
08-1135	Tehrani v. Polar Electro	Newman, Prost, Rader	Unknown			
07-1269	Takeda Chemical Industries Ltd. v. Mylan Laborator	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1269	Takeda Chemical Industries Ltd. v. Mylan Laborator	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1269	Takeda Chemical Industries Ltd. v. Mylan Laborator	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Sanctions	Inherent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1386	Climax Molybdenum Co. v. Molychem, LLC	Clevenger, Linn, Lourie	Unknown			
08-1263	Netcraft Corp. v. Ebay, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1263	Netcraft Corp. v. Ebay, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1178	Ilor, LLC v. Google, Inc.	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
08-1178	Ilor, LLC v. Google, Inc.	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
07-1438	Sanofi Synthelabo v. Apotex Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
07-1438	Sanofi Synthelabo v. Apotex Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1351	Cygnus Telecommunications Tech. LLC v. Worldport C	Linn, Moore, Newman	Unknown			
08-1384	Ez Gard Industries Inc. v XO Athletic Co.	Linn, Moore, Newman	Unknown			
08-1315	Knauf Fiber Glass, GMBH v. Certaineed Corp.	Dyk, Newman, Plager	Unknown			
08-1169	Welker Bearing Co. v. Phd Inc.	Prost, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1169	Welker Bearing Co. v. Phd Inc.	Prost, Rader, Schall	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1164	Respironics, Inc. v. Invacare, Corp.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1164	Respironics, Inc. v. Invacare, Corp.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1164	Respironics, Inc. v. Invacare, Corp.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
08-1164	Respironics, Inc. v. Invacare, Corp.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1164	Respironics, Inc. v. Invacare, Corp.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1164	Respironics, Inc. v. Invacare, Corp.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Evidence	402	Affirmed	Order on Motion

08-1164	Respironics, Inc. v. Invacare, Corp.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1553	Avocent Huntsville Corp. v. Aten International Co.	Linn, Newman, Schall	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1215	In Re Wheeler	Lourie, Newman, Mayer	Claim Construction		Reversed, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1215	In Re Wheeler	Lourie, Newman, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
07-1296	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Medical, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict
07-1296	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Medical, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Damages	Method claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1296	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Medical, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Damages	271(f)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1296	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Medical, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Disqualification/Reassignment			n/a
07-1560	Rentrop v. The Spectranetics Corp.	Friedman, Michel, Walker*	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
07-1560	Rentrop v. The Spectranetics Corp.	Friedman, Michel, Walker*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
07-1560	Rentrop v. The Spectranetics Corp.	Friedman, Michel, Walker*	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Order on Motion
06-1634	Classen Immunotherapies, Inc. v. Biogen IDEC	Farnan*, Moore, Newman	Validity	101	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1567	Ricoh Company, Ltd. v. Quanta Computer Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1567	Ricoh Company, Ltd. v. Quanta Computer Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1567	Ricoh Company, Ltd. v. Quanta Computer Inc.	Dyk, Linn	Infringement	Contributory	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1567	Ricoh Company, Ltd. v. Quanta Computer Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1068	Sundance, Inc. v. Demonte Fabricating, Ltd.	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
08-1068	Sundance, Inc. v. Demonte Fabricating, Ltd.	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Evidence	702	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1050	Hyatt v. Director, Patent and Trademark Office [cc]	Gajarsa, Newman, Ward*	PTO Rule	ground of rejection	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-M888	In re TS Tech USA [order]	Michel, Prost, Rader	Transfer	1404	Mandamus Granted	Order on Motion

08-1124	Accumed v. Stryker	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1259	Vehicle IP v. General Motors	Bryson, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1259	Vehicle IP v. General Motors	Bryson, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1444	Panavise Products v. National Products	Michel, Moore, Prost	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1235	Lenco Racing v. Jolliffe	Dyk, Rader, Schall	Unknown			
08-1249	Zipwall v. Fastcap	Gettleman*, Michel, Prost	Unknown			
08-1045	Tokyo Keiso Company v. SMC	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1583	Friskit, Inc. v. Realnetworks, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1073	Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc. v. Cordis, Corp.	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1286	In Re Comiskey [revised]	Dyk, Michel, Prost	Validity	101	Vacated, Remanded	n/a
08-1247	Sud-Chemie, Inc. v. Multisorb Technologies, Inc.	Bryson, Friedman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
07-1340	Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Blue Sky Medical Group,	Bryson, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1340	Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Blue Sky Medical Group,	Bryson, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1340	Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Blue Sky Medical Group,	Bryson, Prost	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1340	Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Blue Sky Medical Group,	Bryson, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1340	Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Blue Sky Medical Group,	Bryson, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1340	Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Blue Sky Medical Group,	Bryson, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1340	Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Blue Sky Medical Group,	Bryson, Prost	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1320	Smith v. CHF Industries, Inc.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Design	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1333	Ball Aerosol and Specialty Container, Inc. v. Limi	Clevenger, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1333	Ball Aerosol and Specialty Container, Inc. v. Limi	Clevenger, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1333	Ball Aerosol and Specialty Container, Inc. v. Limi	Clevenger, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1158	Kuhl Wheels, LLC v. General Motors, Corp.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

08-1158	Kuhl Wheels, LLC v. General Motors, Corp.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1158	Kuhl Wheels, LLC v. General Motors, Corp.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1206	Contec Stormwater Solutions v. BaysaverTechnologie	Huff*, Michel, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1206	Contec Stormwater Solutions v. BaysaverTechnologie	Huff*, Michel, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1206	Contec Stormwater Solutions v. BaysaverTechnologie	Huff*, Michel, Moore	Business Torts	Counterclaim	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1050	Revolution Eyewear v. Aspex Eyewear	Moore, Newman, Schall	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1375	Line Rothman v. Target	Bryson, Friedman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1375	Line Rothman v. Target	Bryson, Friedman, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1216	Baden Sports v. Molten USA	Linn, Lourie, Rader	False Advertising		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1413	Pivonka v. Axelrod	Michel, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
09-1071	Eli Lilly and Co. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals, USA	Michel, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1416	Applied Medical Resources Corp. v. U.S. Surgical C	Gajarsa, Mayer, Prost	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1397	In Re Calgon Carbon Corporation	Mayer, Posner*, Rader	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
07-1232	In Re Ferguson	Gajarsa, Mayer, Newman	Validity	101	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
06-1411	O2 Micro International Ltd. v. Taiwan Sumida Elect	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	Collateral Estoppel			n/a
08-1363	Nartron Corp. v. Schukra U.S.A., Inc.	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Inventorship	Co-Inventorship	Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1128	Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. v. O2 Micro Interna	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1128	Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. v. O2 Micro Interna	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1402	Bernardo Footwear, LLC v. Dillard's Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Moore	Unknown			

08-1144	E-Pass Technologies, Inc. v. 3Com Corp. [rule 36]	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Exceptional Case	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1144	E-Pass Technologies, Inc. v. 3Com Corp. [rule 36]	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1352	Tafas v. Doll	Bryson, Prost, Rader	PTO Rule		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1447	Henkel Corp. v. The Proctor & Gamble Co.	Linn, Moore, Prost	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
08-1096	Larson Manufacturing Co. of South Dakota, Inc v.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
08-1096	Larson Manufacturing Co. of South Dakota, Inc v.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1096	Larson Manufacturing Co. of South Dakota, Inc v.	Clevenger, Linn, Schall	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
08-1284	Crown Packaging Technology, Inc. v. Rexam Beverage	Bryson, Gajarsa, Moore	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1284	Crown Packaging Technology, Inc. v. Rexam Beverage	Bryson, Gajarsa, Moore	Patent Marking		Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1077	ICU Medical, Inc. v. Alaris Medical Systems, Inc.	Michel, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1077	ICU Medical, Inc. v. Alaris Medical Systems, Inc.	Michel, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1077	ICU Medical, Inc. v. Alaris Medical Systems, Inc.	Michel, Moore, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1077	ICU Medical, Inc. v. Alaris Medical Systems, Inc.	Michel, Moore, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1077	ICU Medical, Inc. v. Alaris Medical Systems, Inc.	Michel, Moore, Prost	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1436	In Re Natures Remedies Ltd.	Dyk, Mayer, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1427	Chapman v. Casner	Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Interference
08-1222	MPT, Inc. v. U.S. Marathon Labels, Inc.	Archer, Moore, Schall	Unknown			
08-1255	Digital Impact, Inc. v. Bigfoot Interactive, Inc.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Schall	Unknown			
09-1019	Netcurrents Info. Services, Inc. v. Dow Jones & Co	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Unknown			

08-1554	Farrago v. Rawlings Sporting Goods Co., Inc.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Unknown			
08-1589	Biolumix, Inc. v. Centrus International, Inc.	Linn, Prost, Rader	Unknown			
08-1493	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Co., Inc.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Rule 60(a)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1493	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Co., Inc.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1493	Hildebrand v. Steck Manufacturing Co., Inc.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1057	Singhal v. Mentor Graphics, Corp.	Friedman, Mayer, Rader	Inventorship	Co-Inventorship	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1545	In Re Fallaux	Archer, Moore, Schall	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1533	Fernandez Innovative Technologies, L.L.C. v. Gener	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore, Newman, Rader	Unknown			
08-1434	Every Penny Counts, Inc. v. American Express, Co.	Bryson, Cudahy*, Michel	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
08-1434	Every Penny Counts, Inc. v. American Express, Co.	Bryson, Cudahy*, Michel	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
08-1267	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1267	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	Validity	251-Same Invention	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1267	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	Validity	Recapture	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1267	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1267	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	JMOL	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1267	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	New Trial	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1267	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	Remittitur	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1267	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1267	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1267	Revolution Eyewear, Inc. v. Aspex Eyewear, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1528	Ritchie v. Vast Resources, Inc.	Bryson, Michel, Posner*	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
08-1290	Air Turbine Tech., Inc. v. Atlas Copco AB	Prost, Schall	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Reversed	Order on Motion
08-1410	Tuna Processors, Inc. v. Hawaii International Seal	Archer, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Hearing

08-1410	Tuna Processors, Inc. v. Hawaii International Sea	Archer, Linn, Lourie	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
08-1467	National Institute for Strategic Tech. Acquisition	Moore, Rader	Contracts	License	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
08-1279	Synthes v. GMReis	Bryson, Friedman, Schall	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Motion to Dismiss
08-1131	Takeda Pharmaceutical v. Doll	Moore, Rader	Validity	Double Patenting	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1367	Felix v. American Honda Motor Co.	Friedman, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1367	Felix v. American Honda Motor Co.	Friedman, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1367	Felix v. American Honda Motor Co.	Friedman, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1446	In Re Siemens Water Technologies	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1506	Comcast Cable Communicatiosn v. Finisar Corp.	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Unknown			
08-1430	Transcore, Lp. v. Electronic Transaction Consultan	Dyk, Gajarsa, Moore	Exhaustion/First Sale		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1430	Transcore, Lp. v. Electronic Transaction Consultan	Dyk, Gajarsa, Moore	Evidence	Relevance	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1430	Transcore, Lp. v. Electronic Transaction Consultan	Dyk, Gajarsa, Moore	Estoppel	Legal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-M899	In Re Telular Corp. [order]	Mayer, Moore, Schall	Transfer		Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
09-M98n	In Re Cypress Semiconductor Corp. [order]	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Res Judicata		Mandamus Denied	Clear
08-1421	In Re Tan	Dyk, Lourie, Rader	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1437	In Re Hickman	Bryson, Mayer, Plager	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1483	Heeling Sports Ltd. v. U.S. Furong International,	Bryson, Mayer, Plager	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
08-1483	Heeling Sports Ltd. v. U.S. Furong International,	Bryson, Mayer, Plager	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion

08-1184	In Re Kubin	Friedman, Linn, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1590	Black v. Ce Soir Lingerie Co., Inc.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Unknown			
08-1408	In Re Byerly	Bryson, Cudahy*, Moore	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1289	Crater Corp. v. Lucent Technologies, Inc.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Unknown			
08-1170	Euclid Chemical v. Vector Corrosion Technologies	Linn, Lourie	Contracts	License	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1242	Digene Corp. v. Third Wave Technologies	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1242	Digene Corp. v. Third Wave Technologies	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Antitrust	Monopolization	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1242	Digene Corp. v. Third Wave Technologies	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1242	Digene Corp. v. Third Wave Technologies	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1588	Global Patent Holdings v Panthers BRHC	Gajarsa, Lourie, Prost	Unknown			
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Priority	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Evidence	Argument	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

08-1003	Cordis v. Boston Scientific	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Dismissal Motion	Prejudice	Reversed	Order on Motion
08-1461	In re Bryan	Linn, Michel, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1585	Wayne-Dalton Corp. v. AMARR Co.	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Unknown			
08-1453	In Re Gleave	Michel, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1332	Clock Spring, L.P. v. Wrapmaster, Inc.	Bryson, Dyk, Patel*	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1332	Clock Spring, L.P. v. Wrapmaster, Inc.	Bryson, Dyk, Patel*	Lanham Act	False Advertising	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1487	Clearvalue, Inc. v. Pearl River Plymers, Inc.	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Sanctions	Rule 37	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1487	Clearvalue, Inc. v. Pearl River Plymers, Inc.	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	Rule 37	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1487	Clearvalue, Inc. v. Pearl River Plymers, Inc.	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Sanctions	Rule 37	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1487	Clearvalue, Inc. v. Pearl River Plymers, Inc.	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Sanctions	Inherent	Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1487	Clearvalue, Inc. v. Pearl River Plymers, Inc.	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
08-1456	Healthport, Corp. v. Tanita Corp. of America	Gajarsa, Moore, Schall	Unknown			
08-1498	Sofpool LLC. v. Intex Recreation, Corp.	Friedman, Mayer, Rader	Jury Instruction		Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict
08-1433	Fitness Quest, Inc. v. Monti	Michel, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction		Vacated	Summary Judgment
08-1433	Fitness Quest, Inc. v. Monti	Michel, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1433	Fitness Quest, Inc. v. Monti	Michel, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1433	Fitness Quest, Inc. v. Monti	Michel, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1433	Fitness Quest, Inc. v. Monti	Michel, Prost, Schall	Infringement		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1433	Fitness Quest, Inc. v. Monti	Michel, Prost, Schall	Agreement	Confidentiality	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1404	The Procter & Gamble Co. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals U	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1404	The Procter & Gamble Co. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals U	Dyk, Huff*, Mayer	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1358	Erbe Elektromedizin GMBH v. International Trade Co	Dyk, Michel, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	USITC Decision

08-1372	Dickson Industries, Inc. v. Patent Enforcement Tea	Mayer, Posner*, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1372	Dickson Industries, Inc. v. Patent Enforcement Tea	Mayer, Posner*, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
08-1372	Dickson Industries, Inc. v. Patent Enforcement Tea	Mayer, Posner*, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
08-1358	Erbe Elektromedizin GMBH v. International Trade Co	Dyk, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	USITC Decision
08-1358	Erbe Elektromedizin GMBH v. International Trade Co	Dyk, Michel, Rader	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	USITC Decision
07-1400	Abbott Laboratories v. Sandoz, Inc.	Rader, Plager, Bryson	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
07-1400	Abbott Laboratories v. Sandoz, Inc.	Rader, Plager, Bryson	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1400	Abbott Laboratories v. Sandoz, Inc.	Rader, Plager, Bryson	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
08-1217	Autogenomics, Inc. v. Oxford Gene Tech. Ltd.	Gettleman*, Moore	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1217	Autogenomics, Inc. v. Oxford Gene Tech. Ltd.	Gettleman*, Moore	Discovery	Jurisdictional	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1039	Altana Pharma Ag v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Ward*	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
08-1039	Altana Pharma Ag v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Ward*	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
08-1039	Altana Pharma Ag v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Ward*	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
08-1502	Corebrace, LLC. v. Star Seismic LLC	Friedman, Lourie, Prost	Contracts	Breach	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
08-1502	Corebrace, LLC. v. Star Seismic LLC	Friedman, Lourie, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
07-1457	Epistar Corp. v. International Trade Commission	Archer, Dyk, Rader	Estoppel	Contractual	Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1457	Epistar Corp. v. International Trade Commission	Archer, Dyk, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1516	Paragon Soutions, LLC. v. Timex Corp.	Byrson, Linn, Moore	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
08-1516	Paragon Soutions, LLC. v. Timex Corp.	Byrson, Linn, Moore	Infringement		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
09-M897	In Re Volkswagen of America, Inc. [order]	Friedman, Gajarsa, Linn	Transfer		Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
09-M901	In Re Genentech, Inc. [order]	Friedman, Linn, Michel	Transfer	1404	Mandamus Granted	Order on Motion

08-1117	Linear Technology Corp. v. International Trade Com	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	USITC Decision
08-1117	Linear Technology Corp. v. International Trade Com	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
08-1117	Linear Technology Corp. v. International Trade Com	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	USITC Decision
08-1117	Linear Technology Corp. v. International Trade Com	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
08-1117	Linear Technology Corp. v. International Trade Com	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
08-1117	Linear Technology Corp. v. International Trade Com	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	USITC Decision
08-1117	Linear Technology Corp. v. International Trade Com	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated, Remanded	USITC Decision
08-1117	Linear Technology Corp. v. International Trade Com	Lourie, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	USITC Decision
08-1374	McZeal v. Sprint Nextel Corp.	Dyk, Michel, Rader	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State a Claim	Vacated	Order on Motion
08-1374	McZeal v. Sprint Nextel Corp.	Dyk, Michel, Rader	Dismissal Motion	Failure to Prosecute	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1097	Cartner v. Alamo Group, Inc.	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
09-1097	Cartner v. Alamo Group, Inc.	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Vacated, Remanded	Markman Hearing
09-1029	In Re Baggett	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1029	In Re Baggett	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1600	Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Mylan Laborat	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1441	Univ. of Pittsburgh v. Varian Medical Systems, Inc	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Dismissal Motion	Failure to Join Necessary Party	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
08-1441	Univ. of Pittsburgh v. Varian Medical Systems, Inc	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Dismissal Motion	Standing	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
08-1441	Univ. of Pittsburgh v. Varian Medical Systems, Inc	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Sanctions		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion

09-1219	In Re Guess	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1228	Ecolab, Inc. v. FMC Corp.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction	Prosecution History Disclaimer	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1228	Ecolab, Inc. v. FMC Corp.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1228	Ecolab, Inc. v. FMC Corp.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1228	Ecolab, Inc. v. FMC Corp.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1228	Ecolab, Inc. v. FMC Corp.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1228	Ecolab, Inc. v. FMC Corp.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
08-1228	Ecolab, Inc. v. FMC Corp.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Prejudgment Interest		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
08-1599	Excel Innovations, Inc. v. You Tech. Inc.	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Unknown			
09-1178	Haynes v. U.S.	Mayer, Newman, Schall	Jurisdiction	Appellate	Dismissed	na
08-1598	In Re CBC Industries, Inc.	Linn, Michel, St. Eve*	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1208	Larson v. Correct Craft, Inc.	Arterton*, Gajarsa, Moore	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
08-1208	Larson v. Correct Craft, Inc.	Arterton*, Gajarsa, Moore	Jurisdiction	Standing	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
09-1011	In Re Ross	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
08-1466	Agilent Tech., Inc. v. Affymetrix, Inc.	Mayer, Posner*, Rader	Claim Construction		Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1466	Agilent Tech., Inc. v. Affymetrix, Inc.	Mayer, Posner*, Rader	Evidence		Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1466	Agilent Tech., Inc. v. Affymetrix, Inc.	Mayer, Posner*, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1078	Titan Tire Corp. v. Case New Holland, Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
08-1078	Titan Tire Corp. v. Case New Holland, Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Plager	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing

08-1482	Purechoice, Inc. v. Honeywell International, Inc.	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
08-1482	Purechoice, Inc. v. Honeywell International, Inc.	Gajarsa, Linn, Mayer	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Hearing
08-1240	Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Infringement	Ensnarement Defense	Affirmed	Bench Trial/Hearing
08-1240	Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1240	Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Damages	Lost Profits	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1240	Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1240	Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	JMOL
08-1240	Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Order on Motion
08-1240	Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Attorney's Fees		Reversed	Order on Motion
08-1240	Depuy Spine, Inc. v. Medtronic Sofamor Danek, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Sanctions	Inherent	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1023	Amgen, Inc. v. Ariad Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Dyk, Michel, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
09-1023	Amgen, Inc. v. Ariad Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Dyk, Michel, Moore	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1311	Boss Ind. Inc. v. Yamaha Motor Corp., U.S.A., Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
08-1311	Boss Ind. Inc. v. Yamaha Motor Corp., U.S.A., Inc.	Gajarsa, Newman, Schall	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Hearing
08-1415	Norgren, Inc. v. International Trade Commission	Gajarsa, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction		Reversed	USITC Decision
08-1415	Norgren, Inc. v. International Trade Commission	Gajarsa, Moore, Schall	Infringement		Reversed	USITC Decision
09-1125	In Re Mettke	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1047	Garber v. Chicago Mercantile Exchange	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Dismissal Motion	Rule 41	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1047	Garber v. Chicago Mercantile Exchange	Lourie, Michel, Prost	Rule 60(b)(6)	Rule 41	Reversed	Order on Motion

09-1159	Orthoarm, Inc. v. Forestadent USA, Inc.	Michel, Prost, Rader	Unknown			
09-1148	In Re Benun	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Unknown			
09-1083	In Re Applied Materials Israel Ltd.	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1226	Procter & Gamble Co. v. RNA Corp.	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Unknown			
09-5034	Hyde v. U.S.	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Statute of Limitations		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1562	Fraser v. High Liner Foods (USA), Inc.	Michel, Prost, Rader	Statute of Limitations	Sec. 286	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1562	Fraser v. High Liner Foods (USA), Inc.	Michel, Prost, Rader	Service of Process	Rule 4(m)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1562	Fraser v. High Liner Foods (USA), Inc.	Michel, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1562	Fraser v. High Liner Foods (USA), Inc.	Michel, Prost, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1562	Fraser v. High Liner Foods (USA), Inc.	Michel, Prost, Rader	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-5059	Wong v. U.S.	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-5059	Wong v. U.S.	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1492	In Re Pod-ners, L.L.C.	Friedman, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
09-1001	Gemtron, Corp. v. Saint-Gobain Corp.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1001	Gemtron, Corp. v. Saint-Gobain Corp.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1001	Gemtron, Corp. v. Saint-Gobain Corp.	Linn, Michel, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1468	Univ. of Pittsburgh of the Commonwealth System of	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Inventorship	Co-Inventorship	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1468	Univ. of Pittsburgh of the Commonwealth System of	Bryson, Mayer, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Order on Motion
03-1427	Orenshteyn v. Citrix Systems, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
03-1427	Orenshteyn v. Citrix Systems, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction		Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1427	Orenshteyn v. Citrix Systems, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
03-1427	Orenshteyn v. Citrix Systems, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Sanctions	Rule 11	Reversed	Order on Motion
03-1427	Orenshteyn v. Citrix Systems, Inc.	Bryson, Lourie, Mayer	Sanctions	1927	Vacated	Order on Motion

08-1544	In Re Reiffin Family Trus	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Priority		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1544	In Re Reiffin Family Trus	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1544	In Re Reiffin Family Trus	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1544	In Re Reiffin Family Trus	Bryson, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1368	Blackboard, Inc. v. Desire2learn, Inc.	Bryson, Cudahy*, Moore	Claim Construction		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1368	Blackboard, Inc. v. Desire2learn, Inc.	Bryson, Cudahy*, Moore	JMOL	Pre-Jury	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1368	Blackboard, Inc. v. Desire2learn, Inc.	Bryson, Cudahy*, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1368	Blackboard, Inc. v. Desire2learn, Inc.	Bryson, Cudahy*, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1129	Wavetronix v. EIS Electronic Integrated Systems	Newman, Patel*, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	None
08-1129	Wavetronix v. EIS Electronic Integrated Systems	Newman, Patel*, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1129	Wavetronix v. EIS Electronic Integrated Systems	Newman, Patel*, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1129	Wavetronix v. EIS Electronic Integrated Systems	Newman, Patel*, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1129	Wavetronix v. EIS Electronic Integrated Systems	Newman, Patel*, Schall	Validity	Best Mode	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1546	In Re McNeil-PPC, Inc.	Michel, Rader, Dyk	PTO Decision Date		Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1546	In Re McNeil-PPC, Inc.	Michel, Rader, Dyk	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
08-1229	Touchcom, Inc. v. Bereskin & Parr	Gajarsa, Lourie, Prost	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1093	Cornish v. Doll	Lourie, Michel, Rader	Unknown			
06-1491	Exergen, Corp. v. Wal Mart Stores, Inc.	Linn, Michel, St. Eve*	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL

06-1491	Exergen, Corp. v. Wal Mart Stores, Inc.	Linn, Michel, St. Eve*	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1491	Exergen, Corp. v. Wal Mart Stores, Inc.	Linn, Michel, St. Eve*	Infringement	Inducing		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
06-1491	Exergen, Corp. v. Wal Mart Stores, Inc.	Linn, Michel, St. Eve*	Pleading	Rule 9(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1133	Taylor v. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Lourie, Michel, Rader	APA	Accept Maintenance Fee		Reversed	Order on Motion
08-1282	Bayer Schering Pharma AG v. Barr Laboratories Inc.	Friedman, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1277	In Re Shoner	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1123	In Re Rivera	Clevenger, Lourie, Schall	Unknown				BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1045	Wedgetail, Ltd. v. Huddleston Deluxe, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1076	Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Claim Construction			Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1076	Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1076	Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Evidence	403		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1076	Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	New Trial	inconsistent Verdicts		Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
09-1076	Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Validity	Anticipation		Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1412	RFID Tracker, Ltd. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1412	RFID Tracker, Ltd. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
07-1296	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Medical, Inc.	Michel, Newman, Mayer, Lourie, Rader, Schall, Brys	Infringement	271(f)		Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1296	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Medical, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Anticipation		Reversed	Summary Judgment
07-1296	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Medical, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Inequitable Conduct			Reversed	Order on Motion
07-1296	Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc. v. St. Jude Medical, Inc.	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Damages	Method		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1213	Marcinkowska v. IMG Worldwide, Inc.	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Jurisdiction	Personal		Affirmed	Order on Motion

09-1213	Marcinkowska v. IMG Worldwide, Inc.	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State a Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1606	Sky Technologies, Llc. v. Sap Ag	Bryson, Michel, Spencer*	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1549	Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Teva Pharmace	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1549	Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Teva Pharmace	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1549	Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Teva Pharmace	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1459	Martek Biosciences Corp. v. Nutrinova, Inc.	Newman, Lourie, Rader, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1459	Martek Biosciences Corp. v. Nutrinova, Inc.	Newman, Lourie, Rader, Gajarsa, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
08-1459	Martek Biosciences Corp. v. Nutrinova, Inc.	Newman, Lourie, Rader, Gajarsa, Moore	Evidence	Corroboration	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1459	Martek Biosciences Corp. v. Nutrinova, Inc.	Newman, Lourie, Rader, Gajarsa, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1459	Martek Biosciences Corp. v. Nutrinova, Inc.	Newman, Lourie, Rader, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	JMOL
08-1221	In Re Skvorecz	Friedman, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction		Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reissue
08-1221	In Re Skvorecz	Friedman, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reissue
08-1221	In Re Skvorecz	Friedman, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reissue
08-1221	In Re Skvorecz	Friedman, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	BPAI Decision - Reissue
09-1135	Lydall Thermal/Acoustical, Inc. v. Federal-Mogul,	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1135	Lydall Thermal/Acoustical, Inc. v. Federal-Mogul,	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1026	Nystrom v. Trex Co., Inc.	Michel, Prost, Rader	Res Judicata		Reversed, but Affirmed on Other Grounds	Summary Judgment
09-1427	Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC v. Sandoz, Inc.	Linn, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction		Reversed	Markman Ruling
08-1306	Fresenius USA, Inc. v. Baxter International, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Waiver	Appellate	na	na

08-1306	Fresenius USA, Inc. v. Baxter International, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
08-1306	Fresenius USA, Inc. v. Baxter International, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
08-1306	Fresenius USA, Inc. v. Baxter International, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
08-1485	Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1485	Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1485	Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1485	Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1485	Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1485	Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1485	Lucent Technologies, Inc. v. Gateway, Inc.	Lourie, Michel, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1039	Bowling v. Hasbro, Inc.	Michel, Moore, Newman	Unknown			
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Double Patenting	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	JMOL
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Jury Instruction	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	271(g)	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Jury Instruction	271(g)	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	New Trial	271(g)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	JMOL
09-1020	Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	JMOL

08-1403	Prometheus Laboratories, Inc. v. Mayo Collaborativ	Clark*, Lourie, Michel	Validity	Utility	Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1278	Rozenblat v. Kappos	Linn, Newman, Rade	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State a Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1479	Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Claim Construction		Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1479	Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	Direct	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1479	Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1479	Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1479	Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Trademark	Infringement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1479	Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Validity		Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1479	Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1479	Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Laches		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1094	AsymmetRx, Inc. v. Biocare Med., Llc.	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Standing		Vacated, Remanded	na
09-1054	Conforti v. The Ocean Group, Inc.	Linn, Newman, Rade	Unknown			
09-1006	Edwards Lifesciences Llc. v. Cook, Inc.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1006	Edwards Lifesciences Llc. v. Cook, Inc.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1006	Edwards Lifesciences Llc. v. Cook, Inc.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1060	In Re Lister	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1027	Kara Technology Inc. v. Stamps.com Inc.	Moore, Plager, Schal	Claim Construction		Vacated, Remanded	Markman Ruling
09-1027	Kara Technology Inc. v. Stamps.com Inc.	Moore, Plager, Schal	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1027	Kara Technology Inc. v. Stamps.com Inc.	Moore, Plager, Schal	Agreement	Breach of Contract	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1594	In Re '318 Patent Infringement Litigation	Dyk, Gajarsa, Mayer	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1480	Astrazeneca Pharmaceuticals LP v. Teva Pharmaceuti	Newman, Prost, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1509	Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior Un	Linn, Moore, Prost	Statute of Limitations	Ownership	Affirmed	
08-1509	Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior Un	Linn, Moore, Prost	Standing		Vacated, Remanded	na

08-1152	Advanced Software Design Corp. v. Federal Reserve	Moore, Newman, Prost	1498 Defense		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1069	Peer Communications, Corp. v. Skype Technologies S	Mayer, Moore, Rader	Unknown			
09-1215	Reese v. Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P.	Mayer, Moore, Rader	Unknown			
09-1326	Colida v. Nokia, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State a Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1326	Colida v. Nokia, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1256	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Collins	Gajarsa, Michel, Moore	Unknown			
09-1049	Bengis v. Moss	Friedman, Gajarsa, Michel	Unknown			
09-1145	M Ship Co. v. Ice Marine Ltd.	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Unknown			
09-1078	Monsanto Co. v. David	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Unknown			
09-1223	In Re Roth	Archer, Gajarsa, Rader	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1110	IGT v. Alliance Gaming Corp.	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Unknown			
09-1095	Joyal Products, Inc. v. Johnson Electric North Ame	Mayer, Newman, Prost	Unknown			
09-1195	National Products, Inc. v. Gamber-Johnson L.L.C.	Moore, Newman, Plager	Unknown			
09-1072	Bally Gaming Inc. v. IGT	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Unknown			
09-1247	In Re Herbold	Bryson, Linn, Newman	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1208	Imation Corp. v. Koninklijke Philips Electronics N	Bryson, Gajarsa, St. Eve*	Agreement	License	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1217	In Re Rackman	Bryson, Moore, Prost	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
09-1343	In Re Whittaker	Linn, Michel, Plager	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1212	Eastman Kodak Co. v. Agfa-Gevaert N.V.	Moore, Rader, Wilken*	Unknown			

09-1018	Iovate Health Sciences, Inc. v. Bio-Engineered Sup	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1105	Perfect Web Technologies, Inc. v. Infousa, Inc.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1091	Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Arthrex, Inc.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Claim Construction		Reversed	Markman Ruling
09-1091	Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Arthrex, Inc.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1091	Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Arthrex, Inc.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1091	Smith & Nephew, Inc. v. Arthrex, Inc.	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-M911	In Re Hoffman-La Roche Inc. [order]	Friedman, Gajarsa, Lourie	Transfer	1404	Mandamus Granted	Order on Motion
08-1218	Ultimax Cement Manufacturing Corp. v. CTS Cement M	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction		Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1218	Ultimax Cement Manufacturing Corp. v. CTS Cement M	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1218	Ultimax Cement Manufacturing Corp. v. CTS Cement M	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Laches		Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1218	Ultimax Cement Manufacturing Corp. v. CTS Cement M	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
08-1218	Ultimax Cement Manufacturing Corp. v. CTS Cement M	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Amendment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1218	Ultimax Cement Manufacturing Corp. v. CTS Cement M	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1218	Ultimax Cement Manufacturing Corp. v. CTS Cement M	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Disqualification	Attorney	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1283	Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Acceleron Llc.	Michel, Moore, Newman	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1087	Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc. v. Alpine Electroni	Friedman, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1269	Tyco Healthcare Group L.P. v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery	Michel, Newman, Prost	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1269	Tyco Healthcare Group L.P. v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery	Michel, Newman, Prost	Dismissal Motion	With Prejudice	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1505	Source Search Technologies, Llc. v. Lendingtree LI	Plager, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1505	Source Search Technologies, Llc. v. Lendingtree LI	Plager, Rader, Schall	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

08-1505	Source Search Technologies, Ll. v. Lendingtree LI	Plager, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
08-1505	Source Search Technologies, Ll. v. Lendingtree LI	Plager, Rader, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1328	Old Reliable Wholesale, Inc. v. Cornell Corp.	Dyk, Mayer, Plager	Unknown			
09-1041	Technical Furniture Group, LLC. v. CBT Supply, Inc	Archer, Bryson, Michel	Unknown			
09-1264	Boss v. Cabilly	Archer, Prost, Rader	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Interference
09-1287	In Re Arvinmeritor, Inc.	Dyk, Mayer, Plager	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
09-1273	GED Integrated Solutions, Inc. v. Durotech Interna	Clevenger, Dyk, Mayer	Unknown			
09-1196	Tillotson Corp. v. International Trade Commission	Archer, Bryson, Moore	Unknown			
09-1291	In Re Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp.	Plager, Prost, Rader	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
09-5074	Energy Security of America, Corp. v. U.S.	Plager, Prost, Rader	Statute of Limitations		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1312	Astrazeneca AB v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd.	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Unknown			
09-1142	Intellectual Science and Technology, Inc. v. Sony	Archer, Rader, Gajarsa	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1373	In Re Gleizer	Dyk, Lourie, Kendall*	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
09-1158	Automated Merchandising Systems, Inc. v. Crane, Co	Clevenger, Dyk, Michel	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated, Remanded	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
09-1158	Automated Merchandising Systems, Inc. v. Crane, Co	Clevenger, Dyk, Michel	Stay	Pending Reexam	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-M914	In Re Nintendo Co., Ltd. [order]	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Transfer	1404	Mandamus Granted	Order on Motion
09-1237	International Seaway Trading Corp. v. Walgreens Co	Bryson, Clevenger, Dyk	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated, Remanded	Summary Judgment
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling

09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Jury Instruction		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Evidence	Rule 403	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1504	I4I v. Microsoft	Moore, Prost, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Modified	Order on Motion
08-1530	In Re Electro-Mechanica Industries	Moore, Newman, Plager	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1530	In Re Electro-Mechanica Industries	Moore, Newman, Plager	Damages		Modified	Bench Trial
08-1530	In Re Electro-Mechanica Industries	Moore, Newman, Plager	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1530	In Re Electro-Mechanica Industries	Moore, Newman, Plager	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1530	In Re Electro-Mechanica Industries	Moore, Newman, Plager	Attorney's Fees		Modified	Bench Trial
09-1377	In re: Encapsulation Technology	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Unknown			BPAI Decision - Reexamination
09-1044	Forest Group v. Bon Tool	Moore, Plager, Rader	False Patent Marking		Reversed, Remanded	Bench Trial
09-1044	Forest Group v. Bon Tool	Moore, Plager, Rader	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1241	Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. v. Cardiac Sc	Friedman, Gajarsa, Michel	Validity	Written Description	Reversed, Remanded	Summary Judgment
09-M909	In re: VTech Communications	Linn, Michel, Prost	Transfer	1404	Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
09-1176	Restaurant Technologies Inc. v. Jersey Shore Chic	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1176	Restaurant Technologies Inc. v. Jersey Shore Chic	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	Means for	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

09-1176	Restaurant Technologies Inc. v. Jersey Shore Chic	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1176	Restaurant Technologies Inc. v. Jersey Shore Chic	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1176	Restaurant Technologies Inc. v. Jersey Shore Chic	Archer, Gajarsa, Lourie	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1120	Wyeth and Elan Pharma International, Ltd. v. Under	Moore, Plager, Rader	Patent Term Adjustment		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1410	Finisar, Corp. v. The DirecTV Goup, Inc.	Moore, Prost, Rader	Unknown			
09-1230	Ariba, Inc. v. Emptoris, Inc.	Moore, Prost, Rader	Unknown			
09-1146	Schindler Elevator Corp. v. Otis Elevator Co.	Dyk, Friedman, Linn	Claim Construction		Vacated	Markman Ruling
09-1146	Schindler Elevator Corp. v. Otis Elevator Co.	Dyk, Friedman, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
10-1104	Takeda Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. v. Teva Pharmaceuti	Linn, Michel, Schall	Unknown			
09-1121	Catch Curve, Inc. v. Venali, Inc.	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1121	Catch Curve, Inc. v. Venali, Inc.	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1008	Therasense, Inc. v. Beckton, Dickinson and Co.	Dyk, Friedman, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1008	Therasense, Inc. v. Beckton, Dickinson and Co.	Dyk, Friedman, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1032	Boehringer Ingelheim International GMBH v. Barr La	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1032	Boehringer Ingelheim International GMBH v. Barr La	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Validity	Double Patenting	Reversed, Remanded	Bench Trial
09-1390	Lawler Manufacturing Co., Inc. v. Bradley Corp	Bryson, Lourie, Schall	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1171	Outside the Box Innovations v. Travel Caddy [order	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Disqualification	Attorney	Granted	na
09-1361	Nelson v. K-2 Inc.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Prost	Unknown			
09-1099	Seb S.A. v. Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1099	Seb S.A. v. Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1099	Seb S.A. v. Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Evidence	Expert	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1099	Seb S.A. v. Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Jury Instructions	offers to sell	Affirmed	Order on Motion

09-1099	Seb S.A. v. Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1099	Seb S.A. v. Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Patent Marking		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1099	Seb S.A. v. Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	New Trial	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1099	Seb S.A. v. Montgomery Ward & Co., Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Attorneys Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1365	Resqnet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1365	Resqnet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1365	Resqnet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
08-1365	Resqnet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated, Remanded	Bench Trial
08-1365	Resqnet.com, Inc. v. Lansa, Inc.	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Sanctions	Rule 11	Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1193	Sensormatic Electronics, Llc. v. Kahle [order]	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Unknown
09-1193	Sensormatic Electronics, Llc. v. Kahle [order]	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Unknown
08-5181	Honeywell International, Inc. v. U.S.	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
08-5181	Honeywell International, Inc. v. U.S.	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Bench Trial
08-5181	Honeywell International, Inc. v. U.S.	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Standing	Invention Secrecy Act	Reversed	Bench Trial
08-5181	Honeywell International, Inc. v. U.S.	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Infringement	First Sale	Reversed	Bench Trial
09-1107	Alloc, Inc. v. Pergo, Inc.	Dyk, Kendall*, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1107	Alloc, Inc. v. Pergo, Inc.	Dyk, Kendall*, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1475	In Re Daneshvar	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision
09-1475	In Re Daneshvar	Bryson, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision
09-1352	Duhn Oil Tool, Inc. v. Cooper Cameron, Corp. [orde	Friedman, Linn, Moore	Jurisdiction	Appellate	na	na
09-1352	Duhn Oil Tool, Inc. v. Cooper Cameron, Corp. [orde	Friedman, Linn, Moore	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Hearing
08-1257	In Re Sami Chemicals and Extracts, Ltd.	Gajarsa, Lourie, Newman	Unknown			
08-1596	Crocs, Inc. v. International Trade Commission	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Design	Reversed	USITC Decision

08-1596	Crocs, Inc. v. International Trade Commission	Lourie, Prost, Rader	337	Domestic Industry	Reversed	USITC Decision
08-1596	Crocs, Inc. v. International Trade Commission	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	USITC Decision
09-1270	In Re Chapman	Clevenger, Dyk, Gajarsa	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	BPAI
08-1392	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Espeed	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
08-1392	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Espeed	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1392	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Espeed	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1392	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Espeed	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1392	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Espeed	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	JMOL
08-1392	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Espeed	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1392	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Espeed	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Evidence	Expert	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1392	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Espeed	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Priority	Provisional Appln	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
08-1392	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Espeed	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1392	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. Espeed	Clark*, Lourie, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1170	Sram Corp. v. AD-II Engineering, Inc.	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1248	Comaper Corp. v. Antec, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1248	Comaper Corp. v. Antec, Inc.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Rader	New Trial	Inconsistent Verdicts	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
09-1022	Media Technologies Licensing, Llc. v. The Upper De	Lourie, Mayer, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1395	Davis v. Brouse McDowell, L.P.A.	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1395	Davis v. Brouse McDowell, L.P.A.	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Evidence	Expert	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1395	Davis v. Brouse McDowell, L.P.A.	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Malpractice		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

2010-13	CIES BISKER, LLC. V. 3M CO.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Unknown				
2010-11	CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH, INC. V. ABBOTT LABORATORIE	Bryson, Clevenger, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL	
2010-10	PARADOX SECURITY SYSTEMS, LTD. V. ADT SECURITY SER	Bryson, Newman, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL	
2010-12	CREATIVE INTERNET ADVERTISING CORP. V. YAHOO!, INC	Bryson, Newman	Claim Construction		Reversed	Markman Ruling	
2010-12	CREATIVE INTERNET ADVERTISING CORP. V. YAHOO!, INC	Bryson, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL	
2010-12	CREATIVE INTERNET ADVERTISING CORP. V. YAHOO!, INC	Bryson, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL	
2010-12	CREATIVE INTERNET ADVERTISING CORP. V. YAHOO!, INC	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL	
2009-13	HEARING COMPONENTS, INC. V. SHURE, INC.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling	
2009-13	HEARING COMPONENTS, INC. V. SHURE, INC.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Means for	Reversed	JMOL	
2009-13	HEARING COMPONENTS, INC. V. SHURE, INC.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Infringement	Means for	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL	
2009-13	HEARING COMPONENTS, INC. V. SHURE, INC.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL	
2009-13	HEARING COMPONENTS, INC. V. SHURE, INC.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Laches		Affirmed	Order on Motion	
2009-13	GOLDEN HOUR DATA SYSTEMS, INC. V. EMSCHARTS, INC.	Dyk, Friedman	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial	
2009-13	GOLDEN HOUR DATA SYSTEMS, INC. V. EMSCHARTS, INC.	Dyk, Friedman	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Vacated	Bench Trial	
2009-13	GOLDEN HOUR DATA SYSTEMS, INC. V. EMSCHARTS, INC.	Dyk, Friedman	Infringement	Joint	Affirmed	JMOL	
2009-11	ORION IP, LLC. V. HYUNDAI MOTOR CO.	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL	
2009-11	ORION IP, LLC. V. HYUNDAI MOTOR CO.	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial	
2009-11	ORION IP, LLC. V. HYUNDAI MOTOR CO.	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial	
2008-16	PRESSURE PRODUCTS MEDICAL SUPPLIES, INC. V. GREATB	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling	

2008-16	PRESSURE PRODUCTS MEDICAL SUPPLIES, INC. V. GREATB	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Means for	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
2008-16	PRESSURE PRODUCTS MEDICAL SUPPLIES, INC. V. GREATB	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore, Newman, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
2008-16	PRESSURE PRODUCTS MEDICAL SUPPLIES, INC. V. GREATB	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
2008-16	PRESSURE PRODUCTS MEDICAL SUPPLIES, INC. V. GREATB	Gajarsa, Lourie, Moore, Newman, Rader	Amend Pleadings		Affirmed	Order on Motion
2008-15	ANASCAPE V. NINTENDO	Dyk, Gajarsa, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
2008-15	POWER-ONE, INC. V. ARTESYN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Gajarsa, Kendall*, Michel	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
2008-15	POWER-ONE, INC. V. ARTESYN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Gajarsa, Kendall*, Michel	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
2008-15	POWER-ONE, INC. V. ARTESYN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Gajarsa, Kendall*, Michel	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1311	CORDIS CORP. V. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
10-1311	CORDIS CORP. V. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
10-1311	CORDIS CORP. V. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1439	SEIKO EPSON CORP. V. CORETRONIC CORP.	Bryson, Lourie, Michel	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1130	ORION IP, LLC. V. HYUNDAI MOTOR CO.	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Printed Publication		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1130	ORION IP, LLC. V. HYUNDAI MOTOR CO.	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1130	ORION IP, LLC. V. HYUNDAI MOTOR CO.	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1130	ORION IP, LLC. V. HYUNDAI MOTOR CO.	Gajarsa, Linn, Plager	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1263	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Sanctions	Litigation Misconduct	Vacated	Bench Trial
09-1263	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Attorney Client Privilege	Crime Fraud Exception	Affirmed	Order on Motion

09-1263	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Transfer		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1489	POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC. V. TESSERA, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1489	POWERTECH TECHNOLOGY INC. V. TESSERA, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Forum Selection Clause		Reversed	Order on Motion
09-1299	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Attorney Client Privilege	Crime Fraud Exception	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1299	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Waiver	Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1299	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1299	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1299	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1299	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1299	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
09-1299	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1299	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC V. RAMBUS INC.	Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1307	IN RE HUAI-HUNG KAO	Linn, Moore, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
11-1038	BETTCHER INDUSTRIES, INC. V. BUNZL USA, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
09-1344	TALTECH LTD. V. ESQUEL ENTERPRISES LTD.	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1344	TALTECH LTD. V. ESQUEL ENTERPRISES LTD.	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1344	TALTECH LTD. V. ESQUEL ENTERPRISES LTD.	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Exceptional Case	Abusive Litigation Tactics	Affirmed	Order on Motion

09-1344	TALTECH LTD. V. ESQUEL ENTERPRISES LTD.	Friedman, Gajarsa, Mayer	Post-Judgment Interest		Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1038	BETTCHER INDUSTRIES, INC. V. BUNZL USA, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Reyna	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1038	BETTCHER INDUSTRIES, INC. V. BUNZL USA, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Reyna	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1038	BETTCHER INDUSTRIES, INC. V. BUNZL USA, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Reyna	Jury Instructions		Affirmed	Jury Instruction
11-1038	BETTCHER INDUSTRIES, INC. V. BUNZL USA, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Reyna	Evidence	Inter Partes Reexamination	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1038	BETTCHER INDUSTRIES, INC. V. BUNZL USA, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Reyna	New Trial	Obviousness	Vacated, Remanded	Order on Motion
11-1038	BETTCHER INDUSTRIES, INC. V. BUNZL USA, INC.	Bryson, Linn, Reyna	New Trial	Infringement	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1079	MICROTHIN.COM, INC. V. SILICONEZONE USA INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1079	MICROTHIN.COM, INC. V. SILICONEZONE USA INC.	Bryson, Gajarsa, Moore	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1305	SOUTHERN MILLS, INC V. POLARTEC, LLC.	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
09-1305	SOUTHERN MILLS, INC V. POLARTEC, LLC.	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
09-1305	SOUTHERN MILLS, INC V. POLARTEC, LLC.	Bryson, Dyk, Michel	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Consent Judgment
10-1536	MEZZALINGUA ASSOCIATES, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD	Bryson, Linn, Reyna	Domestic Industry		Affirmed	USITC Decision
10-1261	IN RE STEPAN CO.	Dyk, Prost	New Ground of Rejection		Vacated, Remanded	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
10-1405	ZIRCON CORP. V. STANLEY BLACK & DECKER, INC.	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1275	IN RE TAYLOR	Linn, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
11-1002	ATLANTIC RESEARCH MARKETING SYSTEMS, INC. V. TROY	Mayer, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1364	IGT V. BALLY GAMING INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

11-1275	IN RE TAYLOR	Linn, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
11-1002	ATLANTIC RESEARCH MARKETING SYSTEMS, INC. V. TROY	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1002	ATLANTIC RESEARCH MARKETING SYSTEMS, INC. V. TROY	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1002	ATLANTIC RESEARCH MARKETING SYSTEMS, INC. V. TROY	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Waiver			
11-1002	ATLANTIC RESEARCH MARKETING SYSTEMS, INC. V. TROY	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Mistrial		Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1364	IGT V. BALLY GAMING INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1364	IGT V. BALLY GAMING INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1364	IGT V. BALLY GAMING INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1364	IGT V. BALLY GAMING INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1364	IGT V. BALLY GAMING INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1395	TIANRUI GROUP CO v. ITC	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
10-1503	ABSOLUTE SOFTWARE v. STEALTH SIGNAL	O`Malley, Prost, Rader	Waiver		NA	None
11-1120	SEIKO V. CORETRONIC	Bryson, dyk, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1395	TIANRUI GROUP CO v. ITC	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Choice of Law	Law Applied	Reversed	USITC Decision
10-1395	TIANRUI GROUP CO v. ITC	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Domestic Industry		Affirmed	USITC Decision
10-1503	ABSOLUTE SOFTWARE v. STEALTH SIGNAL	O`Malley, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
10-1503	ABSOLUTE SOFTWARE v. STEALTH SIGNAL	O`Malley, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1503	ABSOLUTE SOFTWARE v. STEALTH SIGNAL	O`Malley, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1503	ABSOLUTE SOFTWARE v. STEALTH SIGNAL	O`Malley, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

10-1503	ABSOLUTE SOFTWARE v. STEALTH SIGNAL	O'Malley, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1171	OUTSIDE THE BOX INNOVATIONS, LLC. V. TRAVEL CADDY,	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1434	REXNORD INDUSTRIES V KAPPOS [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1009	SOVERAIN SOFTWARE V NEWEGG [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict
11-1009	SOVERAIN SOFTWARE V NEWEGG [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Infringement		Vacated	Jury Verdict
11-1009	SOVERAIN SOFTWARE V NEWEGG [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Reversed	JMOL
11-1096	ROBERT BOSCH LLC. V. PYLON MANUFACTURING CORP.	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1195	IN RE XIAO	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Examiner Final Rejection
10-1251	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC. V. EARTHLINK, INC	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
12-1092	IN RE AVID IDENTIFICATION	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1158	IN RE PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, LLC.	Linn, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision - Reexamination
11-1156	STONE STRONG, LLC. V. DEL ZOTTO PRODUCTS OF FLORIDA	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Unknown	Bench Trial
11-1048	SANOFI-AVENTIS V. APOTEX INC.	Moore, Newman, Schall	Prejudgment Interest		Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1048	SANOFI-AVENTIS V. APOTEX INC.	Moore, Newman, Schall	Joint Liability		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1048	SANOFI-AVENTIS V. APOTEX INC.	Moore, Newman, Schall	Amendment	Defenses	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1180	FANIMATION, INC. V. DAN'S FAN CITY, INC.	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	
11-1045	STRECK, INC. V. RESEARCH & DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS, INC	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Burden of Proof	146 Interference	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1045	STRECK, INC. V. RESEARCH & DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS, INC	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Interference	Reduction to Practice	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1589	TYPHOON TOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. DELL, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

10-1106	FUZZYSHARP TECHNOLOGIES INC. V. 3DLABS INC., LTD.	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1022	PHOENIX SOLUTIONS, INC. V. WEST INTERACTIVE CORP.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1438	DURAMED PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. V. WATSON LABORATORI	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1139	NILSSEN V. WAL-MART STORES, INC.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1389	MIKKILINENI V. STOLL	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1085	DUPONT AIR PRODUCTS NANOMATERIALS, LLC V. CABOT M	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
11-1310	IN RE CONRAD OLIVEF GARDNER	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1409	POWELL V. THE HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-M999	IN RE AIM SPORTS, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Rader	Mandamus	Sanction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1331	IN RE CONTE	Moore, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1157	KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. V. FIRST QUALITY BA	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Discovery	Privilege	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1012	BYRNE V. WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP.	Gajarsa, Lourie, O'Malley	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1054	O2 INTERNATIONAL LTD. V. BEYOND INNOVATION TECHNOL	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1169	SE-KURE CONTROLS, INC. V. VANGUARD PRODUCTS GROUP,	Linn, Newman, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1199	IN RE RICOH CO., LTD. PATENT LITIGATION	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Costs	Amount	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1196	GELLMAN V. TELULAR CORP.	Bryson, Clevenger, Prost	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1091	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS INDUSTRIES LTD. V. ASTRAZENEC	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Validity	102(g)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-M990	IN RE LINK_A MEDIA DEVICES CORP.	Dyk, O'Malley, Rader	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1119	THE OHIO WILLOW WOOD CO. V. THERMO-PLY, INC.	Linn, Moore, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1287	IN RE MESSERGES	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision

11-1226	IN RE SHINOHARA	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1533	MEMORYLINK CORP. V. MOTOROLA, INC.	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Dismissal Motion		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1326	CEPHALON, INC. V. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1324	IN RE CARNAHAN	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1343	IN RE GOUGH	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1242	KIM V. THE EARTHGRAINS CO.	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Intervening Rights		Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1562	DUPACO, INC. V. KAPPOS	Bryson, Rader, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1068	IN RE FISCH	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1055	IN RE THREE-DEIMENSIONAL MEDIA GROUP, LTD.	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1611	WARNER CHILCOTT LABORATORIES IRELAND LTD. V. MYLAN	Dyk, O'Malley, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
11-1211	JOAO BOCK TRANSACTION SYSTEMS, LLC. V. SLEEPY HOLL	Bryson, Clevenger, Linn	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1144	NEUTRAL TANDEM, INC. V. PEERLESS NETWORK, LLC.	Linn, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1529	IN RE SAUNDERS	Linn, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1225	IN RE COCHRAN	Bryson, Newman, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1488	BOESEN V. GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Linn, Moore, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1507	IN RE CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT CO. [CORRECTED]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1119	IN RE SP CONTROLS, INC.	Moore, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1202	ICHL, LLC. V. SONY ELECTRONICS, INC.	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-M995	IN RE BIOSEARCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Newman, Linn, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1285	MARCTEC, LLC. V. JOHNSON & JOHNSON	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1547	CELSIS IN VITRO, INC. V. CELLZDIRECT, INC.	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
11-1044	STRECK v. RESEARCH & DIAGNOSTIC	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy	Affirmed	Order on Motion

11-1170	SPINE V. BIEDERMANN MOTECH GMBH	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1141	DATA NETWORK STORAGE CORP. V. DELL, INC.	O'Malley, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-M103	IN RE APPLE, INC.	Linn, Newman, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-M111	IN RE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA BOARD OF TRUSTEE	Linn, Newman, Reyna	Mandamus	Disqualification	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1250	IN RE WIENEKE	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1379	LEDERGERBER MEDICAL INNOVATIONS, LLC. V. W.L. GORE	Newman, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1024	ABBOTT POINT OF CARE INC. V. EPOCAL, INC.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Assignment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1286	IN RE KNUT ELBERS,	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1303	TECSEC, INC. V. INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CO	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1296	INTERMEC TECHNOLOGIES CORP V. PALM, INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1179	IN RE POND	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
09-1566	DEALERTRACK V. HUBER	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-M113	IN RE VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Mandamus		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1198	FALANA V. KENT STATE UNIVERSITY	Linn, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1526	THE DOW CHEMICAL CO. V. NOVA CHEMICALS CORP.	Linn, Prost, Reyna	Standing		Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1391	MEDEVA PHARMA SUISSE A.G. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICALS,	Linn, Mayer, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	
10-1439	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS OPERATIONS, INC. V. LIFESCAN INC	Bryson, Clevenger, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
11-1103	KRIPPELZ V. FORD MOTOR CO.	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1004	HTC CORP. V. IPCOM GMBH & CO., KG	Bryson, Linn, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1159	IN RE REHRIG PACIFIC CO.	Moore, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision

11-1114	THORNER V. SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC	Aiken*, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-M106	IN RE GOOGLE INC.	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1212	ADAIR V. CARTER	Linn, Moore, Rader	Interference	135(b)(1)	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1173	METTLER-TOLEDO, INC. V. B-TEK SCALES, LLC.	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1549	NINESTAR TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. V. INTERNATIONAL TRA	Linn, Newman, Schall	Penalty	ITC	Affirmed	ITC Decision
11-1417	IN RE YUFA	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1161	MELVINO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. V. KAPPOS	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1378	ADAIR V. CARTER	Linn, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1277	IN RE ROBINSON	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-5122	GAL-OR V. U.S.	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Statute of Limitations		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1182	ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP. V. APOTEX CORP.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Jurisdiction	Ripeness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1481	ANDERSEN CORP. V. PELLA CORP.	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1293	IN RE COLLINS	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1342	IN RE SLOTZNICK	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1281	PAID SEARCH ENGINE TOOLS, LLC. V. YAHOO! INC.	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1268	BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORP. V. FRESENIUS MEDICAL CARE	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1353	IN RE KUCZYNSKI	Bryson, Clevenger, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1452	ACHARYA V. HAUSER	Clevenger, Linn, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1255	ION GEPPHYSICAL CORP. V. SERCEL, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1078	CLEARVALUE, INC. V. PEARL RIVER POLYMERS, INC.	Moore, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1556	IN RE RUDY	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision

09-1242	FORT PROPERTIES, INC. V. AMERICAN MASTER LEASE LLC	Moore, Prost, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1285	PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. MONSANTO TE	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Interference	135(b)(1)	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1223	GENERAL ELECTRIC CO. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMIS	Linn, Newman, Rade	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Decision
11-1149	MYSAPCE, INC. V. GRAPHON CORP.	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1443	IN RE STAATS	Dyk, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Reissue	Reversed	BPAI Decision
11-1458	OPTIMUMPATH V. BELKIN INTERNATIONAL	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1086	IN RE AMMAR AL-ALI	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1106	CREA V. CITY OF HOPE	Bryson, Mayer, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1327	WEAVER V. HOUCHIN	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1137	IN RE PEPPERBALL TECHNOLOGIES	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1216	DIGITAL-VENDING SERVICES INTERNATIONAL V. THE UNIV	Linn, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1344	IN RE NAREN CHAGANTI	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1273	IN RE PRINTLESS PREVIEWS	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1360	YORKEY V. DIAB	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1550	GOJO INDUSTRIES V. BUCKEYE INTERNATIONAL	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1450	IN RE BUTTERCUP LEGACY, LLC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1435	IN RE HALSEY	Linn, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1496	CHEMFREE CORP. V. J. WALTER, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1256	VISKASE COMPANIES, INC. V. WORLD PAC INTERNATIONAL	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1544	PRESSURE SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL INC. V AIRGO IP, L	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1414	IN RE DEVICOR MEDICAL PRODUCTS, INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision

11-1413	IN RE DEVICOR MEDICAL PRODUCTS, INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1248	IN RE LG ELECTRONICS, INC.	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1147	ASPEX EYEWEAR, INC. V. MARCHON EYEWEAR, INC.	Bryson, Rader, Reyna	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-5135	ZOLTEK CORP. V. U.S.	Gajarsa, Plager, Rader	Amendment	Complaint	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1209	CERNER CORP. V. VISICU, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1548	MARINE POLYMER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. HEMCON, INC.	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Gajarsa, Linn, dyk,	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1397	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH V. GENENTECH, INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Decision
11-1422	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA V. DEPUY SPINE,	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Priority		Vacated	BPAI Decision
11-1385	PEICZENIK V. BAYER CORP.	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1229	ERGO LICENSING, LLC V. CAREFUSION 303, INC.	Linn, Moore, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1339	3M CO. V. AVERY DENNISON CORP.	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1263	PROMEGA CORP. V. LIFE TECHNOLOGIES CORP.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Arbitration Referral		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1036	LEARNING CURVE BRANDS V. MUNCHKIN, INC.	Bryson, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1243	ADVANCED FIBER TECHNOLOGIES (AFT) TRUST V. J&L FIB	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1575	TECHNOLOGY LICENSING CORP. V. TECHNICOLOR USA, INC	Dyk, O	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
11-1475	JARDIN V. DATALLEGRO, INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1506	ASTRAZENECA LP. V. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.	Lourie, Plager, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	
11-1390	NOAH SYSTEMS, INC. V. INTUIT INC.	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-M996	IN RE MSTG, INC.	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Privilege	Settlement Negotiation	Affirmed	Order on Motion

11-1018	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. HOSPIRA, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Decision
11-1473	SMARTMETRIC INC. V. AMERICAN EXPRESS CO.	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1558	PICTURE PATENTS, LLC. V. AEROPOSTALE, INC.	Mayer, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1143	BAYER SCHERING PHARMA AG. V. LUPIN, LTD.	Bryson, Newman, Plager	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Decision on Motion
11-1399	IN RE CYCLOBENZAPRINE HYDROCHLORIDE EXTENDED-RELEASE	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
11-1507	DEY PHARMA, LP. V. SUNOVION PHARMACEUTICALS INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1525	USPPS. LTD. V. AVERY DENNISON CORP.	Mayer, O'Malley, Prost	Statute of Limitations	State Law Claims	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1294	IN RE MOUSA	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1297	LANDMARK SCREENS, LLC. V. MORGAN LEWIS, & BOCKIUS,	Bryson, Clevenger, O'Malley	Statute of Limitations	State Law Claims	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1546	ZAPMEDIA SERVICES, INC. V. APPLE, INC.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1361	IN RE TRANSACTION HOLDINGS LTD., LLC.	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-M115	IN RE AMAZON.COM INC.	Linn, Prost, Rader	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1178	MINKIN V. GIBBONS, P.C.	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Malpractice		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-M100	IN RE EMC CORP.	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1267	CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INC. V. INTERNATIO	Fogel*, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1126	OTSUKA PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. V. SANDOZ, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1136	IN RE YOUMAN	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Recapture - 251	Vacated	BPAI Decision
11-1376	IN RE MONTGOMERY	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1366	LEADER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. FACEBOOK, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1430	IN RE ARACHNID, INC.	Bryson, Mayer, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision

11-1446	MBO LABORATORIES, INC. V. BECTON, DICKINSON & CO.	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1431	IN RE ARACHNID, INC.	Bryson, Mayer, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1387	IN RE BREINER	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1415	IN RE J-MED PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown			BPAI Decision
11-1432	LOUGHLIN V. LING	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Interference	135(b)(1)	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1100	FLIR SYSTEMS, INC. V. GAMBARO	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Sanctions	Litigation Misconduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1013	PRESTON V. MARATHON OIL CO.	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Ownership		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1228	SCIELE PHARMA INC. V. LUPIN LTD.	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Decision
11-1451	IN RE MOUTTET	O'Malley, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1140	WM. WRIGLEY JR. CO. V. CADBURY ADAMS USA LLC.	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1617	HOLLISTER INC. V. CONVATEC INC.	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1537	DOGLEG RIGHT PARTNERS, LP V. TAYLORMADE GOLF CO.,	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1083	COLLEGENET, INC. V. MARKETLINX, INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1082	TIMEBASE PTY LTD. V. THE THOMSON CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1310	ALLERGAN, INC. V. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC. -FLORI	Linn, Prost, Rader	Unknown			
10-1510	BARD PERIPHERAL VASCULAR, INC. V. W.L. GORE & ASSO	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Willfulness		Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1006	IN RE TAYLOR	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1609	IN RE MAINLINE CORPORATE HOLDINGS, LTD.	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1002	PENTEL CO., LTD. V. KAPPOS	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1204	TOSHIBA CORP. V. IMATION CORP.	Dyk, Moore, Schall	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1019	ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC. V. APOTEX INC.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial

12-1053	FLASH SEATS, LLC. V. PACIOLAN, INC.	Dyk, O`Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1569	LAND O'LAKES, INC. V. KAPPOS	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1590	ATSER RESEARCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. RABA-KISTNER	Newman, Plager, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1276	HOLLMER V. HARARI	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Priority Date		Reversed	BPAI Decision
10-1493	IN RE BILL OF LADING TRANSMISSION AND PROCESSING S	Newman, O`Malley, Prost	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1570	PLASMART, INC. V. KAPPOS.	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1401	IN RE HILLIS	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1073	IN RE BAXTER INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Waiver	Claim	NA	BPAI Decision
12-1009	COLLINS V. WESTERN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
11-1445	ALEXS, INC. V. CHARM ZONE, INC.	Dyk, Prost, Rader	Contract		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1105	APPLE, INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Bryson, O`Malley, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
11-1524	NORTHPOINT TECHNOLOGY, LTD. V. DIRECTV, INC.	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1610	IN RE O'DONNELL	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1508	LIQUIDNET HOLDINGS, INC. V. PULSE TRADING, INC.	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1592	APPLE INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Newman, O`Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-M121	IN RE ALTERA CORP	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-M125	IN RE AGA MEDICAL CORPORATION	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Mandamus	Motion to Dismiss	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1626	WI-LAN V. LG ELECTRONICS, INC.	Clevenger, Moore, Reyna	Attorney Client Privilege		Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1467	BANCORP SERVICES, L.L.C. V. SUN LIFE ASSURANCE CO.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1384	RATES TECHNOLOGY, INC. V. HICKS	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Sanctions	Rule 37	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1465	IN RE ANTOR MEDIA CORP.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Enabling Reference	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1519	GROBER V. MAKO PRODUCTS, INC.	Moore, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

10-1001	NOVO NORDISK A/S V. CARACO PHARMACEUTICAL LABORATO	Clevenger, Dyk, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	
11-1403	O1 COMMUNIQUE LABORATORY, INC. V. LOGMEIN, INC.	Fogel*,Rader,Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1259	KIPPEN V. PACK	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Joinder		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-M128	IN RE DYNETIX DESIGN SOLUTIONS	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1245	RAYTHEON CO. V. INDIGO SYSTEMS CORP.	Dyk, Linn, O`Malley	Statute of Limitations	Trade Secrets	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1093	INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS, LLC. V. INTERNATIONAL	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	ITC Decision
12-1062	MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS V. AMPHASTAR PHARMACEUTICA	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
11-1258	LENS.COM V. 1-800 CONTACTS	Linn, Moore, Newman	Trademark	Cancellation	Affirmed	TTAB Decision
11-1219	HIGHMARK, INC. V. ALLCARE HEALTH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1095	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRI	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
11-1300	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. SANDOZ INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
12-1151	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. STRIDES, INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
11-1459	IN RE BEINEKE	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1065	JIA JEWELRY IMPORTERS OF AMERICA, INC. V. PANDORA	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1455	ALCON RESEARCH, LTD. V. APOTEX INC.	Moore, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1119	ALLIED MACHINE & ENGINEERING CORP. V. COMPETITIVE	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1421	IN RE PARKER	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1449	LINGAMFELTER V. KAPPOS	Bryson, O`Malley, Prost	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1110	TRANS VIDEO ELECTRONICS, LTD. V. SONY ELECTRONICS	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

11-1442	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC. USA	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
12-M118	IN RE LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-M122	IN RE FIRST QUALITY BABY PRODUCTS, LLC	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-M123	IN RE CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP.	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1157	CORNELL D.M. JUDGE CORNISH V. KAPPOS	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1105	KINETIC CONCEPTS, INC. V. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
11-1637	LINEAR TECHNOLOGY CORP. V. MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEM	O'Malley, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
12-1058	FIBER OPTIC DESIGNS V. KAPPOS	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1221	MAGSIL CORP. V. HITACHI GLOBAL STORAGE TECHNOLOGIE	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	NA	Summary Judgment
11-1247	IN RE RAMBUS INC.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1406	THE ASSOCIATION FOF MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY V. U.S. PA	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1097	SIRONA DENTAL SYSTEMS, INC. V. KAPPOS	Moore, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1589	MIDTRONICS, INC. V. AURORA PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS LL	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1206	WHITSERVE, LLC. V. COMPUTER PACKAGES, INC.	Mayer, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
11-1633	JANG V. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
10-1550	AMKOR TECHNOLOGY INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMM	Linn, Newman, Plager	Validity	102(g)	Reversed	ITC Decision
11-1514	GREENLIANT SYSTEMS, INC. V. XICOR LLC.	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Validity	Recapture - 251	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
11-1466	PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, L.L.C. V. SCIEN	Dyk, Mayer, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion

11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1561	ELI LILLY AND CO. V. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES, IN	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1306	AFTG-TG, LLC. V. NUVOTON TECHNOLOGY CORP.	Newman, O'Malley, Rader	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1478	WOODS V. DEANGELO MARINE EXHAUST, INC	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Discovery	Duty to Supplement	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1461	IN RE APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1526	IN RE NOUVEL	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
11-1440	LASERDYNAMICS, INC. V. QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	Damages	Entire Market Value	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1372	AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, I	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Linn, Dyk, Prost, M	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1360	SANTARUS, INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Moore, Newman, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1392	MIRROR WORLDS, LLC. V. APPLE INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	JMOL
11-1424	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. ESPEED	Lourie, Rader, Wallach	Rule 60(a)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1380	GEOSPAN CORP. V. PICTOMETRY INTERNATIONAL CORP	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1023	POZEN INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1471	MERIAL LTD. V. CIPLA LTD.	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1341	MINTZ V. DIETZ & WATSON, INC.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1530	RANDALL MAY INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. DEG MUSIC PRODU	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1032	GEOSPAN CORP. V. PICTOMETRY INTERNATIONAL CORP	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1328	3M CO. V. MOHAN	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1049	CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. V. SBM CO., LTD.	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

11-1419	WALKER DIGITAL, LLC. V. MICROSOFT CORP.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1239	IN RE SUONG-HYU HYON	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1551	HOCHSTEIN V. MICROSOFT CORP.	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
10-1436	ENHANCED SECURITY RESEARCH, LLC. V. CISCO SYSTEMS,	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1564	SPECTRALYTICS, INC. V. CORDIS CORP.	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1014	JS PRODUCTS, INC. V. STANDLEY LAW GROUP, LLP.	Linn, Prost, Rader	Unknown			Summary Judgment
11-1081	HAKO-MED USA, INC. V. AXIOM WORLDWIDE, INC.	Dyk, Gajarsa, Lourie	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1076	IN RE FOLKER LIEB	Bryson, Linn, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1302	FAST MEMORY ERASE, LLC. V. INTEL CORP.	O'Malley, Prost, Rader	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
09-1535	GPS INDUSTRIES, INC. V. ALTEX CORP.	O'Malley, Prost, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	
10-1530	DIETRICH V. KAPPOS	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1514	CHEMI S.P.A. V. KAPPOS	Bryson, Gajarsa, Mayer	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1230	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP. V. JOHNSON & JOHNSON	Bryson, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1411	IN RE KLEIN	Linn, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision
10-1018	MEMS TECHNOLOGY BERHARD V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COM	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Decision
09-1585	ADVANCED SOFTWARE DESIGN CORP. V. FISERV, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1254	IN RE CHEVALIER	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-M916	IN RE WI-LAN	Linn, Michel, Prost	Mandamus	Motion to Dismiss	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1092	IN RE AVID IDENTIFICATION	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Waiver		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-M921	IN RE KUDCO IRELANC	Linn, Michel, Prost	Mandamus	Refusal to Adjudicate	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1435	1ST MEDIA, LLC. V. ELECTRONIC ARTS, INC.	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI) CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1106	MEDICIS PHARMA V ACELLA PHARMA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Moore, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1313	AMERICAN RICE, INC. V. DUNMORE PROPERTIES, S.A.	Linn, Michel, Plager	Trademark	Cancellation/Like- hood of Confusion	Affirmed	TTAB Decision
09-1013	LASERFACTURING, INC. V. OLD CARCO LIQUIDATION TRUS	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-M907	IN RE KGK SYNERGIZE	Friedman, Gajarsa, Lourie	Mandamus	Motion to Dismiss	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1542	IN RE FINJAN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Moore, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1227	PARALLEL NETWORKS, LLC. V. ABERCROMBIE & FITCH CO.	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1309	TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC. V. AMERICAN EUROCOPTER CO	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-M910	IN RE SYNTHES (U.S.A.)	Moore, Prost, Schall	Mandamus	Stay Pending Reexam	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1435	1ST MEDIA, LLC. V. ELECTRONIC ARTS, INC.	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
11-1309	TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC. V. AMERICAN EUROCOPTER CO	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1367	RANDALL MAY INTL V. DEG MUSIC	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Mandamus	Stay of Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1276	ERNIE BALL, INC. v. EARVANA, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1142	R+L CARRIERS V PITT OHIO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1265	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. V. ARTHREX, INC.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Law of the Case		NA	NA
11-1516	IN RE ABBOTT DIABETES CARE INC.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	BPAI Decision
09-1100	IN RE SHINNECOCK SMOKE SHOP	Clevenger, Mayer, Schall	Trademark	Registrability	Affirmed	TTAB Decision
12-1227	PARALLEL NETWORKS, LLC. V. ABERCROMBIE & FITCH CO.	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1094	HARRIS CORP. V. FED EX CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	New Trial	Validity	NA	NA

12-1227	PARALLEL NETWORKS, LLC. V. ABERCROMBIE & FITCH CO.	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1408	SOURCE VAGABOND SYSTEMS LTD. v. HYDRAPAK, INC. [RU]	Bryson, Moore, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-M895	IN RE CLASSIC INDUSTRIES	Friedman, Gajarsa, Linn	Mandamus	Motion to Dismiss	Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1369	IN RE SPIRITS INTERNATIONAL, N.V.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Trademark	Registrability	Vacated	TTAB Decision
12-1040	ALLERGAN V BARR LABS [OPINION]	Bryson, Rader, Wallach	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1158	IN RE FREEMAN	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1193	JACOBS VEHICLE EQUIPMENT CO. V. PACIFIC DIESEL BRA	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-M899	IN RE TELULAR CORP.	Mayer, Moore, Schall	Transfer		Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
09-M898	IN RE CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Mandamus	Jurisdiction	NA	NA
12-1040	ALLERGAN V BARR LABS [OPINION]	Bryson, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1265	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. V. ARTHREX, INC.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	JMOL
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1094	HARRIS CORP. V. FED EX CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1621	ALLFLEX USA V. AVID IDENTIFICATION	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Mootness		NA	NA
09-M892	IN RE ENZO BIOCHEM INC.	Gajarsa, Linn, Prost	Mandamus		NA	NA
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Attorney's Fees		Affirmed	Order on Motion
08-1370	HOLT'S CO. V. VIRGIN ENTERPRISES LTD. [REVISED]	Clevenger, Mayer, Rader	Trademark	Opposition	Affirmed	TTAB Decision
13-M142	IN RE EMC CORP.	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Alter Ego		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1094	HARRIS CORP. V. FED EX CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMOL
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1040	ALLERGAN V BARR LABS [OPINION]	Bryson, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1251	INNERPULSE v. KAPPOS	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Unknown			BPAI Decision

11-1516	IN RE ABBOTT DIABETES CARE INC.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	BPAI Decision
09-1564	SPECTRALYTICS, INC. V. CORDIS CORP.	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1276	ERNIE BALL, INC. v. EARVANA, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
08-1329	FARAH V. PRAMIL S.R.L.	Gajarsa, Mayer, Prost	Trademark	Cancellation/Likel ihood of Confusion	Affirmed	TTAB Decision
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
09-1564	SPECTRALYTICS, INC. V. CORDIS CORP.	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1392	MIRROR WORLDS, LLC. V. APPLE INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	JMOL
08-M880	IN RE VOLKSWAGEN OF AMERICA	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Transfer		Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
11-1392	MIRROR WORLDS, LLC. V. APPLE INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	JMOL
09-1564	SPECTRALYTICS, INC. V. CORDIS CORP.	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
07-1469	BRADY CONSTRUCTION INNOVATIONS INC. V. CA. EXPANDE	Michel, Moore, Radei	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
07-1469	BRADY CONSTRUCTION INNOVATIONS INC. V. CA. EXPANDE	Michel, Moore, Radei	Validity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1094	HARRIS CORP. V. FED EX CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Willfulness		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1434	REXNORD INDUSTRIES V KAPPOS [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
07-5140	BLUEPORT CO. V. U.S.	clevenger, Gajarsa, Michel	Sovereign Immunity	1498	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1265	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. V. ARTHREX, INC.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	JMOL
11-1165	HALL V BED BATH [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Newmar	Preemption		NA	None
12-1265	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. V. ARTHREX, INC.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	JMOL
12-1094	HARRIS CORP. V. FED EX CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1024	VITA-MIX CORP. V. KAPPOS	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision

11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MID CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1078	SCHMIRLER V. KAPPOS	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1211	IN RE FREEDMAN	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1377	ARLINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC. V. BRIDGEPORT FITTINGS,	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
11-1309	TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC. V. AMERICAN EUROCOPTER CO	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1376	WARNER CHILCOTT CO., LLC. V. IMPAX LABORATORIES, I	Dyk, Rader, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	
12-1187	LABORATORY SKIN CARE, INC. V. LIMITED BRANDS, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
10-1435	1ST MEDIA, LLC. V. ELECTRONIC ARTS, INC.	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1022	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHNOLOGIES, LP	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
09-1013	LASERFACTURING, INC. V. OLD CARCO LIQUIDATION TRUS	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1313	MEDTRONIC INC. V. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Burden of Proof		Reversed	Bench Trial
12-M130	IN RE HTC CORP.	Bryson, Moore, O'Malley	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1600	IN RE DROGE	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
09-1171	OUTSIDE THE BOX INNOVATIONS, LLC. V. TRAVEL CADDY,	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Reversed	Bench Trial
11-1455	ALCON RESEARCH, LTD. V. APOTEX INC.	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1044	MATTHEWS INTERNATIONAL CORP V. BIOSAFE ENGINEERIN	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1584	POZEN INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1516	IN RE ABBOTT DIABETES CARE INC.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-1090	BELKIN INTERNATIONAL, INC. V. KAPPOS	Lourie, Rader, Wallach	Jurisdiction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision

12-1179	SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. V. APOTEX, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1184	NUCLIMATE AIR QUALITY SYSTEMS, INC. V. AIRTEX MANU	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1192	EIT HOLDINGS LLC. V. YELP! INC.	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1165	EWINWIN, INC. V. GROUPON, INC.	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1582	OCEAN INNOVATIONS, INC. V. GULF COAST FLOATING DOC	Byrson, Dyk, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
12-1102	ASPEX EYEWEAR, INC. V. ALTAIR EYEWEAR, INC.	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1095	FUTURELOGIC, INC. V. NANOPTIX, INC.	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Validity	102 (b)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1507	APPLE INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
12-1270	HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC. V. APOTEX INC.	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1121	SPECTRALYTICS, INC. V. CORDIS CORP.	Clevenger, Moore, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1145	IN RE FREEDOM SCIENTIFIC, INC.	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1116	IN RE BARTON PATENT 533, LLC.	Clevenger, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-M131	IN RE IMPAX LABORATORIEX, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Wallach	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1476	FLO HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS, LLC. V. PATENT AND TRADE	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-M129	IN RE DELL INC.	Bryson, Moore, O'Malley	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

11-1553	VOTER VERIFIED, INC. V. PREMIER ELECTION SOLUTIONS	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1023	TRAVEL SENTRY, INC. V. TROPP	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1153	JOY MM DELAWARE, INC. V. CINCINNATI MINE MACHINERY	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1207	STERN V. SEQUAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1349	NORGREN INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	USITC Decision
11-1540	HOR V. CHU	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Laches		Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1555	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING, INC. V. MA	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
12-1128	MONSANTO CO. V. DAVID	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
12-1490	BUTAMAX(TM) ADVANCED BIOFUELS LLC V. GEVO, INC.	Dyk, Rader, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
12-1282	WINEGARD CO. V. ELECTRONIC CONTROLLED SYSTEMS, INC	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1255	IN RE SUTTON	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1577	PERKINELMER, INC. V. INTEMA LTD.	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1218	SHERMAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. V. OXFORD INSTRUMENTS,	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Dismissal Motion	Lack of Standing	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1203	ALMONDET, INC. V. MICROSOFT CORP.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown			Summary Judgment
12-1141	IN RE CLASSEN IMMUNOTHERAPIES, INC.	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1344	IN RE SMITH	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-M136	IN RE PRINCETON DIGITAL IMAGE CORP.	Lourie, Rader, Schall	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion

11-1615	INVENTIO AG. V. OTIS ELEVATOR CO.	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1628	REVISION MILITARY, INC. V. BALBOA MANUFACTURING CO	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Order on Motion; Preliminary Injunction Ruling
11-1549	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, LLC. V. THOR GLOBAL ENTERPRIS	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Res Judicata		Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1576	THE FOX GROUP, INC. V. CREE, INC.	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	102(g)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1638	ARCELORMITTAL FRANCE V. AK STEEL CORP.	Clevenger, Dyk, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1629	DEERE & CO. V. BUSH HOG, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1301	IN RE PANTALONE	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1134	CUMMINS, INC. V. TAS DISTRIBUTING CO., INC.	Bryson, Newman, Reyna	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1258	CROWN PACKAGING TECHNOLOGY, INC. V. BALL METAL BEV	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1086	IN RE YAMAZAKI	Lourie, Reyna, Krieger*	Reissue		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1492	PREGIS CORP. V. KAPPOS	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1355	RAYLON, LLC. V. COMPLUS DATA INNOVATIONS, INC.	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Sanctions	Rule 11	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1182	IN RE DITTO	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1528	STORED VALUE SALUTIONS, INC. V. CARD ACTIVATION TE	Lourie, Reyna, Krieger*	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1159	REMBRANDT DATA STORAGE, LP. V. WESTERN DIGITAL COR	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
12-1287	HEFLIN V. COLEMAN MUSIC AND ENTERTAINMENT, LLC.	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1188	NISSIM CORP. V. CLEARPLAY, INC.	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Mootness		Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1295	FLUOR TEC, CORP. V. U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFI	Bryson, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1273	WI-LAN, INC. V. LG ELECTRONICS, INC.	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1279	IN RE VEGAS AMUSEMENT, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1231	KINGPAK TECH V. KAPPOS	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1164	BROOKS V. DUNLOP MANUFACTURING INC.	Moore, Newman, Prost	False Patent Marking		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1091	OSRAM SYLVANIA, INC. V. AMERICAN INDUCTION TECHNOL	O'Malley, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1240	THE PAW WASH, LLC. V. PAW PLUNGER, LLC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1460	ASTRAZENECA V. AUROBINDO	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1448	INTEL CORP. V. NEGOTIATED DATA SOLUTIONS, INC.	Linn, Prost, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1355	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS V. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS	Plager, Rader, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1048	REESE V. VERIZON CALIFORNIA	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1199	MCKESSON AUTOMATIONS, INC. V. TRANSLOGIC CORP.	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
12-1300	IN RE BIONDI	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown			BPAI Decision
12-1406	MIKITYANSKIY V. THERMIONICS, INC.	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	
12-1156	LECTROLARM CUSTOM SYSTEMS, INC. V. VICON INDUSTRIE	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-M139	IN RE FUSION-IO, INC.	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1117	VALEANT INTERNATIONAL BERMUDA V. WATSON PHARMACEUT	Bryson, Linn, Reyna	Disqualification	Attorney	Granted	NA
11-1332	CW ZUMBIEL V. KAPPOS	Prost, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1332	CW ZUMBIEL V. KAPPOS	Prost, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1332	CW ZUMBIEL V. KAPPOS	Prost, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1332	CW ZUMBIEL V. KAPPOS	Prost, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST V. PHILIPS ELECTRON	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Waiver	Rule 50	NA	NA
12-1636	PIXION, INC. v. CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDG	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1474	EBAY INC. v. KELORA SYSTEMS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT	Newman, Plager, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1355	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS V. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS	Plager, Rader, Wallach	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1355	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS V. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS	Plager, Rader, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
10-1355	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS V. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS	Plager, Rader, Wallach	False Patent Marking		Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST V. PHILIPS ELECTRON	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST V. PHILIPS ELECTRON	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1448	INTEL CORP. V. NEGOTIATED DATA SOLUTIONS, INC.	Linn, Prost, Wallach	License Defense		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1460	ASTRAZENECA V. AUROBINDO	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1460	ASTRAZENECA V. AUROBINDO	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1460	ASTRAZENECA V. AUROBINDO	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Validity	Reissue	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1460	ASTRAZENECA V. AUROBINDO	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1240	THE PAW WASH, LLC. V. PAW PLUNGER, LLC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1091	OSRAM SYLVANIA, INC. V. AMERICAN INDUCTION TECHNOL	O'Malley, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1164	BROOKS V. DUNLOP MANUFACTURING INC.	Moore, Newman, Prost	Due Process		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1528	STORED VALUE SALUTIONS, INC. V. CARD ACTIVATION TE	Lourie, Reyna, Krieger*	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1528	STORED VALUE SALUTIONS, INC. V. CARD ACTIVATION TE	Lourie, Reyna, Krieger*	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1528	STORED VALUE SALUTIONS, INC. V. CARD ACTIVATION TE	Lourie, Reyna, Krieger*	Validity	Anticipation		Summary Judgment
11-1355	RAYLON, LLC. V. COMPLUS DATA INNOVATIONS, INC.	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Exceptional Case		Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1182	IN RE DITTO	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1492	PREGIS CORP. V. KAPPOS	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	APA		Affirmed	Order on Motion

11-1629	DEERE & CO. V. BUSH HOG, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1629	DEERE & CO. V. BUSH HOG, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1629	DEERE & CO. V. BUSH HOG, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Rader	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1629	DEERE & CO. V. BUSH HOG, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1638	ARCELORMITTAL FRANCE V. AK STEEL CORP.	Clevenger, Dyk, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1638	ARCELORMITTAL FRANCE V. AK STEEL CORP.	Clevenger, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1638	ARCELORMITTAL FRANCE V. AK STEEL CORP.	Clevenger, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1576	THE FOX GROUP, INC. V. CREE, INC.	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1549	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, LLC. V. THOR GLOBAL ENTERPRIS	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1549	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, LLC. V. THOR GLOBAL ENTERPRIS	Mayer, Rader, Schall	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Evidence	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1255	IN RE SUTTON	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1255	IN RE SUTTON	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1555	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING, INC. V. MA	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	JMOL

11-1555	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING, INC. V. MA	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	JMOL
11-1555	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING, INC. V. MA	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Reversed	JMOL
11-1555	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING, INC. V. MA	Moore, Prost, Wallach	New Trial		Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1349	NORGREN INC, V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
11-1540	HOR V. CHU	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Unclean Hands		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1540	HOR V. CHU	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Estoppel	Equitable	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Damages	Enhanced		Order on Motion
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Remanded	Order on Motion
12-1153	JOY MM DELAWARE, INC. V. CINCINNATI MINE MACHINERY	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1153	JOY MM DELAWARE, INC. V. CINCINNATI MINE MACHINERY	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1023	TRAVEL SENTRY, INC. V. TROPP	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1553	VOTER VERIFIED, INC. V. PREMIER ELECTION SOLUTIONS	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1553	VOTER VERIFIED, INC. V. PREMIER ELECTION SOLUTIONS	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1553	VOTER VERIFIED, INC. V. PREMIER ELECTION SOLUTIONS	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Joint	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1237	ACCENTRA V. STAPLES	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1237	ACCENTRA V. STAPLES	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1237	ACCENTRA V. STAPLES	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	JMOL
11-1476	FLO HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS, LLC. V. PATENT AND TRADE	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1476	FLO HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS, LLC. V. PATENT AND TRADE	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1476	FLO HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS, LLC. V. PATENT AND TRADE	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-M129	IN RE DELL INC.	Bryson, Moore, O'Malley	Discovery	Protective Order	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-M131	IN RE IMPAX LABORATORIEX, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Wallach	Discovery	Protective Order	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1121	SPECTRALYTICS, INC. V. CORDIS CORP.	Clevenger, Moore, Newman	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion

11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Evidence	Rule 403	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	JMOL
12-1507	APPLE INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment

10-1230	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP. V. JOHNSON & JOHNSON	Bryson, Gajarsa, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1411	IN RE KLEIN	Linn, Newman, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1206	BASF AGRO V MAKHTESHIM AGAN [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1018	MEMS TECHNOLOGY BERHARD V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COM	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1018	MEMS TECHNOLOGY BERHARD V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COM	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1018	MEMS TECHNOLOGY BERHARD V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COM	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1018	MEMS TECHNOLOGY BERHARD V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COM	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1018	MEMS TECHNOLOGY BERHARD V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COM	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1018	MEMS TECHNOLOGY BERHARD V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COM	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1018	MEMS TECHNOLOGY BERHARD V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COM	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1018	MEMS TECHNOLOGY BERHARD V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COM	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1018	MEMS TECHNOLOGY BERHARD V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COM	Gajarsa, Lourie, Mayer	Domestic Industry		Affirmed	ITC Decision
09-1585	ADVANCED SOFTWARE DESIGN CORP. V. FISERV, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1585	ADVANCED SOFTWARE DESIGN CORP. V. FISERV, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Infringement	Indirect	Vacated	Summary Judgment
09-1585	ADVANCED SOFTWARE DESIGN CORP. V. FISERV, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

09-1585	ADVANCED SOFTWARE DESIGN CORP. V. FISERV, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1471	MERIAL LTD. V. CIPLA LTD.	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Stay	First to File Rule	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1471	MERIAL LTD. V. CIPLA LTD.	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Contempt	Injunction	Affirmed	Contempt Proceeding
11-1471	MERIAL LTD. V. CIPLA LTD.	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Contempt Proceeding
11-1471	MERIAL LTD. V. CIPLA LTD.	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Contempt	Active Concert	Affirmed	Contempt Proceeding
11-1471	MERIAL LTD. V. CIPLA LTD.	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1341	MINTZ V. DIETZ & WATSON, INC.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1049	CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. V. SBM CO., LTD.	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Infringement	Means For	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1049	CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. V. SBM CO., LTD.	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Priority Date		Reversed	JMOL
11-1049	CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. V. SBM CO., LTD.	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Content of Prior Art		Reversed	JMOL
11-1049	CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. V. SBM CO., LTD.	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
11-1049	CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. V. SBM CO., LTD.	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1419	WALKER DIGITAL, LLC. V. MICROSOFT CORP.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1372	AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, I	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Linn, Dyk, Prost, M	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	JMOL
11-1440	LASERDYNAMICS, INC. V. QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	New Trial	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1440	LASERDYNAMICS, INC. V. QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	License Defense		Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1440	LASERDYNAMICS, INC. V. QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1440	LASERDYNAMICS, INC. V. QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1440	LASERDYNAMICS, INC. V. QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	Damages	Methodology	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1440	LASERDYNAMICS, INC. V. QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	Evidence	Rule 403	Reversed	Order on Motion

11-1440	LASERDYNAMICS, INC. V. QUANTA COMPUTER, INC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Reyna	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1478	WOODS V. DEANGELO MARINE EXHAUST, INC	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1478	WOODS V. DEANGELO MARINE EXHAUST, INC	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1478	WOODS V. DEANGELO MARINE EXHAUST, INC	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1478	WOODS V. DEANGELO MARINE EXHAUST, INC	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1478	WOODS V. DEANGELO MARINE EXHAUST, INC	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	JMOL
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Evidence	Rule 403	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1244	ABBOTT LABORATORIES v. CORDIS CORPORATIO [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Rader	Discovery		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1206	BASF AGRO V MAKHTESHIM AGAN [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Patent Marking		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	License Defense		Affirmed	JMOL
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1011	ACCENT PACKAGING V LEGGETT & PLATT [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1561	ELI LILLY AND CO. V. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES, IN	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1011	ACCENT PACKAGING V LEGGETT & PLATT [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1011	ACCENT PACKAGING V LEGGETT & PLATT [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1011	ACCENT PACKAGING V LEGGETT & PLATT [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Discovery	56f	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1011	ACCENT PACKAGING V LEGGETT & PLATT [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Trade Secret Misappropriation		Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1466	PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, L.L.C. V. SCIEN	Dyk, Mayer, Rader	Jurisdiction	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1550	AMKOR TECHNOLOGY INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMM	Linn, Newman, Plager	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1550	AMKOR TECHNOLOGY INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMM	Linn, Newman, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Decision
11-1633	JANG V. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Consent Judgment

11-1206	WHITSERVE, LLC. V. COMPUTER PACKAGES, INC.	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1206	WHITSERVE, LLC. V. COMPUTER PACKAGES, INC.	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1206	WHITSERVE, LLC. V. COMPUTER PACKAGES, INC.	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1206	WHITSERVE, LLC. V. COMPUTER PACKAGES, INC.	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1206	WHITSERVE, LLC. V. COMPUTER PACKAGES, INC.	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1406	THE ASSOCIATION FOF MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY V. U.S. PA	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1406	THE ASSOCIATION FOF MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY V. U.S. PA	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1247	IN RE RAMBUS INC.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1247	IN RE RAMBUS INC.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O`Malley	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O`Malley	Evidence		Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O`Malley	Evidence	Corroboration	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O`Malley	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O`Malley	Willfulness		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O`Malley	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	JMOL
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O`Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1449	LINGAMFELTER V. KAPPOS	Bryson, O`Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1449	LINGAMFELTER V. KAPPOS	Bryson, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1219	HIGHMARK, INC. V. ALLCARE HEALTH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Order on Motion

12-1259	KIPPEN V. PACK	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Damages	Damages Period	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1259	KIPPEN V. PACK	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1259	KIPPEN V. PACK	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	License Defense		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1259	KIPPEN V. PACK	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Breach of Contract		Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1308	ARKEMA INC. v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. [OPINI	Dyk, O'Malley, Plager	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1093	INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS, LLC. V. INTERNATIONAL	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	ITC Decision
10-1093	INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS, LLC. V. INTERNATIONAL	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Domestic Industry		Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1093	INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS, LLC. V. INTERNATIONAL	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	ITC Decision
11-1403	O1 COMMUNIQUE LABORATORY, INC. V. LOGMEIN, INC.	Fogel*, Rader, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Summary Judgment
10-1519	GROBER V. MAKO PRODUCTS, INC.	Moore, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Summary Judgment
10-1519	GROBER V. MAKO PRODUCTS, INC.	Moore, Prost, Rader	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1465	IN RE ANTOR MEDIA CORP.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1465	IN RE ANTOR MEDIA CORP.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Obviousness		BPAI Decision
12-1228	SCIELE PHARMA INC. V. LUPIN LTD.	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
11-1140	WM. WRIGLEY JR. CO. V. CADBURY ADAMS USA LLC.	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1140	WM. WRIGLEY JR. CO. V. CADBURY ADAMS USA LLC.	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1140	WM. WRIGLEY JR. CO. V. CADBURY ADAMS USA LLC.	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1434	MERCK SHARP & DOHME v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS [RULE	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1291	CLEAR WITH COMPUTERS LLC v. HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA,	Clevenger, Moore, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

12-1387	BIAX CORP. V. NVIDIA CORP.	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1245	SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY v. YUJIRO NAGATA [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1245	SEMICONDUCTOR ENERGY v. YUJIRO NAGATA [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1275	1836549 ONTARIO LTD. V. GARMIN USA, INC.	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1316	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP. V. CORDIS CORP.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1481	SPENDINGMONEY LLC V. AMERICAN EXPRESS CO.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1020	FUNCTION MEDIA V GOOGLE [OPINION]	Newman, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1020	FUNCTION MEDIA V GOOGLE [OPINION]	Newman, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1020	FUNCTION MEDIA V GOOGLE [OPINION]	Newman, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1020	FUNCTION MEDIA V GOOGLE [OPINION]	Newman, Rader, Reyna	Jury Instructions		Affirmed	Jury Instructions
12-1020	FUNCTION MEDIA V GOOGLE [OPINION]	Newman, Rader, Reyna	Inconsistent Verdicts		NA	NA
12-1020	FUNCTION MEDIA V GOOGLE [OPINION]	Newman, Rader, Reyna	New Trial	Infringement	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1313	IMAGINAL SYSTEMATIC, LLC. V. LEGGETT & PLATT, INC.	Davis*, Lourie, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1325	CEPHALON, INC. V. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1359	ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP v. ANCHEN PHARMACEU	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1280	IN RE CYCLOBENZAPRINE HYDROCHLORIDE EXTENDED-RELEA	Newman, O`Malley, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1325	CEPHALON, INC. V. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1325	CEPHALON, INC. V. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial

12-1280	IN RE CYCLOBENZAPRINE HYDROCHLORIDE EXTENDED-RELEA	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Agreement		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1482	INRE: ERIC JASINSKI [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-1482	INRE: ERIC JASINSKI [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-1018	BRILLIANT INSTRUMENTS, INC. V. GUIDETECH, LLC.	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1638	VICTOR STANLEY, INC. v. CREATIVE PIPE, INC. [RULE	Davis*, Lourie, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1208	MONDIS TECH V HON HAI PRECISION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Injunction		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1283	MIDDLETON, INC. v. MINNESOTA MINING AND MFG. CO. [	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1018	BRILLIANT INSTRUMENTS, INC. V. GUIDETECH, LLC.	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1018	BRILLIANT INSTRUMENTS, INC. V. GUIDETECH, LLC.	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1141	LUPIN ATLANTIS HOLDINGS v. MYLAN INC. [RULE 36 JUD	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1066	ALCOHOL MONITORING V ACTSOFT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1342	MOVE, INC. v. REAL ESTATE ALLIANCE LTD [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1342	MOVE, INC. v. REAL ESTATE ALLIANCE LTD [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Indirect	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1233	RADIO SYSTEMS CORP V LALOR [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1225	ASIA PACIFIC MICROSYSTEMS V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD C	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1233	RADIO SYSTEMS CORP V LALOR [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1233	RADIO SYSTEMS CORP V LALOR [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1233	RADIO SYSTEMS CORP V LALOR [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1233	RADIO SYSTEMS CORP V LALOR [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Estoppel	Equitable	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1380	FUNCTION MEDIA, L.L.C. v. KAPPOS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1547	IN RE HUBBELL [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1572	LIGHTGUARD SYSTEMS v. SPOT DEVICES [RULE 36 JUDGME]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
11-1547	IN RE HUBBELL [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Terminal Disclaimer		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1380	FUNCTION MEDIA, L.L.C. v. KAPPOS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1380	FUNCTION MEDIA, L.L.C. v. KAPPOS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1380	FUNCTION MEDIA, L.L.C. v. KAPPOS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
13-1070	INRE: DOROTHY HARTMAN [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1550	INRE: BRAD BOOZER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1389	INRE: GUY HORNE, JR. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Rader, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1511	FRANCESCA COSTANTINO v. CARSTEN SEILZ [RULE 36 JUD]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1522	SYNOPSISYS, INC. v. RICOH COMPANY, LTD. [RULE 36 JUD]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
10-1426	ARISTOCRAT TECH [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1426	ARISTOCRAT TECH [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
10-1426	ARISTOCRAT TECH [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, O'Malley	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1426	ARISTOCRAT TECH [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, O'Malley	Infringement	Indirect	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Priority Date		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Jury Instructions	Infringement	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Evidence	Rule 403	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits/Reasonab e Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Evidence	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Jury Instructions	Damages	Affirmed	Jury Instructions
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Evidence	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	New Trial	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Damages	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Sanctions	Contempt	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1552	INRE: STAN CIPKOWSKI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Plager, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1185	FROLOW V. WILSON SPORTING GOODS CO	Clevenger, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Direct	Remanded	Summary Judgment
12-1185	FROLOW V. WILSON SPORTING GOODS CO	Clevenger, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	JMOL
12-1238	PHILLIP M. ADAMS & ASSOCIATES v. DELL COMPUTER COR	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Sanctions	Discovery	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1238	PHILLIP M. ADAMS & ASSOCIATES v. DELL COMPUTER COR	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1238	PHILLIP M. ADAMS & ASSOCIATES v. DELL COMPUTER COR	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Statute of Limitations	Trade Secrets	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1238	PHILLIP M. ADAMS & ASSOCIATES v. DELL COMPUTER COR	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion

12-1320	ANVIK CORPORATION v. NIKON PRECISION, INC. [OPINIO	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1214	DAWSON V. DAWSON AND BOWMAN [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Interference	Conception	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1085	CHECKPOINT SYSTEMS, INC. v. ALL-TAG SECURITY S.A.	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Exceptional Case		Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1085	CHECKPOINT SYSTEMS, INC. v. ALL-TAG SECURITY S.A.	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1214	DAWSON V. DAWSON AND BOWMAN [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Evidence	Hearsay	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1261	INRE: TIMOTHY OWENS [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Filing Date		Affirmed	BPAI - Examiner Decision
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Damages	Entire Market Value	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Damages	Reducing Amount	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	New Trial	Damages	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Damages	Price Erosion	Reversed	Summary Judgment

11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Damages	Supplemental	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Willfulness		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1439	BERISH RUBIN v. GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION [OPIN	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Inventorship	Changed Inventors	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1439	BERISH RUBIN v. GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION [OPIN	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1043	BRUCE SAFFRAN v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O`Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1641	CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC. v. FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC. [R	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1043	BRUCE SAFFRAN v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O`Malley	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1043	BRUCE SAFFRAN v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O`Malley	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1609	INRE: STEVE MORSA [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Content of Prior Art		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1609	INRE: STEVE MORSA [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	
12-1609	INRE: STEVE MORSA [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1417	APOTEX, INC. v. CEPHALON, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Validity		Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1106	CHIKEZIE OTTAH v. VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, O`Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1311	MIKE'S TRAIN HOUSE, INC. v. BROADWAY LIMITED IMPOR	Dyk, Mayer, O`Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1417	APOTEX, INC. v. CEPHALON, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1106	CHIKEZIE OTTAH v. VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, O`Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1106	CHIKEZIE OTTAH v. VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, O`Malley	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1106	CHIKEZIE OTTAH v. VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, O`Malley	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1612	GLENN CONNERY v. LAURENCE BOUCHER [RULE 36 JUDGMEN	Clevenger, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
13-1042	NOROTOS, INC. v. OPS-CORE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1009	KILOPASS TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. SIDENSE CORPORATION [	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1691	INRE: KARL URQUHART [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
11-1308	ALEXANDER ORENSHTEYN v. CITRI. SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1460	CBT FLINT PARTNERS, LLC v. RETURN PATH, INC. [RULE	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1288	CAPITAL MACHINE COMPANY, INC. v. MILLER VENEERS, I	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1288	CAPITAL MACHINE COMPANY, INC. v. MILLER VENEERS, I	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1288	CAPITAL MACHINE COMPANY, INC. v. MILLER VENEERS, I	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1120	BIOGEN IDEC INC. v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE LL [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1319	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. WALMART.COM USA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1397	BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMA v. WATSON PHARMA [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1319	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. WALMART.COM USA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1319	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. WALMART.COM USA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Estoppel	Judicial	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1319	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. WALMART.COM USA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Amendment	Patent Contentions	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1120	BIOGEN IDEC INC. v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE LL [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
12-1425	K-TECH v. TIME WARNER CABLE & DIRECTV [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1247	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, I v. PHOTOSCRIBE TECH	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Rule 60(b)		Reversed	Order on Motion

12-1318	ASPEX EYEWEAR, INC. v. ZENNI OPTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1247	LAZARE KAPLAN INTERNATIONAL, I v. PHOTOSCRIBE TECH	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Reassignment	Judge		NA
13-M141	IN RE BROADCOM CORP.	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1614	CEATS, INC. v. CONTINENTAL AIRLINES, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1289	BIOSIG INSTRUMENTS INC. v. NAUTILUS, INC. [OPINIO	Newman, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1286	SHIMANO, INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1286	SHIMANO, INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1286	SHIMANO, INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1286	SHIMANO, INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1619	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1029	VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [OPINI	Moore, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1029	VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [OPINI	Moore, Prost, Rader	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1029	VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [OPINI	Moore, Prost, Rader	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1029	VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [OPINI	Moore, Prost, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1619	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1619	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1274	PUBLIC PATENT FOUNDATION, INC. v. MCNEIL-PPC, INC.	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1684	ACCENT PACKAGING, INC. v. LEGGETT & PLATT, INC. [R	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Rule 60(b)	Newly Discovered Evidence	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1166	U.S. RUBBER RECYCLING, INC. v. ECORE INTERNATIONAL	Rader, Reyna, Taranto	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1619	GLOBAL COMMUNICATIONS v. PDI COMMUNICATIONS [RULE]	Rader, Reyna, Taranto	License Defense		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-5077	USHIP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES v. US [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1285	BARON SERVICES, INC. v. MEDIA WEATHER INNOVATIONS	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1285	BARON SERVICES, INC. v. MEDIA WEATHER INNOVATIONS	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-5077	USHIP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES v. US [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1619	GLOBAL COMMUNICATIONS v. PDI COMMUNICATIONS [RULE]	Rader, Reyna, Taranto	Exhaustion/First Sale		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1454	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. GENENTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Injunction		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1414	DIGITECH INFORMATION SYSTEMS v. BMW AUTO LEASING [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1301	CLS BANK INTERNATIONAL v. ALICE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Linn, Dyk, Prost, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1031	DEEP9 CORP. v. BARNES & NOBLE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1252	MOTIVA, LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Domestic Industry		Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1647	CORDIS CORPORATION v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1668	ONE SOURCE TECHNOLOGY v. ROBERT DIFILIPPO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1444	INRE: BAYER CROPSOURCE, LP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1572	METSO MINERALS, INC. v. POWERSCREEN INTERNATIONAL	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Jury Instructions	Validity	Reversed	Jury Instruction
12-1629	ALCATEL-LUCENT USA v. OVERSTOCK.COM [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

12-1686	FORRESTER ENVIRONMENTAL v. WHEELABRATOR TECHNOLOGI	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1676	GREENVILLE COMMUNICATIONS v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [R]	Bryson, Linn, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
12-1428	DEY, L.P. v. SUNOVION PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINI	Bryson, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1335	AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. AMINO CHEMICALS LTD. [O	Bryson, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1063	ALEXSAM, INC. v. IDT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1291	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [OPINI	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1622	COPPER INNOVATIONS v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [RULE 36	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1564	INRE: SCOTT SCHREER [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1577	TEVA WOMEN'S HEALTH, INC. v. LUPIN, LTD. [RULE 36	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1038	ATELIERS DE LA HAUTE-GARONNE v. BROETJE AUTOMATIO	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Best Mode	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1572	METSO MINERALS, INC. v. POWERSCREEN INTERNATIONAL	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1063	ALEXSAM, INC. v. IDT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1063	ALEXSAM, INC. v. IDT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Sanctions	Rule 37	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1063	ALEXSAM, INC. v. IDT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	License Defense		Affirmed	JMOL
12-1063	ALEXSAM, INC. v. IDT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1335	AVENTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. AMINO CHEMICALS LTD. [O	Bryson, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Consent Judgment
12-1038	ATELIERS DE LA HAUTE-GARONNE v. BROETJE AUTOMATIO	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Abandonmen		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

11-1291	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [OPINI	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1291	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [OPINI	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1291	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [OPINI	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1291	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [OPINI	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1043	RANDALL ASHER v. COLGATE-PALMOLIVE COMPANY [RULE 3	Newman, O'Malley, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1573	SPELLBOUND DEVELOPMENT v. PACIFIC HANDY CUTTER [RU	Dyk, Prost, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
12-1579	CREATIVE INTEGRATED SYSTEMS v. NINTENDC OF AMERICA	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1167	REGENTS OF UNIV. OF MINNESOTA v. AGA MEDICAL CORPO	Dyk, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1030	T.F.H. PUBLICATIONS v. DOSKOCIL MANUFACTURING CO.	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
12-1640	IN RE: ANTHONY BAYNE [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1579	CREATIVE INTEGRATED SYSTEMS v. NINTENDC OF AMERICA	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1579	CREATIVE INTEGRATED SYSTEMS v. NINTENDC OF AMERICA	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1579	CREATIVE INTEGRATED SYSTEMS v. NINTENDC OF AMERICA	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment
12-1167	REGENTS OF UNIV. OF MINNESOTA v. AGA MEDICAL CORPO	Dyk, Rader, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1167	REGENTS OF UNIV. OF MINNESOTA v. AGA MEDICAL CORPO	Dyk, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1663	IN RE: GERALD BENSON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1667	INRE: ROBERT YEAGER [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

12-1461	PRECISION LINKS INC. v. USA PRODUCTS GROUP [JUDGME	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Exceptional Case	Abusive Litigation Tactics	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1628	INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	ITC Decision
12-1461	PRECISION LINKS INC. v. USA PRODUCTS GROUP [JUDGME	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	Litigation Misconduct	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1516	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP v. APOTEX INC [RULE 36 JU	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1298	ORGANIC SEED GROWERS AND TRADE v. MONSANTO COMPANY	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1077	INRE: FRANK TOPPO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
11-1363	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. PYLON MANUFACTURING CORP. [OP	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Prost, Moore, O'Malley	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
11-1223	NOVO NORDISK A/S v. CARACO PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1031	NOVO NORDISK v. PADDOCK LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1517	TAHIR MAHMOOD v. RESEARCH IN MOTION [RULE 36 JUDGM	Newman, Plager, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1456	INRE: SRI INTERNATIONAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Plager, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1644	IA LABS CA, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUD	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1495	LEVI STRAUSS & CO. v ABERCROMBIE & FITCH [OPINION	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	TTAB Decision
12-1536	THOMSON LICENSING SAS v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	ITC Decision
10-1544	ULTRAMERCIAL, INC. v HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Utility	Reversed	Motion to Dismiss
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Jury Instructions	Infringement	Reversed	Jury Instruction
12-1223	WYETH v. ABBOTT LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1480	RAMBUS INC. v. REA [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-1074	CONVOLVE v. COMPAC [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Rader	Trade Secret Misappropriation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1334	FRESENIUS USA v. BAXTER INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Res Judicata		Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1343	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-1532	JOHN T. MINEMYER v. R-BOC REPRESENTATIVES, INC. [R]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1678	UNITED STATES MARINE, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Transfer		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1064	BETTER BAGS, INC. v. REDI BAG USA, LLC [RULE 36 JU]	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1031	NOVO NORDISK v. PADDOCK LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1536	THOMSON LICENSING SAS v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1536	THOMSON LICENSING SAS v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Evidence		Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Right to Jury Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
12-1495	LEVI STRAUSS & CO. v. ABERCROMBIE & FITCH [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Res Judicata		Reversed	TTAB Decision
12-1074	CONVOLVE v. COMPAC [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Rader	Trade Secret Misappropriation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1074	CONVOLVE v. COMPAC [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1074	CONVOLVE v. COMPAC [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1172	APELDYN CORPORATION v. AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION [R]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1610	IN RE: DORON ADLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
8-1324	CALICO BRAND, INC. v. AMERITEK IMPORTS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Willfulness		Affirmed	JMOL
12-1692	IN RE: JOSEPH GIUFFRIDA [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1652	ST. CLAIR INTELL. PROP. v. MATSUSHITA ELEC. INDUST	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
8-1324	CALICO BRAND, INC. v. AMERITEK IMPORTS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Damages	Lost Profits	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
13-1055	INRE: LG DISPLAY CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
12-1632	EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JU]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
12-1433	NOVOZYMES A/S v. DUPONT NUTRITION BIOSCIENCES [OPINION]	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	JMOL
12-1420	IN RE: BIMEDA RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1578	CHARLES MACHINE WORKS v. VERMEER MANUFACTURING [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Infringement		Vacated	Summary Judgment

12-1578	CHARLES MACHINE WORKS v. VERMEER MANUFACTURING [OP	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1578	CHARLES MACHINE WORKS v. VERMEER MANUFACTURING [OP	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1578	CHARLES MACHINE WORKS v. VERMEER MANUFACTURING [OP	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1355	PLANTRONICS, INC. v. ALIPH, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1355	PLANTRONICS, INC. v. ALIPH, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Wallach	Infringement		Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1355	PLANTRONICS, INC. v. ALIPH, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-148	INRE: MEDICAL COMPONENTS, INC.	Bryson, Rader, Wallach	Mandamus	Stay Pending Reexam	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1109	WALTER BERIONT v. GTE LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Ownership	Co-Ownership	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1241	3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES v. TREDEGAR CORPORATION [	O'Malley, Plager, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Consent Judgment
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1109	WALTER BERIONT v. GTE LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Shop Right		Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1523	RESEARCH FOUNDATION v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1510	REMBRANDT VISION TECH v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON VISION	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Content of Prior Art		Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1241	3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES v. TREDEGAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1241	3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES v. TREDEGAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1523	RESEARCH FOUNDATION v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1523	RESEARCH FOUNDATION v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1510	REMBRANDT VISION TECH v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON VISION	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Content of Prior Art		Reversed	ITC Decision
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	ITC Decision
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	ITC Decision
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	ITC Decision
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	ITC Decision

8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1531	ARIA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. v. SEQUENOM, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Decision
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Content of Prior Art		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Alter Ego		Affirmed	Order on Motion
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Breach of Contract		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Affirmed	Order on Motion
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Damages	Base	Reversed	Order on Motion
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Sanctions	Litigation Misconduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1531	ARIA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. v. SEQUENOM, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
13-1091	FLEMING v. COWARD [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1437	PANDUIT CORP. v. REA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1520	LEO PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS v. REA [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	BPAI Decision
13-1081	JAMES CARON v. QUICKUTZ, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1435	PANDUIT CORP. v. ADC TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. [RUL	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1221	MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC. v. O2 MICRO INTERNA	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Exceptional Case	Abusive Litigation Tactics	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1689	INRE: BEKA SOLOMON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Reissue		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1483	LEVITON MANUFACTURING CO v ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	General Exclusion Order		Affirmed	USITC Decision
13-1111	INRE: EDWARD RAPP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1006	MAURY MICROWAVE, INC. v. FOCUS MICROWAVES, INC. [R	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1581	HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS v. SUNBEAM PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1472	MIKKELSEN GRAPHIC ENGINEERING v. ZUND AMERICA [OPI	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1540	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH v. MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT [OPI	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1600	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
12-1560	SKINMEDICA INC v. HISTOGEN INC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1412	APPLIED MEDICAL RESOURCES v. TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1422	AEVOE CORP. v. AE TECH CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
12-1687	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. ALBERTA TELECOMMUNICATIONS	O'Malley, Rader, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1583	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTL v OPEN E CRY, LLC [OPIN	Benson*, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1615	COOPER NOTIFICATION, INC. v. TWITTER, INC. [OPINIO	Laurie, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

13-1002	BAYER CROPS SCIENCE AG v. DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC [OPIN]	Bryson, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1486	ACCENTURE GLOBAL SERVICES v. GUIDEWIRE SOFTWARE, I	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1624	WAWRZYNSKI v. H.J. HEINZ COMPANY [OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
13-1147	COMAPER CORPORATION v. ANTEC, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
12-1452	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, INC. v. ACCESS CLOSURE, INC. [OP]	Lourie, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Double Patenting	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1455	HIGH POINT DESIGN LLC v. BUYER'S DIRECT, INC. [OPI]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Ordinary Skill in the Art Standard	Ordinary Designer	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1177	SINCLAIR-ALLISON, INC. v. FIFTH AVENUE PHYSICIANS	Dyk, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Decision on Motion to Dismiss
12-1498	PRONOVA BIOPHARMA NORGE v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS [C]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	102 (b)	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1538	W.L. GORE & ASSOCIATES, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [R]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1035	AIA ENGINEERING LTD v. MAGOTTEAUX INTERNATIONAL [	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
13-1178	MONDIS TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. INNOLUX CORPORATION [RUL]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Damages	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1085	CHANG v. REA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1048	LAUBE v. REA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1133	INRE: MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT] COR	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	102 (b)	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
12-1643	BUCKHORN INC. v. ORBIS CORPORATION [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. LENCO MARINE, INC [OPINIO]	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1655	INSITUFORM TECHNOLOGIES v. AMERIK SUPPLIES, INC. [	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Default		Affirmed	Default Judgment

13-1112	IRWIN INDUSTRIAL TOOL CO. v. BIBOW INDUSTRIES, INC	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Inventorship Proper		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1492	NETWORK SIGNATURES, INC. v. STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTO	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1634	RAMBUS INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1518	MEADWESTVACO CORPORATION v. REXAM BEAUTY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1335	SUNOVION PHARMACEUTICALS v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS U	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1004	JUXTACOMM-TEXAS SOFTWARE, LLC v. TIBCO SOFTWARE, I	Linn, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1415	TECSEC, INC. v. INTL BUSINESS MACHINES [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Law of the Case		NA	Consent Judgment
12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
12-1309	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. EMULEX CORPORATION [OPINIK	Lourie, Rader, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
12-1352	KRUSE TECHNOLOGY PARTNERSHIP v. VOLKSWAGEN AG [OPI	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
12-1658	INTELLECT WIRELESS, INC. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINI	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1066	NCUBE CORPORATION v. SEACHANGE INTERNATIONAL INC.	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Contempt	Not More Than Colorably Different	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1102	INRE: PRICEPLAY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Reyna, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1094	INRE: GORDON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Rader, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1142	THOMAS v. PIPPIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
13-1232	INRE: TILLMAN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

12-1117	VALEANT INTERNATIONAL v. ACTAVIS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1635	FINN v. REA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	BPAI Decision
13-1072	KEURIG, INCORPORATED v. STURM FOODS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Exhaustion/First Sale		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1080	INRE: BIEDERMANN [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	New Ground of Rejection		Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1007	IBORMEITH IP, LLC v. MERCEDES-BENZ USA [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1194	GARRIDO v. HOLT [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Content of Prior Art		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1047	SYNTHES USA, LLC v. SPINAL KINETICS, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1611	RANDALL MFG. v. REA [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
12-1690	INRE: HAASE [OPINION]	Plager, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1312	ASTRAZENECA LP v. BREATH LIMITED [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1593	INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIE	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1271	LIFESCAN SCOTLAND, LTD. v. SHASTA TECHNOLOGIES, LL	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
12-1345	SANOFI-AVENTIS v. PFIZER INC. [OPINION]	Davis*, Lourie, Newman	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
13-1046	E8 PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. AFFYMETRIX, INC. [OPINI	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1139	INRE: RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1138	INRE: RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

13-1137	INRE: RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1003	ALEXSAM, INC. v. PIER 1 IMPORTS, INC. [RULE 36 JUD	Clevenger, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
13-1107	PIGGY PUSHERS, LLC v. SKIDDERS FOOTWEAR, INC. [OPI	Linn, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1131	BALLY TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE	Chen, Reyna, Tarant	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1451	INRE: EPLUS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1189	W.Y. INDUSTRIES, INC. v. KARI-OUT CLUB, LLC [RULE	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1222	INRE: MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1135	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [RULE 36 J	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1642	OHIO WILLOW WOOD CO. v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1129	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1670	NEXTEC APPLICATION: v. BROOKWOOD COMPANIES [RULE 3	Chen, Mayer, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1681	AUGUST TECHNOLOG' CORP. v. CAMTEK, LTD [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
13-1045	VODA v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1049	LEE v. MIKE'S NOVELTIES [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1104	INRE: EATON [OPINION	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1084	CENTILLION DATA SYSTEMS v. QWEST COMMUNICATIONS [O	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment

13-1090	FUTUREWEI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. ACACIA RESEARCH CO	Mayer, Reyna, Taranto	Dismissal Motion	First to File	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1216	VISTAN CORPORATION v. FADEI USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1321	INRE: SUK KAN OH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1595	CLEARVALUE, INC. v. PEARL RIVER POLYMERS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schall	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1034	GALDERMA LABORATORIES v. TOLMAR [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
13-1374	FOSTER v. PITNEY BOWES CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Rader, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1196	INRE: WEINSTEIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Reyna, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1230	GRIFFIN TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
12-1170	SUPREMA, INC./CROSS MATCH v. ITC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Reyna	Limited Exclusion Order	Based on Induced Infringement	Vacated	ITC Proceeding
13-1036	CBT FLINT PARTNERS, LLC v. RETURN PATH, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Costs		Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1098	COGNEX CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Linn, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
12-1535	MOTOROLA MOBILITY v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
13-1490	ASTRAZENECA AB v. HANMI USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General		Markman Ruling
13-1193	KILOPASS TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. SIDENSE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1485	INSTITUT PASTEUR & UNIVERSITE v. FOCARINO [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-1659	HTC CORPORATION v. IPCOM GMBH & CO., KG [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1199	PACIFIC COAST MARINE v. MALIBU BOATS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Prosecution History Estoppel		Reversed	Summary Judgment

13-1295	ICON INTERNET COMPETENCE v. TRAVELOCITY.COM LP [RU]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1393	INRE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
12-1666	MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
13-1165	NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. NOKIA CORPORATION [	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1114	INRE: ENHANCED SECURITY RESEARCH LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Publicly Accessible	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1167	INRE: GIANNELLI [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1503	HEMPHILL v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Newmar	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1455	POWER MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVIC	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
13-1160	NOVARTIS AG v. FOCARINO [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Patent Term Extension	Timeliness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1175	EXELIXIS, INC. v. FOCARINO [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Patent Term Extension	Computation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1341	PATENT HARBOR, LLC v. AUDIOVOX CORPORATION [RULE :	Lourie, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
13-1445	DATCARD SYSTEMS, INC. v. PACSGEAR, INC. [RULE 36 J	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1302	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, LLC v. MASABA, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Rader, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1074	VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. v. INTERNET BRANDS, INC. [R	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
13-1466	SOFPOOL LLC v. KMART CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1405	CLASSEN IMMUNOTHERAPIES, INC. v. SOMAXON PHARMACEU	Dyk, Moore, Newmar	Unknown		Affirmed	Order on Motion

13-1117	MEDTRONIC COREVALVE, LLC v. EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES C	Plager, Prost, Taranto	Priority Date		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1186	SMARTGENE, INC. v. ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL LAB [OPINIO	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1372	INRE: CHAGANTI [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1227	CARDPOOL, INC. v. PLASTIC JUNGLE, INC. [RULE 36 JU	Rader, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1645	ENOCEAN GMBH v. FACE INTERNATIONAL CORP [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	BPAI Decision
13-1259	ALBERTS v. LEE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1343	CALYPSO WIRELESS, INC. v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [RULE	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1576	PFIZER INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1225	LAKIM INDUSTRIES, INC. v. LINZER PRODUCTS CORPORA	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1421	INRE: MUNZ [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1467	COSTANTINE v. C.F.M. DISTRIBUTING COMPANY [RULE 36	Rader, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	TTAB Decision
13-1424	PACIFIC SCIENTIFIC ENERGETIC v. ENSIGN-BICKFORD AE	Rader, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1261	INRE: VISTAPRINT TECHNOLOGIES LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGME	Hughes, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1140	TEMPO LIGHTING, INC. v. TIVOLI, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1483	INRE: JAMES COX [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1660	SOLVAY, S.A. v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Validity	102(g)	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
13-1432	SPACECO BUSINESS SOLUTIONS v. MASS ENGINEERED DESI	Chen, Lourie, Tarantc	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion

13-5131	MICHELOTTI v. US [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Decision on Motion
12-1623	RAYMOND CALUORI v. ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES [RULE 36]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
13-1650	OTTO BOCK HEALTHCARE LP v. OSSUR HF [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
13-1342	BUTAMAX(TM) ADVANCED BIOFUELS v. GEVO, INC. [OPINI]	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1520	LEO PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS v. REA [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision
13-1342	BUTAMAX(TM) ADVANCED BIOFUELS v. GEVO, INC. [OPINI]	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1342	BUTAMAX(TM) ADVANCED BIOFUELS v. GEVO, INC. [OPINI]	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1650	OTTO BOCK HEALTHCARE LP v. OSSUR HF [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
13-1650	OTTO BOCK HEALTHCARE LP v. OSSUR HF [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
13-1650	OTTO BOCK HEALTHCARE LP v. OSSUR HF [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Means For	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
13-1650	OTTO BOCK HEALTHCARE LP v. OSSUR HF [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
12-1576	PFIZER INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1576	PFIZER INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1576	PFIZER INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1576	PFIZER INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1238	RING & PINION SERVICE INC. v. ARB CORPORATION LTD	Clevenger, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1645	ENOCEAN GMBH v. FACE INTERNATIONAL CORP [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
12-1645	ENOCEAN GMBH v. FACE INTERNATIONAL CORP [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Priority		Vacated	BPAI Decision

13-1092	REALTIME DATA, LLC v MORGAN STANLEY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1092	REALTIME DATA, LLC v MORGAN STANLEY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1092	REALTIME DATA, LLC v MORGAN STANLEY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1092	REALTIME DATA, LLC v MORGAN STANLEY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Local Rules		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1186	SMARTGENE, INC. v. ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL LAB [OPINIO	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1117	MEDTRONIC COREVALVE, LLC v. EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES C	Plager, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1160	NOVARTIS AG v. FOCARINO [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Patent Term Extension	Computation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1160	NOVARTIS AG v. FOCARINO [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Patent Term Extension	Computation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1175	EXELIXIS, INC. v. FOCARINO [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Patent Term Extension	Computation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1503	HEMPHILL v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Newmar	Sanctions	Litigation Misconduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1166	PROVERIS SCIENTIFIC CORP. v. INNOVASYSTEMS, INC. [	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Contempt		Vacated	Contempt Judgment
13-1165	NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. NOKIA CORPORATION [	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1666	MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
12-1666	MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Domestic Industry		Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
13-1199	PACIFIC COAST MARINE v. MALIBU BOATS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Infringement	Design	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1406	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS	Chen, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1406	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS	Chen, Plager, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
13-1406	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS	Chen, Plager, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

13-1406	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS	Chen, Plager, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1406	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS	Chen, Plager, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1485	INSTITUT PASTEUR & UNIVERSITE v. FOCARINO [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
13-1193	KIOPASS TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. SIDENSE CORPORATION [	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Exceptional Case		Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1490	ASTRAZENECA AB v. HANMI USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
12-1535	MOTOROLA MOBILITY v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
12-1535	MOTOROLA MOBILITY v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Domestic Industry		Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
12-1170	SUPREMA, INC./CROSS MATCH v. ITC [OPINION]	O`Malley, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
12-1170	SUPREMA, INC./CROSS MATCH v. ITC [OPINION]	O`Malley, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
13-1036	CBT FLINT PARTNERS, LLC v. RETURN PATH, INC. [OPIN]	Dyk, O`Malley, Taranto	Costs		Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1374	FOSTER v. PITNEY BOWES CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Rader, Reyna	Rule 59 - Altering or Amending Judgment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1374	FOSTER v. PITNEY BOWES CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Rader, Reyna	Sanctions		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1374	FOSTER v. PITNEY BOWES CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Rader, Reyna	Trade Secret Misappropriation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1595	CLEARVALUE, INC. v. PEARL RIVER POLYMERS, INC. [OP	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Sanctions		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1595	CLEARVALUE, INC. v. PEARL RIVER POLYMERS, INC. [OP	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Trade Secret Misappropriation		Affirmed	JMOL
12-1595	CLEARVALUE, INC. v. PEARL RIVER POLYMERS, INC. [OP	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Validity		Affirmed	JMOL
13-1200	FRANS NOOREN AFDICHTINGSSYSTEM v. STOPAQ AMCORR IN	Chen, Rader, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment

12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST CONTROL LLC v. PHILIPS ELECTRONIC	Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Prost, Moore, Taranto, O'Mall	Appellate Review Standard	Claim Construction	NA	NA
12-1513	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1513	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision
13-1200	FRANS NOOREN AFDICHTINGSSYSTEM v. STOPAQ AMCORR IN	Chen, Rader, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1216	VISTAN CORPORATION v. FADEI USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Infringement	Means For	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1369	ELCOMMERCE.COM v. SAP AG [OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Transfer		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1694	STARHOME GMBH v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1593	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. BANNER PHARMACAPS, INC. [OP]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1390	RANDALL MAY INTERNATIONAL v. DEC MUSIC PRODUCTS [R]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1657	INRE: HOFFMANN [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1673	CYBERFONE SYSTEMS v. CNN INTERACTIVE GROUP [OPINIO	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-156	IN RE: APPLE INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-162	INRE: BARNES & NOBLE, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1378	ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Rader, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1013	LAMINA PACKAGING INNOVATIONS, v. ITC	NA	Existence of Domestic Industry		NA	USITC Decision
13-1495	MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC v. BRANCH BANKING A	Hughes, Moore, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1513	COMPRESSION TECHNOLOGY v. EMC CORPORATION [RULE 36	Chen, Mayer, Prost	Invalidity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

13-1454	PROMEGA CORPORATION v. APPLIED BIOSYSTEMS, LLC [RU	Chen, Mayer, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1592	AQUAWOOD, LLC v. WORLDSLIDE, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1214	DANISCO US INC. v. NOVOZYMES A/S [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Prost	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Dismissal Motion
11-1313	MEDTRONIC INC. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION [O	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1504	THERASENSE, INC. v. BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1151	E2INTERACTIVE, INC. v. BLACKHAWK NETWORK, INC. [OP	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1478	KEURIG, INCORPORATED v. JBF INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMEN	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1673	INRE: RUDY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Rader, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-108	INRE: EMERSON ELECTRIC CO.	Chen, Moore, Newman	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1057	VEDERI, LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1347	BOSE CORPORATION v. SDI TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINIO	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1429	NISSIM CORP. v. CLEARPLAY, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
12-1340	ALCON RESEARCH, LTD. v. BARR LABORATORIES, INC. [O	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1058	GABRIEL TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATE	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1487	OPERATING SYSTEMS SOLUTIONS v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1205	GABRIEL TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATE	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1473	INRE: BERGER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Newman, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

14-110	INRE: ROTHMAN	Chen, Moore, Newman	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1515	ENERGY RECOVERY, INC. v. HAUGE [OPINION]	Rader, Reyna, Wallach	Contempt	Enforce Settlement	Reversed	Contempt Judgment
13-1239	BRAIN LIFE, LLC v. ELEKTA INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Res Judicata		Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1248	STONEEAGLE SERVICES, INC. v. GILLMAN [OPINION]	Moore, Rader, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
13-1389	NOVATEK, INC. v. THE SOLLAMI COMPANY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1409	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT LLC v. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS,	Hughes, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1027	SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Plager	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
13-1658	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. ACTAVIS, INC. [OPINIO	Dyk, Moore, Newmar	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
13-1515	ENERGY RECOVERY, INC. v. HAUGE [OPINION]	Rader, Reyna, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Contempt Judgment
12-1415	TECSEC, INC. v. INTL BUSINESS MACHINES [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Collateral Estoppel		NA	Consent Judgment
12-1415	TECSEC, INC. v. INTL BUSINESS MACHINES [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
12-1415	TECSEC, INC. v. INTL BUSINESS MACHINES [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Consent Judgment
12-1415	TECSEC, INC. v. INTL BUSINESS MACHINES [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Consent Judgment
13-1181	HOWLINK GLOBAL LLC v. NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS [OPIN	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1433	MRC INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HUNTER MFG., LLP [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-113	INRE: TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION [MOTION PANEL ORDER	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1297	INRE: TELES AG INFORMATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	35 USC 145		Affirmed	BPAI Ex Parte Reexam Decision

13-1326	CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE v. INTERNATIONAL SE	Rader, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
14-111	REMBRANDT SOCIAL MEDIA, LP v. FACEBOOK, INC.	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	1292(b) Permission to Appeal		Reversed	Order on Motion
13-1396	UNITED VIDEO PROPERTIES, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC.	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1437	TREBRO MANUFACTURING, INC v. FIREFLY EQUIPMENT, L	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
12-1575	UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH v. VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1128	HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1293	CARTNER v. ALAMO GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk,, Moore, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1056	RUDY v. PATO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Lourie	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
14-1185	VASCULAR SOLUTIONS, INC. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP	Chen, Moore, Plager	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
14-114	MAN MACHINE INTERFACE TECHNOLOG	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Mandamus			Final Rejection on Ex Parte Reexam
14-115	INRE: SINGHAL	Clerk	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	USPTO Decision
13-1116	SMART OPTIONS, LLC v. JUMP ROPE, INC. [RULE 36 JUD	Chen, Clevenger, Lourie	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1645	K-TECH TELECOMMUNICATION S v. BLONDER TONGUE LABORA	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1477	POWER MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATE	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1442	PANDUIT CORPORATION v. ADC TELECOMMUNICATION S, INC	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1501	PANDUIT CORPORATION v. ADC TELECOMMUNICATION S, INC	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

12-1489	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. GLENMARK PHARMACEUTI	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal		NA
13-1436	INRE: MURKOWSKI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Plager, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1538	INRE: AOKI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1418	GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. v. NATCO PHARM/ LIMITED [OPI	Chen, Prost, Rader	Validity	Double Patenting	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1316	K-SWISS INC. v. GLIDE'N LOCK GMBH [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1622	INRE: WALLEN [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-107	INRE: WMS GAMING INC.	Dyk, Louire, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-118	INRE: GROUPON, INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-120	INRE: ALTAIR ENGINEERING, INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1408	VAILLANCOURT v. BECTON DICKINSON & COMPANY [OPINIO	Linn, Rader, Taranto	Standing	Appeal	NA	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1183	ST. JUDE MEDICAL v. VOLCANO CORPORATION [MOTION PA	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	Order on Institution of IPR
14-109	INRE: DOMINION DEALER SOLUTIONS, LLC [MOTION PANEL	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	Order on Institution of IPR
14-121	INRE: PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY [MOTION PANEL ORDER	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	Institution Order on IPR
13-1239	BRAIN LIFE, LLC v. ELEKTA INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1642	OHIO WILLOW WOOD CO. v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1642	OHIO WILLOW WOOD CO. v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Summary Judgment

13-1002	BAYER CROPS SCIENCE AG v. DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC [OPIN]	Bryson, Prost, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1472	MIKKELSEN GRAPHIC ENGINEERING v. ZUND AMERICA [OPI]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1472	MIKKELSEN GRAPHIC ENGINEERING v. ZUND AMERICA [OPI]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Validity		Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1472	MIKKELSEN GRAPHIC ENGINEERING v. ZUND AMERICA [OPI]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1548	APPLE INC. v. MOTOROLA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1267	GE LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC v. AGILIGHT, INC. [OPIN]	Moore, Rader, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1226	TELLABS OPERATIONS, INC. v. FUJITSU LIMITED [RUL]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1089	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. MOTOROLA, INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Transfer	Appellate	na	NA
14-122	INRE: BOARD OF TRUSTEES UNIV IL	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	NA	PTAB IPR Institution Order
14-123	INRE: MICROSOFT CORPORATION	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-127	INRE: TELEFONAKTIEBOLAG ET LM	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-130	INRE: PORAUTO INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Jurisdiction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-131	INRE: VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Covered Business Method Review	Affirmed	Institution of Review Order
13-1204	INRE: PACKARD [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1170	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY v. WILKINS [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1407	INRE: ROSLIN INSTITUTE (EDINBURGH) [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1563	SCHWINDT v. MILLER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
13-1349	MONSANTO COMPANY v. E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS [OPINI]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Sanctions	Inherent	Affirmed	Order on Motion

13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1086	ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS, LTD. v. LEE [RULE 36 JUD	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Patent Term Extension		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1212	TAYLOR v. TAYLOR MADE PLASTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Standing		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
13-1661	TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS CORP. v. ABATEMENT TECHNOLOG	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1446	ESSOCIATE, INC. v. AZOOGLE.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDG	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1311	GENERAC POWER SYSTEMS v. KOHLER COMPANY [RULE 36 J	Hughes, Mayer, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1519	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDG	Hughes, Mayer, Prost	Limited Exclusion Order		Affirmed	USITC Decision
13-1596	CROSS ATLANTIC CAPITAL PARTNER v. FACEBOOK, INC. [	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1558	BASSALI v. JOHNSON CONTROLS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMEN	Linn, Lourie, Rader	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1288	INNOVATIVE BIOMETRIC TECHNOLOG v. TOSHIBA AMERICA	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1638	INRE: BELLANDI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1097	SPENCER v. TACO BELL, CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1544	ALZHEIMER'S INSTITUTE v. AVID RADIOPHARMACEUTIC ALS	Moore, Newman, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1499	TOBINICK v. OLMARKER [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
13-1417	MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC v. ITC	NA	Validity	Obviousness	NA	USITC Decision
12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision

12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Domestic Industry	Technical	Affirmed	USITC Decision
12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	USITC Decision
12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	USITC Decision
12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	USITC Decision
13-1477	POWER MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATE	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
13-1549	K/S HIMPP v. HEAR-WEAR TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1392	SUFFOLK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v AOL INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1006	MAURY MICROWAVE, INC. v. FOCUS MICROWAVES, INC. [R	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1455	HIGH POINT DESIGN LLC v. BUYER'S DIRECT, INC. [OPI	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1455	HIGH POINT DESIGN LLC v. BUYER'S DIRECT, INC. [OPI	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1455	HIGH POINT DESIGN LLC v. BUYER'S DIRECT, INC. [OPI	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Functionality	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1455	HIGH POINT DESIGN LLC v. BUYER'S DIRECT, INC. [OPI	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Amendment	Counterclaim	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1452	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, INC. v. ACCESS CLOSURE, INC. [OP	Lourie, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. LENCO MARINE, INC [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Infringement	Means For	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. LENCO MARINE, INC [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. LENCO MARINE, INC [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Bench Trial

12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. Lenco Marine, Inc [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Willful Infringement		Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. Lenco Marine, Inc [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Damages		Vacated	Bench Trial
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. Lenco Marine, Inc [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. Lenco Marine, Inc [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. Lenco Marine, Inc [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Breach of Contract		Reversed	Bench Trial
14-124	INRE: ATOPTech, Inc.	Hughes, Newman, Rader	Mandamus	Attorney Disqualification	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1377	CONSUMER WATCHDOG v. Wisconsin Alumni Research [OP	Hughes, Prost, Rader	Standing	Appeal		PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1192	INRE: Rambus, Inc. [OPINION]	Moore, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1461	Krauser v. BioHorizons, Inc. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Lourie	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
13-1050	EASTCOTT v. Hasselblad USA, Inc. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1270	SOURCE Vagabond Systems v. Hydrapak, Inc. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Rule 11 Decision
14-1052	IN RE REIJERS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1241	STC.UNM v. Intel Corporation [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Standing		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
13-1410	NASSAU Precision Casting v. Acushnet Company, Inc.	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1460	INRE: Bentwich [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1053	HOME GAMBLING Network, Inc. v. Piche [RULE 36 JUDG	Bryson, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1479	01 COMMUNIQUE Laboratory v. Logmein, Inc. [RULE 36	Bryson, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

13-1583	AGA MEDICAL CORPORATION v. W.L. GORE & ASSOCIATES	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1630	Q. I. PRESS CONTROLS v. LEE [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1245	ALLERGAN, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1637	INRE: DINSMORE [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Reissue		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1050	INRE: MORRISON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1059	MODINE MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BORGWARNER, INC. [	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1153	CONTE v. JAKKS PACIFIC, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1045	INRE: KALKANOGLU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1075	IN RE: WIRTH [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1306	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICA	Chen, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1476	TRITON TECH OF TEXAS, LLC v. NINTENDO OF AMERICA,	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1430	VERSATA COMPUTER INDUSTRY v. SAP AG	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1397	GEMALTO S.A. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1397	GEMALTO S.A. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1047	SYNTHES USA, LLC v. SPINAL KINETICS, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1047	SYNTHES USA, LLC v. SPINAL KINETICS, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1312	ASTRAZENECA LP v. BREATH LIMITED [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

13-1312	ASTRAZENECA LP v. BREATH LIMITED [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Bond	Amount	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1312	ASTRAZENECA LP v. BREATH LIMITED [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Dismissal Motion		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1312	ASTRAZENECA LP v. BREATH LIMITED [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Infringement		Reversed	Bench Trial
13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1655	PURE FISHING, INC. v. NORMARK CORPORATION [RULE 36]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1038	INFECTION PREVENTION TECH v. LUMALIER CORPORATION	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1153	CONTE v. JAKKS PACIFIC, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1245	ALLERGAN, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1245	ALLERGAN, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
13-1245	ALLERGAN, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Priority Date		Reversed	Bench Trial

13-1245	ALLERGAN, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art		Reversed	Bench Trial
13-1245	ALLERGAN, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
13-1480	INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH v. ITC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
13-1529	CEATS, INC. v. CONTINENTAL AIRLINES, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley; Prost; Rader	Rule 60(b)	b(3) - Fraud	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-132	INRE: NINTENDO OF AMERICA, INC [MOTION] PANEL ORDER	Newman, Hughes	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion
13-1450	HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. v. STRYKER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1551	LOCHNER TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. VIZIO, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1042	ROTATABLE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LL	Hughes, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1586	SOUTHERN SNOW MANUFACTURING v. SNOWIZARD HOLDINGS	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1338	ABBVIE DEUTSCHLAND GMBH & CO. v. JANSSEN BIOTECH,	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1511	MADSTAD ENGINEERING, INC. v. PATO [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Standing		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
12-1611	RANDALL MFG. v. REA [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
13-1340	X2Y ATTENUATORS, LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
13-1567	RETRACTABLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. BECTON DICKINSON	Linn, Lourie	Mandate		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1180	STAUFFER v. BROOKS BROTHERS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	False Patent Marking		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1236	U.S. WATER SERVICES v. CHEMTREAT [TRANSFER ORDER]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	
14-1232	VIRTUALAGILITY INC. v SALESFORCE.COM, INC. [OPINI	Chen, Moore, Newman	Stay	Pending CBM Review	Reversed	Order on Motion

14-1117	ANDERSON v. KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Prost	Infringement	Design	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
14-1054	H-W TECHNOLOGY, L.C. v. OVERSTOCK.COM, INC. [OPINI	O'Malley; Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1565	TROY v. SAMSON MANUFACTURING CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Prost	Interference	Priority	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1600	DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES v. ELECTRONICS FOR IMA	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1451	MEDISIM LTD. v. BESTMED, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	JMOL		Vacated	JMOL Decision
13-1496	GOLDEN BRIDGE TECHNOLOGY v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1451	MEDISIM LTD. v. BESTMED, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	JMOL		Affirmed	JMOL Decision
13-1451	MEDISIM LTD. v. BESTMED, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Unjust Enrichment		Affirmed	JMOL Decision
13-1451	MEDISIM LTD. v. BESTMED, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	New Trial	Anticipation	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1496	GOLDEN BRIDGE TECHNOLOGY v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1160	IN RE: ADAMS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1683	WIRELESS INK CORPORATION v. GOOGLE, INC. [RULE 36	Chen, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1301	IN RE: RAJEN PATEL [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1223	TSE v. BLOCKBUSTER, INC. [JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1222	TSE v. GOOGLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1565	TROY v. SAMSON MANUFACTURING CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Prost	Evidence		Reversed	Order on Motion
13-1357	ARLINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC. v. BRIDGEPORT FITTINGS,	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	Order on Motion

14-1087	GANDHI v. BANDL-KONRAD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Interference		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
13-1621	MULTIMEDIA PATENT TRUST v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC. [R	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1620	MULTIMEDIA PATENT TRUST v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUD	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1240	ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost	APA		Vacated	USITC Decision
13-1480	INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH v. ITC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, O'Malley	Domestic Industry	Technical	Affirmed	USITC Decision
13-1480	INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH v. ITC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, O'Malley	Infringement		Affirmed	USITC Decision
14-133	INRE: NOKIA INC.	Bryson, Mayer, Newman	Mandamus	Refusal to Adjudicate	Affirmed	USITC Order on Motion
14-1035	INRE: KARPF [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
13-1506	EPLUS, INC. v. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1485	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. ERICSSON, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	License Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1212	AMDOCS LIMITED v. OPENET TELECOM, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1386	TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP v. MUTUAL PHARMACEUTICAL CO	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Antitrust	Noerr Pennington	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1256	YUFA v. LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATIOI [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1561	SCRIPTPRO, LLC v. INNOVATION ASSOCIATES [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1318	ALBECKER v. CONTOUR PRODUCTS INC. (FL) [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1171	CAPITAL MACHINE COMPANY v. MILLER VENEERS [RULE 36]	Hughes, Lourie, O`Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1489	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. GLENMARK PHARMACEUTI	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

12-1489	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. GLENMARK PHARMACEUTI	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Spoliation of Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1489	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. GLENMARK PHARMACEUTI	Linn, Newman, Wallach	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1489	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. GLENMARK PHARMACEUTI	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1161	T.M. PATENTS v. CISC SYSTEMS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1028	QCUE, INC. v. DIGONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1084	IN RE: INDEX SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1516	INTERNET MACHINES LLC v. CYCLONE MICROSYSTEMS, INC	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1639	IN RE: LANG [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1037	SMARTMETRIC INC. v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL [RULE	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1143	Z PRODUX, INC. v. MAKE-UP ART COSMETICS, INC. [RUL	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1432	INVISTA NORTH AMERICA v. M&G POLYMERS USA [RULE 36	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1307	I/P ENGINE, INC. v. AOL INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1674	APOTEX INC. v. UCB, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1636	GAMMINO v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1635	AVIVA SPORTS, INC. v. FINGERHUT DIRECT MARKETING [	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1545	ABBVIE INC. v. KENNEDY INST. OF RHEUMATOLOGY [OPIN	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial

14-1416	FERRING B.V. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1377	FERRING B.V. v. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	ANDA	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1679	MFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. RESEARCH IN MOTIK	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1641	AMERICAN RADIO LLC v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1588	ZOLL LIFECOR CORPORATION v. PHILIPS ELECTRONICS	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB Denial of IPR Institution Decision
13-1663	PLANET BINGO, LLC v. VKGS LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS v. OCTANE FITNESS [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1042	ROTATABLE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LL	Hughes, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Stipulation
14-1187	KI VENTURES v. FRY'S ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Sanctions	Rule 11	Reversed	Order on Motion
13-1400	ARLINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC. v. BRIDGEPORT FITTINGS,	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1575	BUYSAFE, INC. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1085	CHECKPOINT SYSTEMS, INC. v. ALL-TAG SECURITY S.A.	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1330	EPOS TECHNOLOGIES LTD. v. PEGASUS TECHNOLOGIES LTD	Bryson, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
11-1219	HIGHMARK, INC. v. ALLCARE HEALTH MANAGEMENT [OPINI]	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1345	HOMELAND HOUSEWARES, LLC v. SORENSEN RESEARCH [OPI]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Evidence	Authentication	Affirmed	Order on Motion

13-1537	HOMELAND HOUSEWARES v. SORENSEN RESEARCH [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1219	SCIENTIFIC PLASTIC PRODUCTS v. BIOTAGE AB [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1282	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC v. AOL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1350	FACEBOOK, INC. v. PRAGMATUS AV, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-141	INRE: ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Transfer		Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
14-143	INRE: APPLE INC.	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Transfer		Mandamus Granted	Order on Motion
14-1047	HITACHI CONSUMER ELECTRONICS v. TOP VICTORY ELECTR	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
13-1682	FINJAN INC. v. SYMANTEC CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1066	IN RE: PACTIV LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1660	IN RE: ROSS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1201	IN RE: TARGET TRAINING INTERNATIONAL [RULE 36 JUDG]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1489	VIRNETX, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1211	CLERISY CORPORATION v. AIRWARE HOLDINGS INCORPORAT	Chen, Linn, Mayer	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1564	SCA HYGIENE PRODUCTS v. FIRST QUALITY BABY PRODUCT	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Laches		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1179	IN RE: TECHSHELL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

14-1122	BENEFIT FUNDING SYSTEMS v. ADVANCE AMERICA CASH [O	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Stay	Pending CBM Review	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1157	IN RE: ONTJES [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
13-1157	UPI SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Consent Judgment		Affirmed	USITC Final Determination
13-1061	AMERICAN CALCAR, INC. v. AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO.	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1140	EMD MILLIPORE CORPORATION v. ALLPURE TECHNOLOGIES,	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1552	IN RE: TAYLOR MADE GOLF CO. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1020	JARROW FORMULAS, INC. v. NOW HEALTH GROUP, INC. [R	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
12-1236	DIVIX GOLF, INC. v. MOHR [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1493	KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. v. FIRST QUALITY BA	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1078	PHIL-INSUL CORP. v. REWARD WALL SYSTEMS, INC. [RUL	Dyk, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1175	SHIELDMARK, INC. v. INSITE SOLUTIONS, LLC [RULE 36	Bryson, O'Malley, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1419	SSL SERVICES, LLC v. CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION	Linn, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1415	IN RE: TAY [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1040	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. SNAP-ON INCORPORATED [OPINION	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1005	UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A. v. ECLINICAL WORKS, LLC [RU	Clevenger, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1209	CREAGRI, INC. v. PINNACLIFE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMEN	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

14-1284	KRAMER v. CREATIVE COMPOUNDS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMEN	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1240	CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. v. 01 COMMUNIQUE LABORATORY,	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1624	TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP v. APPLIED MEDICAL RESOUR	Lourie, Plager, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1135	CARDSOFT, LLC v. VERIFONE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1032	BAYER CROPSCIENCE AG v. DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC [RULE	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1679	WORLD CLASS TECHNOLOGY CORP v ORMCO CORPORATIOI [	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1056	ANTICANCER, INC. v. PFIZER, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Sanctions	Discovery	Reversed	Order on Motion
10-1051	IRIS CORPORATION v. JAPAN AIRLINES CORPORATION [OP	Hughes, Newman, Prost	1498 Defense		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1262	WARNER CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC v. LUPIN LTD. [OPINIO	Lourie, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC.	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1628	PRESIDENT & FELLOWS OF HARVARD v. LEE [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	APA		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-MA00	IN RE REINES	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Attorney Discipline		NA	NA
13-1130	WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1310	IN RE: ORINDA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES [RULE 36 JUD	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1459	AZURE NETWORKS, LLC v. CSR, PLC [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1162	IN RE: ROTH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1319	RUBIN v. SCOTTS COMPANY LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

14-1148	MASTEROBJECTS, INC v. GOOGLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGME	Dyk, Moore, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
12-1558	SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES v. BALBOA MANUFACTUR	Dyk, Moore, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1348	FORHEALTH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. INTELLIGENT HOSPI	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1675	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIF v. DEPUY SYNTHES [RUL	Dyk, Moore, Plager	Priority		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
14-1378	WEYER v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1332	LOOPS, LLC v. PHOENIX TRADING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1137	IN RE: HOWARD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1414	ESCORT INC. v. FLEMING [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
10-1544	ULTRAMERCIAL, INC. v HULU, LLC [OPINION] 2014)	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1041	ROZBICKI v. CHIANG [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
14-1648	ANTARES PHARMA INC. v. MEDAC PHARMA INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
13-1680	MALICO, INC v. LSI LOGIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Limitation of Damages	Lack of Notice (other than failure to mark)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1380	VEHICLE IP, LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1439	WARNER CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC v. TEV/ PHARMACEUTICA	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1019	E.DIGITAL CORPORATION v. FUTUREWEI TECHNOLOGIES, I	Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
14-1468	VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. v. CALLIDUS SOFTWARE, INC.	Chen, Linn, Mayer	Stay	Pending CBM Review	Reversed	Order on Motion

14-1742	A&J MANUFACTURING, LLC v. ITC	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	USITC Order on Motion
14-154	INRE: CASHMAN EQUIPMENT COMPANY	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Transfer		Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
14-1173	NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. MICROSOFT MOBILE OY	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1391	PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. v. TWI PHARMACEUTICALS, I	Hughes, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
14-1352	METSO MINERALS INC v. TEREX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Bond		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1422	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS	Bryson, Clevenger, O`Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1324	TYCO HEALTHCARE GRP. LP v. ETHICON ENDO-SURGERY, I	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	102(g)	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1625	ERICSSON, INC. v. D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1168	TRISTRATA, INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1230	IN RE: ENGLEMAN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1186	MEMORYLINK CORP. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O`Malley	Contract	Consideration	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1693	SANDOZ INC. v. AMGEN INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
13-1505	DDR HOLDINGS, LLC v. HOTELS.COM, L.P. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1456	GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. v. SIGMAPHARM LABS [RULE 36]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	unknown
14-1244	TOMITA TECHNOLOGIES USA, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD.	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1204	MILLIONWAY INTERNATIONAL, INC v BLACK RAPID, INC	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1241	SPLIT PIVOT, INC. v. TREK BICYCLE CORPORATION [RUL	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

14-1288	IN RE: GRAFF [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1364	CLASSEN IMMUNOTHERAPIES, INC. v. SHIONOGI, INC. [R]	Moore, Newman, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1382	IN RE: KHAYRALLAH [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
13-1678	JAPANESE FOUNDATION v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Taranto	Terminal Disclaimer		Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1412	MAUNA KEA TECHNOLOGIES v. ANTICANCER, INC. [RULE 3	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1264	GERAWAN FARMING, INC. v. REHRIG PACIFIC COMPANY [R]	Unknown	Unknown		Affirmed	unknown
14-1007	LUV N' CARE LTD. v. KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS NV [RULE 3	Plager, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1017	THE TURFGRASS GROUP, INC. v. CAROLINA FRESH FARMS,	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1151	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1404	NETAIRUS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1011	PROMEGA CORP v. LIFE TECH [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1108	CSR, PLC v. SKULLCANDY, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1361	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH RESEARCH v. AMBRY GENETICS CORP	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION]	NA	Omit - Replaced by Later Decision		NA	NA
13-1251	DATATERN, INC. v. EPICOR SOFTWARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1072	CREATIVE KINGDOMS, LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Newman	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	USITC Final Determination

14-1263	AQUA SHIELD v. INTERPOOL POOL COVER TEAM [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Bench Trial
13-1588	CONTENT EXTRACTIOI v. WELLS FARGO BANK [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
14-1331	FLEMING v. ESCORT INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Corroboration	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1520	WALKER DIGITAL LLC v. EXPEDIA, INC. [OPINION] [ISS	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Contract		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1323	TEASHOT.LLC v. GREEN MOUNTAIN COFFEE ROASTER [OPIN	Chen, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1380	GAMECASTER, INC. v. DREAMWORKS ANIMATION SKG, INC.		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1518	INRE: SHANEOUR [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Content of Prior Art		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1193	CRADLE IP LLC v. TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, INC. [RULE 36	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1417	ODORSTAR TECHNOLOGY LLC v. SMM DISTRIBUTORS LLC [R	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1357	HEAR-WEAR TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v K/S HIMPP [RULE 36		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1369	HEAR-WEAR TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v K/S HIMPP [RULE 36	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1030	DELANO FARMS COMPANY v. CALIFORNIA TABLE GRAPE COM	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1421	GUZIK TECHNICAL ENTERPRISES v. WESTERN DIGITAL COR	Bryson, Hughes, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1253	BRIESE LICHTTECHNIK VERTRIEBS v. LANGTON [RULE 36	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1085	NYKO TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. ENERGIZER HOLDINGS, INC	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

14-5034	UNITRAC, LLC v. US	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Statute of Limitations		Affirmed	COFC Decision
14-1423	IN RE: PROKOP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1114	BARD PERIPHERAL VASCULAR v. W.L. GORE & ASSOCIATES	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Law of the Case		NA	NA
14-1136	DEPUY SYNTHES PRODUCTS, LLC v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1073	NEUROREPAIR, INC. v. NATH LAW GROUP [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1271	IN RE: STOLLER [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1372	KFX MEDICAL CORPORATION v. ARTHREX INCORPORATED [R	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1298	IN RE: ORBITAL TECHNOLOGIES CORP [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Waiver		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1427	IN RE: TRAFFIC INFORMATION, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	102(e) application filed earlier	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1281	XPERTUNIVERSE INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Fraudulent Concealment		Affirmed	JMOL
14-1539	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1447	PLAS-PAK INDUSTRIES v. SULZER MIXPAC AG [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1451	PLAS-PAK INDUSTRIES, INC. v. RICHARD PARKS CORROSI		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1206	IN RE: IMES [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1267	RELUME CORPORATION TRUST v. GE LIGHTING SOLUTIONS,	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

14-1110	PAPST LICENSING v. FUJIFILM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Schall, Tarant	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1487	IN RE NAS NALLE AUTOMATION SYSTEMS [RULE 36 JUDGME	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1301	IN RE CUOZZO SPEED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1424	BERIONT v. GTE LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Inventorship	Misjoinder	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1325	IN RE PEACH STATE LABS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1450	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATI	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1545	IN RE RODRIGUEZ-LAFRASSE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1490	FENF, LLC v. SMARTTHINGZ, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O`Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1384	LEXINGTON LUMINANCE LLC v. AMAZON.COM INC. [OPINIO	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
14-1349	E-LYNXX CORPORATION v. INNERWORKINGS, INC [RULE 3	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1599	SOFTVIEW LLC v. KYOCERA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGM	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1600	SOFTVIEW LLC v. KYOCERA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGM	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1207	IN RE DOUGLASS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1111	KIM LAUBE & COMPANY, INC. v. WAHL CLIPPER CORPORAT	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

14-1196	HELPERICH PATENT LICENSING v. THE NEW YORK TIMES [	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Exhaustion/First Sale		Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1257	IN RE CHUANG [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1420	DIGITAL ALLY, INC. v. UTILITY ASSOCIATES, INC. [RU	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1303	VIIV HEALTHCARE CO. v. LUPIN LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1347	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES v. CENTURYTEL BROADBAND	Bryson, Newman, O'Malley	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	Order on Motion
12-1649	SOVERAIN SOFTWARE LLC v. VICTORIA'S SECRET [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Collateral Estoppel		NA	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1640	FENNER INVESTMENTS, LTD. v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [OP		Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1176	INTERDIGITAL v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Determination
14-1396	PACING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GARMIN INTERNATIONAL,	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1627	CLEARLAMP, LLC v. LKQ CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
13-1462	RYDEX, LTD. v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1649	BIAX CORPORATION v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1159	GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Patent Term Extension	Computation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1601	INRE: BIG BABOON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1400	MEDTRICA SOLUTIONS LTD. v. STERIS CORPORATION [RUL	Hughes, Mayer, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

14-1496	EON CORP. IP HOLDINGS LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [	Fogel*, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1708	BARRON v. SCVNGR, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1512	INRE: DOWNHOLE PRODUCTS, LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1261	FUZZYSHARP TECHNOLOGIES v. INTEL CORPORATION [RULE	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1713	UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA v. DRAEGER MEDICAL, INC. [R	Fogel*, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1587	MAYFAIR WIRELESS LLC v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [RULE 3	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1254	EIDOS DISPLAY, LLC v. AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION [OP	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1704	INRE: SINGHAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1509	BOARD OF TRUSTEES v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1510	BOARD OF TRUSTEES v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1511	BOARD OF TRUSTEES v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1803	ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. v CAMBER PHARMACEUTICAL	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1578	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Mayer, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Incorporation by Reference	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1321	ENZO BIOCHEM INC. v. APPLERA CORP. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

14-1689	INRE: HITACHI METALS LTD. [OPINION]	Plager, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1060	MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1620	GAMETEK LLC v. ZYNGA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1729	SMITH & NEPHEW INCORPORATED v. ARTHREX, INCORPORAT	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1691	SMITH & NEPHEW INCORPORATED v. ARTHREX, INCORPORAT	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Finality	Extension	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1285	ETAGZ, INC. v. FLAMBEAU, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cleventer, Moore, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1302	TWO-WAY MEDIA LLC v. AT&T, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Appeal Deadline	Extension	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1214	FLEXITEEK AMERICAS, INC. v. PLASTEAK, INC. [OPINIO	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1410	LSI CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Taranto	Domestic Industry	Licensing Activities	Affirmed	USITC Final Determination
14-1351	ORACLE AMERICA, INC. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1630	SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. LUPIN LIMITED [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1668	STRYKER V. ZIMMER [REVISED OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1184	CADENCE PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. EXELA PHARMA SCIEN	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1350	KENNAMETAL, INC. v. INGERSOLL CUTTING TOOL COMPANY	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1206	EXELA PHARMA SCIENCES, LLC v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman	APA	Jurisdiction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1463	INRE: BOOKSTAFF [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
11-1369	ELCOMMERCE.COM v. SAP AG [OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

11-1369	ELCOMMERCE.COM v. SAP AG [OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
11-1369	ELCOMMERCE.COM v. SAP AG [OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
14-1282	APOTEX INC. v. DAIICHI SANKYO, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Mayer, Taranto	Intervention		Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1724	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. JPMORGAN CHASE & C	Bryson, Hughes, O'Malley	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
14-1553	TMI PRODUCTS INC v. ROSEN ENTERTAINMENT SYSTEMS [O	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1096	VASUDEVAN SOFTWARE, INC. v. TIBCO SOFTWARE, INC. [	Chen, Hughes, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1221	ASTRAZENECA AB v. APOTEX CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cleventer, O'Malley	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1631	DIETGOAL INNOVATIONS LLC v. BRAVO MEDIA LLC [RULE	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1716	SPX CORPORATION v. PENTAIR SUDMO GMBH [RULE 36 JUD	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1556	HELPERICH PATENT LICENSING v. CBS INTERACTIVE [RUL	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1672	ABBVIE INC. v. THE KENNEDY TRUST [RULE 36 JUDGMENT	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1065	INSITE VISION INCORPORATED v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINIO	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1297	OPLUS TECHNOLOGIES, LTD. v. VIZIO, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1682	INRE: HICKEY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Gilstrap*, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1390	SOUTHCO, INC. v. FIVETECH TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1714	EDWARD D. IOLI TRUST v. VIGILANT VIDEO, INC. [RULE	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

14-1728	AUTOMATED MERCHANDISING v. LEE [OPINION]		APA	Final Agency Action	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1554	INRE: 55 BRAKE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1722	MEDTRONIC INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1680	IN RE: INTERCARRIER COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGME]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1772	VOLTSTAR TECH. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGME]	Gilstrap*, Lourie, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1663	REALTIME DATA, LLC v CME GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUD]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1660	IN RE: EIDOS COMMUNICATIONS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1653	LUV N' CARE LTD v. MUNCHKIN, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMEN]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1508	EMCORE CORPORATION v. NICHIA CORPORATION [RULE 36]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1540	INEOS USA LLC v. BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION [OPINI]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1577	IN RE: HARDY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1676	BELDEN INC. v. BERK-TEK LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1401	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CORP. v. CCP SYSTEMS AG [RULE]	Lourie, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1775	IN RE: INTERVAL LICENSING LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]		Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1776	MAGNETAR TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. SIX FLAGS THEME PAR	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

14-1434	DEY LP v. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES INC. [RULE 36	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1851	SWEEPSTAKES PATENT COMPANY v. BURNS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Schall	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1167	INFO-HOLD, INC. v. MUZAK LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1528	INFO-HOLD, INC. v. APPLIED MEDIA TECH. CORP. [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1289	BIOSIG INSTRUMENTS INC. v. NAUTILUS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1731	INNOVENTION TOYS, LLC v. MGA ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1205	SUKUMAR v. NAUTILUS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	False Patent Marking		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1392	EON CORP. IP HOLDINGS LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1139	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A. v. HIKMA AMERICAS INC	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1034	WONDERLAND NURSERY GOODS CO. v. THORLEY INDUSTRIES	Clevenger, Hughes, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1798	INRE: MAGNA ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1067	INOVA LABS, INC. v. INOGEN, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT	O'Malley, Plager, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1525	BIOGEN MA, INC v. JAPANESE FOUNDATION FOR [OPINION	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Jurisdiction	35 USC 146	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1335	ASTRAZENECA LP v. BREATH LIMITED [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1046	JS PRODUCTS, INC. v. KABO TOOL COMPANY [RULE 36 JU	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1582	LELO INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Reyna	Domestic Industry	Economic	Reversed	USITC Final Determination

14-1804	TADAYON v. SAUCON TECHNOLOGIES [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1794	IN RE MEDIA QUEUE, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Tarant	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1429	IN RE GROSS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Tarant	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1474	IN RE GROSS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Tarant	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1189	ARCELORMITTAL FRANCE v. AK STEEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	35 USC 251 - Broadening Reissue	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1749	EARVANA, LLC v. ERNIE BALL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Tarant	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1534	WRIGHT MANUFACTURING, INC v. THE TORO COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1671	CLASSEN IMMUNOTHERAPIES, INC. v. ELAN PHARMACEUTIC	Gilstrap*, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Safe Harbor 271(e)(1)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
9-1372	AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, I	Linn, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Joint	Affirmed	JMOL
14-1743	LUGUS IP LLC v. VOLVO CAR CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1067	FEDERAL HOME LOAN v. GRAFF/ROSS HOLDINGS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1552	ACTION STAR ENTERPRISE CO. v. KAIJET TECHNOLOGY IN	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1472	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES v. BODUM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1792	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES v. BODUM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1824	IN RE HOLNESS [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision

14-1799	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. WATSON LABORATORIES, I	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1258	ALLVOICE DEVELOPMENTS US, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORP. [	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Amendment	Patent Contentions	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1409	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT v. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINI	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1805	COT'N WASH INC. v. SUN PRODUCTS CORPORATION [RULE	Chen, Dyk, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1537	GLOBAL TRAFFIC TECHNOLOGIES v. MORGAN [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1573	IN RE CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [RULE 36 JUDG	Clevenger, Prost, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1852	BUTLER v. BALKAMP INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1452	ALPS SOUTH, LLC v. OHIO WILLOW WOOD CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Standing		Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1858	REXNORD INDUSTRIES LLC v. HABASIT BELTING INCORPO	Plager, Reyna, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1026	LOKEN-FLACK, LLC v. NOVOZYMES BIOAG, INC. [RULE 36	Plager, Reyna, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1229	BERNINA INTERNATIONAL AG v. HANDI QUILTER, INC. [I	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1075	NESTE OIL OYJ v. REG SYNTHETIC FUELS, LLC [RULE 36	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1145	IN RE GEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1257	NOVA CHEMICALS CORPORATION v. DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1477	VIRGINIA INNOVATION SCIENCES. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONI	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1017	PROLITEC, INC. v. SCENTAIR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RUL	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

14-1721	ACME SCALE COMPANY, INC. v. LTS SCALE COMPANY, LLC	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Inter Partes Reexam
14-1373	KANEKA CORPORATION v. XIAMEN KINGDOMWAY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1696	OIP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINIO	Hughes, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
14-1405	SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL v. TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Standing		Unknown	Unknown
14-1139	ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS INC v. SEQUENOM, INC. [OPINION	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1569	SYNTEST TECHNOLOGIES, INC v CISCO SYSTEMS, INC [R	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1110	BENECKE-KALIKO AG v. THE HAARTZ CORPORATION [RULE	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1130	RICHARD WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Linn, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1349	GTNX, INC. v. INTTRA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Institution Decision	Appealability	NA	PTAB - CBM
14-1564	ALEXSAM, INC. v. THE GAP, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reduction to Practice	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1542	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PROXYCONN, INC. [OPINION]	Gilstrap*, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1411	CEPHALON, INC. v. ABRAXIS BIOSCIENCE, LLC [OPINION	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1506	EPLUS, INC. v. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC. [REVISED MAJO	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION2]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Rev	Bench Trial
14-1544	HYPOXICO INC. v. COLORADO ALTITUDE TRAINING [RULE	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1699	TOMTOM, INC. v. ADOLPH [OPINION]	Fogel*, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	Markman Ruling
14-1747	GATOR TAIL, LLC v. MUD BUDDY LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

14-1048	INTERNET PATENTS CORPORATION v. ACTIVE NETWORK, IN	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
14-1476	G.D. SEARLE LLC v. LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OP	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1453	LEE v. MIKE'S NOVELTIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Litigation Misconduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST CONTROL LLC v. PHILIPS ELECTRONIC	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Waiver	Defense		NA
14-1499	MOHSENZADEH v. LEE [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schall	Patent Term Extension	Computation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1174	GAYMAR INDUSTRIES, INC. v. CINCINNATI SUB-ZERO PRO	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Exceptional Case	Abusive Litigation Tactics	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1686	CAMBRIAN SCIENCE CORPORATION v. COX COMMUNICATIONS	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1475	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. OFFICE DEPOT, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Prost	Kessler Doctrine		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1194	VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	NA	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1158	RESH v. HETZNER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1712	SFA SYSTEMS, LLC v. NEWEGG INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1793	IN RE GLYCOBIOSCIENCES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1145	VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP v. LEE [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
14-1266	ALCOHOL MONITORING SYSTEMS v. BI INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1389	SOUTHERN SNOW MANUFACTURING v. SNOWIZARD HOLDINGS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1104	PETZILA, INC. v. ANSEF INNOVATION LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion

15-1155	CIRCUIT CHECK INC. v. QXQ INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Burden of Proof	Validity	Reversed	JMOL
15-1499	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	BPCIA Violation		Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
14-1797	ADDICTION AND DETOXIFICATION v. CARPENTER [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
14-1547	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Wallach	Ordinary Skill in the Art Standard		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1808	AIRBUS S.A.S. v. FIREPASS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1143	L.C. ELDRIDGE SALES CO., LTD. v. JURONG SHIPYARD PTE., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1125	FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY v. ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1059	GENSPERA, INC. v. MHAHA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Inventorship	Changed Inventors	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1056	ORENSHTEYN v. CITRI SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Sanctions	Litigation Misconduct	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1657	MOVE, INC. v. REAL ESTATE ALLIANCE LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1057	ARGENTUM MEDICAL, LLC v. NOBLE BIOMATERIALS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1163	SMALL v. IMPLANT DIRECT MFG. LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1360	KERANOS, LLC v. SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1123	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	BPAI Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1675	CELGARD, LLC v. LG CHEM, LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling

15-1191	CHALUMEAU POWER SYSTEMS LLC v. ALCATEL-LUCENT ENTERPRISE USA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1073	SCHOTT GEMTRON CORPORATION v. SSW HOLDING COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1602	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. EMC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1782	AVAYA INC. v. NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
12-1170	SUPREMA, INC. v. ITC [JR EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, O'Malley, Reyna, Walla	Infringement	Indirect	Affirmed	USITC Final Determination
14-1838	AVANIR PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Linn	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1822	CONVATEC TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Linn	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1633	ARLINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC. v. BRIDGEPORT FITTINGS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Linn	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1370	ETHICON ENDO-SURGERY, INC. v. COVIDIEN, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1773	IN RE: KARPf [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1039	RETIREMENT CAPITAL ACCESS v. U.S. BANCORP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
14-1275	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

14-1492	CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY v. MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1612	PARKERVISION, INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	JMOL
14-1777	PRAGMATUS TELECOM LLC v. NEWEGG INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
15-1102	SOCIEDAD ESPANOLA v. BLUE RIDGE X-RAY COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1701	SMARTFLASH LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, O'Malley	Stay	Pending CBM	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1464	HIGH POINT DESIGN LLC v. BUYER'S DIRECT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1841	PERSONALIZED USER MODEL, LLP v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyan	Statute of Limitations	Tolled	Affirmed	JMOL
14-1011	JVC KENWOOD CORPORATION v. NERO, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1213	IN RE: ROTATABLE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1613	IVERA MEDICAL CORPORATION v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1214	DYNAMIC DRINKWARE LLC v. NATIONAL GRAPHICS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1218	MEDIA RIGHTS TECHNOLOGIES v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
14-1673	DOMESTIC PATENT L.P. v. LEE [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Burden of Proof	Preponderance of the Evidence	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1431	THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY v. NOVA CHEMICALS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Law of the Case		NA	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1305	INLINE PLASTICS CORP. v. EASYPACK, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

14-1466	PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY v. LIBERTY MUTUAL [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Estoppel	325(e)(1) Estoppel	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
14-1596	HYATT v. LEE [OPINION]	Linn, Mayer, Moore	APA		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1618	ABT SYSTEMS, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1820	INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1117	SOUTHWIRE COMPANY, LLC v. CERRO WIRE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1249	ENCORE WIRE CORPORATION v. SOUTHWIRE COMPANY, LLC [ORDER]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Unknown			PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1107	IN RE: MORSA [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
15-1193	BIANCO v. GLOBUS MEDICAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1178	COMPONEX CORPORATION v. ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1319	MORALES v. SQUARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Unspecified	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
15-1264	IN RE: ALSABAH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1300	PURE FISHING, INC. v. NORMARK CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1273	VINYL VISIONS, LLC v. OEHME [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Unspecified	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1295	HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. v. STRYKER CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1208	FIRST QUALITY BABY PRODUCTS v. KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Inter Partes Reexam

15-1245	JOAO BOCK TRANSACTION SYSTEMS v. JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1103	SUMMIT DATA SYSTEMS LLC v. NETAPP INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1220	IN RE: APPOTRONICS LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1299	WIRELESS INK CORPORATION v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-5073	SHERIDAN v. US [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	COFC Decision
15-1407	SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1406	SHUKH v. SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Standing	Constitutional	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1012	SHUKH v. SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Costs		Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1458	IN RE: STEED [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Waiver	Defense	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1767	ACHATES REFERENCE PUBLISHING v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter		PTAB IPR Decision
14-1762	NORDOCK, INC. v. SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	New Trial	Damages	Reversed	Order on Motion
15-1175	TAS v. BEACHY [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Interference	Interference in Fact	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1310	STATE OF VERMONT v. MPHJ TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Removal		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1736	SHIRE LLC v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1870	M-I LLC v. FPUSA, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1218	HEMOPET v. HILL'S PET NUTRITION, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

15-1248	POLY-AMERICA, L.P. v. API INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
13-1648	SUMMIT 6, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Waiver	Defense	NA	NA
13-1564	SCA HYGIENE PRODUCTS v. FIRST QUALITY BABY PRODUCTS [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Laches		Vacated	Summary Judgment
14-1854	ASTORNET TECHNOLOGIES INC. v BAE SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
14-1718	R+L CARRIERS, INC. v. QUALCOMM, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Infringement	Substantially Identical Claims after Reexam	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
13-1665	ADJUSTACAM, LLC v. NEWEGG, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
14-1668	TNS MEDIA RESEARCH, LLC v. TIVO RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Sanctions	Discovery	Reversed	Order on Motion
15-1252	TNS MEDIA RESEARCH, LLC v. TIVO RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1152	CORNING INCORPORATED v. DSM IP ASSETS B.V. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1855	CMG FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. v. PACIFIC TRUST BANK, F.S.B. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1170	IN RE: RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1766	LG DISPLAY CO., LTD. v. OBAYASHI SEIKOU CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1223	KANEKA CORPORATION v. SKC KOLON PI, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
12-1170	SUPREMA, INC. v. ITC [REVISED PANEL OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Reyna	Limited Exclusion Order		Affirmed	USITC Final Determination

12-1373	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. v. NOKIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1641	ERGOWERX INTERNATIONAL LLC v. MAXELL CORPORATION OF AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1301	IN RE CUOZZO SPEED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Inter Partes Review	Unreviewable - 314(d)	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1807	CELGARD, LLC v. SK INNOVATION CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
14-1506	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1280	DAIICHI SANKYO COMPANY v. LEE [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Patent Term Extension	Timeliness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1527	WESTERNGECO L.L.C. v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1469	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
14-1711	BUCKHORN INC. v. ORBIS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1652	INRE: WEBB [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Fogel*, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1190	ATLAS IP, LLC v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1071	ATLAS IP, LLC v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1925	COOPER v. SQUARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1640	U.S. ETHERNET INNOVATIONS v. ACER INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1510	U.S. ETHERNET INNOVATIONS v. TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Taranto	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion

16-1007	IN RE: GARRIDO [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1695	LARGAN PRECISION CO. v. GENIUS ELECTRONIC OPTICAL CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-105	IN RE: TC HEARTLAND LLC [ORDER]	Linn, Moore, Wallach	Venue	1391(c)	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
15-1765	BECKMANN v. GANDHI [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Interference		Vacated	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1028	ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. v. CAMBER PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Transfer		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1827	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Motion to Amend		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-125	IN RE: REARDEN LLC [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Mandamus	Jurisdiction	NA	NA
15-1242	CLICK-TO-CALL TECHNOLOGIES, LP v. ORACLE CORPORATION [PER CURIAM OPINION ON SCOTUS REMAND]	O'Malley, Stark*, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal		PTAB IPR Decision
15-1907	TRANXITION, INC. v. LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC. [OPINION]		Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-2043	PERFECT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES v. OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Translation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1808	INTERMEC, INC. v. ALIEN TECHNOLOGY, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1322	BOSE CORPORATION v. SDI TECHNOLOGIES INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1381	CDX DIAGNOSTICS, INC. v. U.S. ENDOSCOPY GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1461	IN RE: SHORE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1550	AMERICAN NEEDLE, INC. v. ZAZZLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1784	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Appointment of a Receiver		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1494	SCHOELLER-BLECKMAN OILFIELD v. CHURCHILL DRILLING TOOLS US [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1672	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2009	AQUA SHIELD v. INTERPOOL POOL COVER TEAM [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
16-1526	CF CRESPE LLC v. SILICON LABORATORIES INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1217	AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1126	ANGIOSCORE, INC. v. TRIREME MEDICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Plager, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Supplemental	Reversed	Order on Motion
16-1355	ST. CLAIR INTELLECTUAL PROPER v. TOSHIBA AMERICA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1773	REG SYNTHETIC FUELS, LLC v. NESTE OIL OYJ [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1126	ANGIOSCORE, INC. v. TRIREME MEDICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Plager, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1917	NETFLIX, INC. v. ROVI CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1051	PRIME DATUM, INC. v. BALDOR ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1403	HOCKEYLINE, INC. v. STATS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1370	RED DOG MOBILE SHELTERS, LLC v. KAT INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1277	TOMTOM, INC. v. ADOLPH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1490	SAMUELS v. TRIVASCULAR, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-1430	IN RE: AXCESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1180	AMDOCS (ISRAEL) LIMITED v. OPENET TELECOM, INC. [REVISED OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1979	GE LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC v. LIGHTS OF AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1216	PROFOOT, INC. v. MERCK & CO., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1977	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. ROBERT BOSCH HEALTHCARE [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2040	LARGE AUDIENCE DISPLAY SYSTEMS v. TENNMAN PRODUCTIONS, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Exceptional Case	Overall	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1003	IN RE: EFTHYMIPOULOS [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1410	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. UNIVERSAL REMOTE CONTROL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1208	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1599	SYNOPSIS, INC. v. MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-1146	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1200	POLY-AMERICA, L.P. v. API INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1054	BLUE SPIKE, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Involuntary Dismissal
16-1062	IN RE: VILLENA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1156	IN RE: ANDERSON [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1046	DATATREASURY CORPORATION v. FIDELITY NATIONAL INFORMATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1050	DATATREASURY CORPORATION v. JACK HENRY AND ASSOCIATES, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Moot	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1229	DATATREASURY CORPORATION v. FISERV, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity		Moot	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1250	IN RE: DATATREASURY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity		Moot	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1780	SANY HEAVY INDUSTRY CO., LTD. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-1038	MEXICHEM AMANCO HOLDING v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1112	CONCATEN, INC. v. AMERITRAK FLEET SOLUTIONS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1985	FAIRWARNING IP, LLC v. IATRIC SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion

16-1096	FAIRWARNING IP, LLC v. CYNERGISTEK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]		Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-2056	SYNOPSISYS, INC. v. MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1182	IN RE: SHORE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-2223	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTL. v. ROSENTHAL COLLINS GROUP, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Stay	Pending CBM Review	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1267	GT NEXUS, INC. v. INTTRA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1176	BRIXHAM SOLUTIONS, LTD. v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1178	BRIXHAM SOLUTIONS, LTD. v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1294	IN RE: VELOCYS CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1923	LYDA v. CBS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1769	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1892	DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. PARROT S.A. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Schall	Motion to Dismiss	Standing	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-2065	INTERTAINER, INC. v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1082	BROADBAND ITV, INC. v. HAWAIIAN TELCOM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

15-1605	SERVER TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. AMERICAN POWER CONVERSION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1726	HUSKY INJECTION MOLDING SYSTEM v. ATHENA AUTOMATION LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1845	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC v. DIRECTV, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
15-2080	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC v. AMAZON.COM INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-2012	NOVO TRANSFORMA TECHNOLOGIES v. SPRINT SPECTRUM, L.P. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1013	COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. SPRINT COMMUNICATION COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1356	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS OPERATIONS v. LIFESCAN INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1853	CLASSCO, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1527	WESTERNGECO L.L.C. v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP. [OPINION ON REMAND]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Willful Infringement		Vacated	JMOL
15-1662	YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT v. ABBOTT GMBH & CO. KG [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	102(g)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1973	IN RE: LEMAY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECCELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	NA	Jury Verdict/JMOL

15-1945	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1946	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1049	KASPERSKY LAB, INC. v. DEVICE SECURITY, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1080	MCRO, INC. v. BANDAI NAMCO GAMES AMERICA [CORRECTED OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1628	DYNAMIC 3D GEOSOLUTIONS LLC v. SCHLUMBERGER LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Disqualification	Attorney	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1649	SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1318	CAPITAL DYNAMICS v. CAMBRIDGE ASSOCIATES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1597	ASIA VITAL COMPONENTS CO. v. ASETEK DANMARK A/S [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Reversed	Order on Motion
15-1957	UCB, INC. v. YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1890	KOMBEA CORPORATION v. NOGUAR L.C. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIK AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1911	IN RE: NATURAL ALTERNATIVES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1894	VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. VEEAM SOFTWARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-5057	LIBERTY AMMUNITION, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1758	SMITH v. GARLOCK EQUIPMENT COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1777	E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS v. MACDERMID PRINTING SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1936	SEMCON TECH, LLC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1871	APOTEX INC. v. WYETH LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1152	CLEARPLAY, INC. v. CUSTOMPLAY, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1061	PCT INTERNATIONAL INC. v. HOLLAND ELECTRONICS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1210	FARSTONE TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1947	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1565	SCRIPTPRO LLC v. INNOVATION ASSOCIATES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-1004	TDE PETROLEUM DATA SOLUTIONS v. AKM ENTERPRISE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1882	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1057	MICH & MICH TGR, INC v. BRAZABRA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1324	IN RE: CHORNA [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision

16-1044	RB PHARMACEUTICALS LIMITED v. BIODELIVERY SCIENCES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2073	ARENDI S.A.R.L. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1801	VAPOR POINT LLC v. MOORHEAD [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1719	NETAPP, INC. v. ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMM. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1602	IN RE: GORELIK [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1832	IN RE: CSB-SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1050	IN RE: WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1282	ENPLAS CORPORATION v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR CO. LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1891	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. v. POLAR ELECTRO OY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1971	SECURE AXCESS, LLC v. NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-1302	U.S. BANCORP v. SOLUTRAN, INC. [JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1295	MANNATECH, INC. v. WELLNESS QUEST, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1019	IN RE: BIG BABOON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1232	BIG BABOON, INC. v. LEE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion

13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement	271(a)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1731	INNOVENTION TOYS LLC v. MGA ENTERTAINMENT INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1668	KAMDEM-OUAFFO v. PEPSICO INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Breach of Contract		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1420	MULTILAYER STRETCH CLING FILM v. BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1778	ELECTRIC POWER GROUP, LLC v. ALSTOM S.A. [OPINION]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1825	GPNE CORP. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2094	MURATA MACHINERY USA, INC. v. DAIFUKU CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Stay	Pending IPR	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1256	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1485	RESPIRONICS, INC. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1732	ADVANCED GROUND INFORMATION v. LIFE360, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Defendant/Accused Infringer/Appellee
16-1098	NETAC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD v. SANDISK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1970	VERINATA HEALTH, INC. v. ARIOSA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Arbitration Referral		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1300	IN RE: MAGNUM OIL TOOLS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Burden of Proof	IPR	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1435	LENDINGTREE v. ZILLOW, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Inventorship	Changed Inventors	Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L

15-1725	UNWIRED PLANET, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1930	POLAR ELECTRO OY v SUUNTO OY [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Jurisdiction	Personal	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1102	STOPINC AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT v. J.W. HICKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1962	ANDRULIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP. v. CELGENE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1898	SHORTRIDGE v. FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION [OPINION]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1786	BODYMEDIA, INC. v. BASIS SCIENCE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1788	BODYMEDIA, INC. v. BASIS SCIENCE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1927	ZEST IP HOLDINGS, LLC v. IMPLANT DIRECT MFG. LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1469	MEDICINES COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1754	IPCOM GMBH & CO. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1131	MOULDTEC, INC. v. PAGTER & PARTNERS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1011	NETLIST, INC. v. DIABLO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-2069	ARENDI S.A.R.L. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1863	IPLEARN-FOCUS, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-1308	AMGEN INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1570	RAPID LITIGATION MANAGEMENT v. CELLZDIRECT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1601	ROBERT BOSCH HEALTHCARE SYSTEM v. CARDIOCOM, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1931	IN RE: LF CENTENNIAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1763	BASCOM GLOBAL INTERNET v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1099	EXERGEN CORPORATION v. THERMOMEDICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1920	FREIGHT TRACKING TECHNOLOGIES v. VIRGINIA INTERNATIONAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1574	IMMERSION CORPORATION v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Priority Date		Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1796	GRAFTECH INTL. HOLDINGS v. LAIRD TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1995	IN RE: ZHANG [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1893	IN RE: HEDLOY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1093	IN RE: HEDLOY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1533	ALLIED ERECTING v. GENESIS ATTACHMENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1063	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-1432	IN RE: GORELIK [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1720	GENZYME THERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1081	IN RE: KAYYALI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1823	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. TOSHIBA SAMSUNG STORAGE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1822	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. TOSHIBA SAMSUNG STORAGE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1346	SAS INSTITUTE, INC. v. COMPLEMENTSOF, LLC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1886	KICKSTARTER, INC. v. FAN FUNDED, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Stoll, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1862	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. LIFEPORT SCIENCES LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2045	PERFECT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES v. OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1129	INDACON, INC. v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1594	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, INC. v. MASABA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1425	RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC. v. INNOVATIVE WIRELESS SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1447	HBAC MATCHMAKER MEDIA, INC. v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

15-1824	EVEREADY BATTERY COMPANY, INC. v. SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-2086	DAVID NETZER CONSULTING v. SHELL OIL COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Standing		Affirmed	NA
16-1560	IN RE: ARUNACHALAM [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Chen, Hughes, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
14-1697	DEERE & COMPANY v. DUROC LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Reyna	Costs	Document Copying	Affirmed	Assessment of Costs
14-1403	DEERE & COMPANY v. DUROC LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT][1]	Newman, Plager, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1016	PROFECTUS TECHNOLOGY LLC v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1016	PROFECTUS TECHNOLOGY LLC v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1177	IN RE: AQUA PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1799	CLOUDING CORP. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2010	COMMONWEALTH LABORATORIES v. QUINTRON INSTRUMENT COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1646	BLACK & DECKER, INC. v. POSITEC USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1372	TLI COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. AV AUTOMOTIVE, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
15-1844	DIAMOND COATING TECHNOLOGIES v. HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Standing		Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
15-1648	SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1902	INTENDIS GMBH v. GLENMARK PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1232	HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP. v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1244	ENFISH, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-2083	IGT v. ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1874	NORRED v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2061	MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC v. MICROSOFT CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1867	MOTION GAMES, LLC v NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1918	BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY v. BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1568	SURFCAST, INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1834	VEHICLE INTERFACE TECHNOLOGIES v. JAGUAR LAND ROVER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1933	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1693	INTELLIGENT BIO-SYSTEMS, INC. v. ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1784	ENDOTACH LLC v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-2027	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. v. JOHNSON HEALTH TECH CO. LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1564	TRIPLE TEE GOLF, INC v. TAYLOR MADE GOLF COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Clevenger, O'Malley	Intervening Rights	Absolute	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1972	IN RE: RHOADS [OPINION]	Bryson, Clevenger, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1734	EMBLAZE LTD. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1855	OIL STATES ENERGY SERVICES v. GREENE'S ENERGY GROUP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1812	UNWIRED PLANET, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Plager, Reyna	Covered Business Method	Definition	Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1202	GENETIC TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED v. MERIAL L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
15-1716	BAYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY v. WARNEF CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1717	EAST COAST SHEET METAL FABRIC v. AUTODESK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1546	CLEAN AIR ENGINEERING v. ADVANCED CLEANUP TECHNOLOGIES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Schall	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1860	IN RE: PORTAGE ELECTRIC PRODUCTS, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1782	KROY IP HOLDINGS, LLC v. SAFEWAY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Schall	Validity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1562	IN RE: MAN MACHINE INTERFACE TECH LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

15-1553	SPORT DIMENSION, INC. v. THE COLEMAN COMPANY, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Design	Vacated	Consent Judgment
15-1500	MANKES v. VIVID SEATS LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Infringement	Joint	Vacated	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1852	IN RE: BROWN [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1873	TARGET TRAINING INTERNATIONAL v. EXTENDED DISC NORTH AMERICA [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Mootness		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1856	TARGET TRAINING INTERNATIONAL v. EXTENDED DISC INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Mootness		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1876	IN RE: NOBLITT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1620	OSRAM GMBH v. SCHUBERT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1266	DIGITAL REG OF TEXAS, LLC v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1683	IN RE: RIVERA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1878	WONDERLAND NURSERYGOODS CO. v. THORLEY INDUSTRIES LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1222	IN RE: HUBBELL INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1079	REMBRANDT VISION TECHS., L.P. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON VISION CARE [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	New Trial	Infringement	Reversed	Rule 60(b)(3) Decision
14-1172	KANEKA CORPORATION v. JBS HAIR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Plager, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

15-1789	CORE LABORATORIES LP v. SPECTRUM TRACER SERVICES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1988	INVENTOR HOLDINGS, LLC v. BED BATH & BEYOND, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1314	CUTSFORTH, INC. v. MOTIVEPOWER, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1746	MENG v. CHU [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1585	PRIDE MOBILITY PRODUCTS CORP. v. PERMOBIL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Tarant	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1562	CARDPOOL, INC. v. PLASTIC JUNGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Vacatur	Rule 60	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1298	HIGH POINT SARL v. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Estoppel	Equitable	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1767	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNAT v. SUNGARE DATA [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1251	SIMPLEAIR, INC. v. SONY ERICSSON MOBILE [OPINION-]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1140	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. GEOTAG, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1856	ROMAG FASTENERS, INC. v. FOSSIL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Laches		Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1199	CLARE v. CHRYSLER GROUP LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1622	GRANDEYE LIMITED v. GOOGLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1924	EVERYMD LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1728	CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE v. INTL. SECURITIES EXCHANGE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision

15-1743	INTERNATIONAL SECURITIES EXCH. v. CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCH. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity		Moot	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2029	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. DEPOMED, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1116	SHAW INDUSTRIES GROUP v. AUTOMATEC CREEL SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1370	MAG AEROSPACE INDUSTRIES, INC v. B/E AEROSPACE, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1684	DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT INC. v. TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1124	CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SUD-CHEMIE AC [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1476	IN RE: HAGENBUCH [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1365	IN RE: CREE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Clevenger	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1456	ACORDA THERAPEUTICS INC. v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
15-1588	WARNER CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1621	KRAMER v. CREATIVE COMPOUNDS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1616	BRIDGEPORT FITTINGS, INC. v. ARLINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1750	MACDERMID PRINTING SOLUTIONS v. E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1661	FELLOWES, INC. v. ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1457	IN RE: PACTIV LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1513	DELL INC. v. ACCELERON, LLC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1675	RIPARIUS VENTURES LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1757	RIPARIUS VENTURES LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1895	BAKER HUGHES, INC. v. NALCO COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1656	JERICO SYSTEMS CORPORATION v. AXIOMATICS INC. [JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1724	INTERNATIONAL CONTROLS v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1809	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA v. HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1608	COMPUTER SOFTWARE PROTECTION v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1375	HALO CREATIVE & DESIGN v. COMPTOIR DES INDES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Forum Non Conueniens	Adequate Forum	Reversed	Motion to Dismiss
15-1849	IN RE: RAU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1296	COLLECTORS UNIVERSE, INC. v. BLAKE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1772	MODERUSTIC, INC. v. AMERICAN FIREGLASS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

15-1332	ESSOCIATE, INC. v. CLICKBOOTH.COM, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1664	IN RE: SMITH [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1502	IN RE: VARMA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1548	BAMBERG v. DALVEY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1431	IN RE: NEILL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-145	IN RE: QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY AT KINGSTON [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Mandamus	Agent Client Privilege	Reversed	Order on Motion
15-1077	WALLACE v. IDEAVILLAGE PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Design	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1308	LET'S GO AERO, INC. v CEQUENT PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	Order on Motion
15-1532	ULTIMATEPOINTER, L.L.C. v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1297	ULTIMATEPOINTER, L.L.C. v. NINTENDO CO LTD [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1072	HARMONIC, INC. v. AVID TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1391	BLUE CALYPSO, LLC. v. GROUPON, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Covered Business Method	CBM Eligible	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1671	LUMINARA WORLDWIDE, LLC v. LIOWN ELECTRONICS CO. LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1237	EON CORP. IP HOLDINGS LLC v. SILVER SPRING NETWORKS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1498	HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP. v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

14-1812	REMBRANDT SOCIAL MEDIA, LP v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1364	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1361	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1629	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS v. ABBYY USA SOFTWARE HOUSE [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1045	ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION v. FELLOWES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1176	WHITE v. H.J. HEINZ COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-5082	ZOLTEK CORP. v. US [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	COFC Decision
15-1132	THE OHIO WILLOW WOOD COMPANY v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [NEW OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1235	HIGH POINT SARL v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Exhaustion/First Sale		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1321	SECURE WEB CONFERENCE CORP. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1846	LISMONT v. ALEXANDER BINZEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Laches		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1617	LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. IMPRESSION PRODUCTS, INC. [CORRECTED EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Exhaustion/First Sale	First Sale in US with Restrictions	Reversed	Dismissal Motion
15-1632	PHISON ELECTRONICS CORP. v. SILICON MOTION TECHNOLOGY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1719	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

14-1394	AVIVA SPORTS, INC. v. FINGERHUT DIRECT MARKETING [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1619	AVIVA SPORTS, INC. v. FINGERHUT DIRECT MARKETING [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1317	IN RE: GEVO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1732	CONVOLVE, INC. v. COMPAQ COMPUTER CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2050	DRIESSEN v. SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1646	TRANSWEB, LLC v. 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Corroboration	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1516	SYNOPSIS, INC. v. MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Final Written Decision	Must Address Every Claim	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1183	SYNOPSIS, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Moot	Motion to Dismiss
15-1428	ROSEBUD LMS INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Damages	Pre-Issuance	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1630	NETAC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. SANDISK CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1642	MACROSOLVE, INC. v. GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INS. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1552	PRINCETON DIGITAL IMAGE CORP. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1598	SMARTMETRIC INC. v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1525	CLEAR WITH COMPUTERS, LLC v. ALTEC INDUSTRIES, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion

15-1669	LELY PATENT N.V. v. DELAVAL INTERNATIONAL AB [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
13-1670	OPTI INC. v. VIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1699	BERRY v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Reyna	Contract		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1761	GREENLAND v. HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1475	INTERFACE, INC. v. TANDUS CENTIVA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1837	IBM v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1488	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA v. HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1722	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA v. HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1687	IN RE: FILLER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1413	BOARD OF TRUSTEES v. ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1715	CHIZMAR v. ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Clevenger	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1634	WIRELESS MEDIA INNOVATIONS v. MAHER TERMINALS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Clevenger	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
15-1596	BARRON v. SCVNGR, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1504	TRIREME MEDICAL, LLC v. ANGIOSCORE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Prost	Inventorship	Correction	Reversed	Dismissal Motion
15-1631	TRIVASCULAR, INC. v. SAMUELS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1412	SPECIALIZED BICYCLE COMPONENTS v. K.G. MOTORS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1587	HUANG v. MARKLYN GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Design	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1434	RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CAMTEK, LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1776	KARL STORZ ENDOSCOPY-AMERICA v. INTEGRATED MEDICAL SYSTEMS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1146	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. SYMANTEC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1448	SITE UPDATE SOLUTIONS, LLC v. CBS CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1294	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. EPIC PHARMA, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1331	AKZO NOBEL COATINGS, INC. v. DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1246	AVID TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. HARMONIC, INC [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1123	ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD. v. INTELLIGENT BIO-SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1200	INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH v. PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1280	AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES v. WATERS TECHNOLOGIES [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Standing		Dismissed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1275	LUMEN VIEW TECHNOLOGY LLC v. FINDTHEBEST.COM, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Objective Baselessness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1265	PFIZER INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, O'Malley	Patent Term Extension	Computation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

15-1316	CUTSFORTH, INC. v. MOTIVEPOWER, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1149	LIFESCAN SCOTLAND, LTD. v. PHARMATECH SOLUTIONS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1415	MORTGAGE GRADER, INC. v. FIRST CHOICE LOAN SERVICES [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stark*, Taranto	Local Rules		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1263	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS, LLC v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1603	INTELLIGENT VERIFICATION v. MAJESCO ENTERTAINMENT CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1575	THOMAS v. PIPPIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1615	IN RE: CLOUDING CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1311	RADIO SYSTEMS CORPORATION v. LALOR [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1468	BIOMET ORTHOPEDICS, LLC v. PUGET BIOVENTURES LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1771	ETHICON ENDO-SURGERY, INC. v. COVIDIEN LP [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Inter Partes Review	Procedural	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1131	PFIZER INC. v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1508	IN RE: ASKOFF [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1542	AERO-STREAM, LLC v. SEPTICAIRAIID, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1408	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA v. HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1409	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA v. HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1387	IN RE: VI-JON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1272	IN RE: URBANSKI [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1437	WI-LAN, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1293	HANGARTNER v. INTEL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1659	PURDUE PHARMACEUTICAL v. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1328	REPROS THERAPEUTICS INC. v. FISCH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Moore, Wallach	Inventorship	Correction	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1492	PRICEPLAY.COM, INC. v. AOL ADVERTISING, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
15-1047	REDLINE DETECTION, LLC v. STAR ENVIROTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Inter Partes Review	Procedural	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, O'Malley	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1411	VEHICLE INTELLIGENCE v. MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
14-1825	PERSONALIZED MEDIA v. ROVI GUIDES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	License Agreement		Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1507	EDGE SYSTEMS LLC v. AGUILA [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
14-1778	SOUTH ALABAMA MEDICAL SCIENCE v. GNOSIS S.P.A. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1779	MERCK & CIE v. GNOSIS S.P.A. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1015	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY v. VIBRANT MEDIA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1453	IN RE: DISTEFANO [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Printed Matter Doctrine	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1315	CUTSFORTH, INC. v. MOTIVEPOWER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1261	CLOUD SACHEL, LLC v. BARNES & NOBLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1802	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent		Order on Motion
15-1307	IN RE: EDWARD TOBINICK [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
14-1459	SYNQOR, INC. v. ARTESYN TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1550	WORLDWIDE HOME PRODUCTS, INC. v. TIME, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1880	PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. v. TWI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1359	STEPHENSON v. GAME SHOW NETWORK, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1159	SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Covered Business Method	324 Appeal Bar	NA	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1108	OPENWAVE SYSTEMS, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1330	TRUEPOSITION INC. v. POLARIS WIRELESS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1478	SINO LEGEND (ZHANGJIAGANG) v. ITI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	USITC Decision

15-1342	YISSUM RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT CO v. SONY CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1281	WATERS TECHNOLOGIES v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1436	PROVERIS SCIENTIFIC CORP. v. INNOVASYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1403	BASEBALL QUICK, LLC v. MLB ADVANCED MEDIA, L.P. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1020	PROLITEC, INC. v. SCENTAIR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1066	COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Damages	Methodology		Bench Trial
14-1135	CARDISOFT, LLC v. VERIFONE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1305	IN RE: HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1091	MCM PORTFOLIO LLC v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Inter Partes Review	Unreviewable - 314(d)	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1212	STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC. v. SIPNET EU S.R.O. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1710	IN RE: COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Interference	135(b)(1)	Reversed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1194	CIOFFI v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Plager	Infringement		Vacated	Consent Judgment
15-1215	ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS v. VERINATA HEALTH, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
9-1372	AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC. [PANEL OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1179	INPHI CORPORATION v. NETLIST, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

15-1336	MORSA v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1324	ALPHA TECHNOLOGY U.S.A. CORP. v. MLSNA DAIRY SUPPLY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1197	CUBIST PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Certificate of Correction	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1572	DELORME PUBLISHING CO., INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Penalty	ITC	Affirmed	USITC Decision
15-1169	DELORME PUBLISHING CO., INC. v. BRIARTEK IP, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
14-1829	ADVANCED STEEL RECOVERY, LLC v. X-BODY EQUIPMENT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1008	IN RE: ENCAP, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1327	IN RE: SCHWARZ [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1449	GOLD MEDAL PRODUCTS CO. v. C. CRETORS AND COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1242	CLICK-TO-CALL TECHNOLOGIES, LP v. ORACLE CORPORATION [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stark*, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1189	INVENTIO AG v. THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1634	PROMETHEUS LABORATORIES, INC. v. ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1355	TEXCHEM ADVANCED PRODUCTS INC v. E.PAK INTERNATIONAL INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Plager, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1286	AIP ACQUISITION LLC v. LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

14-1845	IMAGINAL SYSTEMATIC, LLC v. LEGGETT & PLATT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1274	MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Infringement	271(g)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1527	CLEARCORRECT OPERATING, LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Prost	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	USITC Decision
15-1304	BETTER FOOD CHOICES LLC v. MYNETDIARY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O`Malley, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1582	IN RE: TAYLOR [OPINION]	O`Malley, Stark*, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1575	BELDEN INC. v. BERK-TEK LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1639	TSE v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1626	YUFA v. HACH ULTRA ANALYTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1816	PACTIV, LLC v. MULTISORB TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-5079	GAL-OR v. US [OPINION]	Bryson, O`Malley, Plager	Dismissal Motion		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
15-1041	TESCO CORPORATION v. NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O`Malley	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Prosecution History Estoppel	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Willful Infringement		Vacated	JMOL
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	JMOL
15-1827	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1827	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1827	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

16-1028	ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. v. CAMBER PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1028	ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. v. CAMBER PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-125	IN RE: REARDEN LLC [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1808	INTERMEC, INC. v. ALIEN TECHNOLOGY, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1810	UNWIRED PLANET, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2043	PERFECT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES v. OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2043	PERFECT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES v. OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2043	PERFECT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES v. OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2043	PERFECT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES v. OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1672	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1672	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1672	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1494	SCHOELLER-BLECKMAN OILFIELD v. CHURCHILL DRILLING TOOLS US [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1773	REG SYNTHETIC FUELS, LLC v. NESTE OIL OYJ [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	102(g)	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1773	REG SYNTHETIC FUELS, LLC v. NESTE OIL OYJ [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1773	REG SYNTHETIC FUELS, LLC v. NESTE OIL OYJ [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Evidence	Hearsay	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1773	REG SYNTHETIC FUELS, LLC v. NESTE OIL OYJ [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Evidence	Hearsay	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1773	REG SYNTHETIC FUELS, LLC v. NESTE OIL OYJ [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Evidence	Authentication	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1370	RED DOG MOBILE SHELTERS, LLC v. KAT INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1370	RED DOG MOBILE SHELTERS, LLC v. KAT INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1370	RED DOG MOBILE SHELTERS, LLC v. KAT INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1979	GE LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC v. LIGHTS OF AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-1216	PROFOOT, INC. v. MERCK & CO., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1977	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. ROBERT BOSCH HEALTHCARE [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2040	LARGE AUDIENCE DISPLAY SYSTEMS v. TENNMAN PRODUCTIONS, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1003	IN RE: EFTHYMIPOULOS [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1146	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1200	POLY-AMERICA, L.P. v. API INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-1046	DATATREASURY CORPORATION v. FIDELITY NATIONAL INFORMATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1156	IN RE: ANDERSON [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Willful Infringement		Vacated	JMOL
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1769	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1769	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion

15-1923	LYDA v. CBS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1892	DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. PARROT S.A. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Schall	Discovery	Compelling	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1892	DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. PARROT S.A. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Schall	Sanctions	Discovery	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1892	DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. PARROT S.A. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Schall	Damages		Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1892	DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. PARROT S.A. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-2065	INTERTAINER, INC. v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Waiver		NA	PTAB CBM Decision
15-2065	INTERTAINER, INC. v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-2065	INTERTAINER, INC. v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1605	SERVER TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. AMERICAN POWER CONVERSION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1605	SERVER TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. AMERICAN POWER CONVERSION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1356	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS OPERATIONS v. LIFESCAN INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1853	CLASSCO, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1997	DAKO DENMARK A/S v. LEICA BIOSYSTEMS MELBOURNE [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1734	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ENFISH, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2090	MICROGRAFX, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2091	MICROGRAFX, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-2090	MICROGRAFX, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Harmless Error		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2090	MICROGRAFX, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Motion to Amend		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2090	MICROGRAFX, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Covered Business Method	Definition		PTAB CBM Decision
15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1734	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ENFISH, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1997	DAKO DENMARK A/S v. LEICA BIOSYSTEMS MELBOURNE [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1997	DAKO DENMARK A/S v. LEICA BIOSYSTEMS MELBOURNE [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1997	DAKO DENMARK A/S v. LEICA BIOSYSTEMS MELBOURNE [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1662	YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT v. ABBOTT GMBH & CO. KG [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1973	IN RE: LEMAY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECCELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECCELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Joint	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECCELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECCELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECCELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECCELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Content of Prior Art		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion

13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1628	DYNAMIC 3D GEOSOLUTIONS LLC v SCHLUMBERGER LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Motion to Dismiss		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1649	SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1649	SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1649	SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1957	UCB, INC. v. YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1911	IN RE: NATURAL ALTERNATIVES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1894	VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. VEEAM SOFTWARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1894	VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. VEEAM SOFTWARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Motion to Amend		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-5057	LIBERTY AMMUNITION, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial

15-5057	LIBERTY AMMUNITION, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-5057	LIBERTY AMMUNITION, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-5057	LIBERTY AMMUNITION, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Breach of Contract	Authority to Enter into Contract	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1758	SMITH v. GARLOCK EQUIPMENT COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1758	SMITH v. GARLOCK EQUIPMENT COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1758	SMITH v. GARLOCK EQUIPMENT COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1777	E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS v. MACDERMID PRINTING SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1777	E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS v. MACDERMID PRINTING SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1777	E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS v. MACDERMID PRINTING SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Reassignment	Judge	NA	NA
15-1871	APOTEX INC. v. WYETH LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1882	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1057	MICH & MICH TGR, INC v. BRAZABRA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1057	MICH & MICH TGR, INC v. BRAZABRA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Waiver	Claim	NA	NA
15-1801	VAPOR POINT LLC v. MOORHEAD [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Waiver	Claim	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1801	VAPOR POINT LLC v. MOORHEAD [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1975	IN RE: VAN OS [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1449	SONIX TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. PUBLICATIONS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1676	WALKER v. HEALTH INTERNATIONAL CORP [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	28usc1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1050	IN RE: WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1050	IN RE: WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1050	IN RE: WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1832	IN RE: CSB-SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1832	IN RE: CSB-SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1832	IN RE: CSB-SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam
16-1602	IN RE: GORELIK [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision

16-1602	IN RE: GORELIK [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1891	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. v. POLAR ELECTRO OY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1891	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. v. POLAR ELECTRO OY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement	Offer for Sale	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Waiver	Rule 50	NA	Jury Verdict
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
16-1295	MANNATECH, INC. v. WELLNESS QUEST, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1668	KAMDEM-OUAFFO v. PEPSICO INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Unjust Enrichment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1668	KAMDEM-OUAFFO v. PEPSICO INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Inventorship	Correction	Affirmed	Order on Motion

16-1668	KAMDEM-OUAFFO v. PEPSICO INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Statute of Limitations	State Law Claims	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1420	MULTILAYER STRETCH CLING FILM v. BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Taranto	Validity	112(d) - specify a further limitation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1420	MULTILAYER STRETCH CLING FILM v. BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1420	MULTILAYER STRETCH CLING FILM v. BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Taranto	Infringement	Design	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1420	MULTILAYER STRETCH CLING FILM v. BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Taranto	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1517	UUSI, LLC v. WEBASTO ROOF SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1820	PERALTA v. CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
16-1416	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. COMPASS BANK [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1360	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1494	A&J MANUFACTURING, LLC v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-1455	PALMETTO PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-1211	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1480	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1934	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Waiver		NA	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1614	VOXATHON LLC v. FCA US LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]		Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1372	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2062	CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CLARIANT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1286	MACROPOINT, LLC v. FOURKITES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
15-1670	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Waiver	Defense	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1015	IMPULSE TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2008	PERSONALIZED MEDIA v. AMAZON.COM INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1026	ASETEK DANMARK A/S v. CMI USA INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1934	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Expert Evidence Required		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1934	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1934	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Expert Evidence Required		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1934	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1211	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1211	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1211	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1211	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1211	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1480	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

16-1820	PERALTA v. CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1820	PERALTA v. CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1820	PERALTA v. CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Sovereign Immunity	Acting in Individual Capacity	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1820	PERALTA v. CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1820	PERALTA v. CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Disqualification	Judge	NA	NA
15-1670	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1015	IMPULSE TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1015	IMPULSE TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1026	ASETEK DANMARK A/S v. CMI USA INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1026	ASETEK DANMARK A/S v. CMI USA INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1026	ASETEK DANMARK A/S v. CMI USA INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1026	ASETEK DANMARK A/S v. CMI USA INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1026	ASETEK DANMARK A/S v. CMI USA INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1256	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1256	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1256	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Waiver		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1825	GPNE CORP. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

15-1825	GPNE CORP. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Waiver		NA	Jury Instruction
15-1825	GPNE CORP. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
15-1825	GPNE CORP. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-2094	MURATA MACHINERY USA, INC. v. DAIFUKU CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1950	U.S. WATER SERVICES, INC. v. NOVOZYMES A/S [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-1392	UNITED CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS, v. TILE TECH, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Default		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-2019	MEDGRAPH, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1218	IN RE: CELGARD, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1932	MELCHIOR v. HILITE INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1619	SUPERNUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC v. ACTAVIS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Infringement	Contributory	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Jury Instructions	Validity	Reversed	Jury Instruction
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Evidence	Corroboration	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1950	U.S. WATER SERVICES, INC. v. NOVOZYMES A/S [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1950	U.S. WATER SERVICES, INC. v. NOVOZYMES A/S [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1392	UNITED CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS, v. TILE TECH, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-2019	MEDGRAPH, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Infringement	Indirect	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-2019	MEDGRAPH, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-2019	MEDGRAPH, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1932	MELCHIOR v. HILITE INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Jury Instructions	Infringement	Vacated	Jury Instruction
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Moot	Order on Motion
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1732	ADVANCED GROUND INFORMATION v. LIFE360, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1732	ADVANCED GROUND INFORMATION v. LIFE360, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1098	NETAC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD v. SANDISK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Priority Date		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1098	NETAC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD v. SANDISK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1098	NETAC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD v. SANDISK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Waiver		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1098	NETAC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD v. SANDISK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1098	NETAC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD v. SANDISK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

14-1435	LENDINGTREE v. ZILLOW, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1300	IN RE: MAGNUM OIL TOOLS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1300	IN RE: MAGNUM OIL TOOLS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1725	UNWIRED PLANET, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1725	UNWIRED PLANET, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1725	UNWIRED PLANET, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Indirect	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1754	IPCOM GMBH & CO. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1308	AMGEN INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	BPCIA Violation		Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1931	IN RE: LF CENTENNIAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1931	IN RE: LF CENTENNIAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1574	IMMERSION CORPORATION v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1796	GRAFTECH INTL. HOLDINGS v. LAIRD TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1796	GRAFTECH INTL. HOLDINGS v. LAIRD TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1796	GRAFTECH INTL. HOLDINGS v. LAIRD TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1796	GRAFTECH INTL. HOLDINGS v. LAIRD TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1995	IN RE: ZHANG [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1533	ALLIED ERECTING v. GENESIS ATTACHMENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1063	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1063	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1063	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1720	GENZYME THERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1720	GENZYME THERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1720	GENZYME THERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1720	GENZYME THERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1081	IN RE: KAYYALI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1081	IN RE: KAYYALI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1081	IN RE: KAYYALI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1432	IN RE: GORELIK [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1346	SAS INSTITUTE, INC. v. COMPLEMENTSOF, LLC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1346	SAS INSTITUTE, INC. v. COMPLEMENTSOF, LLC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1346	SAS INSTITUTE, INC. v. COMPLEMENTSOF, LLC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1346	SAS INSTITUTE, INC. v. COMPLEMENTSOF, LLC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Inter Partes Review	Proper to Challenge Fewer than All Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1129	INDACON, INC. v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1129	INDACON, INC. v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1594	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, INC. v. MASABA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1594	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, INC. v. MASABA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1594	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, INC. v. MASABA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1594	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, INC. v. MASABA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1425	RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC. v. INNOVATIVE WIRELESS SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment

15-1447	HBAC MATCHMAKER MEDIA, INC. v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment
15-1824	EVEREADY BATTERY COMPANY, INC. v. SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1824	EVEREADY BATTERY COMPANY, INC. v. SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-2086	DAVID NETZER CONSULTING v. SHELL OIL COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-2086	DAVID NETZER CONSULTING v. SHELL OIL COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-2086	DAVID NETZER CONSULTING v. SHELL OIL COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-2086	DAVID NETZER CONSULTING v. SHELL OIL COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1697	DEERE & COMPANY v. DUROC LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Reyna	Costs	e-Discovery	Affirmed	Assessment of Costs
14-1697	DEERE & COMPANY v. DUROC LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Reyna	Costs	Trial Exemplifications	Affirmed	Assessment of Costs
15-1646	BLACK & DECKER, INC. v. POSITEC USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1646	BLACK & DECKER, INC. v. POSITEC USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1844	DIAMOND COATING TECHNOLOGIES v. HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Assignment		Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1592	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1418	RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CAMTEK, LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1665	CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Linn, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1716	LEAR CORPORATION v. NHK SEATING OF AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1422	WILDCAT LICENSING WI, LLC v. ADIENT PLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1418	RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CAMTEK, LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1418	RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CAMTEK, LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1418	RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CAMTEK, LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1418	RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CAMTEK, LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1592	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1592	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1592	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1477	NORTHPEAK WIRELESS, LLC v. 3COM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1477	NORTHPEAK WIRELESS, LLC v. 3COM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Prost	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1696	IN RE: ETHICON, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1676	WALKER v. HEALTH INTERNATIONAL CORP [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Sanctions	Appeal	NA	NA
15-1676	WALKER v. HEALTH INTERNATIONAL CORP [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Costs		NA	NA

15-1696	IN RE: ETHICON, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1696	IN RE: ETHICON, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1696	IN RE: ETHICON, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1975	IN RE: VAN OS [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1903	FO2GO LLC v. PINTEREST, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Tarantc	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1626	UTILITY ASSOCIATES, INC. v. DIGITAL ALLY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Tarantc	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2067	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Joint	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1521	AMERICA'S COLLECTIBLES NETWORK v. THE JEWELRY CHANNEL, INC. USA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarantc	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1485	MEARS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. FINISAR CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarantc	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1989	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarantc	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
16-1684	CALLWAVE COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-1299	LEAK SURVEYS, INC. v. FLIR SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1448	CLOUD FARM ASSOCIATES LP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

16-1487	IN RE: CHUDIK [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1544	PHIGENIX, INC. v. IMMUNOGEN, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Standing	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1831	ARUNACHALAM v. FREMONT BANCORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Unspecified	Unknown		Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
15-2067	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-2067	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2067	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-2067	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-2067	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1448	CLOUD FARM ASSOCIATES LP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1448	CLOUD FARM ASSOCIATES LP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1448	CLOUD FARM ASSOCIATES LP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1448	CLOUD FARM ASSOCIATES LP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1448	CLOUD FARM ASSOCIATES LP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-1448	CLOUD FARM ASSOCIATES LP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

16-1902	IN RE: CHUDIK [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Review Decision
16-1034	HEALTHTRIO LLC v. AETNA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1698	RANIERE v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Standing		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1558	MONKEYMEDIA, INC v. BUENA VISTA HOME ENTERTAINMENT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam
16-1399	MONEYCAT LTD. v. EBAY INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1616	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTL v CQG, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1620	MAX SOUND CORPORATION v. GOOGLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Standing		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1327	ZOND LLC v. GILLETTE COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1393	ZOND LLC v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1423	ZOND LLC v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1766	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. ERICSSON, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1766	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. ERICSSON, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1766	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. ERICSSON, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1766	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. ERICSSON, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1766	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. ERICSSON, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Wallach	License Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1664	CAO GROUP, INC. v. BIOLASE TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

16-1214	CHAN v. YANG [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
16-1537	GOLDEN BRIDGE TECHNOLOGY, INC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O`Malley, Wallach	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1385	ADA SOLUTIONS, INC. v. ENGINEERED PLASTICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1155	CUMBERLAND PHARMACEUTICALS v. MYLAN INSTITUTIONAL LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-1266	IN RE: SCHWEICKERT [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1470	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES INC v. GLOBAL TELLINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	License Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1406	NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P. v. OMRON OILFIELD & MARINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2713	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1410	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1390	ZHEJIANG MEDICINE CO., LTD. v. KANEKA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O`Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1390	ZHEJIANG MEDICINE CO., LTD. v. KANEKA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O`Malley	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1390	ZHEJIANG MEDICINE CO., LTD. v. KANEKA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O`Malley	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
16-1410	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling

16-1410	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Evidence	Rule 402 - Relevant and Irrelevant Evidence	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1410	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1410	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1410	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-2713	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Tarantc	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-2713	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Tarantc	Validity		Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1406	NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P. v. OMRON OILFIELD & MARINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Dismissal Motion	With Prejudice	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1406	NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P. v. OMRON OILFIELD & MARINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1406	NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P. v. OMRON OILFIELD & MARINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1406	NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P. v. OMRON OILFIELD & MARINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity		Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1406	NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P. v. OMRON OILFIELD & MARINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Infringement		Vacated	Summary Judgment
16-1470	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES INC v. GLOBAL TELLINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Damages		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1470	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES INC v. GLOBAL TELLINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Expert Evidence Required		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-1266	IN RE: SCHWEICKERT [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1155	CUMBERLAND PHARMACEUTICALS v. MYLAN INSTITUTIONAL LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Derivation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1155	CUMBERLAND PHARMACEUTICALS v. MYLAN INSTITUTIONAL LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1535	3FORM, INC. v. LUMICOR, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-1535	3FORM, INC. v. LUMICOR, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1535	3FORM, INC. v. LUMICOR, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1535	3FORM, INC. v. LUMICOR, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1535	3FORM, INC. v. LUMICOR, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Waiver	Claim	NA	NA
16-1535	3FORM, INC. v. LUMICOR, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1785	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT LLC v. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-2077	APPISTRY, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2417	APPISTRY, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1406	PPG INDUSTRIES, INC. v. VALSPAR SOURCING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity		Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1579	CHICO'S FAS, INC. v. CLAIR [ORDER]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Inventorship	Correction	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1875	GREATBATCH LTD. v. AVX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1335	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [SCOTUS REMAND OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Design	Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L

16-1406	PPG INDUSTRIES, INC. v. VALSPAR SOURCING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Standing	Appeal	Moot	NA
16-1785	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT LLC v. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	ANDA	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-2038	SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1188	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE LLC v. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss; Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2433	METALCRAFT OF MAYVILLE, INC. v. THE TORO COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1301	WUNDAFORMER, LLC v. FLEX STUDIOS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-2056	AAT BIOQUEST, INC. v. TEXAS FLUORESCENCE [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1919	XILINX, INC. v. PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Dismissal Motion
15-1774	ORGANIK KIMYA v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Sanctions	Rule 37	Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-1174	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1877	IN RE: FERRI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1636	LMK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. BLD SERVICES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1177	QUALTRICS, LLC v. OPINIONLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1243	MPHJ TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS v. RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1371	IN RE: LOCKWOOD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

16-1879	SPECK v. BATES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Estoppel		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
16-1672	RACETECH, LLC v. KENTUCKY DOWNS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1204	SUPERIOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. VOLTSTAR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1371	IN RE: LOCKWOOD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1243	MPHJ TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS v. RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1243	MPHJ TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS v. RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1177	QUALTRICS, LLC v. OPINIONLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1174	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1174	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1774	ORGANIK KIMYA v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Spoliation of Evidence		Affirmed	USITC Decision
15-1774	ORGANIK KIMYA v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Limited Exclusion Order		Affirmed	Default Judgment
16-2433	METALCRAFT OF MAYVILLE, INC. v. THE TORO COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-2433	METALCRAFT OF MAYVILLE, INC. v. THE TORO COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-2433	METALCRAFT OF MAYVILLE, INC. v. THE TORO COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling

16-2433	METALCRAFT OF MAYVILLE, INC. v. THE TORO COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1301	WUNDAFORMER, LLC v. FLEX STUDIOS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1301	WUNDAFORMER, LLC v. FLEX STUDIOS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment
16-1188	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE LLC v. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss; Judgment on the Pleadings
15-2038	SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2038	SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2038	SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2038	SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1518	LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Priority Date		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1547	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Content of Prior Art		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1788	IN RE: ALSABAH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1179	PARUS HOLDINGS INC v. SALLIE MAE BANK [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1262	TQP DEVELOPMENT LLC v. NEWEGG, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1476	ADVANCED VIDEO TECHNOLOGIES v. HTC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1475	ICON HEALTH AND FITNESS, INC. v. STRAVA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

16-1184	KNAUF INSULATION, INC. v. ROCKWOOL INTERNATIONAL A/S [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1354	SECURE AXCESS, LLC v. EMC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1353	SECURE AXCESS, LLC v. PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Covered Business Method	CBM Eligible	Reversed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1378	IN RE: DEPOMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1353	SECURE AXCESS, LLC v. PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1353	SECURE AXCESS, LLC v. PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1475	ICON HEALTH AND FITNESS, INC. v. STRAVA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1475	ICON HEALTH AND FITNESS, INC. v. STRAVA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1475	ICON HEALTH AND FITNESS, INC. v. STRAVA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1475	ICON HEALTH AND FITNESS, INC. v. STRAVA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1184	KNAUF INSULATION, INC. v. ROCKWOOL INTERNATIONAL A/S [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1547	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1518	LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1518	LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1518	LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1691	ENOVSYS LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1748	TIETEX INTERNATIONAL LTD. v. PRECISION FABRICS GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1622	ATHENAHEALTH, INC. v. CARECLOUD CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1768	MH SYSTEMS, INC. v. COLDHARBOUR MARINE LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-5150	THALES VISIONIX INC. v. US [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1992	COMCAST IP HOLDINGS I LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1510	TRUSTED KNIGHT CORPORATION v. IBM [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1128	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Standing		Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1077	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Rule 54(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1647	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1896	HYUNDAI MOBIS CO., LTD. v. AUTOLIV ASP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1644	POWER SURVEY, LLC v. L-3 COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1755	MEIRESONNE v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1456	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chin, Linn, Taranto	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1306	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1384	SKULLCANDY, INC. v. CSR LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1530	BAYER CROPSOURCE AG v. DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Confirmation of Arbitration Award		Affirmed	Confirmation of Arbitration Award
16-1800	PROBATTER SPORTS, LLC v. SPORTS TUTOR INC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1059	SMARTFLASH LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1059	SMARTFLASH LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement		Vacated	Jury Verdict
16-1384	SKULLCANDY, INC. v. CSR LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1384	SKULLCANDY, INC. v. CSR LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1306	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1306	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Infringement		Vacated	Consent Judgment
16-1456	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chin, Linn, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1456	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chin, Linn, Taranto	New Trial		Affirmed	Post-Trial Motion

16-1456	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chin, Linn, Taranto	Evidence	Rule 403	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1456	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chin, Linn, Taranto	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1456	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chin, Linn, Taranto	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Affirmed	Post-Trial Motion
16-1755	MEIRESONNE v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1644	POWER SURVEY, LLC v. L-3 COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2281	ERESEARCHTECHNOLOGY, INC. v. CRF, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1756	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2022	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1762	NORDOCK, INC. v. SYSTEMS INC. [SCOTUS REMAND OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Damages	Infringer's Profits	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1854	BAYER CROPSOURCE AG v. DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1085	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-2015	TOMITA TECHNOLOGIES USA, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1119	COFFELT v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss

16-1781	CLARILOGIC, INC. v. FORMFREE HOLDINGS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1805	BEAR CREEK TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2312	NEXTPPOINT, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1900	NIDEC MOTOR CORPORATION v. ZHONGSHAN BROAD OCEAN MOTOR [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2079	IN RE: SALWAN [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1943	NETWORK APPAREL GROUP, LP v. AIRWAVE NETWORKS INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1336	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH v. MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Objective Baselessness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1562	TVIIM, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1332	ADVANCED GROUND INFORMATION v. LIFE360, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2272	VOTER VERIFIED, INC. v. PREMIER ELECTION SOLUTIONS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2171	IN RE: CHUDIK [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1287	ENOVA TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY (US) [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1401	MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1639	HUSTER v. J2 CLOUD SERVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Standing		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1901	GOOGLE INC. v. SIMPLEAIR, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Waiver	Claim Construction	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1519	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. COMMERCE BANCSHARES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2122	TMC FUEL INJECTION SYSTEM, LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1817	IN RE: CHUDIK [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1647	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1647	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1647	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1647	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1647	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1510	TRUSTED KNIGHT CORPORATION v. IBM [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1510	TRUSTED KNIGHT CORPORATION v. IBM [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1128	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Dismissal Motion	Lack of Standing	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1128	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Motion to Dismiss
16-1128	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1077	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1077	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1992	COMCAST IP HOLDINGS I LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1992	COMCAST IP HOLDINGS I LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1992	COMCAST IP HOLDINGS I LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1992	COMCAST IP HOLDINGS I LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-5150	THALES VISIONIX INC. v. US [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2079	IN RE: SALWAN [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision

16-1714	WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1683	NET TALK.COM, INC. v. MAGICJACK VOCALTEC LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
16-2025	AMERISOURCEBERGE N v. FFF ENTERPRISES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1738	HILLCREST LABORATORIES, INC. v. MOVEA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1113	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. MYLAN, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Bench Trial
16-1839	IN RE: HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1994	SKILLSURVEY, INC. v. CHECKSTER LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2286	WHITE KNUCKLE GAMING v. ELECTRONIC ARTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2370	OPEN PARKING, LLC v. PARKME, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
17-1244	GUO v. LEE [OPINION]	Schall, Stoll, Taranto	Attorney-Agent Licensing		Affirmed	USPTO Decision
16-1678	NOVARTIS AG v. NOVEN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Law of the Case		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1996	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1119	COFFELT v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1781	CLARIOLOGIC, INC. v. FORMFREE HOLDINGS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Estoppel	Assignor	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Evidence		Reversed	Order on Motion in Limine
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Res Judicata		Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-2015	TOMITA TECHNOLOGIES USA, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2015	TOMITA TECHNOLOGIES USA, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Means For	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1085	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1085	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy	Reversed	Dismissal Motion
16-1519	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. COMMERCE BANCSHARES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1901	GOOGLE INC. v. SIMPLEAIR, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1901	GOOGLE INC. v. SIMPLEAIR, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1678	NOVARTIS AG v. NOVEN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1678	NOVARTIS AG v. NOVEN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1678	NOVARTIS AG v. NOVEN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1854	BAYER CROPSCIENCE AG v. DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Exceptional Case	Objective Baselessness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1854	BAYER CROPSCIENCE AG v. DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1562	TVIIM, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

16-1562	TVIIM, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1562	TVIIM, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1562	TVIIM, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1562	TVIIM, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1562	TVIIM, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Harmless Error		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1336	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH v. MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2122	TMC FUEL INJECTION SYSTEM, LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1817	IN RE: CHUDIK [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1639	HUSTER v. J2 CLOUD SERVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Statute of Limitations	State Law Claims	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1113	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. MYLAN, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1113	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. MYLAN, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-1113	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. MYLAN, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1002	FAIRCHILD (TAIWAN) CORP. v. POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Jurisdiction	35 USC 317	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1746	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1374	IPR LICENSING, INC. v. ZTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1947	WEST VIEW RESEARCH, LLC v. AUDI AG [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings

16-1803	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1982	PHIL-INSUL CORP. v. AIRLITE PLASTICS CO. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1729	REMBRANDT WIRELESS v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-2037	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1402	IN RE: APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-2318	GRAVELLE v. KABA ILCO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	False Patent Marking		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2323	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. XILINX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2193	PRESERVATION WELLNESS v. ALLSCRIPTS HEALTHCARE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1352	NOVARTIS AG v. TORRENT PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2320	ALI v. CARNEGIE INSTITUTION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1976	ZAGG INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY v. TECH 21 UK LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2163	INTEGRATED CLAIMS SYSTEMS, LLC v. TRAVELERS LLOYDS OF TEXAS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-2325	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY v. SUN PHARMA GLOBAL FZE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
16-1786	ETHOX CHEMICALS, LLC v. THE COCA-COLA COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Schall, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

16-2320	ALI v. CARNEGIE INSTITUTION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Discovery	Compelling	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2320	ALI v. CARNEGIE INSTITUTION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Parties	Necessary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2320	ALI v. CARNEGIE INSTITUTION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2320	ALI v. CARNEGIE INSTITUTION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Dismissal Motion		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2318	GRAVELLE v. KABA ILCO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Discovery	Expenses	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2318	GRAVELLE v. KABA ILCO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1352	NOVARTIS AG v. TORRENT PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1352	NOVARTIS AG v. TORRENT PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Substantial Evidence	Motivation to Combine	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1352	NOVARTIS AG v. TORRENT PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Waiver	New Argument or Appeal	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1352	NOVARTIS AG v. TORRENT PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1402	IN RE: APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1402	IN RE: APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity		Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1402	IN RE: APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1585	NICHIA CORPORATION v. EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1499	RECOGNICORP, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1956	SYNOPSISYS, INC. v. ATOPTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1895	AUTOLIV ASP, INC. v. HYUNDAI MOBIS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1349	SKEDCO, INC. v. STRATEGIC OPERATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-2037	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Infringement	Means For	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1982	PHIL-INSUL CORP. v. AIRLITE PLASTICS CO. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1982	PHIL-INSUL CORP. v. AIRLITE PLASTICS CO. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1729	REMBRANDT WIRELESS v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1729	REMBRANDT WIRELESS v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1729	REMBRANDT WIRELESS v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1729	REMBRANDT WIRELESS v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Substantial Evidence	Damages	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1729	REMBRANDT WIRELESS v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Limitation of Damages	Failure to Mark	Reversed	Order on Motion
16-1729	REMBRANDT WIRELESS v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Order on Motion

16-1803	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1803	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1803	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Substantial Evidence	Content of Prior Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1947	WEST VIEW RESEARCH, LLC v. AUDI AG [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1374	IPR LICENSING, INC. v. ZTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1374	IPR LICENSING, INC. v. ZTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1374	IPR LICENSING, INC. v. ZTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1956	SYNOPTSYS, INC. v. ATOPTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1956	SYNOPTSYS, INC. v. ATOPTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1956	SYNOPTSYS, INC. v. ATOPTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1895	AUTOLIV ASP, INC. v. HYUNDAI MOBIS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1895	AUTOLIV ASP, INC. v. HYUNDAI MOBIS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1895	AUTOLIV ASP, INC. v. HYUNDAI MOBIS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1349	SKEDCO, INC. v. STRATEGIC OPERATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-1349	SKEDCO, INC. v. STRATEGIC OPERATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
16-1349	SKEDCO, INC. v. STRATEGIC OPERATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Substantial Evidence	Content of Prior Art	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1585	NICHIA CORPORATION v. EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1585	NICHIA CORPORATION v. EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1585	NICHIA CORPORATION v. EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1585	NICHIA CORPORATION v. EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1731	BRAINTREE LABS. v. BRECKENRIDGE PHARM. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	ANDA	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-2335	GODADDY.COM, LLC v. RPOST COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
16-1092	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Estoppel		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte and Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1173	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1420	WANG v. PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Order on Motion

15-2095	NANTKWEST, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-2131	INTERVET INC. v. E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
16-1284	HELSINN HEALTHCARE S.A. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
16-1999	EMC CORPORATION v. CLOUDING CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1499	RECOGNICORP, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1999	EMC CORPORATION v. CLOUDING CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Waiver		Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1999	EMC CORPORATION v. CLOUDING CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1284	HELSINN HEALTHCARE S.A. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, O'Malley	Ready for Patenting		Reversed	Bench Trial
15-2095	NANTKWEST, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-2095	NANTKWEST, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-2095	NANTKWEST, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1420	WANG v. PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Rule 60(b)	b(3) - Fraud	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1420	WANG v. PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Rule 60(b)	b(6) - Other	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1173	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Estoppel		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1173	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1092	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte and Inter Partes Reexam Decision

16-1092	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte and Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1092	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte and Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2066	EASYWEB INNOVATIONS, LLC v. TWITTER, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1576	NOVA CHEMICALS CORPORATION v. DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1775	E2INTERACTIVE v. BLACKHAWK NETWORK [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2233	ROVALMA, S.A. v. BOHLER-EDELSTAHL GMBH & CO. KG [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1599	AYLUS NETWORKS, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2087	LINKGINE, INC. v. VIGLINK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1830	IN RE: AT&T INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY II [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2611	IN RE: FERNANDEZ [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1838	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1839	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1842	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1143	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. CIRREX SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1750	NESTLE USA, INC. v. STEUBEN FOODS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1911	IPVENTURE, INC. v. FEDEX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1346	IN RE: PONIATOWSKI [OPINION]	Linn, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-2255	IN RE: LIPPERT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-2358	MIT v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Reyna, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2153	INTERMETRO INDUSTRIES CORP v. ENOVATE MEDICAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1048	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT LLC v. CADILA HEALTHCARE LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2074	NEXUSCARD, INC. v. THE KROGER CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2203	ATLAS IP, LLC v. COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley	Dismissal Motion		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1739	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Due Process		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1739	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1739	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1346	IN RE: PONIATOWSKI [OPINION]	Linn, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-2203	ATLAS IP, LLC v. COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
16-2153	INTERMETRO INDUSTRIES CORP v. ENOVATE MEDICAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1750	NESTLE USA, INC. v. STEUBEN FOODS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1830	IN RE: AT&T INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY II [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1830	IN RE: AT&T INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY II [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1830	IN RE: AT&T INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY II [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Substantial Evidence	Content of Prior Art	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1143	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. CIRREX SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1143	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. CIRREX SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1143	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. CIRREX SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1645	MYLAN INSTITUTIONAL LLC v. AUROBINDO PHARMA LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
16-1357	ARCELORMITTAL v. AK STEEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Mandate		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2012	TOSHIBA CORPORATION v. OPTICAL DEVICES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Schall, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2159	IN RE: MEDICAL BIOMECH PARTNERSHIP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2233	ROVALMA, S.A. v. BOHLER-EDELSTAHL GMBH & CO. KG [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2233	ROVALMA, S.A. v. BOHLER-EDELSTAHL GMBH & CO. KG [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2233	ROVALMA, S.A. v. BOHLER-EDELSTAHL GMBH & CO. KG [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1775	E2INTERACTIVE v. BLACKHAWK NETWORK [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1361	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Priority	Conception and Reduction to Practice	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1841	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2177	TWIN PEAKS SOFTWARE v. IBM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2006	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
16-1290	MILO & GABBY LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Offer for Sale	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1841	RIVERA v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-2001	CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP. v. WESTLAKE SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1859	HTC CORPORATION v. CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1773	RICHMOND v. COLEMAN CABLE, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]		Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2097	NEW WORLD INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2018	SKKY, INC. v. MINDGEEK, S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2017	CROSSROADS SYSTEMS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1930	CROSSROADS SYSTEMS, INC. v. ORACLE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-2521	ROTHSCHILD CONNECTED DEVICES v. GUARDIAN PROTECTION SERVICES [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Overall	Reversed	Order on Motion
16-1397	CHECKPOINT SYSTEMS, INC. v. ALL-TAG SECURITY S.A. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
16-2427	EVANS v. BUILDING MATERIALS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Linn, Reyna, Taranto	Arbitration Referral		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1524	PRESTON v. NAGEL [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
16-1474	IPCOM GMBH & CO. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1824	HITACHI METALS, LTD. v. ALLIANCE OF RARE-EARTH [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1681	PARALLEL NETWORKS, LLC v. KAYAK SOFTWARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1882	ADJUSTACAM, LLC v. NEWEGG, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Exceptional Case	Overall	Reversed	Order on Motion
16-2250	SHINN FU COMPANY OF AMERICA v. TIRE HANGER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	APA		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2011	STANFORD UNIVERSITY v. THE CHINESE UNIV. OF HONG KONG [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Jurisdiction	35 USC 146	NA	NA
16-1794	NANTKWEST, INC. v. MATAL [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	35 USC 145	Reversed	order on Motion
16-2031	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	JMOL
16-2004	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2572	CHAFFIN v. BRADEN [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Waiver	Claim Construction	Reversed	Markman Ruling
15-1802	STORER v. CLARK [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Interference	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision

16-1955	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2135	GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION v. SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1906	HAND HELD PRODUCTS, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2258	COLE KEPRO INTERNATIONAL, LLC v VSR INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2170	NEXLEARN, LLC v. ALLEN INTERACTIONS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Schall	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-1769	OUTDRY TECHNOLOGIES v. GEOX S.P.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1766	THE CLEVELAND CLINIC v. TRUE HEALTH DIAGNOSTICS LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-1984	EMERACHEM HOLDINGS, LLC v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Content of Prior Art	102(e) Prior Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2214	HOME SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2260	PURDUE PHARMA, L.P. v. RECRO TECHNOLOGY LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
16-2584	GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC. v ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Limited Exclusion Order		Dismissed	USITC Decision
16-1572	GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC. v ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	USITC Decision
16-1533	NAVICO INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-2219	PLL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. XILINX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-2537	VEHICLE INTERFACE TECHNOLOGIE v. JAGUAR LAND ROVER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2105	ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	USITC Decision
16-2133	CHAGANTI v. LEE [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Disbarment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1856	EMC CORPORATION v. ZERTO INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Schall, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
16-2528	CRONOS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. EXPEDIA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2597	GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC v. US ENDODONTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2438	VIDEOSHARE, LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2574	MULTIMEDIA PLUS, INC. v. PLAYERLYNC LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2254	VISUAL MEMORY LLC v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2695	IN RE: FRANCIS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Decision Appeal
17-1128	THE HILSINGER COMPANY v. EYEEGO, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2598	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA v. HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam
16-2605	CERTUSVIEW TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. S & N LOCATING SERVICES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
16-2561	C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. ARRIS GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
17-1544	PICCONE v. MATAL [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Newman	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Summary Judgment

16-2094	AIA AMERICA, INC. v. ELI LILLY & COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2179	AMGEN INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Mandamus	Discovery	Denied	Order on Motion
16-2647	AIA AMERICA, INC. v. AVID RADIOPHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1684	LI v. MATAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Disbarment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1123	PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC v. ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Standing	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1511	HOMELAND HOUSEWARES, LLC v. WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1881	ENZO BIOCHEM INC v. APPLERA CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1996	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. v MEXICHEM AMANCO HOLDING S.A. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam
16-1346	REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS v. MERUS N.V. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2166	GIULIANO v. SANDISK LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Antitrust	Walker Process	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1913	AUDATEX NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1814	SOFT GEL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. JARROW FORMULAS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1742	NETLIST, INC. v. DIABLO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarant	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1790	SPHERIX INCORPORATED v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-2215	HOME SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1413	LUNAREYE, INC. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1818	THINK COMPUTER CORPORATION v. SQUARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1962	OTSUKA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS USA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1104	NOBELBIZ, INC. v. GLOBAL CONNECT, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	Order on Motion
16-2336	INSTRADENT USA, INC v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-2439	ELEVEN ENGINEERING, INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1284	NUEVOLUTION A/S v. PEDERSEN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Forum Non Conveniens		Affirmed	
16-2440	HJS EMISSIONS TECHNOLOGY v. BASF CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1858	HTC CORPORATION v. CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2066	MILLENNIUM PHARMACEUTICALS v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
16-2573	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1916	CONTENTGUARD HOLDINGS, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1121	TRANSPERFECT GLOBAL, INC. v. MATAL [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision

16-2430	CONTENTGUARD HOLDINGS, INC. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	New Trial		Affirmed	Motion for New Trial
16-1543	GOOGLE INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1148	GENBAND US LLC v. METASWITCH NETWORKS CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2528	CRONOS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. EXPEDIA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2528	CRONOS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. EXPEDIA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2597	GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC v. US ENDODONTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2597	GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC v. US ENDODONTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Inter Partes Review	Procedural	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2254	VISUAL MEMORY LLC v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2179	AMGEN INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
16-2647	AIA AMERICA, INC. v. AVID RADIOPHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Right to Jury Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2647	AIA AMERICA, INC. v. AVID RADIOPHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Due Process		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1684	LI v. MATAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Due Process		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1123	PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC v. ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1123	PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC v. ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1123	PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC v. ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1511	HOMELAND HOUSEWARES, LLC v. WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1511	HOMELAND HOUSEWARES, LLC v. WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1881	ENZO BIOCHEM INC v. APPLERA CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1881	ENZO BIOCHEM INC v. APPLERA CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1502	RETURN MAIL, INC. v. USPS [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1706	ULTRATEC, INC. v. CAPTIONCALL, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Newman	APA		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1811	IN RE: STEPAN COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2673	IN RE: CHUDIK [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-1047	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. v. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2560	COLLABORATIVE AGREEMENTS, LLC v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Priority Date		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2321	NIDEC MOTOR CORPORATION v. ZHONGSHAN BROAD OCEAN MOTOR CO [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2256	IN RE: WALTER [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-2249	REMBRANDT GAMING TECHNOLOGIES v. BOYD GAMING CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling

16-2622	GONZALEZ v. NEW LIFE VENTURES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2369	THOUGHT, INC. v. ORACLE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1065	BRUNSWICK CORPORATION v. COBALT BOATS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]		Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2498	CHRISTY, INC. v. BLACK & DECKER (U.S.), INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1096	LIFETIME INDUSTRIES, INC. v. TRIM-LOK, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O`Malley	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1384	SOURCE SEARCH TECHNOLOGIES v. KAYAK SOFTWARE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
16-1749	ENOVA TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY (US) [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1302	CARDIAQ VALVE TECHNOLOGIES v. NEOVASC INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2283	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2282	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2634	PETTER INVESTMENTS v. HYDRO ENGINEERING [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2189	EDGE SYSTEMS LLC v. AGUILA [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1069	IN RE: RADCLIFFE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2287	SOUTHWIRE COMPANY v. CERRO WIRE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

16-2152	SMARTDOOR HOLDINGS, INC. v. EDMIT INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2082	INTERCONTINENTAL GREAT BRANDS v. KELLOGG NORTH AMERICA COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1275	JANG v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2303	IN RE: SMITH INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB - Ex Parte Reexam
17-129	IN RE: CRAY INC.	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Transfer		Reversed	Order on Motion
16-1808	NFC TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Priority Date		Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1809	NFC TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT][1]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2735	IN RE: NOMULA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2604	FRONT ROW TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. MLB ADVANCED MEDIA, L.P. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1212	RADWARE, LTD. v. F5 NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/Summary Judgment
17-1005	QUALITY EDGE, INC. v. ROLLEX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2677	FIRST DATA CORPORATION v. INSELBERG [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Ripeness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2721	IDEMITSU KOSAN CO., LTD. v. SFC CO. LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1043	MIMEDX GROUP, INC. v. MUSCULOSKELETAL TRANSPLANT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2235	WAYMO LLC v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Stoll, Wallach	Discovery	Privilege	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2130	WAYMO LLC v. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Stoll, Wallach	Arbitration Referral		Affirmed	Order on Motion

16-2641	ALLIED MINERAL PRODUCTS, INC. v. OSMI, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-1795	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1823	CABLZ, INC. v. CHUMS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Wallach	Waiver	New Argument or Appeal	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
2016-27	UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND BIOTECH v PRESENS PRECISION SENSING GMBH [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
2016-23	INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS v. ZTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2531	TWO-WAY MEDIA LTD. v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2169	BAYER PHARMA AG v. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
16-1813	DRAGON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY v. UNIFIED PATENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Unknown		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2468	DRAGON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Waiver	Claim Construction	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2186	DRAGON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Mootness	Appeal	NA	NA
16-2465	MASTERMINE SOFTWARE, INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1115	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2344	WORLDWIDE OILFIELD MACHINE v. AMERIFORGE GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-2072	SILVER PEAK SYSTEMS, INC. v. MATAL [OPINION]	Prost, Bryson, Wallach	Vacatur		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2619	EMERACHEM HOLDINGS, LLC v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2000	UNILOC USA, INC. v. SEGA OF AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Priority Date		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1016	ART+COM INNOVATIONPOOL GMBH v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2583	MERCK SHARP & DOHME B.V. v. WARNER CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	Bench Trial
16-2535	LUFTHANSA TECHNIK AG v. ASTRONICS ADVANCED ELECTRONIC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2563	ARISTA NET 2 WORKS, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-1233	SMART SYSTEMS INNOVATIONS, LLC v. CHICAGO TRANSIT AUTHORITY [OPINION]	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2565	SNF HOLDING COMPANY v. BASF CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1126	IN RE: DOCTER OPTICS, SE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1033	CLASSEN IMMUNOTHERAPIES, INC. v. ELAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2354	BOUNDARY SOLUTIONS, INC. v. CORELOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1728	SECURED MAIL SOLUTIONS LLC v. UNIVERSAL WILDE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2715	CREATIVE TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	USITC Decision

16-1997	POLIDI v. MATAL [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Disbarment		Affirmed	USPTO Decision
16-2747	IGNITE USA, LLC v. CAMELBAK PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1983	ORGANIK KIMYA AS v. ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2613	OWENS CORNING v. FAST FELT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	IPR Decision
16-2140	DROPLETS, INC. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1041	NICE SYSTEMS LTD. v. CLICKFOX INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2672	WHITEPAGES, INC. v. ISAACS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2688	R+L CARRIERS, INC. v. MICRODEA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1067	IN RE: LEVENSTEIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1062	GLOBAL CHECK SERVICES, INC. v. ELECTRONIC PAYMENT SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Plager	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1484	SACCHETTI v. US [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Plager	Statute of Limitations		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1084	GOODMAN v. SMART MODULAR TECHNOLOGIES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Plager	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
17-1480	AMGEN INC. v. SANOFI [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Hughes	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
16-2716	FARO v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Attorney Discipline		Affirmed	USPTO Decision
15-1177	AQUA PRODUCTS, INC v. MATAL [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Amendment	IPR Claims	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2416	IN RE: INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
17-1142	SUPPES v. KATTI [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

16-1607	IN RE: ARUNACHALAM [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Newman	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1496	B/E AEROSPACE, INC. v. C&D ZODIAC, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2722	SANOFI v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1391	KAHR v. COLE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	JMOL
16-2347	SPECIALTY FERTILIZER PRODUCTS v. SHELL OIL COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1431	WORD TO INFO, INC. v. FACEBOOK INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Unknown
17-1172	INDUSTRIAL MODELS, INC. v. SNF, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2209	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	IPR Decision
17-1385	SECURITY PEOPLE, INC. v. OJMAR US, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2686	YONGJIANG YIN AND SHENZHEN EL v. SURE-FIRE ELECTRICAL CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1678	IN RE: LORKOVIC [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1011	PROMEGA CORP v. LIFE TECH [OPINION]	Prost, Mayer, Chen	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1179	MUNCHKIN, INC. v. LUV N' CARE, LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2103	COBRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. BCNY INTERNATIONAL INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1100	IN RE: THOMAS [OPINION]	chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2084	MEXICHEM AMANCO HOLDING v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

17-138	IN RE: MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Chen, Hughes, Taranto	Venue	1400(b)	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1392	IN RE: NPS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1035	IN RE: ROCKENBERGER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Stoll, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1000	IN RE: DEHLIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2666	SMART MODULAR TECHNOLOGIES v. NETLIST, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2274	NETLIST, INC. v. SANDISK LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2121	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORP v. STEADYMED LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1010	AMGEN INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1272	STAMBLER v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity			PTAB CBM Decision
16-2209	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	IPR Decision
16-2209	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	IPR Decision
16-2209	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	IPR Decision
16-2613	OWENS CORNING v. FAST FELT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	IPR Decision
17-1039	MAXLINEAR, INC. v. CF CRESPE LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2684	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

17-1239	ARTHREX, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, O'Malley	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1257	IN RE: JANSSEN BIOTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
17-1379	GOOGLE LLC v. NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-1188	FLEXUSPINE, INC. v. GLOBUS MEDICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Rule 59 - Altering or Amending Judgment		Affirmed	Jury Verdict - Rule 50(b)
17-1540	CAP EXPORT, LLC v. ZINUS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Due Process		Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-1920	IN RE: THERESA [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2295	LIQWD, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyan, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
17-1433	KNAUF INSULATION, INC. v. JOHNS MANVILLE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1175	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2194	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
17-1250	OPENTV, INC. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2741	PARALLEL NETWORKS, LLC v. SG INTERACTIVE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Schall, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1581	ZOOMESSENCE, INC. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Schall, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1204	IN RE: TSUI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Schall, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1176	SAP AMERICA, INC. v. WELLOGIX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion

16-2197	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON POWER [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-1436	IN RE: DAI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1070	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. v FUJIFILM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2309	ADVANCED VIDEO TECHNOLOGIES v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Reyna	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1254	MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATION S v. UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Reyna, Tarant	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2520	FINJAN, INC. v. BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Hughes	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1044	MYA SARAY, LLC v. DABES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1429	ZKEY INVESTMENTS, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2723	QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY AT KINGSTON v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1682	ALEXSAM, INC. v. WILDCARD SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Bryson, Dyk, Moore, O`Malle	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1032	MONSANTO TECHNOLOGY LLC v. E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1344	APTALIS PHARMATECH, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling

16-1577	EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD v. NICHIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2080	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. BISCOTTI, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2266	NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC. v. ILIFE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Priority Date		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1079	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY v. ARRAY BIOPHARMA INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Wallach	Amendment	IPR Claims	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1499	ALLERGAN SALES, LLC v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1928	BOSCH AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE v. MATAL [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1090	IN RE: RISSIO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2151	SP PLUS CORPORATION v. IPT, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1178	AMERICAN INNOTEK, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2386	TRAVEL SENTRY, INC. v. DAVID TROPP [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-1219	SOWINSKI v. CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Taranto	Motion to Dismiss	Want of Prosecution	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-1880	HTC CORPORATION v. CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2206	GENZYME CORPORATION v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1599	RESEARCH FRONTIERS INCORPORATED v. E INK CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling

16-2199	HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Modified	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1499	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Waiver	Defense	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2372	IN RE: ELLIS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1149	IN RE: THOMAS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1282	ROTHSCHILD DIGITAL MEDIA v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1052	DAIICHI SANKYO COMPANY, LTD. v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC., USA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2067	DELPHIX CORP. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2072	DELPHIX CORP. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2063	DELPHIX CORP. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2550	FOREST LABORATORIES INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2388	ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS INC. v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2077	IN RE: MOUTTET [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1611	UNIFIED MESSAGING SOLUTIONS v. GOOGLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
16-2442	INVENTOR HOLDINGS, LLC v. BED BATH & BEYOND, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Objective Baselessness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1475	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

17-1184	SUMMIT 6, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1072	CF CRESPE LLC v. SILICON LABORATORIES INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2198	CRFD RESEARCH, INC v. MATAL [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-135	IN RE: CUTSFORTH, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Chen, Hughes, Taranto	Transfer		Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2515	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PARALLEL NETWORKS LICENSING [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-131	IN RE: ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Chen, Hughes, Taranto	Venue		Vacated	Order on Motion
17-136	IN RE: DECA INTERNATIONAL CORP [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Chen, Hughes, Taranto	Venue		Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-101	IN RE: CARBONITE, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Venue		Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-103	IN RE: YAHOO HOLDINGS INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Venue		Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-107	IN RE: FLOPAM INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Venue		Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2371	AIP ACQUISITION LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2324	REMBRANDT PATENT INNOVATIONS v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2555	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. PERRIGO COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2607	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1770	BASF CORPORATION v. JOHNSON MATTHEY INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
17-1376	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. FTI COMPANIES, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

16-2701	CEQUENT PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS v. HOPKINS MANUFACTURING CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2607	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-1480	AMGEN INC. v. SANOFI [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Hughes	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
17-1302	CARDIAQ VALVE TECHNOLOGIES v. NEOVASC INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1148	GENBAND US LLC v. METASWITCH NETWORKS CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-2021	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1158	DOMINION ENERGY, INC. v. ALSTOM GRID LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-2021	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1355	ELBIT SYSTEMS OF AMERICA, LLC v. THALES VISIONIX INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1469	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1238	ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS, LTD v. MATAL [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Patent Term Extension	Computation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1689	ABBVIE INC. v. MEDIMMUNE LIMITED [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Prost	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

16-2211	WCM INDUSTRIES, INC v. IPS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	JMOL
17-1463	MOVE, INC. v. REAL ESTATE ALLIANCE [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1387	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1263	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1008	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. v. HOLOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1560	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Stoll, Taranto	Discovery	Additional	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2161	ZULI v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Eligible for CBM Review		Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-1437	BERKHEIMER v. HP INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1445	IN RE: NORDT DEVELOPMENT CO., LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1696	KOMATSU LTD. v. THE AMERICAN TORCH TIP CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1776	GRAPHIC PACKAGING INTL. v. INLINE PACKAGING, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1021	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Validity		Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1075	BLUE SPIKE, LLC v. ADOBE SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1648	IN RE: TECSEC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1882	MACOM TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS v. INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AMERICAS [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Mootness		NA	NA

17-1448	MACOM TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS v. INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AMERICAS [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
17-1704	COHO LICENSING LLC v. OATH INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1278	EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY v. CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1652	IN RE: LIFEWATCH TECHNOLOGIES LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
17-1318	PRAXAIR TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. AIR LIQUIDE LARGE INDUSTRIES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1252	GRAMM v. DEERE & COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1478	IN RE: CHAPLIN [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2394	CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1574	IN RE: SCHOLLMAYER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1714	IN RE: BURGOS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1852	LEITNER-WISE v. LWRC INTERNATIONAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1883	ROBERT BOSCH TOOL CORPORATION v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	USITC Decision
17-1194	ALTAIR INSTRUMENTS, INC. v. KELLEY WEST ENTERPRISES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
17-1434	IN RE: HODGES [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-1807	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. ARCTIC CAT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-2727	GOOGLE LLC v. AT HOME BONDHOLDERS LIQUID. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1697	IN RE: JANKE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1795	INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGICAL v. FIRST INTERNET BANK OF [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2035	A. SCHULMAN, INC. v. POLYONE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1249	ARENDI S.A.R.L. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1494	AUTOMATED TRACKING SOLUTIONS v. THE COCA-COLA COMPANY [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1305	SNAP-ON INC. v. MILWAUKEE ELECTRIC TOOL CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2207	IN RE: KHAN [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	35 USC 251 - Broadening Reissue	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1452	AATRIX SOFTWARE, INC v. GREEN SHADES SOFTWARE, INC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2384	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1360	THINK PRODUCTS, INC v. ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1804	COSMO LIGHTING INC. v. ORSTAR INDUSTRIAL CO. LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2738	SIMPLEAIR, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Res Judicata		Vacated	Order on Motion
17-2105	EVERYMD.COM LLC v. FACEBOOK INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1317	IN RE: KNAUF INSULATION, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

16-2315	EXERGEN CORPORATION v. KAZ USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL
17-1842	OTTAH v. FIAT CHRYSLER [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1396	CAPITAL SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. v. NCR CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
17-2368	CAPITAL SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. v. NCR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
17-1529	PHILIPS LIGHTING HOLDING B.V. v. WANGS ALLIANCE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1672	GRECIA v. MCDONALD'S CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2010	KNOWLES ELECTRONICS LLC v. CIRRUS LOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1036	NALCO COMPANY v. CHEM-MOD, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1124	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1814	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1389	HOLOGIC, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1531	WANGS ALLIANCE CORPORATION v. PHILIPS LIGHTING NORTH AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1517	CASCADES PROJECTION LLC v. EPSON AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1193	NESTLE USA, INC. v. STEUBEN FOODS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1290	STEUBEN FOODS, INC. v. NESTLE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1316	LOOPS LLC v. PHOENIX TRADING, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2551	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA v. SYMANTEC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1347	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
17-1395	ATLAS IP, LLC v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Linn, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1887	JOAO BOCK TRANSACTION SYSTEMS v. JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1650	TCL CORPORATION v. TELEFONAKTIEBOLAG ET LM [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2606	PERSONALIZED MEDIA v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1441	PERSONALIZED MEDIA v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1956	NEW WORLD INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1808	CHESTNUT HILL SOUND INC. v. APPLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1567	SOPHOS LIMITED v. IANCU [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1889	MATTHEWS INTERNATIONAL CORP v. VANDOR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2601	IN RE: BRANDT [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

16-2509	GOOGLE LLC v. NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2523	DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1060	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, LLC v. OPTUMINSIGHT, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
17-1103	SARIF BIOMEDICAL LLC v. BRAINLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1304	IN RE: POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
17-1101	DELL INC. v. ACCELERON, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1131	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1456	AUTO-KAPS, LLC v. CLOROX COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2525	IN RE: BHAGAT [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2268	SHIRE DEVELOPMENT LLC v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Rule 60(b)
17-1933	BROAD OCEAN TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NIDEC MOTOR CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1649	FINISAR CORPORATION v. NISTICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1886	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. v. POLAR ELECTRO OY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1946	LF CENTENNIAL LIMITED v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyk	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

17-2137	SCHOELLER-BLECKMA NN OILFIELD v. CHURCHILL DRILLING TOOLS US [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1738	GOLD CHARM LIMITED v. SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1547	EMED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. REPRO-MED SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2086	BARCO, N.V. v. EIZO CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Validity	Recapture - 251	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1554	RAYTHEON COMPANY v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1313	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2225	OLEKSY v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Costs	Amount	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2357	BAKER v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2139	MAXON, LLC v. FUNAI CORPORATION, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1888	NHK SEATING OF AMERICA, INC. v. LEAR CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2458	IN RE: COUTTS [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1929	COMARCO WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1952	DIALWARE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC v. HASBRO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1937	GLG FARMS LLC v. BRANDT AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-1954	KNOWLES ELECTRONICS LLC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1275	PAZANDEH v. YAMAHA CORPORATION OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1453	LESEMAN, LLC v. STRATASYS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
17-1017	ICOS CORPORATION v. ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1681	APATOR MITTORS APS v. KAMSTRUP A/S [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Priority	Conception and Reduction to Practice	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1798	SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO. v. EMCURE PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2282	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
16-2707	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1786	LUITPOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PHARMACOSMOS A/S [ORDER]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Standing	Constitutional	NA	NA
17-1715	LUITPOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PHARMACOSMOS A/S [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1826	GOTO DENSHI CO., LTD. v. MITSUBISHI CABLE INDUSTRIES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1063	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED v. CANON INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1482	VIRGINIA INNOVATION SCIENCES v. HTC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

16-2451	SMARTFLASH LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-1960	IN RE: MERCK & CIE [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2302	IN RE: RICCELLI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1869	01 COMMUNIQUE LABORATORY, INC v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, Stoll	New Trial	Infringement	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2302	GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. v. MERCK & CO., INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Unclean Hands		Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Discovery	Additional	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1930	VOTER VERIFIED, INC. v. ELECTION SYSTEMS & SOFTWARE [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Collateral Estoppel	Intervening Change in the Law		Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1506	JAMES v. J2 CLOUD SERVICES, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Standing	Constitutional	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
15-1945	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1946	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1295	WONDERLAND NURSERYGOODS CO. v. BABY TREND, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2504	DROPLETS, INC. v. ETRADE BANK [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Wallach	Priority Date		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1027	MEDINOL LTD. v. CORDIS CORPORATIO [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Laches		Vacated	Rule 60(b)
17-1502	JOHN BEAN TECHNOLOGIES v. MORRIS & ASSOCIATES INC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Estoppel	Equitable	Reversed	Summary Judgment
17-1087	PERRIE v. PERRIE [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

17-1526	PHILIPS LIGHTING NORTH AMERICA v. WANGS ALLIANCE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1400	RANIERE v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1012	GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION v. SIENNA BIOPHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Interference	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-1817	ASSA ABLOY AB v. SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1986	REM HOLDINGS 3, LLC v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-2242	ERICSSON INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2017	FOUR MILE BAY, LLC v. ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2527	INTEGRATED CLAIMS SYSTEMS, LLC v. CARE N' CARE INSURANCE COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-1976	IN RE: VERHOEF [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	102(f) inventorship	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2296	DIGITAL ALLY, INC. v. TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
17-2199	IN RE: WILKINSON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2705	SHFL ENTERTAINMENT, INC. v. DIGIDEAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Mootness		Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-1483	DISC DISEASE SOLUTIONS INC. v. VGH SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Wallach	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Trade Secret	Misappropriation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1897	MANKES v. FANDANGO, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-130	IN RE: HTC CORPORATION [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Venue		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1728	BRAEMAR MANUFACTURING, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Privity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1551	SOCIEDAD ESPANOLA v. BLUE RIDGE X-RAY COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, O`Malley	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1748	ADVANCED AUDIO DEVICES, LLC v. HTC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, O`Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1951	SSL SERVICES, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2394	IN RE: EBERRA [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1342	BALTIMORE AIRCOIL COMPANY v. SPX COOLING TECHNOLOGIES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2616	PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC. v. MALLINCKRODT HOSPITAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-120	IN RE: BIGCOMMERCE INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Hughes, Linn, Reyna	Mandamus	Venue	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

17-2081	SAP AMERICA, INC. v. INVESTPIC LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1947	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1772	M-I DRILLING FLUIDS UK LTD. v. DYNAMIC AIR LTDA. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-113	IN RE: ZTE (USA) INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Hughes, Linn, Reyna	Mandamus	Venue	Reversed	Order on Motion
17-1487	ALTAIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PARAGON BIOTECK, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Standing	Appeal	NA	PTAB PGR Decision
16-2475	AIDS HEALTHCARE FOUNDATION v. GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1890	BP CORPORATION NORTH AMERICA v. INVISTA S.A.R.L. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-2042	PARALLEL NETWORKS LICENSING, v. IBM [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1235	VALMONT INDUSTRIES INC. v. LINDSAY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1853	HITKANSUT LLC v. US [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost,	Infringement		Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1726	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Institution Decision		Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
17-1870	POLARIS INDUS. INC. v ARCTIC CAT, INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Remanded		Remanded	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1671	ERICSSON INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1277	ARTRIP v. BALL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2276	VIATECH TECHNOLOGIES INC. v MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

16-1897	XY, LLC v. ABS GLOBAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2054	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Burden of Proof		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2228	XY, LLC v. ABS GLOBAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2610	UCB, INC. v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1909	D THREE ENTERPRISES, LLC v. SUNMODO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Priority Date		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1161	ULTRATEC, INC. v. SORENSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
17-1934	POLYZEN, INC. v. RADIADYNE, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
17-2208	IN RE: KRAVITCH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2470	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1228	NEOLOGY, INC. v. KAPSCH TRAFFICOM IVHS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1647	KITE PHARMA, INC. v. SLOAN-KETTERING INSTITUTE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2343	WAUGH v. MCWANE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2447	IN RE: WEBTRENDS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Taranto, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1486	IN RE: DURANCE [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1267	ZEROCCLICK, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Markman Ruling
17-1984	MOBILEYE VISION TECHNOLOGIES v. IONROAD, LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

17-1873	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. ARCTIC CAT, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1744	NESTLE PURINA PETCARE COMPANY v. OIL-DRI CORPORATION OF AMERICA [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Remanded		Remanded	NA
17-2330	STONE BASKET INNOVATIONS, LLC v. COOK MEDICAL LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1169	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. BARRY [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1505	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Noninfringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2399	FERRING PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1561	QUEST LICENSING CORPORATION v. BLOOMBERG LP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2573	MINEMYER v. R-BOC REPRESENTATIVES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
17-1881	TOSHIBA SAMSUNG STORAGE v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1827	IN RE: WANG [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter		PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1341	SIRONA DENTAL SYSTEMS GMBH v. INSTITUT STRAUMANN AG [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2307	AMERICAN VEHICULAR SCIENCES v. UNIFIED PATENTS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1451	PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. v. HORIZON THERAPEUTICS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2248	FASTSHIP, LLC v. US [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Infringement	Manufactured	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

17-1933	BROAD OCEAN TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NIDEC MOTOR CORPORATION [Panel ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Remanded		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1578	ALLURE ENERGY, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2126	SEADRILL AMERICAS, INC. v. TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2232	IN RE: CONNER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1698	APPLICATIONS IN INTERNET TIME v. RPX CORPORATION	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Real Party in Interest	315(b)	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2388	SPINEOLOGY, INC. v. WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1362	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1548	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP v. WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Rule 60(b)	b(3) - Fraud	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1180	ADIDAS AG v. NIKE, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Remanded		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2038	IN RE: MARQUEZ [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2020	IMPAX LABORATORIES INC. v. LANNETT HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1855	ELBRUS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2255	IN RE: MAQUET CARDIOVASCULAR LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

17-2112	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2301	CARUCEL INVESTMENTS, L.P. v. NOVATEL WIRELESS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2548	APPLE INC. v. CONTENTGUARD HOLDINGS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Eligible for CBM Review		Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision
17-1987	CAP CO., LTD. v. MCAFEE, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1989	CAP CO., LTD. v. MCAFEE, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1085	IN RE: MARTIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2263	IN RE: LIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2244	IN RE: PARKER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1088	IN RE: PARKER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2625	TS PATENTS LLC v. YAHOO! INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1425	BASF CORPORATION v IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1703	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. ELB ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Infringement		Vacated	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
18-1234	BURNETT v. PANASONIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1807	JOHNS MANVILLE CORPORATION v. KNAUF INSULATION, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1719	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS SOLUTIONS v. CUSTOPHARM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2285	TF3 LIMITED v. TRE MILANO, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1671	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2736	ALL-OF-INNOVATION GMBH v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2640	BLUE BELT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1937	GLG FARMS LLC v. BRANDT AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1162	GOOGLE LLC v. PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1850	OTONOMY, INC. v. AURIS MEDICAL, AG [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Wallach	Priority Date		Reversed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-1314	ADVANTEK MARKETING, INC. v. SHANGHAI WALK-LONG TOOLS CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Newman	Prosecution History Estoppel		Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1265	BIODELIVERY SCIENCES INTL. v. AQUESTIVE THERAPEUTICS, INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Remanded		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1794	NANTKWEST, INC. v. IANCU [EN BANC OPINION]	PROST, Chief Judge, NEWMAN, LOURIE, DYK, MOORE, O	Attorney's Fees	35 USC 145	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1894	GOPRO, INC. v. CONTOUR IP HOLDING LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2076	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1601	ZUP, LLC v. NASH MANUFACTURING, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-2576	TRUSTEES OF BOSTON UNIVERSITY v. EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1797	DIRTT ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS v. ALLSTEEL INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Reyna	Remanded		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1638	SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Sovereign Immunity	Indian Tribe	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2502	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC v. AOL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-1122	SWARTZ v. PATO [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1237	PAPPALARDO v. STEVINS [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Wallach	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	NA	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2051	UNILOC USA, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2204	INNOVENTION TOYS, LLC v. MGA ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
17-1953	AUTOMATED MERCHANDISING SYSTEM v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-2195	FINCHIMICA S.P.A. v. ADAMA MAKHTESHIM LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1511	SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. v. AKORN, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O`Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1034	MIGHTON PRODUCTS LIMITED v. VISION INDUSTRIES GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1828	JTEKT CORPORATION v. GKN AUTOMOTIVE LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Prost	Standing	Constitutional	Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2102	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict

15-1242	CLICK-TO-CALL TECHNOLOGIES, LP v. INGENIO, INC. [PANEL OPINION WITH EN BANC FOOTNOTE 3]	O'Malley, Stark*, Taranto; Prost, Newman, Moore, R	Institution Decision	Time Bar	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1629	LUMINARA WORLDWIDE, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Institution Decision	Time Bar	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-144	IN RE: POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. [MOTION PANEL OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, O'Malley	Institution Decision	Appealability	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1784	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHS., LP PATENT LITIG. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1980	BSG TECH LLC v. BUYSEASONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2553	DIEBOLD NIXDORF, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	USITC Decision
16-2669	REALVIRT, LLC v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1159	REALVIRT, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Remanded		NA	Unknown
17-2524	IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1617	NETLIST, INC. v. SANDISK LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2469	R+L CARRIERS, INC. v. QUALCOMM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2328	WAVETRONIX LLC v. SMART MICROWAVE SENSORS GMBH [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1866	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1896	IP CO., LLC. v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2264	BARKAN WIRELESS ACCESS v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2069	IN RE: VILLENA [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

17-1521	ERICSSON INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2571	DOCZY v. VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2106	ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. CLEARCORRECT OPERATING, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2037	IN RE: MAATITA [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2266	LARGE AUDIENCE DISPLAY SYSTEM v. TENNMAN PRODUCTIONS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, O'Malley	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2328	WAVETRONIX LLC v. SMART MICROWAVE SENSORS GMBH [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2328	WAVETRONIX LLC v. SMART MICROWAVE SENSORS GMBH [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1487	ALTAIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PARAGON BIOTECK, INC. [ORDER]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Vacatur		Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
17-1962	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Priority Date		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1963	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-2414	GUST, INC. v. ALPHACAP VENTURES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	1927	Reversed	Order on Motion
17-2265	WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Institution Decision	Time Bar	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

18-9001	IN RE VIOLATION OF RULE 50 [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Hughes	Rule 50 - Fed Circuit		NA	NA
17-1722	HYATT v. PATO [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2297	SOUTH-TEK SYSTEMS, LLC v. ENGINEERED CORROSION SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyk	Burden of Proof		Vacated	Order on Motion
17-2059	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1977	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS v. SYNFINA C.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1014	ADVANCED MEDIA NETWORKS, LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2187	AUTOMATION MIDDLEWARE v. INVENSYS SYSTEMS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2397	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1136	SPORTSTAR ATHLETICS, INC. v. WILSON SPORTING GOODS COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2256	NOBEL BIOCARE SERVICES AG v. INSTRADENT USA, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2012	PARKERVISION, INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2504	ASGHARI-KAMRANI v. UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2415	ASGHARI-KAMRANI v. UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion

18-1040	ASGHARI-KAMRANI v. UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Mootness		na	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2078	ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. v. ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1907	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. BROAD INSTITUTE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Schall	Interference	Interference in Fact	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-1665	IXI IP, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1895	RECKITT BENCKISER LLC v. AUROBINDO PHARMA LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2595	RECKITT BENCKISER LLC v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1262	EVERYMD.COM LLC v. AMAZON.COM INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1333	OREXO AB v. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-1674	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Chen, O`Malley, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1481	WORLDS INC. v. BUNGIE, INC. [OPINION]	O`Malley, Prost, Taranto	Real Party in Interest		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2513	SUPERNUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. TWI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1000	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O`Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2350	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. LULULEMON ATHLETICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2434	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Infringement		Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-1722	HYATT v. PATO [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	APA		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

17-1715	LUITPOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PHARMACOSMOS A/S [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1715	LUITPOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PHARMACOSMOS A/S [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2707	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Jurisdiction	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2707	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2707	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2707	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Bench Trial
16-2707	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2707	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Injunction	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1798	SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO. v. EMCURE PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
17-1798	SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO. v. EMCURE PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
17-1681	APATOR MIITORS APS v. KAMSTRUP A/S [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1681	APATOR MIITORS APS v. KAMSTRUP A/S [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1681	APATOR MIITORS APS v. KAMSTRUP A/S [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1526	PHILIPS LIGHTING NORTH AMERICA v. WANGS ALLIANCE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1453	LESEMAN, LLC v. STRATASYS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1400	RANIERE v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1400	RANIERE v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Abusive Litigation Tactics	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1400	RANIERE v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285		Order on Motion
17-1400	RANIERE v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Wallach	Costs	Prevailing Party	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1017	ICOS CORPORATION v. ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1017	ICOS CORPORATION v. ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1502	JOHN BEAN TECHNOLOGIES v. MORRIS & ASSOCIATES INC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Laches		Reversed	Summary Judgment
17-1295	WONDERLAND NURSERYGOODS CO. v. BABY TREND, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1295	WONDERLAND NURSERYGOODS CO. v. BABY TREND, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1295	WONDERLAND NURSERYGOODS CO. v. BABY TREND, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2504	DROPLETS, INC. v. ETRADE BANK [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1930	VOTER VERIFIED, INC. v. ELECTION SYSTEMS & SOFTWARE [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1930	VOTER VERIFIED, INC. v. ELECTION SYSTEMS & SOFTWARE [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1506	JAMES v. J2 CLOUD SERVICES, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Hired to Invent		Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Privity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Inter Partes Review	Petition Timely Filed	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1869	01 COMMUNIQUE LABORATORY, INC v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, Stoll	New Trial	Validity	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1869	01 COMMUNIQUE LABORATORY, INC v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, Stoll	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Damages	Double Recovery	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Infringement	Offer for Sale	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-2394	IN RE: EBERRA [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1012	GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION v. SIENNA BIOPHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-1012	GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION v. SIENNA BIOPHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-1012	GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION v. SIENNA BIOPHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Amendment	Adding or Cancelling Claims	Vacated	PTAB Interference Decision
17-1012	GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION v. SIENNA BIOPHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	APA		Vacated	PTAB Interference Decision
16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Experimental Use		Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial

16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Tortious Interference		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Inter Partes Review	Petition Timely Filed	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Evidence	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Jury Instructions		Affirmed	Jury Instruction
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Abusive Litigation Tactics	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1848	CHRIMAR HOLDING COMPANY, LLC v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Oder on Motion
17-1728	BRAEMAR MANUFACTURING, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1235	VALMONT INDUSTRIES INC. v. LINDSAY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1235	VALMONT INDUSTRIES INC. v. LINDSAY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1235	VALMONT INDUSTRIES INC. v. LINDSAY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1235	VALMONT INDUSTRIES INC. v. LINDSAY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1487	ALTAIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PARAGON BIOTECK, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	PTAB PGR Decision

17-1487	ALTAIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PARAGON BIOTECK, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
17-1487	ALTAIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PARAGON BIOTECK, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
17-1947	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1947	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1947	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1947	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2081	SAP AMERICA, INC. v. INVESTPIC LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2616	PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC. v. MALLINCKRODT HOSPITAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Printed Matter		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2616	PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC. v. MALLINCKRODT HOSPITAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2616	PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC. v. MALLINCKRODT HOSPITAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1161	ULTRATEC, INC. v. SORENSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
17-1161	ULTRATEC, INC. v. SORENSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1161	ULTRATEC, INC. v. SORENSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	New Trial	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion

17-1909	D THREE ENTERPRISES, LLC v. SUNMODO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment/Consent Judgment
17-1909	D THREE ENTERPRISES, LLC v. SUNMODO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Priority Date		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1909	D THREE ENTERPRISES, LLC v. SUNMODO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment/Consent Judgment
17-2276	VIATECH TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2276	VIATECH TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2276	VIATECH TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2610	UCB, INC. v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2610	UCB, INC. v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2610	UCB, INC. v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2054	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Statute of Limitations	Tolled	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2054	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Breach of Contract		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2054	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Collateral Estoppel	IPR Estoppel		NA
16-2054	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	New Trial	Validity	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2054	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Willful Infringement		Moot	Order on Motion

16-2054	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1671	ERICSSON INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1671	ERICSSON INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1726	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	PTAB PGR Decision
17-1267	ZEROCLICK, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-2470	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1505	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1505	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1505	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Exceptional Case	Abusive Litigation Tactics	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1505	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1505	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Sanctions	Inherent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2330	STONE BASKET INNOVATIONS, LLC v. COOK MEDICAL LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Substantive Strength of Litigating Postion	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2330	STONE BASKET INNOVATIONS, LLC v. COOK MEDICAL LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Abusive Litigation Tactics	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1169	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. BARRY [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Tarant	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1169	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. BARRY [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1169	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. BARRY [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Tarant	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1169	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. BARRY [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Tarant	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2126	SEADRILL AMERICAS, INC. v. TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2248	FASTSHIP, LLC v. US [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2248	FASTSHIP, LLC v. US [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Damages	Base	Vacated	Bench Trial
17-2248	FASTSHIP, LLC v. US [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Bench Trial
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1341	SIRONA DENTAL SYSTEMS GMBH v. INSTITUT STRAUMANN AG [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1341	SIRONA DENTAL SYSTEMS GMBH v. INSTITUT STRAUMANN AG [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1341	SIRONA DENTAL SYSTEMS GMBH v. INSTITUT STRAUMANN AG [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Amendment	IPR Claims	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1341	SIRONA DENTAL SYSTEMS GMBH v. INSTITUT STRAUMANN AG [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1341	SIRONA DENTAL SYSTEMS GMBH v. INSTITUT STRAUMANN AG [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1827	IN RE: WANG [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1855	ELBRUS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1855	ELBRUS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2020	IMPAX LABORATORIES INC. v. LANNETT HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2020	IMPAX LABORATORIES INC. v. LANNETT HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2038	IN RE: MARQUEZ [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Waiver	Arguments	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1548	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP v. WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	New Trial	Infringement	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1548	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP v. WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1548	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP v. WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1548	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP v. WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1548	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP v. WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-1362	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Waiver	Claim Construction	NA	Markman Ruling
16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Damages	Entire Market Value	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2388	SPINEOLOGY, INC. v. WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2388	SPINEOLOGY, INC. v. WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-2112	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1807	JOHNS MANVILLE CORPORATION v. KNAUF INSULATION, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1719	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS SOLUTIONS v. CUSTOPHARM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1719	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS SOLUTIONS v. CUSTOPHARM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	Bench Trial

17-1719	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS SOLUTIONS v. CUSTOPHARM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1719	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS SOLUTIONS v. CUSTOPHARM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1671	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1671	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1671	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2285	TF3 LIMITED v. TRE MILANO, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2285	TF3 LIMITED v. TRE MILANO, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2285	TF3 LIMITED v. TRE MILANO, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1234	BURNETT v. PANASONIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1703	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. ELB ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
18-1122	SWARTZ v. PATO [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1088	IN RE: PARKER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1425	BASF CORPORATION v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Due Process		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1425	BASF CORPORATION v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2502	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC v. AOL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Tarant	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings

17-1601	ZUP, LLC v. NASH MANUFACTURING, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2076	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2076	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2076	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1894	GOPRO, INC. v. CONTOUR IP HOLDING LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1850	OTONOMY, INC. v. AURIS MEDICAL, AG [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Priority	Conception and Reduction to Practice	Reversed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-1850	OTONOMY, INC. v. AURIS MEDICAL, AG [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-1850	OTONOMY, INC. v. AURIS MEDICAL, AG [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-1314	ADVANTEK MARKETING, INC. v. SHANGHAI WALK-LONG TOOLS CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Design	Remanded	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1162	GOOGLE LLC v. PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1162	GOOGLE LLC v. PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1162	GOOGLE LLC v. PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1162	GOOGLE LLC v. PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1937	GLG FARMS LLC v. BRANDT AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Modified	Markman Ruling
17-1937	GLG FARMS LLC v. BRANDT AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1034	MIGHTON PRODUCTS LIMITED v. VISION INDUSTRIES GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1237	PAPPALARDO v. STEVINS [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1237	PAPPALARDO v. STEVINS [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2524	IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2524	IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2553	DIEBOLD NIXDORF, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	USITC Decision
17-1980	BSG TECH LLC v. BUYSEASONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1784	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHS., LP PATENT LITIG. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Spoliation of Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1784	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHS., LP PATENT LITIG. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1784	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHS., LP PATENT LITIG. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1784	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHS., LP PATENT LITIG. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-2102	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
17-2102	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Implied Waiver		Vacated	Bench Trial
17-2102	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict
17-1629	LUMINARA WORLDWIDE, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1629	LUMINARA WORLDWIDE, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2266	LARGE AUDIENCE DISPLAY SYSTEM v. TENNMAN PRODUCTIONS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	
17-2037	IN RE: MAATITA [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

17-2106	ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. CLEARCORRECT OPERATING, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2106	ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. CLEARCORRECT OPERATING, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1521	ERICSSON INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2264	BARKAN WIRELESS ACCESS v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
17-2069	IN RE: VILLENA [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2434	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-2434	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1000	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2513	SUPERNUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. TWI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2513	SUPERNUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. TWI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1674	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1674	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1674	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2078	ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. v. ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

17-2078	ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. v ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2078	ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. v ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1665	IXI IP, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1333	OREXO AB v. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-1333	OREXO AB v. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-2256	NOBEL BIOCARE SERVICES AG v. INSTRADENT USA, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2256	NOBEL BIOCARE SERVICES AG v. INSTRADENT USA, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2012	PARKERVISION, INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2012	PARKERVISION, INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2012	PARKERVISION, INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1014	ADVANCED MEDIA NETWORKS, LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1014	ADVANCED MEDIA NETWORKS, LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	305-Broadening Reexam	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1977	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS v. SYNVINA C.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Standing	Constitutional	NA	NA
17-2059	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2297	SOUTH-TEK SYSTEMS, LLC v. ENGINEERED CORROSION SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Remanded	Non-Instituted Grounds	Remanded	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2297	SOUTH-TEK SYSTEMS, LLC v. ENGINEERED CORROSION SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1722	HYATT v. PATO [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Statute of Limitations		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2265	WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2265	WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2265	WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	New Ground of Rejection		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
17-1963	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	<a href="http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o">http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o</a>

17-1962	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	<a href="http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o">http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o</a>
17-1962	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	<a href="http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o">http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o</a>
17-1894	GOPRO, INC. v. CONTOUR IP HOLDING LLC [PANEL ORDER]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Rehearing		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1121	MAXCHIEF INVESTMENTS LIMITED v. WOK & PAN, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2247	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Evidence	Rule 402 - Relevant and Irrelevant Evidence	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1095	BASF CORPORATION v. ENTHONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2637	TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. PHAZZER ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Sanctions	Rule 37	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2109	FWP IP APS v. BIOGEN MA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Interference		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
18-1141	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Remanded	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1253	IN RE: KOLLMAN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1124	BROADSOFT INC. v. CALLWAVE COMMUNICATIONS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1575	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1594	YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1071	IN RE: BAXTER CORPORATION ENGLEWOOD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2603	ERFINDERGEMEINSCHAFT UROPEP v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2364	IN RE: PATTERSON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1135	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-1332	MANTIS COMMUNICATIONS, LLC v. EDIBLE ARRANGEMENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1690	ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC. v. CEPHEID [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1347	ENDOTACH LLC v. COOK MEDICAL LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1765	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1090	PRODUCT ASSOCIATION TECH. v. CLIQUE BRANDS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1690	ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC. v. CEPHEID [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1135	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1135	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1594	YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1575	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

18-1124	BROADSOFT INC. v. CALLWAVE COMMUNICATIONS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-1141	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1141	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1141	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1095	BASF CORPORATION v. ENTHONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1095	BASF CORPORATION v. ENTHONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1095	BASF CORPORATION v. ENTHONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	APA		Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2637	TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. PHAZZER ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2424	VICTAULIC COMPANY v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2128	DBN HOLDING, INC. v. ITC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Res Judicata		Reversed	USITC Decision
17-2456	GOOGLE LLC v. CONVERSANT WIRELESS LICENSING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Standing	Constitutional	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2557	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
18-2167	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision

16-2599	ENPLAS DISPLAY DEVICE CORPORA v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2314	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2515	DANESHVAR v. KIPKE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stoll, Wallach	Inventorship		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2518	ADVANCED TOUCHSCREEN v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT] 11-19-201	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1125	RAPID COMPLETIONS LLC v. BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2147	AMAZON.COM, INC. v. ZITOVault, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1274	HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC. v. F'REAL FOODS, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1404	ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HTC AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2549	WHATSAPP, INC. v. TRIPLAY, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-157	IN RE: OATH HOLDING INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Venue	1400(b)	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1637	ARCELORMITTAL ATLANTIQUE v. AK STEEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Summary Judgment
18-1160	MASA LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1130	ALLERGAN, INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1093	XACTWARE SOLUTIONS, INC. v. PICTOMETRY INTERNATIONAL CORP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1289	IN RE: MACOR [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1469	GAELCO S.A. v. ARACHNID 360, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2408	DIGITAL MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES v. NETFLIX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1297	CURT G. JOA, INC. v. FAMECCANICA.DATA S.P.A. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	102 (b)	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1525	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2336	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1666	NUVASIVE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1464	LOCATION BASED SERVICES, LLC v. NIAN TIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2502	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2537	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1020	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	102 (b)	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1155	REALTIME DATA, LLC v RIVERBED TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1134	GOODSON HOLDINGS, LLC v. TITFLEX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1825	KUMAR v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Interference		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision

17-2402	FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC v. BASS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2544	PUREPREDICTIVE, INC v. H2O.AI, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2084	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	
17-2544	PUREPREDICTIVE, INC v. H2O.AI, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

17-1825	KUMAR v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
18-1464	LOCATION BASED SERVICES, LLC v. NIAN TIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1020	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2336	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2336	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2336	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Estoppel	Assignor	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2336	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2336	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1666	NUVASIVE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1666	NUVASIVE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1666	NUVASIVE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1666	NUVASIVE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1525	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1525	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Estoppel	Assignor	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1469	GAELCO S.A. v. ARACHNID 360, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1093	XACTWARE SOLUTIONS, INC. v. PICTOMETRY INTERNATIONAL CORP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	102 (b)	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2408	DIGITAL MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES v. NETFLIX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2549	WHATSAPP, INC. v. TRIPLAY, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1637	ARCELORMITTAL ATLANTIQUE v. AK STEEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	Summary Judgment
17-1637	ARCELORMITTAL ATLANTIQUE v. AK STEEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Discovery	56f	Reversed	Order on Motion
18-1404	ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HTC AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1274	HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC. v. F'REAL FOODS, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1274	HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC. v. F'REAL FOODS, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1274	HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC. v. F'REAL FOODS, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1274	HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC. v. F'REAL FOODS, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2147	AMAZON.COM, INC. v. ZITOVault, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2147	AMAZON.COM, INC. v. ZITOVault, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2147	AMAZON.COM, INC. v. ZITOVault, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2147	AMAZON.COM, INC. v. ZITOVault, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2314	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2314	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2599	ENPLAS DISPLAY DEVICE CORPORA v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2599	ENPLAS DISPLAY DEVICE CORPORA v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2599	ENPLAS DISPLAY DEVICE CORPORA v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Damages	Lump Sum	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2167	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Decision
18-2167	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Res Judicata		Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
18-2167	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Decision
17-2557	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
17-2557	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-2456	GOOGLE LLC v. CONVERSANT WIRELESS LICENSING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2424	VICTAULIC COMPANY v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2424	VICTAULIC COMPANY v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2424	VICTAULIC COMPANY v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2247	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
17-2247	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
17-2247	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
17-2247	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
17-2465	IN RE: MARCO GULDENAAR HOLDING B.V. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1407	GLASSWALL SOLUTIONS LIMITED v. CLEARSWIFT LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2218	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1102	CHART TRADING DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2429	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Noninfringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

18-1276	SPINEOLOGY, INC. v. WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2503	IN RE: TROPP [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1241	WARNER CHILCOTT (US), LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2490	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1937	GRAVELLE v. KABA ILCO CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Rule 60(d)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1051	KOWA COMPANY, LTD. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2467	UVA PATENT FOUNDATION v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2471	UNISONE STRATEGIC IP, INC. v. LIFE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2556	UMBANET, INC. v. EPSILON DATA MANAGEMENT, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2541	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1795	IN RE: DOWNING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2284	NOVARTIS AG v. EZRA VENTURES LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Patent Term Extension	156	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

17-2173	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP v. BRECKENRIDGE PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Double Patenting	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-2288	ADVANCED MARKETING SYSTEMS v. WALGREEN CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-2700	JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC. v. PLANO ENCRYPTION TECHNOLOGIES [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Stoll, Wallach	Venue	1400(b)	Reversed	Order on Motion
18-1067	BLAST MOTION, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2635	PARTHENON UNIFIED MEMORY ARCH. v. HTC CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1507	GROBER v. MAKO PRODUCTS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
18-1511	BD. OF TRUSTEES OF UNIV. IL v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Breach of Contract		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1813	BD. OF TRUSTEES OF UNIV. IL v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2635	PARTHENON UNIFIED MEMORY ARCH. v. HTC CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2288	ADVANCED MARKETING SYSTEMS v. WALGREEN CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2284	NOVARTIS AG v. EZRA VENTURES LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2700	JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC. v. PLANO ENCRYPTION TECHNOLOGIES [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Stoll, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion

18-1937	GRAVELLE v. KABA ILCO CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2556	UMBANET, INC. v. EPSILON DATA MANAGEMENT, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2541	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2490	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2465	IN RE: MARCO GULDENAAR HOLDING B.V. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2467	UVA PATENT FOUNDATION v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1241	WARNER CHILCOTT (US), LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1102	CHART TRADING DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2218	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2218	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	APA		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2218	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2218	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1407	GLASSWALL SOLUTIONS LIMITED v. CLEARSWIFT LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

17-2088	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. RESEARCH CORPORATION TECH. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Wallach	Standing	Appeal		PTAB IPR Decision
18-1108	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2107	IMPERIUM IP HOLDINGS (CAYMAN) v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1923	IMPERIUM IP HOLDINGS (CAYMAN) v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
18-1205	DUNCAN PARKING TECHNOLOGIES v. IPS GROUP, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2090	IN RE: KARPf [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2624	IN RE: IKEDA FOOD RESEARCH CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1748	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2105	ARUNACHALAM v. IBM [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2028	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. CONVERSANT WIRELESS LICENSING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1600	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2614	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-1357	SUPERNUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Patent Term Extension	Computation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1491	STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2511	VEHICLE IP, LLC v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2597	PRINCETON DIGITAL IMAGE CORP. v. OFFICE DEPOT INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	NA
18-1217	MIICS & PARTNERS AMERICA v. TOSHIBA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Successor Liability		Affirmed	Unknown
18-1522	HOSPIRA INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1595	IN RE: HANSEN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1424	CASCADES STREAMING v. BIG TEN NETWORK, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1197	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1356	ENVENTURE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY v. MOHAWK ENERGY LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1196	VALEO NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. SCHAEFFLER TECHNOLOGIES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1428	POWER ANALYTICS CORPORATION v. OPERATION TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1123	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1335	COSMO TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	JMOL
17-2601	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2596	AMERIGEN PHARMACEUTICALS v. UCB PHARMA GMBH [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Standing	Constitutional	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
13-1527	WESTERNGECO L.L.C. v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Finality		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
18-1154	REALTIME DATA, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1412	TRANSPORT TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. LA COUNTY METRO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1433	AC TECHNOLOGIES S.A. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Schall, and Stoll	Exceed Statutory Authority		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1076	CONTINENTAL CIRCUITS LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
18-1655	CODEXIS, INC. v. ENZYMEWORKS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Hughes	Contempt	Protective Order	Affirmed	Order on Motion

18-1536	VOIT TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DEL-TON, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1533	DROP STOP LLC v. ZHL [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1893	UNILOC USA, INC. v. E-MDS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Mootness	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
17-2171	UNILOC USA, INC. v. PICIS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1015	REMBRANDT VISION TECHS., L.P. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON VISION CARE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1587	PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES OF CA v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	337 Violation		Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1802	SMARTEN LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1138	NXP USA, INC. v. NFC TECHNOLOGY, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
17-2294	MTD PRODUCTS INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2076	WEISSBROD GURVEY v. LIPPMAN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
17-2510	CENTRAK, INC. v. SONITOR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1644	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. CLOUDFLARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1732	IBG LLC v. TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Covered Business Method	Not CBM Patents	Vacated	CBM Decision
18-1173	SONY CORPORATION v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1773	IN RE: HOUSTON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

18-1685	ENGINEERED CORROSION SOLUTIONS v. SOUTH-TEK SYSTEMS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1719	TQ DELTA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1485	ARCH DEVELOPMENT CORP. v. OSI PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1636	IP CO., LLC. v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1901	IN RE: WARK [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1551	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1054	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. ACTAVIS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1247	AMARIN PHARMA, INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	na	NA
18-1609	IN RE: COHEN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1657	THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL LLC v. GNC CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2323	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTL v. IBG LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Covered Business Method	CBM Eligible	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1895	IN RE: MORINVILLE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1829	POLYCOM, INC. v. FULLVIEW, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Waiver	Arguments	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1257	NEPTUNE GENERICS, LLC v. ELI LILLY & COMPANY [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1690	IROBOT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision - Summary Determination
18-1550	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1322	ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONIC CO., LTD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1338	NEOLOGY, INC. v. ITC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Waiver	Arguments	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-2075	U.S. WATER SERVICES, INC. v. NOVOZYMES A/S [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
18-1687	VERSATOP SUPPORT SYSTEMS, INC v. GEORGIA EXPO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Linn, Newman	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2257	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L v. IBG LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Covered Business Method	CBM Eligible	Affirmed	PTAB CMB Decision
18-1724	DODOCASE VR, INC. v. MERCHSOURCE, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
18-1710	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL] a	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Collateral Estoppel		Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2575	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & CO. v. UNIFRAX I LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1995	IN RE: COBLER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2222	ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2000	IN RE: YU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict

18-1908	BASF CORPORATION v. JOHNSON MATTHEY INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1730	IN RE: BARONOV [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1285	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2398	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2425	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1752	DEXCOM, INC. v. WAVEFORM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1663	QFO LABS, INC. v. PARROT S.A. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2019	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1218	CLEVELAND CLINIC FOUNDATION v. TRUE HEALTH DIAGNOSTICS LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1065	IBM v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Tarantc	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2507	TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L. v. SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	New Trial	Validity	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2227	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2228	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1240	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [ORDER GRANTING PANEL REHEARING - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Rehearing		Granted	NA
18-1739	CHARGEPOINT, INC. v. SEMACONNECT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1153	GRUNENTHAL GMBH v ALKEM LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1358	COLAS SOLUTIONS, INC. v. BLACKLIDGE EMULSIONS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
2019-11	IN RE: LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM [MOTION PANEL ORDER]		Rehearing		Denied	Order on Motion
2019-11	IN RE: LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM [MOTION PANEL ORDER]		Vacatur		Denied	Order on Motion
2019-11	IN RE: LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM [MOTION PANEL ORDER]		Mandamus	Motion to Dismiss	NA	Order on Motion
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Evidence		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1659	IN RE: CONRAD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, O`Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1385	PAICE LLC v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1210	DEVONA v. ZEITELS [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Partnership		Affirmed	JMOL

17-2247	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC. [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach, Taranto, Chen, Hughes	Rehearing	En Banc	NA	NA
18-1295	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES INTL. v. CREATIVE COMPOUNDS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-1850	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1996	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1313	KANEKA CORPORATION v. SKC KOLON PI, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1991	AUTO-DRIL, INC. v. NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1189	PERFORMANCE PRICING HOLDINGS v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1979	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Motion to Dismiss	Appeal	Affirmed	NA
18-1392	SOPHOS INC. v. RPOS HOLDINGS, INC. [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2746	XITRONIX CORPORATION v. KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Affirmed	NA

18-1848	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC. v. TRUVEN HEALTH ANALYTICS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1660	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC. v. HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2169	VEDANTI LICENSING LIMITED v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1039	MAX SOUND CORPORATION v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1714	IN RE: LILLENESSE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1723	KONAMI GAMING INC. v. HIGH 5 GAMES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1772	IN RE: QAPSULE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1599	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1889	INVUE SECURITY PRODUCTS INC. v. MOBILE TECH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1236	INVUE SECURITY PRODUCTS INC. v. MOBILE TECH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1597	INVUE SECURITY PRODUCTS INC. v. MOBILE TECH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1793	IGNITE USA, LLC v. PACIFIC MARKET INTERNATIONAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1686	DEXCOM, INC. v. AGAMATRIX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Mayer	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1847	IN RE: NOBLE SYSTEMS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

19-111	IN RE: THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Mandamus		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
18-1053	XACTWARE SOLUTIONS, INC. v. EAGLE VIEW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1950	BRIGHAM AND WOMEN'S HOSPITAL, v PERRIGO COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	JMOL
18-1284	UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA RESEARCH v GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2351	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Infringement		Vacated	Summary Judgment
18-1142	INTEGRATED CLAIMS SYSTEMS, LLC v. TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1028	CODA DEVELOPMENT v. GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Reversed	Order on Motion
18-1299	JERRY HARVEY AUDIO HOLDING v. 1964 EARS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2312	DR. FALK PHARMA GMBH v. GENERICO, LLC [ORDER - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Disqualification	Attorney	NA	NA
18-1470	STRIKEFORCE TECHNOLOGIES, INC v SECUREAUTH CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1733	CCS TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. PANDUIT CORP. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Tarant	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2106	IN RE: RUDY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

18-2041	INCEPT LLC v. HYPERBRANCH MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1432	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1798	TQ DELTA, LLC v. DISH NETWORK LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2145	JOHNSTECH INTERNATIONAL CORP v. JF MICROTECHNOLOGY SDN BHD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman	Motion to Dismiss		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1997	SYCAMORE IP HOLDINGS LLC v. AT&T CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, O'Malley	Amendment	Patent Contentions	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1790	SPITZ TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. NOBEL BIOCARE USA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2345	SPITZ TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. NOBEL BIOCARE USA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Prost	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1890	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. ALBAAD MASSUOT YITZHAK, LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2007	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. INFOBRIDGE PTE. LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Schall	Standing	Constitutional	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1382	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1009	ZITO LLC v. CRJ, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Rehearing		NA	NA

18-2345	SPITZ TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. NOBEL BIOCARE USA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1725	GREEN MOUNTAIN GLASS, LLC v. SAINT-GOBAIN CONTAINERS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Infringement			Affirmed	Jury Verdict
18-2202	INVUE SECURITY PRODUCTS INC. v. MOBILE TECH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity			Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2497	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY v. UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Standing	Constitutional		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1799	TQ DELTA, LLC v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1806	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. TQ DELTA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1779	QUAKE v. LO [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Written Description		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
18-1166	IN RE: BLACK [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1368	VIRNETX INC. v. THE MANGROVE PARTNERS [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Real Party in Interest	315(b)		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1697	BRIDGE AND POST, INC. v. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1426	IN RE: GLOBAL IP HOLDINGS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Written Description		Vacated	PTAB - Reissue Appeal
18-1699	WESTECH AEROSOL CORPORATION v. 3M COMPANY [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Venue	1400(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

17-2498	ENZO LIFE SCIENCES, INC. v. ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2508	ATHENA DIAGNOSTICS INC. v. MAYO COLLABORATIVE SERVICES [ORDER DENYING REHEARING EN BANC - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Chief Judge, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach, Taranto, Chen, Hughes, And Stoll	Rehearing	En Banc	NA	NA
17-2122	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1495	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2543	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Institution Decision	Appealability	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1259	KOLCRAFT ENTERPRISES, INC. v. GRACO CHILDREN'S PRODUCTS, INC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2125	WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. v. POLYGROUP MACAU LTD. (BVI) [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2137	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1489	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L IBG LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	CBM Decision
18-1751	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1120	PARALLEL NETWORKS LICENSING v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion

18-1910	ELBIT SYSTEMS LAND AND C4I LTD v. HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1817	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Prosecution History	Estoppel	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1934	CHOON'S DESIGN, LLC v. IDEA VILLAGE PRODUCTS CORP. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1981	GAME AND TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1883	IN RE: RIGGS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1593	MAYNE PHARMA INTERNATIONAL PTY v. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Time Barred	IPR 315b	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2265	TRIPLAY, INC. v. WHATSAPP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2116	NATIONWIDE SALES AND SERVICES v. STEEL CITY VACUUM COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1627	FOCAL IP, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1765	FORUM US, INC. v. FLOW VALVE, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Validity	35 USC 251 - Broadening Reissue	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2563	HYOSUNG TNS INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Mootness	Appeal	NA	USITC Decision
18-1559	REGENTS OF THE UNIV. OF MINN. v. LSI CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Order on Motion

18-2032	IN RE: SNYDER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2117	ECOJET, INC. v. LURACO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Infringement		Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1461	IN RE: GITLIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1607	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. SEMICONDUCTOR COMPONENTS [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Collateral Estoppel	Lack of Incentive to Litigate	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1602	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. SEMICONDUCTOR COMPONENTS [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Taranto	Inter Partes Review	Petition Timely Filed	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1705	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. SEMICONDUCTOR COMPONENTS [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Taranto	Time Barred IPR 315b		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2474	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. ELM 3DS INNOVATIONS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2312	DR. FALK PHARMA GMBH v. GENERICO, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1775	M-I DRILLING FLUIDS UK LTD. v. DYNAMIC AIR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1490	PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES v. BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1986	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1117	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

18-1971	REESE v. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2437	ONESUBSEA IP UK LIMITED v. FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1832	FLAMM v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1349	IPCOM GMBH & CO. v. HTC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1859	RAPID COMPLETIONS LLC v. WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1376	COBALT BOATS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Waiver	Claim Construction	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1581	LONE STAR SILICON INNOVATIONS v. NANY, TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Standing		Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1132	UNILOC USA, INC. v. ADP, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Joinder		NA	NA
18-1777	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1276	PIERCE MANUFACTURING, INC v. E-ONE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
18-1987	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1836	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-2746	XITRONIX CORPORATION v. KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Antitrust	Walker Process	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-118	IN RE: ASM INTERNATIONAL, N.V., ASM AMERICA, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1958	IN RE: TAYLOR [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1172	SONY CORPORATION v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Cyk, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1574	IBM v. BOOKING HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Joint	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1105	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L v. IBG LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Linn, Mayer	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2423	QUEST INTEGRITY USA, LLC v. COKEBUSTERS USA INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	102 (b)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1680	SURF WAVES, LTD. v. PACIFIC SURF DESIGNS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1727	ALIEN TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. INTERMEC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1998	IN RE: INFINITY HEADWEAR & APPAREL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
18-2091	SCENTSATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES v. PEPSICO, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Daubert Ruling
17-2473	NUVO PHARMACEUTICALS v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-1501	BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD v. PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-2013	IN RE: UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1957	CREATIVE SPARK, LLC v. KINGSFORD PRODUCTS COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Invalidity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1147	BTG INTERNATIONAL LIMITED v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1618	ZHEJIANG MEDICINE CO., LTD. v. KANEKA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
18-1892	KANEKA CORPORATION v. XIAMEN KINGDOMWAY GROUP CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
18-1944	IN RE: ROSEN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1434	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1106	AVX CORPORATION v. PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Standing	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2579	BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1421	SONY CORPORATION v. FUJIFILM CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1694	MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Standing	Constitutional	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2421	EKO BRANDS, LLC v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Indirect	Affirmed	USITC Decision

18-1557	SMART MODULAR TECHNOLOGIES v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1240	ABS GLOBAL, INC. v. INGURAN LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
2019-10	IN RE: ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP, RING, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Disqualification	Attorney	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2508	ATHENA DIAGNOSTICS INC. v. MAYO COLLABORATIVE SERVICES [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1439	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-152	IN RE: GOOGLE LLC [ORDER DENYING PANEL REHEARING AND REHEARING EN BANC - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Chief Judge, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach, Taranto, Chen, Hughes, And Stoll	Rehearing		Denied	NA
18-1203	SANOFI MATURE IP v. MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Burden of Proof	IPR	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2589	REHCO LLC v. SPIN MASTER, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dy, Moore, Prost	Breach of Contract		Vacated	Summary Judgment
18-1433	AC TECHNOLOGIES S.A. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Schall, and Stoll	Due Process		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1433	AC TECHNOLOGIES S.A. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Schall, and Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1433	AC TECHNOLOGIES S.A. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Schall, and Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1433	AC TECHNOLOGIES S.A. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Schall, and Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1154	REALTIME DATA, LLC v IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	312(a)(3) Violation		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1154	REALTIME DATA, LLC v IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1154	REALTIME DATA, LLC v IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1154	REALTIME DATA, LLC v IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2596	AMERIGEN PHARMACEUTICALS v. UCB PHARMA GMBH [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
13-1527	WESTERNGECO L.L.C. v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Damages	Lost Profits	Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1356	ENVENTURE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY v. MOHAWK ENERGY LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2614	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
17-2614	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-2614	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2614	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Tarantc	Experimental Use		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Tarantc	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Tarantc	Validity	102(g)	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Tarantc	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial

17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1600	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2028	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. CONVERSANT WIRELESS LICENSING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2028	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. CONVERSANT WIRELESS LICENSING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2028	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. CONVERSANT WIRELESS LICENSING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2105	ARUNACHALAM v. IBM [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Disqualification	Judge	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1748	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1748	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1748	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1748	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2624	IN RE: IKEDA FOOD RESEARCH CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
18-2090	IN RE: KARPf [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1205	DUNCAN PARKING TECHNOLOGIES v. IPS GROUP, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1205	DUNCAN PARKING TECHNOLOGIES v. IPS GROUP, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1205	DUNCAN PARKING TECHNOLOGIES v. IPS GROUP, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

18-1205	DUNCAN PARKING TECHNOLOGIES v. IPS GROUP, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1205	DUNCAN PARKING TECHNOLOGIES v. IPS GROUP, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement		Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-2107	IMPERIUM IP HOLDINGS (CAYMAN) v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2107	IMPERIUM IP HOLDINGS (CAYMAN) v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2088	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. RESEARCH CORPORATION TECH. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2088	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. RESEARCH CORPORATION TECH. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Wallach	Waiver	Arguments	NA	
17-2589	REHCO LLC v. SPIN MASTER, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dy, Moore, Prost	Release		Reversed	Summary Judgment
17-2589	REHCO LLC v. SPIN MASTER, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dy, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
17-2589	REHCO LLC v. SPIN MASTER, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dy, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
18-1203	SANOFI MATURE IP v. MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Amendment	IPR Claims	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1203	SANOFI MATURE IP v. MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2508	ATHENA DIAGNOSTICS INC. v. MAYO COLLABORATIVE SERVICES [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1655	CODEXIS, INC. v. ENZYMEWORKS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Hughes	Jurisdiction		Vacated	
18-1536	VOIT TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DEL-TON, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1533	DROP STOP LLC v. ZHL [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1076	CONTINENTAL CIRCUITS LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment after Claim Construction
17-2171	UNILOC USA, INC. v. PICIS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1802	SMARTEN LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1644	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. CLOUDFLARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2510	CENTRAK, INC. v. SONITOR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Making	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1470	STRIKEFORCE TECHNOLOGIES, INC v SECUREAUTH CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1142	INTEGRATED CLAIMS SYSTEMS, LLC v. TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1028	CODA DEVELOPMENT v. GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Motion to Dismiss	Time-Barred	Vacated	Order on Motion

18-2351	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Breach of Contract		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2351	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Trade Secret Misappropriation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1284	UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA RESEARCH v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1599	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1848	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC. v. TRUVEN HEALTH ANALYTICS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1660	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC. v. HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial

17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Infringement		Vacated	Bench Trial
18-1189	PERFORMANCE PRICING HOLDINGS v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1295	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES INTL. v. CREATIVE COMPOUNDS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Exceptional Case	Abusive Litigation Tactics	Affirmed	Order on Motion

17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Remanded	Order on Motion
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1659	IN RE: CONRAD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reduction to Practice	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1358	COLAS SOLUTIONS, INC. v. BLACKLIDGE EMULSIONS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Waiver	Arguments	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1739	CHARGEPOINT, INC. v. SEMACONNECT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1739	CHARGEPOINT, INC. v. SEMACONNECT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

17-2228	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2228	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2228	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	IPR Proceeding	Grounds	Remanded	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2227	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2227	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2227	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2227	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1240	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1153	GRUNENTHAL GMBH v ALKEM LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Reyna, Tarant	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1153	GRUNENTHAL GMBH v ALKEM LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Reyna, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1153	GRUNENTHAL GMBH v ALKEM LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Reyna, Tarant	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2507	TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L. v. SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2507	TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L. v. SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

17-2507	TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L. v. SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2507	TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L. v. SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1218	CLEVELAND CLINIC FOUNDATION v. TRUE HEALTH DIAGNOSTICS LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1065	IBM v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1065	IBM v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1065	IBM v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1730	IN RE: BARONOV [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Tarant	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	New Trial	Infringement	NA	NA
18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Damages	Amount	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Willfulness		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	Order on Motion

18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Evidence	Hearsay	Reversed	Order on Motion
16-2222	ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2222	ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Diligence		Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1710	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL] a	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Priority Date		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1710	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL] a	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1710	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL] a	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2575	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & CO. v. UNIFRAX I LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2575	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & CO. v. UNIFRAX I LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2575	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & CO. v. UNIFRAX I LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2575	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & CO. v. UNIFRAX I LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Priority	Conception and Reduction to Practice	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1724	DODOCASE VR, INC. v. MERCHSOURCE, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
18-1724	DODOCASE VR, INC. v. MERCHSOURCE, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Mootness		Denied	Order on Motion
17-2257	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L v. IBG LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Covered Business Method	CBM Eligible	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2257	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L v. IBG LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision

18-1690	IROBOT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision - Summary Determination
18-1550	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1550	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1550	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1550	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1338	NEOLOGY, INC. v. ITC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Waiver	Arguments	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1338	NEOLOGY, INC. v. ITC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1322	ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONIC CO., LTD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1322	ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONIC CO., LTD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1257	NEPTUNE GENERICS, LLC v. ELI LILLY & COMPANY [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1895	IN RE: MORINVILLE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1829	POLYCOM, INC. v. FULLVIEW, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1829	POLYCOM, INC. v. FULLVIEW, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

18-1829	POLYCOM, INC. v. FULLVIEW, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-2323	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTL v. IBG LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1657	THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL LLC v. GNC CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Exceptional Case	Pre-Suit Investigation	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1657	THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL LLC v. GNC CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Exceptional Case	Abusive Litigation Tactics	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1247	AMARIN PHARMA, INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Institution Decision		Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1247	AMARIN PHARMA, INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Mandamus	Jurisdiction	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1247	AMARIN PHARMA, INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Preemption		Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1054	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. ACTAVIS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1551	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1551	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1551	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1434	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-1434	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

17-2579	BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2579	BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1147	BTG INTERNATIONAL LIMITED v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2091	SCENTSATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES v. PEPSICO, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Trade Secret Misappropriation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2091	SCENTSATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES v. PEPSICO, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Breach of Contract		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2091	SCENTSATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES v. PEPSICO, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2423	QUEST INTEGRITY USA, LLC v. COKEBUSTERS USA INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	102 (b)	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1958	IN RE: TAYLOR [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1574	IBM v. BOOKING HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Waiver	Arguments	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1172	SONY CORPORATION v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Cyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1987	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1836	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1836	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1836	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1836	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1777	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Collateral Estoppel		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1777	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1777	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1132	UNILOC USA, INC. v. ADP, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Standing	Appeal	NA	NA
18-1132	UNILOC USA, INC. v. ADP, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Vacatur		Reversed	Order on Motion
18-1132	UNILOC USA, INC. v. ADP, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1132	UNILOC USA, INC. v. ADP, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1581	LONE STAR SILICON INNOVATIONS v. MANY TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Joinder		Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1376	COBALT BOATS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
18-1376	COBALT BOATS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

18-1376	COBALT BOATS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1376	COBALT BOATS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Prosecution History Estoppel			Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1117	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1971	REESE v. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1490	PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES v. BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1490	PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES v. BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1775	M-I DRILLING FLUIDS UK LTD. v. DYNAMIC AIR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Costs			Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1775	M-I DRILLING FLUIDS UK LTD. v. DYNAMIC AIR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2223	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2224	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2029	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Primary Reference		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2289	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. EMC CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision

18-1534	ORTHOACCEL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. PROPEL ORTHODONTICS, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
18-2388	BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL v. ARCTIC CAT INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2231	UCP INTERNATIONAL COMPANY LTD. v. BALSAM BRANDS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
18-2420	CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC. v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Collateral Estoppel		NA	NA
18-1499	CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC. v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1348	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS, INC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1616	INSPIRED DEVELOPMENT GROUP v. INSPIRED PRODUCTS GROUP, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
18-2118	INSPIRED DEVELOPMENT GROUP v. INSPIRED PRODUCTS GROUP, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on motion
18-1849	INTRA-CELLULAR THERAPIES, INC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Patent Term Extension	154	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2031	MAYO FOUNDATION v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Patent Term Extension	154	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2186	UNILOC USA, INC. v. BIG FISH GAMES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1208	THUNDER POWER v. BYTON NORTH AMERICA CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

18-2214	CURVER LUXEMBOURG, SARL v HOME EXPRESSIONS INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Infringement	Design	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1596	HENNY PENNY CORPORATION v. FRYMASTER LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2422	VEVEO, INC. v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1367	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Antitrust		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2355	IN RE: GRANT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1744	ALLIED MACHINE & ENGINEERING v. COMPETITIVE CARBIDE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Stay	Pending Reexam	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2027	CONFORMIS, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1462	VALSPAR SOURCING, INC. v. PPG INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Vacatur		Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1521	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2384	FLAMM v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2322	FLAMM v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2073	SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2111	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-2354	IN RE: FINJAN, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
18-2067	PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1426	IN RE: ORHOMURU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Plager, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1700	BOARD OF REGENTS v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
17-2617	PHIGENIX, INC. v. GENENTECH, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1551	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Rehearing		NA	NA
18-2094	UNILOC USA, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Plager, Prost	Standing		Vacated	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-2185	UNILOC USA, INC. v. HTC AMERICA, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Plager, Prost	Standing		Vacated	NA
18-2089	THE SCRIPPS RESEARCH INSTITUTE v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-2207	ALLERGAN SALES, LLC v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	Wherein/Whereby Clause	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
19-1643	BIODELIVERY SCIENCES INTL. v. AQUESTIVE THERAPEUTICS, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER - PRECEDENTIAL] 8-29-	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB Institution Decision
18-1019	INO THERAPEUTICS LLC v. PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial

17-2472	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2042	GUANGDONG ALISON HI-TECH CO. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1619	WAG ACQUISITION, LLC v. WEBPOWER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1617	WAG ACQUISITION, LLC v. WEBPOWER, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1584	ARTHREX, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2103	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1019	GRECIA v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1232	ENZO LIFE SCIENCES, INC. v. BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1045	ANZA TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. MUSHKIN, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Governing Law		NA	NA
18-1758	MYMAIL, LTD. v. OOVVOO, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-2362	GENZYME CORPORATION v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS (USA) [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity		Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1221	NALPROPION PHARMACEUTICALS, v ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial

19-1615	MAATUK v. EMERSON ELECTRIC, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Plager, Prost	Statute of Limitations	Trade Secrets	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1221	NALPROION PHARMACEUTICALS, v ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-2219	IN RE: MEZA [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1804	SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S., LLC v. FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Case or Controversy	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-2292	MTD PRODUCTS INC. v IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1449	IRIDESCENT NETWORKS, INC. v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment after Claim Construction
18-1834	GOOGLE LLC v. MAKOR ISSUES & RIGHTS LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1082	MAKOR ISSUES & RIGHTS LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2342	SLADE v. REGINA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
16-1502	RETURN MAIL, INC. v. USPS [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Jurisdiction		Reversed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-2126	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1886	CHANBOND, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2056	GENETIC VETERINARY SCIENCES v. LABOKLIN GMBH & CO. KG [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Motion to Unseal		NA	NA
18-2098	BLAIR v. KAWASAKI RAIL CAR, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1248	NEEV v. ALCON LENSX INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1389	DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. v. CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2340	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1903	CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS v. PANDUIT CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O`Malley, Plager	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1999	PANDUIT CORP. v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O`Malley, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2487	NUVO PHARMACEUTICALS v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-1590	AJINOMOTO CO., INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1606	ATEN INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. v. UNICLASS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1922	ATEN INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. v. UNICLASS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1368	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1311	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1591	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Estoppel	317(b) Pre-AIA	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-124	IN RE: COREL SOFTWARE LLC [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Stay Pending Reexam	Affirmed	

18-1959	GENENTECH, INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1163	CHESTNUT HILL SOUND INC. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1345	SOLUTRAN, INC. v. ELAVON, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1167	CELGENE CORPORATION v. PETER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1993	AMGEN INC. v. COHERUS BIOSCIENCES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1914	TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. PHAZZER ELECTRONICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Contempt		Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2057	TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. PHAZZER ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Standing	Constitutional	na	NA
18-1613	AUTOMOTIVE BODY PARTS ASS'N v. FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Functionality	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2474	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. ELM 3DS INNOVATIONS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1151	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. v. ARKEMA INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	APA	Unreviewable	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
18-1151	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. v. ARKEMA INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Leave	PTAB - Motion for Leave to Request Certificate of	Reversed	Order on Motion
17-2312	DR. FALK PHARMA GMBH v. GENERICO, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2312	DR. FALK PHARMA GMBH v. GENERICO, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	ANDA	Dismissed	Bench Trial
18-1607	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. SEMICONDUCTOR COMPONENTS [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Inter Partes Review	Petition Timely Filed		PTAB IPR Decision
18-1607	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. SEMICONDUCTOR COMPONENTS [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Real Party in Interest	315(b)	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1763	AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING v. NEAPCO HOLDINGS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1078	AKER BIOMARINE ANTARCTIC AS v. RIMFROST AS [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1763	AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING v. NEAPCO HOLDINGS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1078	AKER BIOMARINE ANTARCTIC AS v. RIMFROST AS [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2008	EVOLVED WIRELESS LLC v. ZTE (USA) INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2248	X2Y ATTENUATORS, LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Motion to Dismiss	Failure to Prosecute	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2377	ACCLARENT, INC. v. ALBRITTON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1222	23ANDME, INC. v. ANCESTRY.COM DNA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1224	ARSUS, LLC v. JOHN H FIRMAGE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

19-1222	23ANDME, INC. v. ANCESTRY.COM DNA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2377	ACCLARENT, INC. v. ALBRITTON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1074	IN RE: AAMP OF FLORIDA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
19-1231	SAFE 'N' SEC CORPORATION v. SNS HOLDING LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1256	UCP INTERNATIONAL COMPANY LTD. v. BALSAM BRANDS INC. [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Motion to Unseal		NA	NA
18-1590	AJINOMOTO CO., INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-1338	SAP AMERICA, INC. v. INVESTPIC LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2363	NEUROGRAFIX v. BRAINLAB, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-2363	NEUROGRAFIX v. BRAINLAB, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
18-2365	FREEBIT AS v. BOSE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1088	MAXELL, LTD. v. FANDANGO MEDIA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1461	IN RE: GITLIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2032	IN RE: SNYDER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-125	IN RE: AMAZON.COM, INC. [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	O'Malley, Prost, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1726	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

19-1188	ROVI GUIDES, INC. v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2356	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Plager	Costs	Prevailing Party	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1726	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1726	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Transfer		Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1726	HUANG v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Kessler Doctrine		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1225	HORIZON THERAPEUTICS, LLC v IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2149	HZNP MEDICINES LLC v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2149	HZNP MEDICINES LLC v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2149	HZNP MEDICINES LLC v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2149	HZNP MEDICINES LLC v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
17-2563	HYOSUNG TNS INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
17-2563	HYOSUNG TNS INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	USITC Decision
17-2563	HYOSUNG TNS INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Domestic Industry	Economic	Affirmed	USITC Decision

18-2234	VAN DER HOEVEN HORTICULTURAL v. GLASS INVESTMENT PROJECTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1696	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2170	BEDGEAR, LLC v. FREDMAN BROS. FURNITURE CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1310	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1310	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2116	NATIONWIDE SALES AND SERVICES v. STEEL CITY VACUUM COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Trade Secret Misappropriation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2265	TRIPLAY, INC. v. WHATSAPP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1981	GAME AND TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1981	GAME AND TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1981	GAME AND TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1593	MAYNE PHARMA INTERNATIONAL PTY v. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Amendment	Real Party in Interest	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1593	MAYNE PHARMA INTERNATIONAL PTY v MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1593	MAYNE PHARMA INTERNATIONAL PTY v MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1593	MAYNE PHARMA INTERNATIONAL PTY v MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1934	CHOON'S DESIGN, LLC v. IDEA VILLAGE PRODUCTS CORP. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Noninfringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1934	CHOON'S DESIGN, LLC v. IDEA VILLAGE PRODUCTS CORP. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Noninfringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Ensnarement		Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial

18-1910	ELBIT SYSTEMS LAND AND C4I LTD v. HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Taranto	New Trial	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1910	ELBIT SYSTEMS LAND AND C4I LTD v. HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Taranto	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1910	ELBIT SYSTEMS LAND AND C4I LTD v. HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
18-1817	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1817	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1817	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Exceptional Case	Overall	Vacated	Order on Motion
18-1751	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1751	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1751	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Validity		Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1120	PARALLEL NETWORKS LICENSING v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Infringement	Indirect	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1120	PARALLEL NETWORKS LICENSING v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2137	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

18-2125	WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. v. POLYGROUP MACAU LTD. (BVI) [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2125	WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. v. POLYGROUP MACAU LTD. (BVI) [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	
18-1489	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L IBG LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	CBM Decision
18-1810	KNAUF INSULATION, INC. v. ROCKWOOL INTERNATIONAL A/S [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Linn, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-2099	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2099	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2099	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	IPR Proceeding	Grounds	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1810	KNAUF INSULATION, INC. v. ROCKWOOL INTERNATIONAL A/S [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Linn, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1810	KNAUF INSULATION, INC. v. ROCKWOOL INTERNATIONAL A/S [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Linn, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1810	KNAUF INSULATION, INC. v. ROCKWOOL INTERNATIONAL A/S [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Linn, Taranto	Standing	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1613	AUTOMOTIVE BODY PARTS ASS'N v. FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Exhaustion/First Sale		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

18-1495	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1495	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
18-1495	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1495	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment after Claim Construction
18-1259	KOLCRAFT ENTERPRISES, INC. v. GRACO CHILDREN'S PRODUCTS, INC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Priority	Conception and Reduction to Practice		PTAB IPR Decision
18-1259	KOLCRAFT ENTERPRISES, INC. v. GRACO CHILDREN'S PRODUCTS, INC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2400	FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT v. SIRIUS XM RADIO INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Linn, Taranto	Choice of Law	Law Applied	NA	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2002	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	USITC Proceeding
18-2331	IN RE: GATES [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2331	IN RE: GATES [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2331	IN RE: GATES [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2400	FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT v. SIRIUS XM RADIO INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Linn, Taranto	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2400	FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT v. SIRIUS XM RADIO INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Linn, Taranto	Amendment	Complaint	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

18-1980	WILSON v. MARTIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
19-1112	IN RE: GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Recapture - 251	Affirmed	PTAB - Reissue Appeal
18-1980	WILSON v. MARTIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Estoppel	Judicial	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
18-1980	WILSON v. MARTIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
18-1980	WILSON v. MARTIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-2543	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Priority Date		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2543	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Institution Decision	SAS	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2543	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature		PTAB IPR Decision
17-2543	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2543	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2213	GOOGLE LLC v. KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2213	GOOGLE LLC v. KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2213	GOOGLE LLC v. KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2213	GOOGLE LLC v. KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Waiver	Arguments	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2122	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2122	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2122	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2122	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2122	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2122	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1699	WESTECH AEROSOL CORPORATION v. 3M COMPANY [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Sanctions	Appeal	NA	NA
18-1697	BRIDGE AND POST, INC. v. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1166	IN RE: BLACK [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1166	IN RE: BLACK [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1806	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. TQ DELTA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1812	ALERE, INC. v. REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1799	TQ DELTA, LLC v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1799	TQ DELTA, LLC v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1799	TQ DELTA, LLC v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1799	TQ DELTA, LLC v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1812	ALERE, INC. v. REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Institution Decision	SAS	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2152	LIQWD, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
18-2397	METRICOLOR LLC v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1691	IDENIX PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. GILEAD SCIENCES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	JMOL
18-2397	METRICOLOR LLC v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2397	METRICOLOR LLC v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Amendment	Complaint	Vacated	Order on Motion
18-2397	METRICOLOR LLC v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Motion to Dismiss	Lack of Jurisdiction	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2397	METRICOLOR LLC v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Discovery	Jurisdictional	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2251	UNILOC 2017 LLC v FACEBOOK INC. [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Unspecified	Motion to Vacate and Remand	Appointments Clause	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2140	ARTHREX, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Appointments Clause		Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2239	CUSTOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v DISH NETWORK CORPORATION [ORDER - PRECEDENTIAL]	Unspecified	Motion to Vacate and Remand	Appointments Clause	NA	PTAB CBM Decision
19-1001	CUSTOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v DISH NETWORK CORPORATION [ORDER - PRECEDENTIAL]	Unspecified	Motion to Vacate and Remand	Appointments Clause	NA	PTAB CBM Decision
19-1141	AMERANTH, INC. v. DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Plager, Prost	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2152	LIQWD, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision

18-1691	IDENIX PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. GILEAD SCIENCES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1127	IN RE: FOUGHT [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Validity	102 (b)	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1511	BAGGAGE AIRLINE GUEST SERVICES v. ROADIE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Schall, and Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1242	EAGLE INDUSTRIES, INC. v. MINIATURE PRECISION COMPONENTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1778	KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC. v. POLARIS INNOVATIONS LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2381	TELEFONAKTIEBOLAG ET LM v. TCL CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Evidence		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2082	BEDGEAR, LLC v. FREDMAN BROS. FURNITURE CO. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Stoll	Appointments Clause		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1803	AIRBUS S.A.S. v. FIREPASS CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
19-1889	IN RE: MOTUPALLI [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2131	DAICEL CORPORATION v. CELANESE INTERNATIONAL CORP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2130	DAICEL CORPORATION v. CELANESE INTERNATIONAL CORP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1062	IN RE: PRIMBAS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

19-1001	CUSTOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DISH NETWORK CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-2277	POLIDI v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
19-1001	CUSTOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DISH NETWORK CORPORATION [ORDER - PRECEDENTIAL]	Unspecified	Waiver	Arguments	NA	PTAB CBM Decision
18-2239	CUSTOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DISH NETWORK CORPORATION [ORDER - PRECEDENTIAL]	Unspecified	Waiver	Arguments	NA	PTAB CBM Decision
19-1127	IN RE: FOUGHT [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1127	IN RE: FOUGHT [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1210	DISTEFANO PATENT TRUST III v. LINKEDIN CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
18-2311	IN RE: FOTE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2374	CRANE SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES v. ROLLING OPTICS AB [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1863	KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V. v. GEMALTO M2M GMBH [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-2282	LOGGERHEAD TOOLS, LLC v. SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
18-2380	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Damages		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
18-2332	APPLE INC. v. CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECH. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1031	R2 SEMICONDUCTOR, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1114	VELOCITY PATENT LLC v. FCA US LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1240	RAPID COMPLETIONS LLC v. BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1329	COLUMBIA SPORTSWEAR v. SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-1254	IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1418	GRECIA v. WALGREEN CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
19-1171	GAME AND TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. WARGAMING GROUP LIMITED [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Time Barred IPR 315b		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1368	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1471	PICCONE v. PATO [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Disbarment	PTO	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
20-106	IN RE: EXTREME TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-101	IN RE: HUANG [MOTION PANEL ORDER]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Mandamus	Sanction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1005	FIBER, LLC v. CIENA CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1050	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Taranto	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	JMOL

18-1766	TQ DELTA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1163	PHARMA TECH SOLUTIONS, INC. v. LIFESCAN, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1805	IN RE IPR LICENSING, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1779	QUAKE v. LO [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
19-1382	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2007	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. INFOBRIDGE PTE. LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Publicly Accessible	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2007	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. INFOBRIDGE PTE. LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Schall	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Rule 59 - Altering or Amending Judgment		Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art		Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Priority Date		Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-2106	IN RE: RUDY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1733	CCS TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. PANDUIT CORP. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Tarantc	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1613	AUTOMOTIVE BODY PARTS ASS'N v. FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Repair		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1993	AMGEN INC. v. COHERUS BIOSCIENCES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

18-1993	AMGEN INC. v. COHERUS BIOSCIENCES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1345	SOLUTRAN, INC. v. ELAVON, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1167	CELGENE CORPORATION v. PETER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1167	CELGENE CORPORATION v. PETER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1167	CELGENE CORPORATION v. PETER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1167	CELGENE CORPORATION v. PETER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1167	CELGENE CORPORATION v. PETER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Waiver	Arguments	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1167	CELGENE CORPORATION v. PETER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Constitutionality		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1591	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1591	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1311	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1311	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Due Process		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1311	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1311	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Constitutional Taking ity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1311	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1606	ATEN INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. v. UNICLASS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1606	ATEN INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. v. UNICLASS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1606	ATEN INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. v. UNICLASS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1606	ATEN INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. v. UNICLASS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Waiver	Arguments	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1590	AJINOMOTO CO., INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1590	AJINOMOTO CO., INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	USITC Decision
17-2487	NUVO PHARMACEUTICALS v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Prost, Wallach	Infringement		Reversed	Bench Trial
18-2133	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. WYETH LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2262	FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE v. RESMED LIMITED [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Unspecified	Standing		NA	NA
18-2342	SLADE v. REGINA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision

18-2126	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2126	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-2126	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1449	IRIDESCENT NETWORKS, INC. v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2292	MTD PRODUCTS INC. v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1615	MAATUK v. EMERSON ELECTRIC, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Plager, Prost	Inventorship	Correction	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1615	MAATUK v. EMERSON ELECTRIC, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Plager, Prost	Date of Invention		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2219	IN RE: MEZA [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1804	SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S., LLC v. FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
18-1804	SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S., LLC v. FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1221	NALPROPION PHARMACEUTICALS, v ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-1221	NALPROPION PHARMACEUTICALS, v ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	Bench Trial

18-1221	NALPROPION PHARMACEUTICALS, v ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-1221	NALPROPION PHARMACEUTICALS, v ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-1221	NALPROPION PHARMACEUTICALS, v ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
19-1045	ANZA TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. MUSHKIN, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Statute of Limitations	Patent	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1045	ANZA TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. MUSHKIN, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Statute of Limitations	Relation Back	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1045	ANZA TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. MUSHKIN, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Statute of Limitations	Relation Back	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2362	GENZYME CORPORATION v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS (USA) [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
18-1758	MYMAIL, LTD. v. OOVVOO, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	Alice	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-1232	ENZO LIFE SCIENCES, INC. v. BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1232	ENZO LIFE SCIENCES, INC. v. BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1232	ENZO LIFE SCIENCES, INC. v. BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Constitutionality	Taking	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1019	GRECIA v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1367	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Antitrust	Relevant Market	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1367	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2214	CURVER LUXEMBOURG, SARL v HOME EXPRESSIONS INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Claim Construction	Design	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1596	HENNY PENNY CORPORATION v. FRYMASTER LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	APA	New Argument	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1596	HENNY PENNY CORPORATION v. FRYMASTER LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1208	THUNDER POWER v. BYTON NORTH AMERICA CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2029	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2029	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Primary Reference	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2029	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2186	UNILOC USA, INC. v. BIG FISH GAMES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1348	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS, INC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1348	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS, INC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1348	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS, INC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1348	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS, INC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1348	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS, INC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1348	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS, INC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2420	CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC. v. ALE USA INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Stay	Damages	Vacated	Order on Motion
18-2231	UCP INTERNATIONAL COMPANY LTD. v. BALSAM BRANDS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
18-2231	UCP INTERNATIONAL COMPANY LTD. v. BALSAM BRANDS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Inherent Powers	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2388	BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL v. ARCTIC CAT INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2388	BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL v. ARCTIC CAT INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

18-2388	BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL v. ARCTIC CAT INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2388	BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL v. ARCTIC CAT INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2388	BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL v. ARCTIC CAT INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2289	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. EMC CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2289	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. EMC CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Covered Business Method	CBM Eligible	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Covered Business Method	CBM Eligible	Reversed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision
18-2224	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2224	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2223	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2223	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2223	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-2223	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1366	VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. REALTIME DATA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT] v2	Dyk, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2200	VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. REALTIME DATA LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1291	SMART METER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. ITRON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1363	TCL COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY v. TELEFONAKTIEBOLAG ET LM [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Right to Jury Trial	FRAND payments for past infringement	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-2087	PLASTIC OMNIUM ADVANCED v. DONGHEE AMERICA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1286	CCS TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. PANDUIT CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1477	WESLEY CORPORATION v. ZOOM T.V. PRODUCTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1367	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP [ORDER - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Rehearing		NA	NA
19-1125	PROSTRAKAN, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1341	KNEEBINDING, INC. v. MARKER VOLKL USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2373	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. FEDEX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1248	CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS v. PANDUIT CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1520	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2150	DSM DESOTECH INC. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-1014	IN RE: LEWENSZTAIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1409	IN-DEPTH TEST LLC v. MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-2361	PERSION PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. ALVOGEN MALT/ OPERATIONS LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1178	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1349	BLACKLIDGE EMULSIONS, INC. v. ASPHALT PRODUCTS UNLIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1440	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1856	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1122	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. TREND MICRO INCORPORATED [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Exceptional Case	Overall	Vacated	Order on Motion
18-2024	FOX FACTORY, INC. v. SRAM, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2112	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1261	CG TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. FANDUEL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-2393	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. HEALTH IN MOTION LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1067	AMGEN INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
18-2123	NETLIST, INC. v. SK HYNIX INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2357	NETLIST, INC. v. SK HYNIX INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1676	NETLIST, INC. v. ITC [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Mootness	Appeal	NA	NA
18-2191	TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Reversed	USITC Decision
18-2189	IN RE: THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
18-1933	GENENTECH, INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1329	HOSPIRA, INC. v. FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1386	IRIDESCENT NETWORKS, INC. v. RPX CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1373	BESTWAY (USA), INC. v. INTEX MARKETING LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1322	INFINEUM INTERNATIONAL LIMITED v. AFTON CHEMICAL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1431	COASTAL INDUSTRIES INC. v. SHOWER ENCLOSURES AMERICA, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1312	TELESIGN CORPORATION v. TWILIO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-2304	ABBVIE BIOTECHNOLOGY, LTD v. US [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, O`Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2414	AMGEN INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction		Reversed	Bench Trial
19-1234	GOOGLE LLC v. KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1353	EGENERA, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1564	IN RE: CHASON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1303	GLOBAL EQUITY MANAGEMENT (SA) v. EBAY INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Real Party in Interest	315(b)	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1751	PRECISION FABRICS GROUP, INC. v. TIETEX INTERNATIONAL LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2215	EKO BRANDS, LLC v. ADRIAN RIVERA MAYNEZ ENTERS. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1643	BIODELIVERY SCIENCES INT'L v. AQUESTIVE THERAPEUTICS, INC. [ORDER - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O`Malley, Reyna, Wallach, Taranto, Chen, Hughes	Rehearing	En Banc	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1309	ROVI GUIDES, INC. v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1145	BLACKBERRY LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1146	BLACKBERRY LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1011	HALOZYME, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	Bench Trial

18-2256	PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC v. CBS CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Jurisdiction	35 USC 319	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1071	MOLON MOTOR AND COIL CORP. v. NIDEC MOTOR CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-110	FEIT ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC. v. CFL TECHNOLOGIES LLC [ORDER]	Bryson, Reyna, Taranto	Petition for Permission to Appeal 1292(b)		Reversed	Order on Motion
19-1169	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA v. PRISUA ENGINEERING CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	IPR Proceeding	Grounds	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1768	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO. INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Appointments Clause	IPR APJs	Vacated	NA
18-1831	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO. INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Appointments Clause	IPR APJs	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
20-108	In Re SGROMO [ORDER]	Unspecified	Mandamus	Motion to Dismiss	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-107	In Re SGROMO [ORDER]	Unspecified	Mandamus	Motion to Dismiss	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-105	MLC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, LLC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [ORDER]	Moore, O'Malley, Stoll	Petition for Permission to Appeal 1292(b)		NA	NA
19-1100	INTELLIGENT AUTOMATION DESIGN v. ZIMMER BIOMET CMF AND THORACIC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Unknown
19-1177	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Inter Partes Review	Ground Not Raised by Petitioner	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2396	GALDERMA LABORATORIES v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
19-1430	SAUDER MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. J SQUARED, INC. [OPINION]	Wyk, Stoll, Wallach	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Order on Motion

18-2276	MIRROR WORLDS TECHNOLOGIES v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement		Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1043	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1317	RAPID COMPLETIONS LLC v. WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1453	In Re TARASENKO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2382	APPLE INC. v. ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	New Ground of Rejection		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2259	In Re ESCOBOSA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1523	INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC v. FANDUEL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Schall, and Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1745	DIEM LLC v. BIGCOMMERCE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Schall, and Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1334	In Re FERNANDEZ [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1264	CHEETAH OMNI LLC v. AT&T SERVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Agreement	License	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1649	HVLPO2, LLC v. OXYGEN FROG, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1473	PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1059	ACOUSTIC TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. ITRON NETWORKED SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Waiver	New Argument or Appeal	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1061	ACOUSTIC TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. ITRON NETWORKED SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Time Barred IPR 315b		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1223	ARUNACHALAM v. PRESIDIO BANK [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

19-1251	ARUNACHALAM v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1098	SERTA SIMMONS BEDDING, LLC v. CASPER SLEEP INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-126	In Re GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Mandamus	Venue	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1536	KLAUSTECH, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1212	MIRA ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1476	FARO v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Reyna	Disbarment	PTO	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1412	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1446	THEODORE & ASSOCIATES, LLC v. BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1451	BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC v. THEODORE & ASSOCIATES, LLC [ORDER]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Mootness		NA	NA
19-1442	BYTEMARK, INC. v. MASABI LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1628	BYTEMARK, INC. v. MASABI LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1235	PERSONAL BEASTIES GROUP LLC v. NIKE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1252	In Re NOBLE SYSTEMS CORPORATION [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1542	SPRING VENTURES, LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1450	COMCAST CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Mootness	Expired Patent(s)	NA	USITC Decision

16-2231	GS CLEANTECH CORP v. ADKINS ENERGY LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-114	In Re HUANG [ORDER]		Mandamus	Motion to Dismiss	NA	
17-2149	HZNP FINANCE LIMITED v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [ORDER DENYING REHEARING EN BANC]	Prost, Chief Judge, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach, Taranto, Chen, Hughes, and Stoll	Rehearing	En Banc	Denied	NA
19-1152	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Waiver	Claim Construction	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1335	CHOIROCK CONTENTS FACTORY CO. v. SPIN MASTER, LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Standing	Appeal	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1191	IRONWORKS PATENTS LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1256	KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY v. SPEX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1080	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Limitation of Damages	Failure to Mark	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2239	CUSTOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DISH NETWORK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-2240	CUSTOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DISH NETWORK CORPORATION [ORDER]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Mootness		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1002	CUSTOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DISH NETWORK CORPORATION [ORDER]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Mootness		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1646	NICHIA CORPORATION v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1537	APTIV SERVICES 5 US, LLC v. MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2053	In Re THOMAS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2321	JANSSEN BIOTECH, INC. v. CELLTRION HEALTHCARE CO., LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1580	APPLE INC. v. CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECH. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1774	In Re WILEY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1504	20/20 VISION CENTER, LLC v. VISION PRECISION HOLDINGS [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1165	UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. X ONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2533	MANTISSA CORPORATION v. ONDOT SYSTEMS, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1441	PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1824	NIPPON SHINYAKU CO., LTD. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Patent Term Extension	Timeliness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1579	VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA v. EMERACHEM HOLDINGS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1662	SUPERCELL OY v. GREE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1664	CELLECTIS v. SEATTLE CHILDREN'S RESEARCH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
19-1636	APPLE INC. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1846	CONSUMER 2.0, INC. v. TENANT TURNER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

19-1761	IYM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. RPX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1314	CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1696	SUSAN MCKNIGHT, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2076	HIP, INC. v. HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2156	GENENTECH, INC. v. AMGEN INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1755	SHURE INCORPORATED v. CLEARONE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1589	E-SYSTEM DESIGN, INC. v. MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1630	ELYSIUM HEALTH, INC. v. TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2157	In Re LITMAN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-148	In Re CISCO SYSTEMS INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
20-142	In Re NITRO FLUIDS L.L.C. [ORDER]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-2192	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Evidence	Rule 403	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-2198	REALTIME DATA LLC v. REDUXIO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1763	AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING v. NEAPCO HOLDINGS LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Stay	Pending Appeal	NA	NA
19-1837	FINJAN, INC. v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Motion to Unseal		Vacated	Order on Motion
20-136	In Re ARUNACHALAM [ORDER]	Unspecified	Mandamus		Affirmed	Order on Motion

20-1036	SPACE DATA CORPORATION v. ALPHABET INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1327	KCG TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. CARMAX AUTO SUPERSTORES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1101	TENSTREET, LLC v. DRIVERREACH, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1998	ULTRATEC, INC. v. CAPTIONCALL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2000	ULTRATEC, INC. v. CAPTIONCALL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2003	ULTRATEC, INC. v. CAPTIONCALL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1583	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. SASSO [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-146	ALEXSAM, INC. v. HEALTHEQUITY, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	1292(b) Permission to Appeal		Refused	Order on Motion
19-1749	IMMUNEX CORPORATION v. SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2405	FINJAN, INC. v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
19-2284	WI-LAN INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL
20-1031	ROUTE1 INC. v. AIRWATCH LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2244	ANTENNASYS, INC. v. AQYR TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	License Agreement		Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

20-1055	In Re SABIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1047	HOIST FITNESS SYSTEMS v. TUFFSTUFF FITNESS [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1976	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	JMOL
19-1301	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1133	BIOGEN MA INC. v. EMD SERONO, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
20-145	In Re SAND REVOLUTION LLC [ORDER]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1456	APPLE INC. v. VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Sanctions		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2279	WING ENTERPRISES, INC. v. TRICAM INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	Order on Motion
18-2338	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1035	JOHN BEAN TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. MORRIS & ASSOCIATES, INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	False Patent Marking		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2181	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AVX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-144	In Re GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1255	CHEN v. JUNG [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1257	CHEN v. JUNG [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Motion to Dismiss		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2227	IQASR LLC v. WENDT CORP. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-140	In Re HP INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-2347	In Re SORENSEN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

19-2313	ALPHONSO INC. v. FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-2246	M2M SOLUTIONS LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2111	SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Appointments Clause	IPR APJs	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1061	SALWAN v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1732	SIEMENS MOBILITY, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1102	KOLCRAFT ENTERPRISES, INC. v. ARTSANA USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
19-2312	TRANS OVA GENETICS L.C. v. XY, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1400	FACEBOOK, INC. v. WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS, LLC [ORDER]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Rehearing	Panel	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1061	SALWAN v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1723	AMARIN PHARMA, INC. v. HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1077	INTERNATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Consent Judgment after Claim Construction
19-1708	TWITTER, INC. v. VIDSTREAM LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1857	GROOVE DIGITAL, INC. v. UNITED BANK [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Schall	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1508	GOLDEN v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1158	In Re SAMARANAYAKE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

20-1187	BLEPHEX, LLC v. PAIN POINT MEDICAL SYSTEMS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1928	BIG BABOON, INC. v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1165	BIG BABOON, INC. v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1368	SHOFFIETT v. GOODE [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2015	EGENERA, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2264	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. FLATWING PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1527	BAXALTA INC. v. GENENTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Wallach	Infringement		Vacated	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
20-1176	NEVILLE v. ALDRIDGE CONSTRUCTION, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1132	NEVILLE v. FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTORS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2216	PHYTELLIGENCE, INC. v. WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Contract		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1872	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	Design	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1874	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Prost	Claim Construction	Design	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1738	CHRISTY, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1558	SOWINSKI v. CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2118	SECURITY PEOPLE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Motion to Dismiss	Lack of Jurisdiction	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1265	TORMASI v. WESTERN DIGITAL CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Motion to Dismiss	Lack of Capacity to Sue	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-141	In Re WILKINS [ORDER]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Mandamus		Affirmed	NA
19-1952	KHAN v. HEMOSPHERE INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Motion to Dismiss	Want of Prosecution	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1056	In Re KROSS [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1879	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2141	OPTICURRENT, LLC v. POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Hughes, O`Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
19-1076	VERIFY SMART CORP. v. ASKELADDEN, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1820	LUMENTUM OPTICS INC. v. OYSTER OPTICS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1919	LUMENTUM OPTICS INC. v. OYSTER OPTICS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2117	CIENA CORPORATION v. OYSTER OPTICS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2215	GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1 v. TCL COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2360	FASTSHIP, LLC v. US [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	1498	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-1419	ILLUMINA, INC. v. ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Rehearing	Panel	NA	NA
19-2215	GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1 v. TCL COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Prost	Infringement	Practicing Essential Standard	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

18-1763	AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING v. NEAPCO HOLDINGS LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Rehearing		Affirmed	NA
20-1407	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A. v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	order on Motion
20-1545	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A. v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2400	IBSA INSTITUT BIOCHIMIQUE v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1789	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, LC [OPINION]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1467	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1393	FANDUEL, INC. v. INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2175	MCGINLEY v. LUV N' CARE LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-1956	FALL LINE PATENTS, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, O'Malley	Real Party in Interest	315(b)	Not Reviewable	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2016	JAMES v. J2 CLOUD SERVICES, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Inventorship	Changed Inventors	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-126	In Re ADOBE INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion
20-130	In Re DROPBOX, INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Remanded	Order on Motion
19-1424	GENSETIX, INC. v. BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Joinder	Rule 19(a)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1686	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1443	INTEL CORPORATION v. ALACRITECH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2249	AKEVA L.L.C. v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1444	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1464	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2077	MAYBORN GROUP, LTD. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Standing	Constitutional	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1079	WESTERN EXPRESS BANCSHARES v. GREEN DOT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2314	GREATBATCH LTD. v. AVX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
19-2050	DANA-FARBER CANCER INSTITUTE v. ONO PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-2142	BOOKIT OY v. BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1961	IMPROVED SEARCH LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2308	J.S.T. CORPORATION v ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1906	TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION v. REACTIVE SURFACES LTD., LLP [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2007	LAIRD TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. PARKER INTANGIBLES LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1881	SECURITYPROFILING, LLC v. TREND MICRO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1922	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Tarantc	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
19-1048	FITBIT, INC. v. VALENCELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Standing	Appeal	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2269	VIA VADIS, LLC v. BLIZZARD ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling

20-1254	In Re ZUNSHINE [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2349	In Re BOLOORO GLOBAL LIMITED [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Motion to Vacate and Remand	Appointments Clause	Granted	NA
19-2155	GENENTECH, INC. v. IMMUNEX RHODE ISLAND CORP. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1865	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2087	ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION v. SHOPPERSCHOICE.CC M, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
20-1037	IMMUNEX CORPORATION v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-2161	DATA SCAPE LIMITED v. WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2263	BRAEMAR MANUFACTURING, LLC v. THE SCOTTCARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1018	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1524	PACIFIC COAST BUILDING v. CERTAINTEED GYPSUM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1935	B/E AEROSPACE, INC. v. C&D ZODIAC, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1622	SHOES BY FIREBUG LLC v. STRIDE RITE CHILDREN'S GROUP [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1787	ADIDAS AG v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1746	FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NEW WORLD INTERNATIONAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

19-1765	DROPBOX, INC. v. SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-123	In Re SEATTLE SPINCO, INC. [ORDER]	O'Malley, Stoll, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1918	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1838	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-127	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	O'Malley, Stoll, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2035	PALTALK HOLDINGS, INC. v. RIOT GAMES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1498	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1890	HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. v. SEMICAPS PTE LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1668	PRAIRIE LAND MILLWRIGHT v. SIOUX STEEL COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2311	THEODORE D'APUZZO P.A. v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
19-1720	GOOGLE LLC v. NETLIST, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-2384	SEOUL VIOSYS CO., LTD. v. P3 INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
19-1826	MEDINOL LTD. v. CORDIS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Rule 60(b)	b(6) - Other	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1834	ASI, INC. v. FINGERHUT DIRECT MARKETING [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Sanctions		Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1821	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

19-2399	UBISOFT ENTERTAINMENT, S.A. v. YOUSICIAN OY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1842	TWILIO INC. v. TELESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2197	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. MICROSPHERIX LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1454	MUNCHKIN, INC. v. LUV N' CARE, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-2121	LIPPERT COMPONENTS MFG. v. MOR/RYPDE INTERNATIONAL INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Sanctions	1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1802	IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1497	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2251	In Re ROSENBERG [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1106	AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. ALMIRALL, LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Motion to Dismiss	Rule 41	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1917	BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS v. IAC/INTERACTIVECORP [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2091	ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC v. ARM LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1488	TECH PHARMACY SERVICES, LLC v. ALIXA RX LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	Contract	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1251	HUANG v. MEDIATEK USA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Motion to Dismiss	Want of Prosecution	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2021	PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. CRIMSON AV, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Taranto, and Chen	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown

19-1582	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, and O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1242	CLICK-TO-CALL TECHNOLOGIES, LP v. INGENIO, INC. [ORDER]	O'Malley, Stark*, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1255	OYSTER OPTICS, LLC v. ALCATEL-LUCENT USA, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Motion to Unseal		NA	NA
19-2013	SOLENIS TECHNOLOGIES, L.P. v. SNF SAS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1066	ODYSSEY LOGISTICS AND TECH. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	APA	Final Agency Action	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1557	MCRO, INC. v. BANDAI NAMCO GAMES AMERICA [OPINION]	Mayer, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1659	ESIP SERIES 2, LLC v. PUZHEN LIFE USA, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1825	ROTHSCHILD CONNECTED DEVICES v. COCA-COLA COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-1584	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1544	FOX FACTORY, INC. v. SRAM, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1684	SANDBOX LOGISTICS LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1883	In Re PUBLICCOVER [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1565	GENERAL ACCESS SOLUTIONS, LTD. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1718	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. AISIN SEIKI CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2191	BUSHNELL HAWTHORNE, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

19-1493	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1781	LANARD TOYS LIMITEE v. DOLGENCORP LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Claim Construction	Design	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1919	VEDERI, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1587	ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION v. SHOPPERSCHOICE.COM, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1556	LONE STAR SILICON INNOVATIONS v. IANCL [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2152	LONE STAR SILICON INNOVATIONS v. NANY, TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION [ORDER]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Mootness	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2048	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1855	SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. v. GENERAL ACCESS SOLUTIONS, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2416	SCHWENDIMANN v. ARKWRIGHT ADVANCED COATING [ORDER]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Motion to Unseal		NA	NA
19-1671	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Rehearing		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1832	In Re NOMULA [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2270	AGARWAL v. TOPGOLF INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1856	GENERAL ACCESS SOLUTIONS, LTD. v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	102(e) Prior Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1716	ESET, LLC v. FINJAN, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2346	IDORSIA PHARMACEUTICALS, LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Patent Term Extension	Patent Term Adjustment	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

19-1895	In Re CHAPMAN [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1795	APPLE INC. v. CONTENTGUARD HOLDINGS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1067	In Re JOBIN [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1924	EAGLE PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. SLAYBACK PHARMA LLC [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1736	ESSITY HYGIENE AND HEALTH AB v. CASCADES CANADA ULC [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1742	ESSITY PROFESSIONAL HYGIENE v. CASCADES CANADA ULC [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1494	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1733	DONGHEE AMERICA, INC. v. PLASTIC OMNIUM ADVANCED [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1261	CATERPILLAR PAVING PRODUCTS v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Motion to Vacate and Remand	Appointments Clause	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2004	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. v. IANCU [ORDER]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Motion to Unseal		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1888	DIONEX SOFTRON GMBH v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Standing	Administrative Proceeding	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
19-1164	UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. X ONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-115	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-120	In Re FORTINET, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	NA	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1884	HITKANSUT LLC v. US [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Attorney's Fees	1498	Affirmed	Order on Motion

19-1063	GRIT ENERGY SOLUTIONS, LLC v. OREN TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Standing	Constitutional	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1413	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1594	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. v. COOK GROUP INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1370	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1835	UNILOC USA, INC. v. LC ELECTRONICS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2170	BEDGEAR, LLC v. FREDMAN BROS. FURNITURE CO. [ORDER]	Lourie, Mayer, Taranto	Rehearing		NA	NA
19-1513	PFIZER, INC. v. CHUGA PHARMACEUTICAL CO LTD [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Standing	Constitutional	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2198	VERINATA HEALTH, INC. v. ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2301	In Re RUDY [OPINION]	O`Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2273	ARGENTUM PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Standing	Constitutional	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2065	DIGITAL ALLY, INC. v. TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2054	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Estoppel	Assignor	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1283	DRAGON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion

20-1373	BIOGEN INTERNATIONAL GMBH v. BANNER LIFE SCIENCES LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	During Patent Term Extension	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1937	MOBILE TECH, INC. v. SENNCO SOLUTIONS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1950	CANON INC. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-1773	WCM INDUSTRIES, INC v. IPS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Mandate		Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1435	SPIGEN KOREA CO., LTD. v. ULTRAPROOF, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1292	SPIGEN KOREA CO., LTD. v. ISPEAKER CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1149	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2003	ERICSSON INC. v. TCL COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Waiver	Rule 50	NA	Summary Judgment
19-1134	O.F. MOSSBERG & SONS, INC. v. TIMNEY TRIGGERS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2070	In Re GOPALAN [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1967	JERRY HARVEY AUDIO HOLDING v. 1964 EARS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2134	GOLDEN v. US [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Dismissal Motion		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1018	BOZEMAN FINANCIAL LLC v. FEDERAL RESERVE BANK [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Standing	Administrative Proceeding	NA	PTAB CBM Decision
19-1595	REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP v. ALERE, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-2240	WHITSERVE LLC v. DONUTS INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2194	In Re BEACON BIOMEDICAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

19-1757	In Re MORSA [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2145	EMED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. REPRO-MED SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1262	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	APA		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2220	NEVRO CORP. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1957	In Re THOMAS [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1361	TECHNICAL CONSUMER PRODUCTS v. LIGHTING SCIENCE GROUP CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1638	SATCO PRODUCTS, INC. v. LIGHTING SCIENCE GROUP CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1566	CLARUS THERAPEUTICS, INC. v. LIPOCINE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
19-2412	ASKAN v. FARO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Sanctions	Rule 37	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1243	BASF CORPORATION v. SNF HOLDING COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	102(a)	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-2097	VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTL. v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1136	KEITH MANUFACTURING CO. v. BUTTERFIELD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-2072	UNILOC USA, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1507	In Re ANOVA HEARING LABS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

19-1343	PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1047	TAYLOR v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1048	TAYLOR v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1070	TAYLOR v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2374	MYCO INDUSTRIES, INC. v. BLEPHEX, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-1522	INTELLISOFT, LTD. v. ACER AMERICA CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, O'Malley	Removal		Reversed	Order on Motion
19-1570	DEEP GREEN WIRELESS LLC v. OOMA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1079	ENERPOL, LLC v. SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORP. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-2030	NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION v. LONI STAR SILICON INNOVATIONS [ORDER]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Mootness	Appeal	NA	NA
19-1698	In Re CLARKE [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1263	GENENTECH, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1669	LONE STAR SILICON INNOVATIONS v. IANCL [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	IPR Proceeding	Grounds	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1021	GALDERMA LABORATORIES, L.P. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-116	In Re SPROUTS FARMERS MARKET, INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	NA	Order on Motion
19-1800	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. AISIN SEIKI CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1400	FACEBOOK, INC. v. WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Joinder	IPR	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1419	ILLUMINA, INC. v. ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment

20-113	In Re SUPERCELL OY [ORDER]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Mandamus	Transfer	Denied	Order on Motion
18-2308	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1172	BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1904	HAFCO FOUNDRY AND MACHINE CO. v. GMS MINE REPAIR [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Jury Instructions	Infringement	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
19-1360	TECHNICAL CONSUMER PRODUCTS v. LIGHTIN SCIENCE GROUP CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1437	PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1559	In Re RATNAKAR [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1616	CPI CARD GROUP v. MULTI PACKAGING SOLUTIONS	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1808	VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. v. TWITTER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1201	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1762	IYM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. RPX CORPORATION [ORDER]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Mootness	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1672	COMMUNICATIONS TEST DESIGN v. CONTEC, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2232	KAKEN PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1936	PERSONALIZED MEDIA v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1639	SATCO PRODUCTS, INC. v. LIGHTING SCIENCE GROUP CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1510	ARBMETRICS, LLC v. DEXCOM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1519	MAXILL INC. v. LOOPS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-1437	NETSOC, LLC v. OATH INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Mootness		Dismissed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1510	ARBMETRICS, LLC v. DEXCOM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
20-1195	NETSOC, LLC v. MATCH GROUP, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1212	BALL METAL BEVERAGE CONTAINER v. CROWN PACKAGING TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
20-1519	MAXILL INC. v. LOOPS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1883	In Re PUBLICCOVER [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1883	In Re PUBLICCOVER [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1718	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. AISIN SEIKI CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1825	ROTHSCHILD CONNECTED DEVICES v. COCA-COLA COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-1825	ROTHSCHILD CONNECTED DEVICES v. COCA-COLA COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-1825	ROTHSCHILD CONNECTED DEVICES v. COCA-COLA COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Sanctions	Inherent	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-1684	SANDBOX LOGISTICS LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1684	SANDBOX LOGISTICS LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipu- lation
19-1584	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1584	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1544	FOX FACTORY, INC. v. SRAM, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1659	ESIP SERIES 2, LLC v. PUZHEN LIFE USA, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1659	ESIP SERIES 2, LLC v. PUZHEN LIFE USA, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1659	ESIP SERIES 2, LLC v. PUZHEN LIFE USA, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Real Party in Interest		Not Reviewable	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1557	MCRO, INC. v. BANDAI NAMCO GAMES AMERICA [OPINION]	Mayer, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1557	MCRO, INC. v. BANDAI NAMCO GAMES AMERICA [OPINION]	Mayer, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Enablement	Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-1066	ODYSSEY LOGISTICS AND TECH. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Statute of Limitations	Patent	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2013	SOLENIS TECHNOLOGIES, L.P. v SNF SAS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1582	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, and O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1582	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, and O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1582	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, and O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1582	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, and O'Malley	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1582	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, and O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1582	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, and O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1251	HUANG v. MEDIATEK USA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1251	HUANG v. MEDIATEK USA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1917	BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS v. IAC/INTERACTIVECORP [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1488	TECH PHARMACY SERVICES, LLC v. ALIXA RX LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1106	AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. ALMIRALL, LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Attorney's Fees		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2251	In Re ROSENBERG [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1497	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1497	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1454	MUNCHKIN, INC. v. LUV N' CARE, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	Trade Dress		Order on Motion
19-2197	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. MICROSPHERIX LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2197	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. MICROSPHERIX LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1842	TWILIO INC. v. TELESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1842	TWILIO INC. v. TELESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1842	TWILIO INC. v. TELESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1842	TWILIO INC. v. TELESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1842	TWILIO INC. v. TELESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2399	UBISOFT ENTERTAINMENT, S.A. v. YOUSICIAN OY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1826	MEDINOL LTD. v. CORDIS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Rule 60(b)	Exceptional Circumstances	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1821	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1821	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1821	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Mandate		Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-2035	PALTALK HOLDINGS, INC. v. RIOT GAMES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1918	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Kessler Doctrine		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1918	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1838	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1765	DROPBOX, INC. v. SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1787	ADIDAS AG v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Standing	Constitutional	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1787	ADIDAS AG v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1622	SHOES BY FIREBUG LLC v. STRIDE RITE CHILDREN'S GROUP [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1622	SHOES BY FIREBUG LLC v. STRIDE RITE CHILDREN'S GROUP [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1622	SHOES BY FIREBUG LLC v. STRIDE RITE CHILDREN'S GROUP [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1622	SHOES BY FIREBUG LLC v. STRIDE RITE CHILDREN'S GROUP [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1935	B/E AEROSPACE, INC. v. C&D ZODIAC, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1037	IMMUNEX CORPORATION v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1037	IMMUNEX CORPORATION v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1018	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-2263	BRAEMAR MANUFACTURING, LLC v. THE SCOTTCARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-2161	DATA SCAPE LIMITED v. WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1865	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1865	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2155	GENENTECH, INC. v. IMMUNEX RHODE ISLAND CORP. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Notice	262(l)(8)(A)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1254	In Re ZUNSHINE [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2269	VIA VADIS, LLC v. BLIZZARD ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1048	FITBIT, INC. v. VALENCELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1048	FITBIT, INC. v. VALENCELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1048	FITBIT, INC. v. VALENCELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction		Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1922	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Tarant	Motion to Seal		Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2308	J.S.T. CORPORATION v ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-2308	J.S.T. CORPORATION v ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-2308	J.S.T. CORPORATION v ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1906	TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION v. REACTIVE SURFACES LTD., LLP [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1906	TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION v. REACTIVE SURFACES LTD., LLP [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1079	WESTERN EXPRESS BANCSHARES v. GREEN DOT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2142	BOOKIT OY v. BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2142	BOOKIT OY v. BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Amendment	Patent Contentions	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2142	BOOKIT OY v. BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion

19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Limitation of Damages	Failure to Mark		Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2249	AKEVA L.L.C. v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2249	AKEVA L.L.C. v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2249	AKEVA L.L.C. v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Priority		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2077	MAYBORN GROUP, LTD. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-1464	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1444	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1444	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1444	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1443	INTEL CORPORATION v. ALACRITECH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1443	INTEL CORPORATION v. ALACRITECH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1443	INTEL CORPORATION v. ALACRITECH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	IPR Proceeding	Grounds	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1424	GENSETIX, INC. v. BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Parties	Indispensable	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-1956	FALL LINE PATENTS, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, O'Malley	Appointments Clause	IPR APJs	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2175	MCGINLEY v. LUV N' CARE LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2175	MCGINLEY v. LUV N' CARE LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-2175	MCGINLEY v. LUV N' CARE LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2175	MCGINLEY v. LUV N' CARE LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Priority Date		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2175	MCGINLEY v. LUV N' CARE LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Costs	Prevailing Party	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-1393	FANDUEL, INC. v. INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1545	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A. v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1407	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A. v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	order on Motion
19-2400	IBSA INSTITUT BIOCHIMIQUE v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1789	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, LC [OPINION]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1789	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, LC [OPINION]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Res Judicata		Vacated	Motion to Dismiss
19-1467	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1467	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1467	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1467	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1763	AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING v. NEAPCO HOLDINGS LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Stay		NA	Order on Motion
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	Preamble	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Infringement		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Damages		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-2215	GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1 v. TCL COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Prost	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1076	VERIFY SMART CORP. v. ASKELADDEN, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1076	VERIFY SMART CORP. v. ASKELADDEN, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1076	VERIFY SMART CORP. v. ASKELADDEN, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1076	VERIFY SMART CORP. v. ASKELADDEN, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Amendment	Adding or Cancelling Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1076	VERIFY SMART CORP. v. ASKELADDEN, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Constitutionality	Taking	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1879	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1056	In Re KROSS [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1952	KHAN v. HEMOSPHERE INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Venue	1400(b)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1952	KHAN v. HEMOSPHERE INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1952	KHAN v. HEMOSPHERE INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1952	KHAN v. HEMOSPHERE INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1558	SOWINSKI v. CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Motion to Dismiss		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	APA		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Sanctions		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1874	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Primary Reference	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1874	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

19-1872	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1872	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Primary Reference	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1872	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
20-1176	NEVILLE v. ALDRIDGE CONSTRUCTION, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1132	NEVILLE v. FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTORS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1132	NEVILLE v. FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTORS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2264	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. FLATWING PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2264	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. FLATWING PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1527	BAXALTA INC. v. GENENTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-2015	EGENERA, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Estoppel	Judicial	Vacated	Bench Trial
19-2015	EGENERA, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Inventorship	Vacated	Bench Trial
20-1368	SHOFFIETT v. GOODE [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1857	GROOVE DIGITAL, INC. v. UNITED BANK [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1708	TWITTER, INC. v. VIDSTREAM LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1061	SALWAN v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1061	SALWAN v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Disqualification	Judge	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1732	SIEMENS MOBILITY, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1732	SIEMENS MOBILITY, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1732	SIEMENS MOBILITY, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2313	ALPHONSO INC. v. FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-2347	In Re SORENSEN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2227	IQASR LLC v. WENDT CORP. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2181	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AVX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2181	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AVX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2181	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AVX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2181	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AVX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-2338	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
18-2338	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Infringement		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2338	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Estoppel	315(e)	Reversed	JMOL
18-2338	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	JMOL
18-2338	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	305-Broadening Reexam	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2279	WING ENTERPRISES, INC. v. TRICAM INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	False Advertising		Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-2279	WING ENTERPRISES, INC. v. TRICAM INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1456	APPLE INC. v. VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Due Process		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1456	APPLE INC. v. VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1301	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1301	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1301	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Evidence		Affirmed	Ptab
19-1301	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1301	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1301	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1047	HOIST FITNESS SYSTEMS v. TUFFSTUFF FITNESS [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
18-1976	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Damages		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
18-1976	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Jury Instructions	Damages	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1031	ROUTE1 INC. v. AIRWATCH LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General		Summary Judgment
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL

19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Damages	Supplemental	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-1749	IMMUNEX CORPORATION v. SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1327	KCG TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. CARMAX AUTO SUPERSTORES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1101	TENSTREET, LLC v. DRIVERREACH, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2192	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Jury Instructions	Admissions and Stipulations	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
19-2192	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Jury Instructions	Infringement	Affirmed	Jury Instruction
19-2192	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	JMOL
19-2192	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-105	In Re INTEL CORPORATION [ORDER]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1270	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

21-104	In Re MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [ORDER]	Moore, O'Malley, Stoll	Mandamus	Disqualification	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1328	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. APOTEX, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1201	VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL., INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-2306	CATERPILLAR INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
21-103	In Re CINEMARK HOLDINGS, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1387	BRACCO DIAGNOSTIC INC. v. MAIA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Lourie	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-2151	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1364	BIOGEN, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1310	ADAPTIVE STREAMING INC. v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1816	In Re EARLEY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2372	PROVEPHARM INC. v. WISTA LABORATORIES LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1472	ETHANOL BOOSTING SYSTEMS, LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
20-1490	SUPER-SPARKLY SAFETY STUFF v. SKYLINE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1318	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1577	NATIONAL PRODUCTS, INC. v. HIGH GEAR SPECIALTIES INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1256	BLACKBERRY LIMITED v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

20-1511	EXPRESS MOBILE, INC. v. EGROVE SYSTEMS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1469	BOARD OF REGENTS OF UT v. BAYLOR COLLEGE OF MEDICINE [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1483	WPEM, LLC v. SOTI INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1384	In Re FULTON [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2359	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Statute of Limitations	Contract	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1567	AUSTRALIAN THERAPEUTIC v. NAKED TM, LLC [ORDER]	Prost, Chief Judge, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach, Taranto, Chen, Hughes, and Stoll	Rehearing	En Banc	Affirmed	NA
20-1347	In Re TSAI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
20-1105	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. XR COMMUNICATIONS, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1458	FAST 101 PTY LTD. v. CITIGROUP INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1734	VIDSTREAM LLC v. TWITTER, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-151	In Re VULCAN INDUSTRIAL HOLDING [ORDER]	Moore, O'Malley, Stoll	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-152	In Re POINT CONVERSIONS, LLC [ORDER]	Moore, O'Malley, Stoll	Mandamus		Affirmed	NA
21-101	In Re KOKI HOLDINGS AMERICA LTD. [ORDER]	Moore, O'Malley, Stoll	Mandamus		Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-2176	In Re ASPEN AEROGELS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1273	PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Bench Trial

18-2338	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [ORDER]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Rehearing	Panel	Granted	NA
20-1054	VECTURA LIMITED v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-1864	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCCELL OY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1852	WHITEWATER WEST INDUSTRIES v. ALLESHOUSE [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Breach of Contract		Reversed	
19-2309	BABY TREND, INC. v. WONDERLAND NURSERYGOODS CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2040	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB CBM Decision
19-1828	In Re GOOGLE TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2320	WIRTGEN GMBH v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Schall	Infringement		Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-2331	In Re SCHOPF [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
20-1065	AVENUE INNOVATIONS INC. v. E. MISHAN & SONS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1756	C R BARD INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Infringement		Vacated	JMOL
20-1098	FERRING B.V. v. ALLERGAN, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Estoppel	Equitable	Vacated	Summary Judgment
20-1104	DONNER TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. PRO STAGE GEAR, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1204	PRINCETON DIGITAL IMAGE v. UBISOFT ENTERTAINMENT SA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Schall	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-135	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion

19-1766	In Re ADVANCED MEDIA NETWORKS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
19-2423	WATERBLASTING, LLC v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2402	VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Venue	1400(b)	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1540	BAGGAGE AIRLINE GUEST SERVICES v. ROADIE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1429	KILBOURNE v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1530	CHEVRON U.S.A. INC. v. UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING RESEARCH [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
20-147	In Re IVANTIS, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1351	In Re DEVLIN [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1241	VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Motion to Dismiss		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1351	In Re DEVLIN [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1530	CHEVRON U.S.A. INC. v. UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING RESEARCH [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Interference		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
19-2402	VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Motion to Dismiss	12(b)(6)	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1104	DONNER TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. PRO STAGE GEAR, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1756	C R BARD INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Vacated	JMOL
19-1756	C R BARD INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment

19-1756	C R BARD INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-1828	In Re GOOGLE TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Forfeiture		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION]	Chen, O`Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION]	Chen, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1054	VECTURA LIMITED v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1054	VECTURA LIMITED v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1054	VECTURA LIMITED v. GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	New Trial	Damages	Affirmed	JMOL
19-1864	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1864	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1864	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1864	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB PGR Decision
20-1273	PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-2176	In Re ASPEN AEROGELS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1458	FAST 101 PTY LTD. v. CITIGROUP INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1105	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. XR COMMUNICATIONS, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1347	In Re TSAI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
19-1734	VIDSTREAM LLC v. TWITTER, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1347	In Re TSAI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
19-2359	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Prejudgment Interest		Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2359	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2359	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Damages		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2359	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Ownership	Sole	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2359	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Ownership	Sole	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-2359	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1384	In Re FULTON [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1384	In Re FULTON [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1490	SUPER-SPARKLY SAFETY STUFF v. SKYLINE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Design	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1816	In Re EARLEY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1816	In Re EARLEY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1310	ADAPTIVE STREAMING INC. v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2151	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1387	BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS, INC. v. MAIA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

20-1328	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. APOTEX, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1270	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1270	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1171	SIMIO, LLC v. FLEXSIM SOFTWARE PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1094	FATIGUE FRACTURE TECHNOLOGY v. NAVISTAR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1319	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY v. RAYTHEON TECHNOLOGIES CORP [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Standing	Constitutional	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1094	FATIGUE FRACTURE TECHNOLOGY v. NAVISTAR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1094	FATIGUE FRACTURE TECHNOLOGY v. NAVISTAR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1319	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY v. RAYTHEON TECHNOLOGIES CORP [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1319	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY v. RAYTHEON TECHNOLOGIES CORP [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1171	SIMIO, LLC v. FLEXSIM SOFTWARE PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1171	SIMIO, LLC v. FLEXSIM SOFTWARE PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2074	FINJAN, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2074	FINJAN, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2074	FINJAN, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1195	NETSOC, LLC v. MATCH GROUP, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1947	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2287	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2287	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	APA	New Argument	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2287	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2287	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2287	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1947	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1947	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2411	SIMO HOLDINGS INC. v. HONG KONG U-CLOUDLINK NETWORK [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1639	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion

20-1639	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2411	SIMO HOLDINGS INC. v HONG KONG UCLOUDLINK NETWORK [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-2411	SIMO HOLDINGS INC. v HONG KONG UCLOUDLINK NETWORK [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1607	HORIZON PHARMA, INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2127	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2051	ABS GLOBAL, INC. v. CYTONOME/ST, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Mootness	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1742	GREEN v. MONROVIA NURSERY COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1607	HORIZON PHARMA, INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1334	MEDIDEA, L.L.C. v. DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1831	GRECIA v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2340	SK HYNIX INC. v. IANCI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1611	In Re ABEL [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1531	IMAGEQUIX LLC v. SNAPIZZI INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1009	OLAF SOOT DESIGN, LLC v. DAKTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1831	GRECIA v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

20-1611	In Re ABEL [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1531	IMAGEQUIX LLC v. SNAPIZZI INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1009	OLAF SOOT DESIGN, LLC v. DAKTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1009	OLAF SOOT DESIGN, LLC v. DAKTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	JMOL
20-1669	PARALLEL NETWORKS LICENSING v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Costs		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1644	In Re STURGEON [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1411	RAO v. SMITH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
20-1504	MORTGAGE APPLICATION TECH. v. MERIDIANLINK, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1644	In Re STURGEON [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1504	MORTGAGE APPLICATION TECH. v. MERIDIANLINK, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1504	MORTGAGE APPLICATION TECH. v. MERIDIANLINK, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Exceptional Case	Objective Baselessness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1504	MORTGAGE APPLICATION TECH. v. MERIDIANLINK, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1477	ILIFE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. NINTENDO OF AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL
20-1274	BOOM! PAYMENTS, INC. v. STRIPE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1477	ILIFE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. NINTENDO OF AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL

20-1274	BOOM! PAYMENTS, INC. v. STRIPE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1302	MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED v. SANOFI MATURE IP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2124	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2126	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1485	HAAG-STREIT AG v. EIDOLON OPTICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1485	HAAG-STREIT AG v. EIDOLON OPTICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2127	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2127	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2126	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2126	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2126	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2124	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2124	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2124	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1530	In Re CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	APA	New Argument	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1364	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1530	In Re CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1530	In Re CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1530	In Re CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Constitutional	Taking	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1335	EVOLVED WIRELESS, LLC v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Plager	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1335	EVOLVED WIRELESS, LLC v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Plager	License Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1335	EVOLVED WIRELESS, LLC v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Plager	Infringement		Vacated	Summary Judgment

20-1271	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1271	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1271	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1271	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1271	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2410	L'OREAL USA, INC. v. OLAPLEX, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-2410	L'OREAL USA, INC. v. OLAPLEX, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Forfeiture		Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-2410	L'OREAL USA, INC. v. OLAPLEX, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-2302	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1160	M & K HOLDINGS, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1160	M & K HOLDINGS, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1160	M & K HOLDINGS, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1160	M & K HOLDINGS, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1160	M & K HOLDINGS, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2302	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2206	CATERPILLAR INC. v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2294	CATERPILLAR INC. v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1712	INTEL CORPORATION v. VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2294	CATERPILLAR INC. v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1963	VPERSONALIZE INC. v. MAGNETIZE CONSULTANTS LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1401	AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1439	AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1488	DEERE & COMPANY v. GRAMM [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1488	DEERE & COMPANY v. GRAMM [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1935	In Re MOHAPATRA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1777	VERIPATH, INC. v. DIDOMI [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1153	WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1307	CXLOYALTY, INC. v. MARITZ HOLDINGS INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1833	CHUDIK v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Patent Term Extension	Patent Term Adjustment	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1777	VERIPATH, INC. v. DIDOMI [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

20-1307	CXLOYALTY, INC. v. MARITZ HOLDINGS INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1307	CXLOYALTY, INC. v. MARITZ HOLDINGS INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1307	CXLOYALTY, INC. v. MARITZ HOLDINGS INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Reviewability		NA	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1560	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1419	WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. v. CATERPILLAR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1151	APPLE INC. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1151	APPLE INC. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1151	APPLE INC. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1151	APPLE INC. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1456	SASO GOLF, INC. v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1189	INFINITY COMPUTER PRODUCTS v. OKI DATA AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1261	CATERPILLAR PAVING PRODUCTS v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1261	CATERPILLAR PAVING PRODUCTS v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1261	CATERPILLAR PAVING PRODUCTS v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1167	MOJAVE DESERT HOLDINGS, LLC v. CROCS, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Newman, O'Malley	Motion to Substitute Party		NA	NA
19-2179	OYSTER OPTICS, LLC v. INFINERA CORP. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	License Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1074	AMGEN INC. v. SANOFI [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	JMOL
19-1197	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1197	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1197	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1197	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2196	SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC v DROPBOX, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
20-1984	IRIS CORPORATION BERHAD v. US [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1984	IRIS CORPORATION BERHAD v. US [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2196	SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC v DROPBOX, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2196	SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC v DROPBOX, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2196	SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC v DROPBOX, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1552	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY v. TORRENT PHARMACEUTICALS LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1552	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY v. TORRENT PHARMACEUTICALS LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1167	MOJAVE DESERT HOLDINGS, LLC v. CROCS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1927	CANFIELD SCIENTIFIC, INC. v. MELANOSCAN, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1927	CANFIELD SCIENTIFIC, INC. v. MELANOSCAN, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1090	JOHN BEAN TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. MORRIS & ASSOCIATES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Intervening Rights	Equitable	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

20-1759	In Re FATIGUE FRACTURE TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
21-112	In Re VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. [ORDER]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Mandamus	Jurisdiction	Mandamus DENied	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1090	JOHN BEAN TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. MORRIS & ASSOCIATES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Prosecution Laches		Vacated	
20-1759	In Re FATIGUE FRACTURE TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
21-112	In Re VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. [ORDER]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1759	In Re FATIGUE FRACTURE TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
19-1704	SYNQOR, INC. v. VICO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1704	SYNQOR, INC. v. VICO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Collateral Estoppel		Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1704	SYNQOR, INC. v. VICO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Mootness	Expired Patent(s)	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
20-1693	MMODAL LLC v. NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1693	MMODAL LLC v. NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1693	MMODAL LLC v. NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1616	DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. v. CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1665	KILBOURNE v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1616	DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. v. CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1616	DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. v. CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1865	FINALROD IP, LLC v. JOHN CRANE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Prost	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1493	ARUNACHALAM v. IBM [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Sanctions	Inherent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1865	FINALROD IP, LLC v. JOHN CRANE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Prost	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Vacated	Order on Motion
20-1493	ARUNACHALAM v. IBM [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Attorney's Fees	Sanction	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Damages	Supplemental	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	JMOL
20-1646	RAIN COMPUTING, INC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling/Consent Judgment
20-1574	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. INTEL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1442	BARKAN WIRELESS IP HOLDINGS v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Hughes	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1646	RAIN COMPUTING, INC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1646	RAIN COMPUTING, INC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
20-1360	TRUSTEES OF BOSTON UNIVERSITY v. EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
20-1448	COCONA, INC. v. VF OUTDOOR, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2280	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Motion to Substitute Party		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1448	COCONA, INC. v. VF OUTDOOR, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2280	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2280	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-2280	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCHKIN INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-1688	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. FACEBOOK INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1010	T-JAT SYSTEMS 2006 LTD. v. EXPEDIA GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
20-1995	ENCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. DAVINCIA, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1875	ONYX THERAPEUTICS, INC. v. CIPLA LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1806	BAXTER CORPORATION ENGLEWOOD v. BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-118	In Re TRACFONE WIRELESS, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	NA
21-110	ARUNACHALAM v. MCNAMARA [ORDER]	Bryson, Newman, O'Malley	1292(b) Permission to Appeal		NA	NA
20-1453	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1847	BOBCAR MEDIA, LLC v. AARDVARK EVENT LOGISTICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Standing	Constitutional	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-110	ARUNACHALAM v. MCNAMARA [ORDER]	Bryson, Newman, O'Malley	Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1995	ENCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. DAVINCIA, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1682	In Re GORRIS [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1699	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2091	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Res Judicata		Affirmed	COFC Decision
20-1012	In Re THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1556	IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1858	NEAPCO DRIVELINES LLC v. AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1802	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Consent Judgment
20-1549	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. MMODAL LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1635	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2057	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCHKIN INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCHKIN INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCHKIN INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCHKIN INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1688	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. FACEBOOK INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1688	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. FACEBOOK INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Estoppel	315(e)		PTAB IPR Decision
19-1688	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. FACEBOOK INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal		PTAB IPR Decision
20-2057	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2057	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2057	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1858	NEAPCO DRIVELINES LLC v. AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1858	NEAPCO DRIVELINES LLC v. AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1858	NEAPCO DRIVELINES LLC v. AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1802	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1635	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1635	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1635	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1556	IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1549	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. MMODAL LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2091	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Jurisdiction		Affirmed	COFC Decision
20-1682	In Re GORRIS [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1012	In Re THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1071	MYLAN LABORATORIES LTD. v. JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, N.V. [ORDER]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Institution Decision
20-1367	CAJUN SERVICES UNLIMITED, LLC v. BENTON ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Compel Mediation		Affirmed	arbitration
20-1514	DEPUY SYNTHES PRODUCTS, INC. v. VETERINARY ORTHOPEDIC IMPLANT [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1891	In Re ALFRED MILLER CONTRACTING [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

21-1071	MYLAN LABORATORIES LTD. v. JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA, N.V [ORDER]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	NA	PTAB IPR Institution Decision
20-1514	DEPUY SYNTHES PRODUCTS, INC. v. VETERINARY ORTHOPEDIC IMPLANT [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Sealing Court Records		Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2214	PI ADVANCED MATERIALS CO., LTD v. KANEKA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1601	SLING TV, L.L.C. v. REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1565	CYWEE GROUP LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Real Party in Interest		Unreviewable	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2445	CATERPILLAR PRODOTTI STRADALI v ITC [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1600	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1600	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1600	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	102(e) Prior Art	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1600	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Amendment	Adding or Cancelling Claims	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2445	CATERPILLAR PRODOTTI STRADALI v ITC [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-2445	CATERPILLAR PRODOTTI STRADALI v ITC [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Indirect	Reversed	USITC Decision
20-1601	SLING TV, L.L.C. v. REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1565	CYWEE GROUP LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Appointments Clause	IPR APJs	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1565	CYWEE GROUP LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1565	CYWEE GROUP LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-115	In Re ADTRAN, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	None
19-2104	In Re HU [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Utility	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1365	MASS ENGINEERED DESIGN, INC. v. PLANAR SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
20-1959	PIRRI v. CHEEK [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1959	PIRRI v. CHEEK [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Attorney's Fees	Appeal	Affirmed	NA
20-1576	TRANSTEX INC. v. LAYDON COMPOSITES LTD. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-131	In Re TRUE CHEMICAL SOLUTIONS, LLC [ORDER]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1576	TRANSTEX INC. v. LAYDON COMPOSITES LTD. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1576	TRANSTEX INC. v. LAYDON COMPOSITES LTD. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1284	RIDEAPP, INC. v. LYFT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1288	In Re THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1284	RIDEAPP, INC. v. LYFT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1284	RIDEAPP, INC. v. LYFT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1284	RIDEAPP, INC. v. LYFT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1284	RIDEAPP, INC. v. LYFT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-109	ARUNACHALAM v. CSAA INSURANCE GROUP [ORDER]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-130	In Re NITRO FLUIDS L.L.C. [ORDER]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Moot	Order on Motion
20-1484	MCCOY v. HEAL SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1484	MCCOY v. HEAL SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Ordinary Skill in the Art Standard		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1484	MCCOY v. HEAL SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1420	PUBLISHING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. RPX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Lourie	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2000	ZOMM, LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-135	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1881	INVT SPE LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2051	SINGAPORE ASAHI CHEMICAL v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2268	BOOST OXYGEN, LLC v. OXYGEN PLUS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Contempt		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1561	APPLE INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Standing	Constitutional	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2081	TYLER RESEARCH CORPORATION v. ENVACON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Forum Non Conveniens		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1711	INTEL CORPORATION v. VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1041	WI-LAN INC. v. SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Evidence	Business Record	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1041	WI-LAN INC. v. SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1041	WI-LAN INC. v. SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1881	INVT SPE LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1755	RAYTHEON TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1870	SEONG v. BEDRA INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1859	APPLE INC. v. INVT SPE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1250	POWER-PACKER NORTH AMERICA v. G.W. LISK COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1902	POWER-PACKER NORTH AMERICA v. G.W. LISK COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1931	In Re KAVANAGH [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2438	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Appointments Clause	IPR APJs	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2443	HORATIO WASHINGTON DEPOT TECH. v. TOLMAR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-2235	WINET LABS LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Taranto	Standing	Constitutional	NA	NA
20-1931	In Re KAVANAGH [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1931	In Re KAVANAGH [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1870	SEONG v. BEDRA INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1870	SEONG v. BEDRA INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1870	SEONG v. BEDRA INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1859	APPLE INC. v. INVT SPE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1250	POWER-PACKER NORTH AMERICA v. G.W. LISK COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2438	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Stay		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1902	POWER-PACKER NORTH AMERICA v. G.W. LISK COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1755	RAYTHEON TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	Enabling Reference	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1167	MOJAVE DESERT HOLDINGS, LLC v. CROCS, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Newman, O'Malley	Motion to Substitute Party		NA	NA
21-136	In Re TRACFONE WIRELESS, INC. [ORDER]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Petition Granted	Order on Motion
20-1853	CERNER CORPORATION v. CLINICOMP INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1036	SEBELA IRELAND v. PRINSTON PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Standing	Constitutional	Dismissed	NA
20-1853	CERNER CORPORATION v. CLINICOMP INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1853	CERNER CORPORATION v. CLINICOMP INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1570	DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT v. APPLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
20-1785	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
19-2334	WHITSERVE LLC v. DROPBOX, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1785	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1785	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Domestic Industry	Technical	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1785	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Forfeiture		Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1785	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1785	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Ownership	Co-Ownership	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-2309	MANKARUSE v. RAYTHEON COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Taranto	Bond		Affirmed	Order on Motion

20-2297	MANKARUSE v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Taranto	Dismissal Motion		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1925	FG SRC, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1993	FG SRC, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1358	BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS INC. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
20-1696	BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS INC. v. JUBILANT DRAXIMAGE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2203	HORIZON GLOBAL AMERICAS INC. v. CURT MANUFACTURING, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1382	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Trade Secret Misappropriation		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-2087	CAP EXPORT, LLC v. ZINUS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Hughes	Rule 60(b)	b(3) - Fraud	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1303	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-138	In Re MODERN FONT APPLICATIONS LLC [ORDER]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1303	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1303	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1303	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1303	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1303	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1303	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1303	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2087	CAP EXPORT, LLC v. ZINUS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Hughes	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1382	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Breach of Contract		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1382	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Estoppel	325(e)(1) Estoppel	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1382	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1382	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1382	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1118	ORACLE AMERICA, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	O'Malley, Plager, Taranto	Remanded		Affirmed	Unknown
20-2349	WASTOW ENTERPRISES, LLC v. TRUCKMOVERS.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1383	DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC v. NICHIA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1971	In Re SOFTVIEW LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
20-1399	NEW VISION GAMING v. SG GAMING, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Taranto	Constitutionality		Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision

20-1403	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2164	TRIMBLE INC. v. PERDIEMCO LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1264	GAMEVICE, INC. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1634	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1713	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1768	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1962	SPIP LITIGATION GROUP, LLC v. APPLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Attorney's Fees		Unknown	Order on Motion
19-1506	FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP. v. ALPHONSO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2155	PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES v. OXFORD NANOPORE TECHNOLOGIES [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1710	WALLETEX MICROELECTRONICS LTD. v. TOSHIBA AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1058	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1306	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1547	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. HTC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2274	In Re VOTEL [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2095	FIREBLOK IP HOLDINGS, LLC v. HILT INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Lourie	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-137	In Re WESTERN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [ORDER]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion

20-2125	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
20-2274	In Re VOTEL [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2274	In Re VOTEL [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2125	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
20-2095	FIREBLOK IP HOLDINGS, LLC v. HILT INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Lourie	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-2095	FIREBLOK IP HOLDINGS, LLC v. HILT INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Lourie	Sanctions	Rule 11	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-2155	PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES v. OXFORD NANOPORE TECHNOLOGIES [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Taranto	New Trial		Affirmed	JMOL
19-1506	FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP. v. ALPHONSO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1506	FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP. v. ALPHONSO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1403	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1403	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1403	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2349	WASTOW ENTERPRISES, LLC v. TRUCKMOVERS.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
20-1425	COREPHOTONICS, LTE v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1835	In Re BONGIORNO [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2270	In Re GALE [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1956	In Re RAJAGOPALAN [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2270	In Re GALE [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

20-1956	In Re RAJAGOPALAN [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1956	In Re RAJAGOPALAN [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1835	In Re BONGIORNO [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1425	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1283	SPEX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Means For	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1937	BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY v. BAXTER CORPORATION ENGLEWOOD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1475	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCHKIN INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Motion for Reconsideration		Granted in Part	NA
20-1937	BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY v. BAXTER CORPORATION ENGLEWOOD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1744	INTEL CORPORATION v VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Remanded	PTAB IPR Decision
21-145	In Re BOSE CORPORATION [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1701	IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1937	BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY v. BAXTER CORPORATION ENGLEWOOD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1937	BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY v. BAXTER CORPORATION ENGLEWOOD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Content of Prior Art	102(e) Prior Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1701	IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1701	IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1744	INTEL CORPORATION v. VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1744	INTEL CORPORATION v. VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1475	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1475	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1475	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Infringement	Indirect	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1283	SPEX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
20-1573	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
18-2390	HYATT v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Prosecution Laches		Vacated	Bench Trial
20-1573	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1760	YU v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2126	RAFFEL SYSTEMS, LLC v. MAN WAH HOLDINGS LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - PGR Decision
20-2189	VTT TECHNICAL RESEARCH CENTRE v. SITIME CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

20-1760	YU v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1928	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. FG SRC, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-151	In Re FREELANCER LIMITED [ORDER]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Affirmed	None
20-1977	FIDELITY INFORMATION SERVICES v. GROOVE DIGITAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1916	KROY IP HOLDINGS, LLC v. GROUPON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1977	FIDELITY INFORMATION SERVICES v. GROOVE DIGITAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1928	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. FG SRC, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1738	3M COMPANY v. EVERGREEN ADHESIVES, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Incorporation by Reference		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1438	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2280	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. LENOVO GROUP LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1069	AXTS, INC. v. F-1 FIREARMS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1074	AMGEN INC. v. SANOFI [ORDER]	Moore, Chief Judge*, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Prost**, O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto, Chen, Hughes, and Stoll	Rehearing	En Banc	Affirmed	NA
20-1144	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1144	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1144	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1438	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB
20-2129	PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. v. U.D. ELECTRONIC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2313	GATEARM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. ACCESS MASTERS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Contempt		Affirmed	Order on Motion for Contempt
21-139	In Re SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-149	In Re VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Awaiting Decision on Motion to Dismiss or Transfer
20-1775	CORUS REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. v. ZILLOW GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-143	In Re OPTICURRENT, LLC [ORDER]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Mandamus	Stay of Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1837	TVNGO LTD. (BVI) v. LC ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1775	CORUS REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. v. ZILLOW GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1775	CORUS REALTY HOLDINGS, INC. v. ZILLOW GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-139	In Re SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Venue		Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-2129	PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. v. U.D. ELECTRONIC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2129	PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. v. U.D. ELECTRONIC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2129	PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. v. U.D. ELECTRONIC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1249	BARBARO TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NIANTIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2333	GABARA v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-150	In Re UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-1862	RALPH LAUREN CORPORATION v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2141	FLASH-CONTROL, LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1897	NITE GLOW INDUSTRIES INC. v. CENTRAL GARDEN & PET COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
20-2320	SENSORMATIC ELECTRONICS, LLC v. WYZE LABS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
21-158	In Re TCO AS [ORDER]	Hughes, Taranto, Stoll	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-2084	PERRY v. US [OPINION]	Anonymous	Jurisdiction		Affirmed	Final Decision
21-1105	AFTECHMOBILE INC. v. SALESFORCE.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2218	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-2115	HANWHA Q CELLS USA INC. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
20-1719	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. MAGELLAN MIDSTREAM PARTNERS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1105	AFTECHMOBILE INC. v. SALESFORCE.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

20-2218	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2218	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2218	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-2218	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1718	LEVEL SLEEP LLC v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-2320	SENSORMATIC ELECTRONICS, LLC v. WYZE LABS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2141	FLASH-CONTROL, LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Wallach	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1897	NITE GLOW INDUSTRIES INC. v. CENTRAL GARDEN & PET COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1778	OREN TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1289	CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC v. DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1996	F'REAL FOODS LLC v. HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
21-1168	In Re KIRILICHIN [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2149	UNILOC USA, INC. v. ACRONIS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1435	SIEGLER v. SORRENTO THERAPEUTICS, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Schall	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1024	SHURE INCORPORATED v. CLEARONE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal		Contempt Order

21-1128	THINK PRODUCTS, INC v. ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Collateral Estoppel	IPR Estoppel	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1168	In Re KIRILICHIN [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1289	CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC v. DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1778	OREN TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1346	MAGSEIS FF LLC v. SEABED GEOSOLUTIONS (US) INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1589	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, REyna, Stoll	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1589	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, REyna, Stoll	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1589	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, REyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1346	MAGSEIS FF LLC v. SEABED GEOSOLUTIONS (US) INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1800	INGEVITY CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1976	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Vacated	JMOL
21-147	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-1370	GRAVELLE v. KABA ILCO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Taranto	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-144	In Re GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer

20-1812	MONDIS TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. LG ELECTRONICS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-2009	ANDRA GROUP, LP v. VICTORIA'S SECRET STORES, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Venue	1400(b)	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1715	OMNI MEDSCI, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-142	In Re HULU, LLC [ORDER]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-2134	CEPHALON, INC. v. SLAYBACK PHARMA LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2204	CARRUM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-148	In Re DISH NETWORK L.L.C. [ORDER]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-1900	C.R. BARD, INC. v. MEDLINE INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1566	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1543	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1237	SEABED GEOSOLUTIONS (US) INC. v. MAGSEIS FF LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1997	CAJUN SERVICES UNLIMITED, LLC v. BENTON ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1715	OMNI MEDSCI, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Ownership		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1976	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Damages		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1976	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Jury Instructions	Damages	Affirmed	Jury Instruction

20-1800	INGEVITY CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1800	INGEVITY CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1237	SEABED GEOSOLUTIONS (US) INC. v. MAGSEIS FF LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1566	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1543	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2204	CARRUM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2204	CARRUM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1900	C.R. BARD, INC. v. MEDLINE INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1900	C.R. BARD, INC. v. MEDLINE INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2344	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1825	MYMAIL, LTD. v. OOVVOO, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2322	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2321	HYATT v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Expert Witness Fees	35 USC 145	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1708	NUVASIVE, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
20-1315	VALVE CORPORATION v. IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Louire, Newmar	Evidence	Authentication	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1446	SMILEDIRECTCLUB, LLC v. CANDID CARE CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

21-1113	CYBERGENETICS CORP. v. INSTITUTE OF ENVTL. SCIENCE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1747	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1749	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1876	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1876	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1876	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1747	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1747	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1747	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1315	VALVE CORPORATION v. IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Louire, Newmar	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1315	VALVE CORPORATION v. IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Louire, Newmar	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1315	VALVE CORPORATION v. IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Louire, Newmar	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1315	VALVE CORPORATION v. IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Louire, Newmar	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1708	NUVASIVE, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

20-2322	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1825	MYMAIL, LTD. v. OOVVO, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1330	APPLE INC. v. UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Mootness			NA
20-1662	VISA INC. v. UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Mootness			NA
21-1050	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1413	MLC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LLC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1758	JUNO THERAPEUTICS, INC. v. KITE PHARMA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-2044	UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1222	APPLE INC. v. UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Mootness	Appeal		NA
20-1223	APPLE INC. v. UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1528	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1528	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1528	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	JMOL/Jury Verdict
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	JMOL/Jury Verdict
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	JMOL/Jury Verdict
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL/Jury Verdict
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL/Jury Verdict
21-1050	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1050	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-2044	UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1413	MLC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LLC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Discovery	Rule 37	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1223	APPLE INC. v. UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2229	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial

20-2185	INERGETIC AB v. MURATA MANUFACTURING CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2078	In Re PARALLAX GROUP INTERNATIONAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Appeal
20-2027	ALTAMONT SOFTWARE, INC. v. SORNA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1719	In Re ELBAUM [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2286	LUBBY HOLDINGS LLC v. CHUNG [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1799	BELCHER PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-2137	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2277	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1114	LOUISVILLE LADDER, INC. v. WERNER CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2328	POLARIS POWERLED TECHNOLOGIES v. VIZIO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
21-1085	ORTIZ & ASSOCIATES CONSULTING v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1799	BELCHER PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1799	BELCHER PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-2286	LUBBY HOLDINGS LLC v. CHUNG [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Patent Marking		Reversed	JMOL
19-2286	LUBBY HOLDINGS LLC v. CHUNG [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Remanded	Jury Verdict/JMO L

21-1719	In Re ELBAUM [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2229	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2229	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2229	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2229	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Improper Dependency	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2229	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-167	In Re MIDWEST ATHLETICS AND SPORTS ALLIANCE LLC [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Limitation on Number of Asserted Claims	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-165	In Re ONEPLUS TECHNOLOGY (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD. [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Service of Process	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1695	CG TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. FANDUEL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion on the Pleadings
20-1975	TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION v. INTE RECREATION CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-153	In Re DMF, INC. [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Statutory Estoppel	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-146	In Re MAXPOWER SEMICONDUCTOR, INC [ORDER]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Institution Decision
20-2319	SIRIUS XM RADIO INC. v. FRAUNHOFER-GESELL SCHAFT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2200	BESTWAY (USA), INC. v. INTEX RECREATION CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1123	In Re ROBBINS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1975	TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION v. INTE RECREATION CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
20-1695	CG TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. FANDUEL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2220	JENNEWEIN BIOTECHNOLOGIE GMBH v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen Lourie	Injunction	Limited Exclusion Order	Affirmed	USITC Final Determination
20-1932	BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD v. HIRSHFELI [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2140	LEMOINE v. MOSSBERG CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal		Consent Judgment after Markman
20-2318	In Re SINGHAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1793	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Infringement	Direct	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-2347	FIRSTFACE CO., LTD. v APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1001	APPLE INC. v. FIRSTFACE CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1990	NEURO AND CARDIAC TECHNOLOGIES v. LIVANOVA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1001	APPLE INC. v. FIRSTFACE CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1001	APPLE INC. v. FIRSTFACE CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2347	FIRSTFACE CO., LTD. v APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2318	In Re SINGHAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1793	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

20-1793	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-2140	LEMOINE v. MOSSBERG CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2140	LEMOINE v. MOSSBERG CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1932	BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD v. HIRSHFELI [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1932	BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD v. HIRSHFELI [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1932	BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD v. HIRSHFELI [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2220	JENNEWEIN BIOTECHNOLOGIE GMBH v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2220	JENNEWEIN BIOTECHNOLOGIE GMBH v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen Lourie	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	USITC Final Determination
21-160	In Re JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-154	In Re CIRBA INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Mandamus	Jurisdiction	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-187	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1051	AMC MULTI-CINEMA, INC v. FALL LINE PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1992	In Re VIVINT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	APA		Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
20-2005	SUPERCELL OY v. GREE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1685	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Reversed	JMOL
21-168	In Re INTEL CORPORATION [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-170	In Re GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer

21-176	In Re G&H DIVERSIFIED MANUFACTURING, LP [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-1950	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1211	AMERANTH, INC. v. OLO INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1013	IN-DEPTH GEOPHYSICAL, INC. v. CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1259	FIGUREFUN LLC v. LEGO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1638	KANNUU PTY LTD. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
21-1038	NEURELIS, INC. v. AQUESTIVE THERAPEUTICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1702	SGROMO v. TARGET BRANDS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2284	JUMP ROPE SYSTEMS, LLC v. COULTER VENTURES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-171	In Re GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
19-2111	SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2043	COSMOKEY SOLUTIONS GMBH & CO. v. DUO SECURITY LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1700	ACCELERATION BAY LLC v. TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-156	In Re JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-1940	In Re SURGISIL, L.L.P. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	Design	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

20-1950	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1950	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Due Process		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1950	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1950	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1950	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1950	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1685	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Damages	Enhanced	Reversed	Order on Motion
20-1685	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Exceptional Case	Substantive Strength of Litigating Postion	Affirmed	Order on motion
20-1685	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-2005	SUPERCELL OY v. GREE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2005	SUPERCELL OY v. GREE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1051	AMC MULTI-CINEMA, INC v. FALL LINE PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1940	In Re SURGISIL, L.L.P. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2043	COSMOKEY SOLUTIONS GMBH & CO. v. DUO SECURITY LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1700	ACCELERATION BAY LLC v. TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1852	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Means For	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

21-1348	SPIN MASTER LTD. v. CHOIROCK CONTENTS FACTORY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2321	HYATT v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Sec. 145 Decision
21-182	In Re DISH NETWORK L.L.C. [ORDER]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1538	APOLLO PETROLEUM SOLUTIONS v. NANO GAS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1567	TYGER MANUFACTURING LLC v. MIKE'S WORLDWIDE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-164	In Re ESIP SERIES 2, LLC [ORDER]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2275	INDUSTRIAL PRINT TECHNOLOGIES v. CENVEO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
21-1491	INTERACTIVE WEARABLES, LLC v. POLAR ELECTRO OY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2038	ENERGY HEATING, LLC v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1441	MOBILITY WORKX, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Due Process		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-172	In Re PANDORA MEDIA LLC [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-173	In Re NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-1587	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. INTEL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1440	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2111	SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2111	SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2249	QIAGEN NORTH AMERICAN HOLDINGS v. HANDYLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2123	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion on the Pleadings
21-191	In Re SIGNIFY N.V. [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Moot	Order on Motion
20-1961	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-190	In Re NETFLIX, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1424	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1474	In Re MTD PRODUCTS INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
21-1154	CELGENE CORPORATION v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Venue	1400(b)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1525	MV3 PARTNERS LLC v. ROKU, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
21-1581	PRECISION PLANTING, LLC v. DEERE & COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2243	UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE v. CLEAR-VU LIGHTING LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1709	ROHM SEMICONDUCTOR USA, LLC v. MAXPOWER SEMICONDUCTOR, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Arbitration Referral		Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1297	DDR HOLDINGS, LLC v. SHOPIFY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-192	In Re XCONNECT, LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1793	BOS GMBH & CO. KG v. MACAUTO USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-193	In Re QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INCORPORATED [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-1683	APPLE INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Standing	Constitutional	Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1191	In Re GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1246	FLEXSTENT, LLC v. ABBOTT VASCULAR, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2176	MATI THERAPEUTICS, INC. v. OCULAR THERAPEUTIX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1124	BLACKBERRY LIMITED v. HIRSHFELD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2162	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1715	WAGNER v. ASHLINE [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Stoll	Inventorship	Correction	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1648	ARSUS, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1480	HORIZON MEDICINES LLC v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art		Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2244	SECUREWAVE STORAGE SOLUTIONS v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1202	MENTONE SOLUTIONS LLC v. DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

21-178	In Re GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
20-1790	HTC CORPORATION v. INVT SPE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-177	In Re ATLASSIAN CORP. PLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-181	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-180	In Re MERAKI INTEGRATED CIRCUIT (SHENZHEN) TECHNOLOGY [ORDER]	NA	Mandamus		Dismissed	NA
21-1638	KANNUU PTY LTD. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
20-2073	INDIVIOR UK LIMITED v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES S.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Priority Date		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1259	FIGUREFUN LLC v. LEGO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1013	IN-DEPTH GEOPHYSICAL, INC. v. CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2321	HYATT v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Expert Witness Fees	35 USC 145	Affirmed	Sec. 145 Decision
20-1852	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Order in Related Case
20-1852	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1852	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Order in Related Case
20-1852	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

20-1852	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Order in Related Case
20-1852	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1440	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1440	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1440	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	Disclaimer	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1440	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1440	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Prosecution History Estoppel		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1441	MOBILITY WORKX, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Due Process		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1961	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1961	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1424	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1424	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1424	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1424	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2249	QIAGEN NORTH AMERICAN HOLDINGS v. HANDYLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Evidence	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2249	QIAGEN NORTH AMERICAN HOLDINGS v. HANDYLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2249	QIAGEN NORTH AMERICAN HOLDINGS v. HANDYLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2123	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2123	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2123	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement		Vacated	Summary Judgment
20-2243	UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE v. CLEAR-VU LIGHTING LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2243	UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE v. CLEAR-VU LIGHTING LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1154	CELGENE CORPORATION v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1202	MENTONE SOLUTIONS LLC v. DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1480	HORIZON MEDICINES LLC v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1480	HORIZON MEDICINES LLC v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1480	HORIZON MEDICINES LLC v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-2162	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2073	INDIVIOR UK LIMITED v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES S.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2065	IDEAL INNOVATIONS, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Dismissal Motion		Reversed	Summary Judgment

22-112	In Re ALFRESCO SOFTWARE, LTD. [ORDER]	Unknown	Mandamus	Transfer	Dismissed	NA
20-1184	MODERNATX, INC. v. ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2329	MODERNA TX, INC. v. ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
20-1673	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. BIOGEN MA INC [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	
20-1933	BIOGEN INTERNATIONAL GMBH v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2329	MODERNA TX, INC. v. ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Stoll	Standing	Administrative Proceeding	NA	NA
20-2329	MODERNA TX, INC. v. ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1184	MODERNATX, INC. v. ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Stoll	Standing	Administrative Proceeding	Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1862	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. v. REX MEDICAL, L.P. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1729	ASTRAZENECA AB v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
21-1247	LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. v. DODOTS LICENSING SOLUTIONS LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1360	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-100	In Re OVERHEAD DOOR CORPORATION [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-113	In Re ACCESSIBE LTD. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer

21-1360	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1360	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1729	ASTRAZENECA AB v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
21-1729	ASTRAZENECA AB v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1247	LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. v. DODOTS LICENSING SOLUTIONS LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1181	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1894	In Re HERA WIRELESS S.A. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1075	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1706	ALPEK POLYESTER, S.A. DE C.V. v. POLYMETRIX AG [OPINION]	Lourie, O`malley, Stoll	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-2345	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1005	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1052	MONUMENT PEAK VENTURES, LLC v. TOSHIBA AMERICA BUSINESS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1744	POLYCOM, INC. v. DIRECTPACKET RESEARCH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1706	ALPEK POLYESTER, S.A. DE C.V. v. POLYMETRIX AG [OPINION]	Lourie, O`malley, Stoll	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1706	ALPEK POLYESTER, S.A. DE C.V. v. POLYMETRIX AG [OPINION]	Lourie, O`malley, Stoll	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on motion
21-1706	ALPEK POLYESTER, S.A. DE C.V. v. POLYMETRIX AG [OPINION]	Lourie, O`malley, Stoll	Attorney Client Privilege	Opinion of Counsel	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1005	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2345	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1262	SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC v. MYLAN GMBH [ORDER]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Mootness		Moot	NA
20-1871	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2071	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2066	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1664	INTEL CORPORATION \ QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Standing	Constitutional	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2137	LOW TEMP INDUSTRIES, INC. v. DUKE MANUFACTURING CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Order
20-1828	INTEL CORPORATION \ QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Standing	Constitutional	NA	NA
22-107	In Re MEDTRONIC, INC [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Venue	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1115	QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INVESTMENTS LLC v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1812	MONDIS TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. LG ELECTRONICS INC. [ORDER]	Moore, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Prost, O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto, Chen, Hughes, Cunningham	Rehearing	En Banc	Denied	NA
21-1181	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1181	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1075	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1075	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-107	In Re MEDTRONIC, INC [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1115	QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INVESTMENTS LLC v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Printed Publication	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1115	QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INVESTMENTS LLC v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2137	LOW TEMP INDUSTRIES, INC. v. DUKE MANUFACTURING CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Order
21-2137	LOW TEMP INDUSTRIES, INC. v. DUKE MANUFACTURING CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Order
20-1828	INTEL CORPORATION \ QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1828	INTEL CORPORATION \ QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1664	INTEL CORPORATION \ QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1664	INTEL CORPORATION \ QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2071	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2071	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2066	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2066	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	General Knowledge of a Skilled Artisan	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1340	WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY, INC v. PARAGON 28, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1070	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-105	In Re AMPEREX TECHNOLOGY LIMITED [ORDER]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1963	EVOLUSION CONCEPTS, INC. v. HOC EVENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
21-1520	NETFUEL, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1070	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1963	EVOLUSION CONCEPTS, INC. v. HOC EVENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment
21-1963	EVOLUSION CONCEPTS, INC. v. HOC EVENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
20-1046	KYOCERA SENCO INDUS. TOOLS INC v. ITC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Moore	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	Order on Motion

21-1443	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CORP. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1401	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Stoll	Claim Construction		Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-110	In Re NETFLIX, INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1954	PROCON ANALYTICS, LLC v. SPIREON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Tarantc	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1166	RAYTHEON TECHNOLOGIES v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2314	SHOCKWAVE MEDICAL, INC. v. CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1848	In Re GAMBLE [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2251	SHOCKWAVE MEDICAL, INC. v. CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1401	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1046	KYOCERA SENCO INDUS. TOOLS INC v. ITC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2257	NATURE SIMULATION SYSTEMS INC. v. AUTODESK, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1661	DIRECTPACKET RESEARCH, INC. v. POLYCOM, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1387	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1807	TQ DELTA, LLC v. ARRIS GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, anc Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2146	MASIMO CORPORATION v. TRUI WEARABLES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1387	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1387	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1661	DIRECTPACKET RESEARCH, INC. v. POLYCOM, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2011	APPLE INC. v. WI-LAN INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-2070	QUANERGY SYSTEMS, INC. v. VELODYNE LIDAR USA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1689	PLASMACAM, INC. v. CNCELECTRONICS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
21-1149	BLEPHEX, LLC v. MYCC INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
21-1651	SLING TV, L.L.C. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1558	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	AAPA - Applicant Admitted Prior Art	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1558	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1651	SLING TV, L.L.C. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1689	PLASMACAM, INC. v. CNCELECTRONICS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Injunction		Reversed	Order on Motion
21-1689	PLASMACAM, INC. v. CNCELECTRONICS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Settlement Agreement		Reversed	Order on Motion
21-1149	BLEPHEX, LLC v. MYCC INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
21-1149	BLEPHEX, LLC v. MYCC INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order

20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Jury Verdict
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Jury Instructions	Infringement	Vacated	Instruction
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Vacated	Jury Verdict
20-2070	QUANERGY SYSTEMS, INC. v. VELODYNE LIDAR USA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2070	QUANERGY SYSTEMS, INC. v. VELODYNE LIDAR USA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2011	APPLE INC. v. WI-LAN INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-2011	APPLE INC. v. WI-LAN INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Prost	New Trial	Damages	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-2011	APPLE INC. v. WI-LAN INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Prost	New Trial	Damages	Vacated	Order on Motion
20-1350	ZAXCOM, INC. v. LECTROSONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1921	ZAXCOM, INC. v. LECTROSONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Schall, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2209	CUSTOMPLAY, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2207	CUSTOMPLAY, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2208	CUSTOMPLAY, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2024	AMARIN PHARMA, INC. v. HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1908	TRAVEL SENTRY, INC. v. TROPP [OPINION]	Lourie, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-114	In Re B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. [ORDER]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	Due Process		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1976	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [ORDER]		Rehearing	En Banc	NA	NA
20-2245	ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1480	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. v. ETHICON LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-121	In Re SUNSTONE INFORMATION DEFENSE, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1649	JUNKER v. MEDICAL COMPONENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-2106	ADAPT PHARMA OPERATIONS v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1568	UNILOC USA, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Mayer	Sealing Court Records		Vacated	Order on Motion
21-2369	NIPPON SHINYAKU CO., LTD. v. SAREPTA THERAPEUTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
21-1106	SGROMO v. SCOTT [OPINION]	Unknown	Transfer	Appellate	NA	NA
21-1435	BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC v. CARRUM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2369	NIPPON SHINYAKU CO., LTD. v. SAREPTA THERAPEUTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
20-2106	ADAPT PHARMA OPERATIONS v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2106	ADAPT PHARMA OPERATIONS v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
20-2245	ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1480	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. v. ETHICON LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1921	ZAXCOM, INC. v. LECTROSONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Schall, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1350	ZAXCOM, INC. v. LECTROSONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2102	ALARM.COM INCORPORATED v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Taranto	Estoppel	315(e)	Reversed	Order on Motion
21-1712	OPTICURRENT, LLC v. POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Rule 60(b)		Affirmed	Rule 60(b) Motion Decision
21-1712	OPTICURRENT, LLC v. POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Prosecution Disclaimer		Affirmed	Rule 60(b) Motion Decision
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1183	CAMERON INTERNATIONAL v. NITRO FLUIDS L.L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2164	LOWE v. SHIELDMARK, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Cunningham	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1785	ALEXSAM, INC. v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Estoppel	Judicial	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-2342	DBN HOLDING, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Penalty	ITC	Affirmed	USITC Order on Motion
21-1599	In Re MILLER [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1599	In Re MILLER [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2164	LOWE v. SHIELDMARK, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Cunningham	Infringement		Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-2164	LOWE v. SHIELDMARK, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Cunningham	Jurisdiction	Declaratory Judgment	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2164	LOWE v. SHIELDMARK, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Cunningham	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1183	CAMERON INTERNATIONAL v. NITRO FLUIDS L.L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1183	CAMERON INTERNATIONAL v. NITRO FLUIDS L.L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1183	CAMERON INTERNATIONAL v. NITRO FLUIDS L.L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2074	SEMICONDUCTOR CONNECTIONS LLC v. TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Infringement		Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling

19-2315	In Re LUOMA [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
21-1438	IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2314	SUTTON v. SHASTA INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Lack of Standing	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2102	MOBILEPAY LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1990	WORLDS INC. v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1543	MODERN FONT APPLICATIONS LLC v. PETSMART, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1870	WILLIS ELECTRIC CO., LTD. v. EVERSTAR MERCHANDISE CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB
20-2278	MODERN FONT APPLICATIONS LLC v. PEAK RESTAURANT PARTNERS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1775	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1561	FLEMING v. CIRRUS DESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1635	AMERICAN PATENTS LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1926	AZURITY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. BIONPHARMA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-108	In Re VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1532	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2008	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Domestic Industry	Technical	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-2008	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2008	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2008	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2008	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2008	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1926	AZURITY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. BIONPHARMA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-2314	SUTTON v. SHASTA INDUSTRIES INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2074	SEMICONDUCTOR CONNECTIONS LLC v. TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING COMPANY LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2315	In Re LUOMA [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-2315	In Re LUOMA [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-2315	In Re LUOMA [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam
21-1532	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1532	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1532	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1532	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1532	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1561	FLEMING v. CIRRUS DESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1561	FLEMING v. CIRRUS DESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1561	FLEMING v. CIRRUS DESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1777	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1013	In Re SOLAS OLED LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk	Dismissal Motion		NA	NA
20-1933	BIOGEN INTERNATIONAL GMBH v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [ORDER]	Moore, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Prost, Reyna, Taranto, Chen, Hughes	Rehearing	En Banc	Denied	NA
20-1415	BASF PLANT SCIENCE, LP v. COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Venue		Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1745	ADVANCED VOICE RECOGNITION v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2206	ROVI GUIDES, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1025	O'KEEFFE'S, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1906	REPIFI VENDOR LOGISTICS, INC. v. INTELLICENTRICS, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1473	INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS, INC. v. AURIS HEALTH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2331	ALMIRALL, LLC v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2331	ALMIRALL, LLC v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision

20-2331	ALMIRALL, LLC v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1906	REPIFI VENDOR LOGISTICS, INC. v. INTELLICENTRICS, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1025	O'KEEFFE'S, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
20-1415	BASF PLANT SCIENCE, LP v. COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
20-1415	BASF PLANT SCIENCE, LP v. COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict
20-1415	BASF PLANT SCIENCE, LP v. COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Ownership	Co-Ownership	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
20-1415	BASF PLANT SCIENCE, LP v. COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Ownership	Co-Ownership	Reversed	Jury Verdict
20-1415	BASF PLANT SCIENCE, LP v. COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Willful Infringement	Not Submitted	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1415	BASF PLANT SCIENCE, LP v. COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Evidence		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1933	BIOGEN INTERNATIONAL GMBH v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [ORDER]	Moore, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Prost, Reyna, Taranto, Chen, Hughes	Rehearing	Panel	Denied	NA
21-1777	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1939	IMMUNOGEN, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-2040	VDPP LLC v. VIZIO, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling/Consent Judgment
20-2092	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2163	HUNTING TITAN, INC. v. DYNAENERGETICS EUROPE GMBH [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2240	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity		Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1725	DYFAN, LLC v. TARGET CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
20-2239	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity		Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1519	LITTLE GIANT LADDER SYSTEMS, LLC v. TRICAM INDUSTRIES, INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Motion to Dismiss		Dismissed	NA
22-123	In Re VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	Mandamus	Motion to Dismiss	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-125	In Re FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FORDERUNG [ORDER]	Dyk, Linn, Taranto	Mandamus	Discovery	Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
21-1725	DYFAN, LLC v. TARGET CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1725	DYFAN, LLC v. TARGET CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
20-2163	HUNTING TITAN, INC. v. DYNAENERGETICS EUROPE GMBH [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2163	HUNTING TITAN, INC. v. DYNAENERGETICS EUROPE GMBH [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2163	HUNTING TITAN, INC. v. DYNAENERGETICS EUROPE GMBH [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2092	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2092	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2040	VDPP LLC v. VIZIO, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling/Consent Judgment
21-1939	IMMUNOGEN, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-1412	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2167	GENUINE ENABLING TECHNOLOGY v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal		Reversed	Summary Judgment
22-1484	IDEAL INDUSTRIES LIGHTING LLC v. ITC [ORDER]	Clerk	Dismissal Motion			NA	NA
21-1609	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS CORPORATION v. MESO SCALE DIAGNOSTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Direct		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
22-120	CORE OPTICAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [ORDER]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Appeal		Denied	Order on Motion
21-1978	HOIST FITNESS SYSTEMS, INC. v. TUFFSTUFF FITNESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285		Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1907	STEUBEN FOODS, INC. v. NESTLE USA, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion			NA	NA
17-2593	VIRNETX INC. v. HIRSHFELD [ORDER]	NA	Mootness			NA	NA
21-2013	LITTELFUSE, INC. v. MERSEN USA EP CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal		Vacated	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
20-1207	In Re MARX [ORDER]		Dismissal Motion			NA	NA
19-1994	STUART v. RPM INTERNATIONAL, INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Want of Prosecution			NA	NA
21-1856	PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. v. U.D. ELECTRONIC CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Direct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1861	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. BEL FUSE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Finality			Vacated	Order on Motion
21-2125	In Re APPS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity			Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1976	IMPLICIT, LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Infringement			Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-2114	In Re DOLCE VITA FOOTWEAR, INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary		NA	NA
22-1162	KONDA v. FLEX LOGIX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity			Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1733	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1197	PERSONALIZED MEDIA v. APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Remanded		NA	NA
22-1234	IROBOT CORPORATION v. SHARKNINJA OPERATING LLC [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1302	IROBOT CORPORATION v. SHARKNINJA OPERATING LLC [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1235	IROBOT CORPORATION v. SHARKNINJA OPERATING LLC [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-122	In Re ZTE CORPORATION [ORDER]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-1233	IROBOT CORPORATION v. SHARKNINJA OPERATING LLC [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2174	POP TOP CORP. v. RAKUTEN KOBO INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2101	In Re INTELLIGENT PACKAGING PTY LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1790	ROTHSCHILD DIGITAL CONFIRMATION, LLC v. COMPANYCAM, INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-1910	UPAID SYSTEMS, LTD. v. CARD CONCEPTS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1039	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1732	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
21-1723	APPLE INC. v. YU [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1424	GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1 v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2130	SCHAEFERS v. BLIZZARD ENERGY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1857	ASCION, LLC v. ASHLEY FURNITURE INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-130	In Re CANON INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-128	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-1357	B# ON DEMAND LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1423	GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1 v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-131	In Re CANON INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1248	ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1626	IDEAHUB INC. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, INC [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion		NA	
22-143	In Re EXPEDIA, INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1515	INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC v. DRAFTKINGS INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion		Dismissed	NA
22-1073	In Re GLASCOE [ORDER]	Unknown	Trademark	Registrability		TTAB Registration Decision
22-1538	ANALOG DEVICES, INC. v. XILINX ASIA PACIFIC PTE. LTD. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion		NA	NA
22-1437	M2M SOLUTIONS LLC v. SIERRA WIRELESS AMERICA, INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion		NA	NA

20-1994	ROVI GUIDES, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2127	INTEL CORPORATION v. XMTT, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1760	APPLE INC. v. ZIPIT WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Personal	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2367	KAPLAN v. CANI [ORDER]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
22-126	In Re GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2002	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1576	LEE v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2171	AMGEN INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Chen, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1902	In Re ASSET GUARD PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2354	POWER PROBE GROUP, INC. v. INNOVATIVE ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Decision
21-1882	EVERSTAR MERCHANDISE CO. LTD. v. WILLIS ELECTRIC CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1946	AQUILA INNOVATIONS INC. v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1864	NAIAZI LICENSING CORPORATION v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL S.C., INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Invalidity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-2048	SURE FIT HOME PRODUCTS, LLC v. MAYTEX MILLS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
21-2161	In Re SAWSTOP HOLDING LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1663	CONTEMPORARY DISPLAY LLC v. DISH NETWORK L.L.C. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1412	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1412	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1412	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1412	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1412	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1412	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2167	GENUINE ENABLING TECHNOLOGY v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-2013	LITTELFUSE, INC. v. MERSEN USA EP CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1978	HOIST FITNESS SYSTEMS, INC. v. TUFFSTUFF FITNESS INTERNATIONAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Stoll	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1609	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS CORPORATION v. MESO SCALE DIAGNOSTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
21-1609	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS CORPORATION v. MESO SCALE DIAGNOSTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
21-1609	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS CORPORATION v. MESO SCALE DIAGNOSTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Infringement		Vacated	JMOL
21-1864	ANIAZI LICENSING CORPORATION v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL S.C., INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1864	ANIAZI LICENSING CORPORATION v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL S.C., INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion

21-1864	NIAZI LICENSING CORPORATION v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL S.C., INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Daubert Order
21-1117	SURGALIGN SPINE TECHNOLOGIES v. LIFENET HEALTH [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1117	SURGALIGN SPINE TECHNOLOGIES v. LIFENET HEALTH [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1117	SURGALIGN SPINE TECHNOLOGIES v. LIFENET HEALTH [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1117	SURGALIGN SPINE TECHNOLOGIES v. LIFENET HEALTH [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2354	POWER PROBE GROUP, INC. v. INNOV/ ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
21-1882	EVERSTAR MERCHANDISE CO. LTD. v. WILLIS ELECTRIC CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1902	In Re ASSET GUARD PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1902	In Re ASSET GUARD PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1902	In Re ASSET GUARD PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2171	AMGEN INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Chen, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2002	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2127	INTEL CORPORATION v. XMTT, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Moore	Estoppel	Judicial	NA	NA
20-1994	ROVI GUIDES, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1248	ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1248	ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	Preamble	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1732	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	629 Patent Claim 31
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Damages	Enhanced	Vacated	Order on Motion

20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Damages	Reasonable Royalty	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1733	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1733	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1733	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1733	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1162	KONDA v. FLEX LOGIX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Publicly Accessible	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1856	PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. v. U.D. ELECTRONIC CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1856	PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. v. U.D. ELECTRONIC CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-136	In Re BROADCOM CORPORATION [ORDER]	Unknown	Withdraw as Petitioner		NA	NA
19-2281	DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR CO. LTD. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-1982	CELLWITCH INC. v. TILE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2147	NEXRF CORP. v. PLAYTIKA LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1759	ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY v. BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Jurisdiction	Appeal		Final Decision

22-1544	ELECTRONIC SCRIPTING PRODUCTS, INC. v. HTI AMERICA, INC. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-127	In Re LABORATORY CORPORATION OF AMERICA HOLDINGS [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-138	In Re BITFENIX CO. LTD. [ORDER]	Unknown	Withdraw as Petitioner		NA	NA
22-133	In Re TREND MICRO INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-134	SONOS, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-116	In Re ROKU, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	Institution Decision
21-2239	ESIP SERIES 1, LLC v. DOTERRA INTERNATIONAL, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1998	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-135	In Re BROADCOM CORPORATION [ORDER]	Unknown	Withdraw as Petitioner		NA	NA
21-2239	ESIP SERIES 1, LLC v. DOTERRA INTERNATIONAL, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1998	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1998	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-1998	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1982	CELLWITCH INC. v. TILE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1989	MITEK SYSTEMS, INC. v. UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Taranto	Transfer		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1634	KAUFMAN v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

21-1601	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1179	GOOGLE LLC v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1772	ACQIS, LLC v. EMC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1514	RTC INDUSTRIES, INC. v. VIDAL [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
21-1881	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1881	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1881	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1772	ACQIS, LLC v. EMC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	
21-1601	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1601	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1179	GOOGLE LLC v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1989	MITEK SYSTEMS, INC. v. UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Vacated	Order on Motion
21-1634	KAUFMAN v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1634	KAUFMAN v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Prejudgment Interest		Reversed	Order on Motion
18-2140	ARTHREX, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1166	In Re NCH CORPORATION [ORDER]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Remanded		Remanded	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-137	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer

22-1446	HEALTH DISCOVERY CORPORATION v. INTE CORPORATION [ORDER]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Remanded		NA	NA
20-2334	CORNELL RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1490	LONZA WALKERSVILLE, INC. v ADVA BIOTECHNOLOGY LTD. [ORDER]	Unknown	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-1995	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1995	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Burden of Proof	IPR	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1995	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2334	CORNELL RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2334	CORNELL RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1834	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-1768	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. US [ORDER]		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
20-1557	PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS LLC v. OREN TECHNOLOGIES LLC [ORDER]		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
21-1613	In Re TAYLOR [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1517	CLEARONE, INC. v. SHURE ACQUISITION HOLDINGS [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1566	LASHIFY, INC. v. ITC [ORDER]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	USITC Order on Motion
21-1948	ARUNACHALAM v. KRONOS INCORPORATED [ORDER]		Want of Prosecution		NA	NA
21-1517	CLEARONE, INC. v. SHURE ACQUISITION HOLDINGS [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Sanctions		Affirmed	Order on Motion

21-1834	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-1834	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-1834	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1834	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Waiver	New Argument or Appeal		NA
21-1041	WORLDSOURCE ENTERPRISES, LLC v. VIDAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2138	SONOVA AG v. MED-EL ELEKTROMEDIZINISCHE GERATE GMBH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1629	HANWHA SOLUTIONS CORP. v. LONGI GREEN ENERGY TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1348	AGARWAL v. MORBARK, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
21-2143	TOWNSEND v. BROOKS SPORTS, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1629	NASDAQ, INC. v. INVESTORS EXCHANGE LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1076	In Re KIELY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1229	OMNI MEDSCI, INC. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2213	OMNI MEDSCI, INC. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1837	PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS LLC v. OREN TECHNOLOGIES LLC [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1838	OREN TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS LLC [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
22-1315	INNOVATIVE PRESSURE TESTING, LLC v. OFFSHORE TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE, LLC [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
20-1259	SYNQOR, INC. v. VICO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost		Vacatur		Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1595	GENENTECH, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1508	AUTOMATIC EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. DANKO MANUFACTURING, LLC [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Granted	NA
21-2289	INNOVATIVE GLOBAL SYSTEMS, LLC v. MOTIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2281	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC v. REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1545	GOOGLE LLC v. REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1444	C.A. CASYSO GMBH v. HEMOSONICS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost Stark		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1969	UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Tarantc		Discovery	Jurisdictional	Reversed	Order on Motion
21-1961	BAKER v. RAIMONDO [OPINION]	Unknown		Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1888	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Taranto		Disqualificatic n	Judge	Reversed	Order on Motion

21-2096	GOLDEN EYE MEDIA USA, INC. v. EVO LIFESTYLE PRODUCTS LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Functionality	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1584	BANGKOK BANGKOK IMPORT & EXPORT INC v. 2M ASSOCIATES, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Want of Prosecution		NA	NA
21-1070	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Moore	Rehearing	Panel	NA	NA
22-1696	HIP, INC. v. HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2303	STATIC MEDIA LLC v. LEADER ACCESSORIES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Contempt	Protective Order	Reversed	Order on Motion
22-147	In Re ZTE CORPORATION [ORDER]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Mandamus	Service of Process	Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
22-1624	JUMP ROPE SYSTEMS, LLC v. COULTER VENTURES, LLC [ORDER]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity		Summary Affirmance	NA
22-1354	SKYBELL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. ITC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-1931	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1420	CORRELL v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Disqualification	Attorney	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1495	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-2274	TRUSTED KNIGHT CORPORATION v. IBM [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2191	COOLTVNETWORK.COM, INC. v. BLACKBOARD, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
22-1026	In Re ZAHNER DESIGN GROUP, LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1358	RILLITO RIVER SOLAR LLC v. BAMBOO INDUSTRIES, LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2174	POP TOP CORP. v. RAKUTEN KOBO INC. [ORDER]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Sanctions	Appeal	NA	NA

21-1605	NUCURRENT, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1840	NUCURRENT, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1441	MOBILITY WORKX, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2106	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. THALES USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Moore	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2037	LG ELECTRONICS INC. v. IMMERSION, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1611	In Re GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Chen, Lourie, Stark	Remanded		NA	NA
19-1483	POLARIS INNOVATION LIMITED v. BRENT [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Motion to Dismiss		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1537	SAWSTOP HOLDING LLC v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Patent Term Extension	Patent Term Adjustment	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1781	ATIYEH v. VARA [ORDER]	Chen, Lourie, Stark	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
22-1081	WINET LABS LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Unknown	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1310	In Re SMITH [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1355	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1145	SEOUL VIOSYS CO., LTD. v. P3 INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1196	GOLDEN v. US [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1229	GOLDEN v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1967	ARENDI S.A.R.L. v. LG ELECTRONICS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Duplicative Litigation Doctrine		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1903	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1903	INVT SPE LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision

21-2099	BEST MEDICAL INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ELEKTA INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2084	BEST MEDICAL INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ELEKTA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2113	In Re KILLIAN [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1537	ANALOG DEVICES, INC. v. XILINX, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1875	APOLLO PETROLEUM SOLUTIONS, LLC v. NANO GAS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Want of Prosecution		NA	NA
21-2342	PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. v. EAGLE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
22-1016	CLICK-TO-CALL TECHNOLOGIES, LP v. INGENIO, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Estoppel	315(e)	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-1991	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. v VIDAL [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1760	FG SRC, LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-145	In Re PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. [ORDER USPTO]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1923	KAMSTRUP A/S v. AXIOMA METERING UAB [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2054	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Validity		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2057	LSI CORPORATION v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Waiver	Arguments	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1697	In Re MCDONALD [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Recapture - 251	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-154	In Re HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE CO. [ORDER]	Hughes, Mayer, Stoll	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer

20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2064	PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA, LLC v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity		Affirmed	USITC Decision
21-2347	THALER v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Moore, Stark, Taranto	Inventorship	Natural Persons Only	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1399	NEW VISION GAMING & DEVELOPMENT, INC. v. SG GAMING, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-2043	CADDO SYSTEMS, INC v. MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2222	A. O. SMITH CORPORATION v. BRADFORD WHITE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-1733	ILLUMINA, INC. v. BGI GENOMICS CO., LTD. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2352	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. DYNAMICS INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1937	VOCALIFE LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Inducing	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
21-1484	REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	Inherent Powers	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1030	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2111	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2430	DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR CO. LTD. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

21-2112	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS, INC. v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Forfeiture		Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1111	ETHICON LLC v. ITC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
20-1082	STEUBEN FOODS, INC. v. VIDAL [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
20-1161	In Re BOTTOMLINE TECHNOLOGIES (DE) INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1027	CAREDX, INC. v. NATERA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1917	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1027	CAREDX, INC. v. NATERA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1310	In Re SMITH [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2113	In Re KILLIAN [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1027	CAREDX, INC. v. NATERA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1076	In Re KIELY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1076	In Re KIELY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2143	TOWNSEND v. BROOKS SPORTS, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1348	AGARWAL v. MORBARK, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
21-2138	SONOVA AG v. MED-EL ELEKTROMEDIZINISCHE GERATE GMBH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1969	UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Personal	Vacated	Order on Motion
21-1969	UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling

21-1969	UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-1070	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Moore	Vacatur		Vacated	NA
21-1070	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Bench Trial
21-2096	GOLDEN EYE MEDIA USA, INC. v. EVO LIFESTYLE PRODUCTS LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1888	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Taranto	Vacatur		NA	NA
21-1931	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1931	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2303	STATIC MEDIA LLC v. LEADER ACCESSORIES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Sanctions		Reversed	Order on Motion
21-2303	STATIC MEDIA LLC v. LEADER ACCESSORIES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	Sanction	Reversed	Order on Motion
21-2274	TRUSTED KNIGHT CORPORATION v. IBM [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1495	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-2037	LG ELECTRONICS INC. v. IMMERSION, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2106	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. THALES USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Moore	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1840	NUCURRENT, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1605	NUCURRENT, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1605	NUCURRENT, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Stark, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1917	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1917	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1917	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Improper Cross-Appeal		Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1917	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Standing	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1917	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2112	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS, INC. v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2111	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	New Trial	Anticipation	Affirmed	Order on Motion for New Trial
21-2111	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Costs	Trial Exemplifications	Reversed	Order on Motion for Costs
21-2111	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Costs	Document Copying	Affirmed	Order on Motion for Costs
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Amendment	Adding or Cancelling Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1030	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1030	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1030	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1484	REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	Inherent Powers	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2352	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. DYNAMICS INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stark, Tarantc	APA		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2352	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. DYNAMICS INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stark, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2222	A. O. SMITH CORPORATION v. BRADFORD WHITE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-2222	A. O. SMITH CORPORATION v. BRADFORD WHITE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Indirect	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-2222	A. O. SMITH CORPORATION v. BRADFORD WHITE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-2222	A. O. SMITH CORPORATION v. BRADFORD WHITE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial

21-2222	A. O. SMITH CORPORATION v. BRADFORD WHITE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reduction to Practice	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2057	LSI CORPORATION v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2057	LSI CORPORATION v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	102(e) Prior Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2054	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Estoppel	Assignor	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1923	KAMSTRUP A/S v. AXIOMA METERING UAB [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1923	KAMSTRUP A/S v. AXIOMA METERING UAB [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	Product by Process Limitation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1923	KAMSTRUP A/S v. AXIOMA METERING UAB [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1016	CLICK-TO-CALL TECHNOLOGIES, LP v. INGENIO, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
22-1016	CLICK-TO-CALL TECHNOLOGIES, LP v. INGENIO, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Amendment	Asserted Claims		Order on Motion
21-2099	BEST MEDICAL INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ELEKTA INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stoll	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art		Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2099	BEST MEDICAL INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ELEKTA INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-2099	BEST MEDICAL INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ELEKTA INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1903	INVT SPE LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Domestic Industry	Technical	Affirmed	USITC Decision
21-1903	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1903	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1903	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1903	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Amendment	Adding or Cancelling Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1229	GOLDEN v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1145	SEOUL VIOSYS CO., LTD. v. P3 INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Safe Harbor 271(e)(1)	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1355	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1483	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. BRENT [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1483	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. BRENT [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1483	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. BRENT [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1483	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. BRENT [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1483	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. BRENT [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-115	In Re WESTERN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-2168	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA v. GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-112	In Re GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
23-111	In Re ZHEJIANG CRYSTAL-OPTECH CO. LTD. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer

22-1083	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
23-122	In Re ASUSTEK COMPUTER, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
22-1139	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1186	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AVADEL CNS PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1038	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-121	In Re LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Withdraw as Petitioner		NA	NA
22-1098	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GOVISION, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
23-1014	CONTENT SQUARE ISRAEL LTD. v. DECIBEL INSIGHT, LTD [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1164	MAALOUF v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2205	CONTENT SQUARE ISRAEL LTD. v. DECIBEL INSIGHT, LTD [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1122	M2M SOLUTIONS LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2155	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
23-1477	JOHNSON & JOHNSON SURGICAL VISION, INC v. ALCON INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1391	BITGLASS, INC. v. NETSKOPE, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1393	BITGLASS, INC. v. NETSKOPE, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1146	LITE-NETICS, LLC v. NLSAI CAPITAL LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Order
22-1059	NEUROPTICS, INC. v. BRIGHTLAMP, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Order on Motion

22-1136	C.R. BARD, INC. v. MEDICAL COMPONENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
22-1222	HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC v. CASTLE RETAIL, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1127	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2246	MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. v. HOLOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation		Summary Judgment
22-1856	XILINX, INC. v. VIDAL [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
19-2057	VILOX TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1116	CHROMADEX, INC. v. ELYSIUM HEALTH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1146	SOFTBELLYS, INC. v. TY INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1160	IDEAHUB INC. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1103	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC. v. VIDAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1565	CYWEE GROUP LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Rehearing	Director	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Director Rehearing Denial
22-1317	VAN DYKE v. WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY HEALTH SCIENCES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1847	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. NANOCO TECHNOLOGIES LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

22-1848	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. NANOCO TECHNOLOGIES LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
22-2253	VIFOR FRESENIUS MEDICAL CARE RENAL PHARMA LTD. v. LUPIN ATLANTIS HOLDINGS SA [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
23-1082	CFL TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
23-1235	BIAL - PORTELA & CA. SA v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
20-1140	TRANSTEX INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2322	In Re WINGEN LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
23-101	In Re GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-2198	LOW TEMP INDUSTRIES, INC. v. DUKE MANUFACTURING CO. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2122	IMPRENTA SERVICES, INC. v. KARLL [ORDER]	Mayer, Stoll, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
20-2348	LEFTSNRIGHTS, INC. v. 33ACROSS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2256	SHIBUYA KOGYO CO., LTD. v. STEUBEN FOODS, INC. [ORDER]	Mayer, Stoll, Taranto	Standing	Administrative Proceeding	NA	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam
22-2141	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. NANYA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1090	ENERGYSOURCE MINERALS, LLC v. TERRALITHIUM LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1148	PANASONIC HOLDINGS CORP. v. GETAC TECHNOLOGY CORP. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

23-1275	CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2349	INFERNAL TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
23-105	In Re GENERAL MOTORS COMPANY [ORDER]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-2348	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1253	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2275	PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stark, Reyna	Prosecution Laches		Affirmed	Bench Trial
23-1092	INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS LLC v. IRACING.COM MOTORSPORT SIMULATIONS, LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Want of Prosecution		Dismissed	NA
22-1642	CARAVAN CANOPY INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. Z-SHADE CO., LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Want of Prosecution		Dismissed	NA
22-1534	AXONICS, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2243	CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2211	SYNQOR, INC. v. VICO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
22-1128	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1193	IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

22-1379	AUTRONIC PLASTICS, INC. v. APOGEE LIGHTING HOLDINGS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1468	RIGGS TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, LLC v. CENGAGE LEARNING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1469	RIGGS TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, LLC v. VAGARO, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	NA
22-1344	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GLUX VISUAL EFFECTS TECH (SHENZHEN) CO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1342	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GLUX VISUAL EFFECTS TECH (SHENZHEN) CO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1031	UNILOC 2017 LLC. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2370	GRACE INSTRUMENT INDUSTRIES, LLC v. CHANDLER INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
22-2201	K.MIZRA LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1857	IN RE: XILINX, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
22-1012	In Re GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Examiner Appeal
23-104	In Re AMAZON.COM, INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
23-102	In Re STINGRAY IP SOLUTIONS, LLC [ORDER]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-1227	IOENGINE, LLC v. INGENICO INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1866	GOOGLE LLC v. SINGULAR COMPUTING LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
22-2252	In Re NOVALIQ GMBH [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	PTAB Examiner Appeal

21-2372	DIONEX SOFTRON GMBH v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
21-1794	DIONEX SOFTRON GMBH v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stark	Interference		Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
22-1499	ACORN SEMI, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Dismissed	NA
22-1539	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. ACORN SEMI, LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Granted	NA
23-108	In Re CREEKVIEW IP LLC [ORDER]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Mandamus		Mandamus Denied	Order to Comply with Standing Orders
23-109	In Re WAVERLY LICENSING LLC [ORDER]	Bryson, Dyk, and Prost	Mandamus		Mandamus Denied	Order on Motion
22-1318	TIPPMANN ENGINEERING, LLC v. INNOVATIVE REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1579	BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY v. BECKMAN COULTER, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Injunction	Preliminary	Dismissed	NA
22-1498	SHURE INCORPORATED v. CLEARONE, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Validity		Dismissed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1923	P TECH, LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Validity		Dismissed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1845	In Re XILINX, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-1838	MODERN FONT APPLICATIONS LLC v. ALASKA AIRLINES [OPINION]	NA	Jurisdiction	Collateral Order Rule	NA	NA
23-1054	KHAN v. MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. [ORDER]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
22-2271	NIAZI LICENSING CORPORATION v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL S.C., INC. [ORDER]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Jurisdiction	Appeal		Order on Motion

22-2268	WEPAY GLOBAL PAYMENTS LLC v. PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION [ORDER]	NA		Want of Prosecution		NA	NA
22-1595	GENENTECH, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed		Bench Trial - ANDA
20-1024	HIGH 5 GAMES, LLC v. VIDAL [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA		NA
22-2161	OCADO GROUP PLC v. AUTOSTORE TECHNOLOGY AS [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA		NA
19-1956	FALL LINE PATENTS, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Stark	Constitutionality	Delegation	Affirmed		PTAB IPR Decision
23-1066	MASTEROBJECTS, INC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA		NA
22-1796	REGAL BELOIT AMERICA, INC. v. ITC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA		NA
22-1092	ADASA INC. v. AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed		Summary Judgment
22-1102	P TECH, LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed		PTAB IPR Decision
21-2085	UNILOC 2017 LLC. v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed		PTAB IPR Decision
21-2085	UNILOC 2017 LLC. v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed		PTAB IPR Decision
22-2112	ANALOG DEVICES, INC. v. XILINX, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA		NV
22-2165	ALTERNATIVE PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGIES HOLDINGS CORP. v. GRIMES [ORDER]	NA		Want of Prosecution		NA	NA
23-1051	SHIBUMI SHADE, INC. v. BEACH SHADE LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA		NA
23-1158	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA		NA
22-1987	ANALOG DEVICES, INC. v. XILINX, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA		NA

22-1326	USADATA INCORPORATED v. DATAWIDGET LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
22-1818	ANALOG DEVICES, INC. v. XILINX ASIA PACIFIC PTE. LTD. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2269	IN RE UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2253	FINJAN, LLC v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1819	ANALOG DEVICES, INC. v. XILINX ASIA PACIFIC PTE. LTD. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2218	GOOGLE LLC v. HAMMOND DEVELOPMENT INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-103	IN RE NIMITZ TECHNOLOGIES LLC [ORDER]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1297	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
20-1298	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
18-1831	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. US [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1137	IN RE ROCHE DIABETES CARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1558	DISH NETWORK L.L.C. v. SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2203	BLIX INC. v. APPLE INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Cunningham, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
23-107	IN RE SWIRLATE IP LLC [ORDER]	Unknown	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1586	PELTON INTERACTIVE, INC. v. ECHELON FITNESS MULTIMEDIA, LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

22-2023	IN RE ALLEN [ORDER]	NA		Want of Prosecution		NA	NA
22-2178	IN RE NSIXTY, LLC [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1171	TREEHOUSE AVATAR LLC v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1221	IN RE COOLIT SYSTEMS, INC. [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1774	XILINX, INC. v. ANALOG DEVICES, INC. [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1171	CHIESI USA, INC. v. AUROBINDO PHARMA USA, INC. [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-2167	ASTRAZENECA AB v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS (USA) INC. [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-2273	LOWE v. SHIELDMARK, INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Jurisdiction	Appeal		Affirmed	NA
21-2212	US INVENTOR, INC. v. VIDAL [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1798	XILINX, INC. v. ANALOG DEVICES, INC. [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1049	IN RE ASETEK DANMARK A/S [ORDER]	Stark		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-166	IN RE KNAUF INSULATION, INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings		Mandamus Denied	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
22-161	IN RE SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC [ORDER]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings		Mandamus Denied	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
22-1597	XILINX, INC. v. ANALOG DEVICES, INC. [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1820	ANALOG DEVICES, INC. v. XILINX, INC. [ORDER]	NA		Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-1764	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1805	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1934	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-167	IN RE CLOUDFLARE, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-2133	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
20-2262	CUPP COMPUTING AS v. TREND MICRO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1033	BLAZER v. BEST BEE BROTHERS LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-1290	HUDSON FURNITURE, INC. v. MIZRAHI [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Service of Process	Alternative Service of Process	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1826	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1321	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1029	ALTERWAN, INC. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-100	IN RE APPLE INC. [ORDER]	NA	Mandamus		NA	NA
21-1595	AMP PLUS, INC. v. DMF, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1757	KUTT v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-162	IN RE APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Reversed	Order on Motion
22-1876	OTTAH v. BRACEWELL LLP [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-163	IN RE APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Reversed	Order on Motion
22-164	IN RE APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Mandamus	Stay of Proceedings	Reversed	Order on Motion
21-2241	SIG SAUER INC. v. NST GLOBAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1640	CALIFORNIAA v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Patent Term Extension	Patent Term Adjustment	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2340	MIDWEST ATHLETICS AND SPORTS ALLIANCE LLC v. RICOI USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment

22-2057	AMC MULTI-CINEMA, INC. v. FALL LINE PATENTS, LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-1498	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	License Agreement		Reversed	Order on Motion
21-1555	UNILOC USA, INC. v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Standing		Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1630	BRUMFIELD v. IBG LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
20-1319	SIGNATURE SYSTEMS, LLC v. AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Review
22-1879	FUTURE LINK SYSTEMS, LLC v. REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1948	IN RE BOTTOMLINE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1211	IN RE YU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Cunningham	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2093	FINJAN LLC v. ESET, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-2091	PRECISION SPINE, INC v. RSB SPINE, LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2092	PRECISION SPINE, INC v. RSB SPINE, LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-2150	LINQUET TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. TILE, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1608	DJI EUROPE B.V. v. DAEDALUS BLUE LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-1078	BIOGEN INTERNATIONAL GMBH v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1685	DAEDALUS BLUE LLC v. DJI EUROPE B.V. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1071	ABC CORPORATION I v. PARTNERSHIP AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Order on Motion

21-2150	ABC CORPORATION I v PARTNERSHIP AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-2238	RUST-OLEUM CORPORATION v. VIDAL [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
20-2237	TREND MICRO INC. v. CUPP COMPUTING AS [OPINION]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2117	ASKAN v. FARO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Want of Prosecution		Affirmed	NA
20-1673	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. BIOGEN MA INC [ORDER]	Hughes, Reyna	Rehearing	Panel	NA	NA
22-2145	TUCKER v. TRANS UNION LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1816	KAOTICA IP CORP. v. ICONIC MARS CORPORATION [ORDER]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2039	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2089	KOSS CORPORATION v. VIDAL [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-156	IN RE FEDEX CORPORATE SERVICES, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Transfer		Vacated	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-2183	NIXON v. GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION [ORDER]	NA	Transfer	Appellate	NA	NA
22-1715	SYSMEX CORPORATION v. BECKMAN COULTER, INC. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1312	TRAEGER PELLET GRILLS LLC v. ITC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
20-2257	NATURE SIMULATION SYSTEMS INC. v. AUTODESK, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Louire, Newmar	Rehearing		NA	NA
21-2350	IBM v. ZILLOW GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion on the Pleadings

20-1947	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v. CANON U.S.A., INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1948	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v. PANASONIC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2210	SPEX TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. APRICORN [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Infringement		Affirmed	JMOL
21-2228	WEISNER v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1234	IROBOT CORPORATION v. SHARKNINJA OPERATING LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1325	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2059	CUPP COMPUTING AS v. TREND MICRO INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1274	IN RE JANKE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-2058	DEFENDERS OF THE AMERICAN DREAM, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Unknown	Want of Prosecution		NA	NA
22-1167	PANDUIT CORP. v. CORNING INCORPORATED [ORDER]	Prost, Stoll, Taranto	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2184	NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. DANISCO US INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2282	SCEPTER CANADA, INC. v. NO SPILL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2126	GLOVER v. COHEN [OPINION]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1893	NETAPP, INC. v. PROVEN NETWORKS, LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-153	IN RE MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Lourie, Stark	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-1316	THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL LLC v. HUMAN POWER OF N COMPANY [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

22-1937	TRILLER, INC. v. BYTEDANCE LTD. [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2121	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2267	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [ORDER]	NA	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2167	COOPERATIVE ENTERTAINMENT, INC. v. KOLLECTIVE TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1942	PROVISUR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. WEBER, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1602	GROUP ONE LTD. v. GTE GMBH [ORDER]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal	Dismissed	Order on Motion
22-158	IN RE WENGER S.A. [ORDER]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-2159	CAP EXPORT, LLC v. ZINUS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-1942	PROVISUR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. WEBER, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1942	PROVISUR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. WEBER, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Evidence	Rule 402 - Relevant and Irrelevant Evidence	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1942	PROVISUR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. WEBER, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2167	COOPERATIVE ENTERTAINMENT, INC. v. KOLLECTIVE TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2167	COOPERATIVE ENTERTAINMENT, INC. v. KOLLECTIVE TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stark	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2121	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2121	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2121	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2121	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2218	GOOGLE LLC v. HAMMOND DEVELOPMENT INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2184	NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. DANISCO US INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2126	GLOVER v. COHEN [OPINION]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2228	WEISNER v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2228	WEISNER v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2228	WEISNER v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2228	WEISNER v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2210	SPEX TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. APRICORN [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-2210	SPEX TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. APRICORN [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
20-2210	SPEX TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. APRICORN [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-2350	IBM v. ZILLOW GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion on the Pleadings

21-2039	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2039	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2237	TREND MICRO INC. v. CUPP COMPUTING AS [OPINION]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1071	ABC CORPORATION I v PARTNERSHIP AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Order on Motion
21-2093	FINJAN LLC v. ESET, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1555	UNILOC USA, INC. v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1498	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Standing		Reversed	Order on Motion
21-1595	AMP PLUS, INC. v. DMF, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1595	AMP PLUS, INC. v. DMF, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1595	AMP PLUS, INC. v. DMF, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1321	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1321	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Inventorship	Changed Inventors	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1321	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1321	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1826	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1826	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1826	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1290	HUDSON FURNITURE, INC. v. MIZRAHI [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Tarant	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1033	BLAZER v. BEST BEE BROTHERS LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarant	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-1033	BLAZER v. BEST BEE BROTHERS LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarant	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
20-2262	CUPP COMPUTING AS v. TREND MICRO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2262	CUPP COMPUTING AS v. TREND MICRO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1934	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1805	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1764	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2273	LOWE v. SHIELDMARK, INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Stark, Tarant	Dismissal Motion		NA	NA
22-2273	LOWE v. SHIELDMARK, INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Stark, Tarant	Sanctions	Appeal	NA	NA
22-1171	TREEHOUSE AVATAR LLC v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2218	GOOGLE LLC v. HAMMOND DEVELOPMENT INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Collateral Estoppel	IPR Estoppel	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2269	IN RE UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-157	IN RE AMAZON.COM, INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Severance		Reversed	Order on Motion
22-157	IN RE AMAZON.COM, INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Transfer		Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-1102	P TECH, LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1102	P TECH, LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Lourie	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2085	UNILOC 2017 LLC. v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarant	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1092	ADASA INC. v. AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity		Reversed	Summary Judgment
22-1092	ADASA INC. v. AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	Summary Judgment
22-1092	ADASA INC. v. AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1092	ADASA INC. v. AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1092	ADASA INC. v. AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Due Process		Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2244	PLASTIPAK PACKAGING, INC. v. PREMIUM WATERS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Stark, Stoll	Inventorship	Nonjoinder	Reversed	Summary Judgment
22-1001	MOSAIC BRANDS, INC. v. RIDGE WALLET LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
22-1001	MOSAIC BRANDS, INC. v. RIDGE WALLET LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stark	Trade Dress		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1595	GENENTECH, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
22-1595	GENENTECH, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
22-1318	TIPPMANN ENGINEERING, LLC v. INNOVATIVE REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
21-2372	DIONEX SOFTRON GMBH v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decisions
21-2372	DIONEX SOFTRON GMBH v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stark	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decisions

21-2372	DIONEX SOFTRON GMBH v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stark	Interference	Reduction to Practice	Affirmed	
21-1794	DIONEX SOFTRON GMBH v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stark	Interference	Priority	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
22-1012	In Re GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Examiner Appeal
21-2370	GRACE INSTRUMENT INDUSTRIES, LLC v. CHANDLER INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Infringement	Means For	Vacated	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
21-2370	GRACE INSTRUMENT INDUSTRIES, LLC v. CHANDLER INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
21-2370	GRACE INSTRUMENT INDUSTRIES, LLC v. CHANDLER INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Markman Ruling
22-1468	RIGGS TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, LLC v. CENGAGE LEARNING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1379	AUTRONIC PLASTICS, INC. v. APOGEE LIGHTING HOLDINGS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2211	SYNQOR, INC. v. VICO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
21-2211	SYNQOR, INC. v. VICO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
22-1253	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1253	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB PGR Decision

21-2348	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2168	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA v. GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2168	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA v. GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2168	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA v. GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2168	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA v. GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Sovereign Immunity	State	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2349	INFERNAL TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1140	TRANSTEX INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1160	IDEAHUB INC. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1160	IDEAHUB INC. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Amendment	Adding or Cancelling Claims		PTAB IPR Decision
22-1146	SOFTBELLYS, INC. v. TY INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1116	CHROMADEX, INC. v. ELYSIUM HEALTH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Summary Judgment

21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Infringement	Literal		Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Forfeiture			Reversed	Summary Judgment
21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Tortious Interference			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Counterclaim		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1127	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2246	MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. v. HOLOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General		Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Waiver	Arguments		NA	NA
21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Duty to Defend			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Fraudulent Concealment			Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Breach of Contract			Affirmed	Summary Judgment

21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Breach of Warranty		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Tortious Interference		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
23-1146	LITE-NETICS, LLC v. NLSAI CAPITAL LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Baseless/Gro undless Litigation		Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Order
22-1222	HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC v. CASTLE RETAIL, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1136	C.R. BARD, INC. v. MEDICAL COMPONENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-1122	M2M SOLUTIONS LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1122	M2M SOLUTIONS LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Collateral Estoppel		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1122	M2M SOLUTIONS LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1122	M2M SOLUTIONS LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1164	MAALOUF v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Appointments Clause	IPR APJs	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1164	MAALOUF v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1164	MAALOUF v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1098	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GOVISION, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
22-1098	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GOVISION, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

22-1098	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GOVISION, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Markman Ruling
22-1098	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GOVISION, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
23-1186	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AVADEL CNS PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Orange Book Listing/Delisting		Affirmed	Permanent Injunction Order
23-1186	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AVADEL CNS PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Permanent Injunction Order
22-1139	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1139	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1038	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1433	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
23-118	In Re PATENT QUALITY ASSURANCE LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
23-120	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-1568	In Re DENG [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1143	APPLE INC. v. PARUS HOLDINGS INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1164	ASTRAZENECA AB v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Remanded		NA	NA
23-1267	ASTRAZENECA AB v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Remanded		NA	NA
23-1252	ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS, INC. v. TERMAX CO. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision

23-1448	HERTA v. WIBLEMO [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1907	DRIESSEN v. BEST BUY CO., INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1060	UUSI, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1459	CHEMCO SYSTEMS, L.P. v. RDP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2371	CHEMCO SYSTEMS, L.P. v. RDP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1037	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1249	APPLE INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Taranto	Institution Decision	Appealability	Affirmed	Order
22-1349	ALTERWAN, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment
21-2215	EIS GMBH v. NOVOLUTO GMBH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation		PTAB IPR Decision
23-1435	UTTO INC. v. METROTECH CORP. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Want of Prosecution		NA	NA
22-1370	KONAMI GAMING INC. v. HIGH 5 GAMES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1443	HYBIR, INC. v. VEEAM SOFTWARE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1172	VENTRIA BIOSCIENCE INC. v. ITC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	USITC Decision
22-1902	BANHAZL v. AMERICAN CERAMIC SOCIETY [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1220	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. ZTE CORPORATION [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1197	TELIAX TECHNOLOGY LLC v. AFFINITY NETWORK, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-2107	LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC. v. IPCOM GMBH & CO., KG [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

23-1023	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. VIDAL [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1120	SEAL4SAFTI, INC. v. CALIFORNIA EXPANDED METAL PRODUCTS CO. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1390	HANTZ SOFTWARE, LLC v. SAGE INTACCT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1112	ADEIA SEMICONDUCTOR TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-114	In Re ROKU, INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
23-119	In Re ETHANOL BOOSTING SYSTEMS, LLC [ORDER]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	PTAB Order
23-1281	ALCON INC. v. AMO DEVELOPMENT, LLC [ORDER]	Wallach	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1205	CABANISS v. PFIZER INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Transfer	Appellate	NA	NA
23-1249	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
20-2271	VIRNETX INC. v. MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1672	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Mootness	Appeal	NA	NA
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	USITC Duty to Consult		Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
22-1058	ROKU, INC. v. UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1524	ENGLE GRANGE, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2320	SALAZAR v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1688	POP TOP CORP. v. RAKUTEN KOBO INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1697	PUREWICK CORPORATION v. SAGE PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1695	GORGE DESIGN GROUP LLC v. MEANING XUANSHENG [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
22-1422	GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1 v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1223	PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA, LLC v. FITBIT LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1364	PEOPLE.AI, INC. v. CLARI INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Newman	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
22-1355	PAICE LLC v. BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1361	LONGHORN HD LLC. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1245	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1320	ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CHERVON (HK) LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-123	In Re TP-LINK TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [ORDER]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1085	JENAM TECH, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1705	In Re ADAMS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1183	ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION v. MODERNATX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

23-1362	VROOM, INC. v. SIDEKICK TECHNOLOGY, LLC [ORDER]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
22-1268	HEALTHIER CHOICES MANAGEMENT CORP. v. PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Stoll, Taranto	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2263	SEQUOIA TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. DELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Markman Ruling
22-1450	BLUECATBIO MA INC. v. YANTAI AUSBIO LABORATORIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1924	UCB, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Bench Trial
21-2173	SANDERLING MANAGEMENT LTD. v. SNAP INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1794	SIEMENS GAMESA RENEWABLE ENERGY A/S v. ITC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	USITC Decision
20-2001	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES HOLDINGS [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1343	In Re AMO DEVELOPMENT, LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1470	In Re AMO DEVELOPMENT, LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1514	UPL NA INC. v. TIDE INTERNATIONAL (USA) INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1015	In Re MORRIS [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB Examiner Appeal
23-1304	F45 TRAINING PTY LTD v. BODY FIT TRAINING USA INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
18-1049	CIOFFI v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Recapture - 251	Reversed	JMOL
22-1481	DIVX, LLC v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1369	WRINKL, INC. v. META PLATFORMS, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1228	FS.COM INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	337 Violation		Affirmed	USITC Final Decision

23-1136	3G LICENSING, S.A. v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1445	LEICA MICROSYSTEMS, INC. v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1603	CANOPY GROWTH CORPORATION v. GW PHARMA LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
23-1580	SIEMENS GAMESA RENEWABLE ENERGY A/S v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1718	ILLUMINA, INC. v. RAVGEN, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1297	In Re NICIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
21-1035	APPLE INC. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1965	CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
22-1043	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1696	HIP, INC. v. HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Taranto	Inventorship	Co-Inventors	Reversed	Bench Trial
22-1474	NXP USA, INC. v. IMPINJ, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2103	In Re GOLDEN RULE FASTENERS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Tarantc	Unknown		Affirmed	NA
22-2184	SIEMENS GAMESA RENEWABLE ENERGY A/S v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1069	SIEMENS GAMESA RENEWABLE ENERGY A/S v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1257	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1495	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1284	SRK TECHNOLOGY LLC v. SNAP INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1104	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2302	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2431	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1064	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURI HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Attorney's Fees		Affirmed	Order on Motion
23-1174	SOUTHWIRE COMPANY, LLC v. CERRO WIRE, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1291	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1981	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1625	ASTELLAS INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE v. IMSTEM BIOTECHNOLOGY, INC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1230	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
23-1247	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
22-1842	IN RE: AUTHWALLET, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

23-1013	MERCK SHARP & DOHME, LLC v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1494	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Stark	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2268	REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. SLING TV, L.L.C. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1914	FLASH-CONTROL, LLC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1161	GOLDEN v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Frivolous		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2062	STRAGENT, LLC v. BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1106	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. SATCO PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1521	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	337 Violation		Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
22-1580	In Re REZANEZHAD GATABI [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
23-127	In Re CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, LLC [ORDER]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Mandamus	Inter Partes Review	Affirmed	Order on Motion
23-1328	SANDERLING MANAGEMENT LTD. v. SNAP INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1330	SANDERLING MANAGEMENT LTD. v. SNAP INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1269	STYLWAN IP HOLDING, LLC v. STRESS ENGINEERING SERVICES, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Appeal		Order on Motion

22-1125	XR COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. ARRIS SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1785	XR COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1454	PINKERTON TOBACCO CO., LP v. KRETEK INTERNATIONAL, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1241	ESTECH SYSTEMS, INC. v. RINGCENTRAL, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1099	ONESUBSEA IP UK LIMITED v. FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Exceptional Case	Objective Baselessness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2356	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1903	In Re HRB INNOVATIONS, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1111	ETHICON LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	337 Violation		Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
23-1671	LYNK LABS, INC. v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1672	LYNK LABS, INC. v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1800	LYNK LABS, INC. v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-2180	GROUP III INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. TARGUS INTERNATIONAL LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Want of Prosecution		NA	NA
23-1535	PROTON SPORTS INC. v. ITC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2359	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2357	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

23-1295	CLINICOMP INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CERNER CORPORATION [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1373	YITA LLC v. MACNEIL IF LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1888	DURA SYSTEMS BARRIERS, INC. v. VAN-PACKER CO. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1650	BLACKHAWK NETWORK, INC. v. INTERACTIVE COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
23-128	In Re MICROSOFT CORPORATION [ORDER]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Mandamus	Transfer	Reversed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-1718	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1529	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1840	MAGNACROSS LLC v. OKI DATA AMERICAS, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-2209	In Re STARRETT [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Representative Claim		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
23-1758	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. DELL TECHNOLOGIES INC. [ORDER]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
23-1349	UBIQUITOUS CONNECTIVITY, LP v. TXU ENERGY RETAIL COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Want of Prosecution		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1517	INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC v. DRAFTKINGS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2316	BLUE GENTIAN, LLC v. TRISTAR PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, prost, Stark	Inventorship	Correction	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-1516	INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC v. DRAFTKINGS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1534	AXONICS, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1613	LBT IP I LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1865	SONOVA AG v. MED-EL ELEKTROMEDIZINISCHE GERATE GMBH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Stark	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1511	OPTOLUM, INC. v. CREE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Prosecution Disclaimer		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1269	PARUS HOLDINGS, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1784	FIRST QUALITY TISSUE, LLC v. IRVING CONSUMER PRODUCTS LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1757	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1489	In Re COUVARAS [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1707	JUSTSERVICE.NET LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Cunningham, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1292	In Re CELLECT, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1100	CELLECT, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1664	CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, LLC v. ITC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1794	CHAMBERLAIN GROUF LLC v. OVERHEAD DOOR CORPORATION [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-2117	ASKAN v. FARO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Hughes	Sanctions	Discovery	Affirmed	Order on Moton
22-1129	BASF CORPORATION v INGEVITY SOUTH CAROLINA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1764	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

23-1792	FACETOFACE BIOMETRICS, INC. v. APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1165	MEDYTOX, INC. v. GALDERMA S.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stark	Amendment	Adding or Cancelling Claims	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1046	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-130	In Re ONEPLUS TECHNOLOGY (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD. [ORDER]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
23-1339	DYNAENERGETICS EUROPE GMBH v. G&H DIVERSIFIED MANUFACTURING, LP [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1579	DYNAENERGETICS EUROPE GMBH v. SWM INTERNATIONAL, LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1868	PUREWICK CORPORATION v. SAGE PRODUCTS, LLC [ORDER]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	Order on Motion
21-2319	TUBULAR ROLLERS, LLC v. MAXIMUS OILFIELD PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1732	TEHRANI v. HAMILTON TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1252	ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS, INC. v. TERMAX CO. [ORDER]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Dismissal Motion			NA
22-1907	DRIESSEN v. BEST BUY CO., INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Forfeiture		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1907	DRIESSEN v. BEST BUY CO., INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1060	UUSI, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1459	CHEMCO SYSTEMS, L.P. v. RDP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stark	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1249	APPLE INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Taranto	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Reversed	Order

22-1037	INTEL CORPORATION \ PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1037	INTEL CORPORATION \ PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2215	EIS GMBH v. NOVOLUTO GMBH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1390	HANTZ SOFTWARE, LLC v. SAGE INTACCT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1960	PRISUA ENGINEERING CORP. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2348	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [ORDER]	MOORE, Chief Judge, NEWMAN, LOURIE, DYK, PROST, REYNA, TARANTO, CHEN, HUGHES, STOLL, and STARK,	Rehearing	En Banc	Vacated	NA
23-1297	BRUNSWICK CORPORATION v. VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
20-2271	VIRNETX INC. v. MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2271	VIRNETX INC. v. MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2271	VIRNETX INC. v. MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2271	VIRNETX INC. v. MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2271	VIRNETX INC. v. MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Forfeiture		Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Cease and Desist Order		Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision

22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	USITC - Public Interest		Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Domestic Industry		Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
22-1058	ROKU, INC. v. UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Order on Motion

21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict - JMOL
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict - JMOL
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Estoppel	315(e)	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Estoppel	315(e)	Vacated	Order on Motion
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	New Trial	Infringement	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1524	ENGLE GRANGE, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2320	SALAZAR v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	New Trial		Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2320	SALAZAR v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-2320	SALAZAR v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-2320	SALAZAR v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Waiver	Rule 50	NA	Consent
22-1697	PUREWICK CORPORATION v. SAGE PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1697	PUREWICK CORPORATION v. SAGE PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1223	PHILIPS NORTH AMERICA, LLC v. FITBIT LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1364	PEOPLE.AI, INC. v. CLARI INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Newman	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter		Judgment on the Pleadings
22-1364	PEOPLE.AI, INC. v. CLARI INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Newman	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1183	ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION v. MODERNATX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Content of Prior Art	Inherency	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1450	BLUECATBIO MA INC. v YANTAI AUSBIO LABORATORIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1450	BLUECATBIO MA INC. v YANTAI AUSBIO LABORATORIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1450	BLUECATBIO MA INC. v YANTAI AUSBIO LABORATORIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1268	HEALTHIER CHOICES MANAGEMENT CORP. v. PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Stoll, Taranto	Amendment	Complaint	Reversed	Order on Motion
22-1268	HEALTHIER CHOICES MANAGEMENT CORP. v. PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Stoll, Taranto	Attorney's Fees	285	Vacated	Order on Motion
22-1268	HEALTHIER CHOICES MANAGEMENT CORP. v. PHILIP MORRIS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Stoll, Taranto	Reassignment	Judge	NA	NA
21-2263	SEQUOIA TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. DELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
21-2263	SEQUOIA TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. DELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-2263	SEQUOIA TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. DELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Markman Ruling
21-2173	SANDERLING MANAGEMENT LTD. v. SNAP INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

21-2173	SANDERLING MANAGEMENT LTD. v. SNAP INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1924	UCB, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2001	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES HOLDINGS [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1514	UPL NA INC. v. TIDE INTERNATIONAL (USA) INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1514	UPL NA INC. v. TIDE INTERNATIONAL (USA) INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1514	UPL NA INC. v. TIDE INTERNATIONAL (USA) INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1481	DIVX, LLC v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1481	DIVX, LLC v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stark	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1228	FS.COM INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Injunction	General Exclusion Order	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
22-1228	FS.COM INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Injunction	Cease and Desist Order	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
22-1228	FS.COM INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
22-1228	FS.COM INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
22-1228	FS.COM INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
22-1603	CANOPY GROWTH CORPORATION v. GW PHARMA LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Tarant	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
22-1445	LEICA MICROSYSTEMS, INC. v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1297	In Re NICIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
21-1035	APPLE INC. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1035	APPLE INC. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1035	APPLE INC. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1043	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1043	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1043	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	
20-1965	CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	USITC Final Initial Decision
20-1965	CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	USITC Final Initial Decision
20-1965	CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
23-1257	GOLDEN v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
23-1257	GOLDEN v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	With Prejudice	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
23-1257	GOLDEN v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Amendment	Complaint	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1104	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1104	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1104	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1104	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURI HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Attorney's Fees	285		Order on Motion
22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURI HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURI HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURI HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Attorney's Fees	1927	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1363	UNITED CANNABIS CORPORATION v. PURI HEMP COLLECTIVE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Stark	Attorney's Fees	Inherent Powers	Affirmed	Order on Motion

22-1291	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1291	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1981	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1247	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
23-1247	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
23-1247	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
22-1842	IN RE: AUTHWALLET, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1230	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1230	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1580	In Re REZANEZHAD GATABI [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stark	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1580	In Re REZANEZHAD GATABI [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1521	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
22-1521	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
22-1106	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. SATCO PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1106	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. SATCO PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1125	XR COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. ARRIS SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1099	ONESUBSEA IP UK LIMITED v. FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2356	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1111	ETHICON LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
22-1111	ETHICON LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Means For	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
22-1111	ETHICON LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
21-2359	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2359	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Amendment	IPR Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2359	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2359	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2357	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1373	YITA LLC v. MACNEIL IF LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1650	BLACKHAWK NETWORK, INC. v. INTERACTIVE COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stark	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1529	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2209	In Re STARRETT [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1613	LBT IP I LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1613	LBT IP I LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1613	LBT IP I LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1613	LBT IP I LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	
22-1613	LBT IP I LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1269	PARUS HOLDINGS, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1269	PARUS HOLDINGS, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Antedating Reference	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1269	PARUS HOLDINGS, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Priority Date		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1757	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1757	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Forfeiture		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal

22-1489	In Re COUVARAS [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-2117	ASKAN v. FARO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Hughes	Kessler Doctrine		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1764	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Hughes	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1764	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Hughes	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1129	BASF CORPORATION v INGEVITY SOUTH CAROLINA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1129	BASF CORPORATION v INGEVITY SOUTH CAROLINA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Standing	Appeal	NA	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1129	BASF CORPORATION v INGEVITY SOUTH CAROLINA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1165	MEDYTOX, INC. v. GALDERMA S.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1165	MEDYTOX, INC. v. GALDERMA S.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1165	MEDYTOX, INC. v. GALDERMA S.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stark	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1046	INTEL CORPORATION v QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1046	INTEL CORPORATION v QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1046	INTEL CORPORATION v QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Stark, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1732	TEHRANI v. HAMILTON TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Ordinary Skill in the Art Standard		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1732	TEHRANI v. HAMILTON TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2319	TUBULAR ROLLERS, LLC v. MAXIMUS OILFIELD PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-2319	TUBULAR ROLLERS, LLC v. MAXIMUS OILFIELD PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
21-1960	PRISUA ENGINEERING CORP. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Stark	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
23-1607	U.S. WELL SERVICES, LLC v. HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1706	U.S. WELL SERVICES, LLC v. HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1737	U.S. WELL SERVICES, LLC v. HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-138	In Re SONY GROUP CORPORATION [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1610	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1748	RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. v. PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-140	In Re CURRENT LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC [ORDER]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-1308	TRINITY INFO MEDIA, LLC v. COVALENT, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1260	SNIPR TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED v. ROCKEFELLER UNIVERSITY [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Interference		Reversed	PTAB Interference Decision
22-1324	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

23-1447	SYNKLOUD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1356	MACDERMID ENTHONE INC. v. BASF CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1246	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v AT&T INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Hughes, Prost	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1620	ACCELERON, LLC v. DELL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Chen, Moore	Unknown		Affirmed	Unknown
22-1438	In Re FLOAT'N'GRILL LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Linn, Prost	Validity	251 - Original Patent Requirement	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1477	VEDERI, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Unknown		Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
23-1897	LYNK LABS, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1451	AXONICS, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1385	INGURAN, LLC v. ABS GLOBAL, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1385	INGURAN, LLC v. ABS GLOBAL, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Res Judicata		Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1451	AXONICS, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1451	AXONICS, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Content of Prior Art		Vacated	
22-1308	TRINITY INFO MEDIA, LLC v. COVALENT, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial

22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	ANDA	Moot	Bench Trial
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	ANDA	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	Bench Trial
23-136	In Re CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [ORDER]	Cunningham, Dyk, Stark	Venue		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

21-2249	CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON v. FENIX DIAMONDS LLC [ORDER]	Dyk, Prost, Stark	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1387	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2118	BRIGHT DATA LTD. v. B SCIENCE (2009) LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1397	USC IP PARTNERSHIP, L.P. v. META PLATFORMS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1569	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1253	In Re E-Z-DOCK, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2128	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1293	In Re CELLECT, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Double Patenting	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
23-2118	IMPLICIT, LLC v. THE HOME DEPOT, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1765	VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1758	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
23-2026	MAXELL, LTD. v. VIZIO, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
19-2011	SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. v. INCYTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1438	PROVISUR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. WEBER, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1590	FICEP CORPORATION v. PEDDINGHAUS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1940	HLFIP HOLDING, INC. v. YORK COUNTY, PA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, M, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
23-1250	ZTE CORPORATION v. WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-137	In Re FIRST ALERT, INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
21-2063	INCEPT LLC v. PALETTI LIFE SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1083	STEUBEN FOODS, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-132	In Re REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION [ORDER]	Chen, Mayer, Stark	Service of Process	Alternative Service of Process	Affirmed	Order on Motion
23-135	In Re APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1716	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-2020	ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1796	REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP v. ALERE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1730	SPINE HOLDINGS, LLC v. ORTHOFIX MEDICAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1346	In Re THERIPION, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Reexam Decision
19-1725	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-1813	SHAMOON v. RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1376	PIECZENIK v. US [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Prost	Jurisdiction	Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
23-2044	CRYSTAL LAGOONS US CORP v. CLOWARD H2O [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

22-1532	AXONICS, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1813	U.S. SILICA CO. v. AMBERGER KAOLINWERKE EDUARD KICK GMBH & CO. KG [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1637	LTI FLEXIBLE PRODUCTS, INC. v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1728	AUTOSTORE TECHNOLOGY AS v. ITI [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2251	REALTIME DATA LLC v. ARRAY NETWORKS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
23-2030	LYNK LABS, INC. v. HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary		NA
22-2221	MG FREESITES LTD. v. SCORPCAST, LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Amendment	Adding or Cancelling Claims	NA	NA
21-2251	REALTIME DATA LLC v. ARRAY NETWORKS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1813	SHAMOON v. RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1813	SHAMOON v. RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1813	SHAMOON v. RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1813	SHAMOON v. RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Constitutionality		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1346	In Re THERIPION, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Reexam Decision
21-1796	REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP v. ALERE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	New Ground of Rejection		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2020	ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Amendment	Patent Contentions	Affirmed	Order on Motion

22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Inducing	Affirmed	JMOL
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Contributory	Affirmed	JMOL
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Direct	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Damages	Lost Profits	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Exceptional Case	Overall	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1716	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1716	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1716	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1716	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2063	INCEPT LLC v. PALETTI LIFE SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2063	INCEPT LLC v. PALETTI LIFE SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1083	STEUBEN FOODS, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1590	FICEP CORPORATION v. PEDDINGHAUS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2011	SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. v. INCYTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1765	VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1765	VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1765	VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1765	VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1758	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1758	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2128	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2128	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2128	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2128	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1569	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1569	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1397	USC IP PARTNERSHIP, L.P. v. META PLATFORMS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-2118	BRIGHT DATA LTD. v. B SCIENCE (2009) LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2118	BRIGHT DATA LTD. v. B SCIENCE (2009) LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1387	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1387	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1387	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Amendment	Adding or Cancelling Claims	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1045	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1727	INTEL CORPORATION v. VIDAL [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1560	PIERCE MANUFACTURING, INC v. E-ONE, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1841	WASH WORLD INC. v. BELANGER INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1631	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1655	AMERANTH, INC. v. PAPA JOHN'S USA, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1200	AMERANTH, INC. v. DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1972	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2069	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1350	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTE [OPINION]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1138	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
23-2088	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. LYNK LABS, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2044	IKORONGO TEXAS LLC v. BUMBLE TRADING LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	251 - Original Patent Requirement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1546	SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC v. INTELLECTUAL PIXELS LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1461	BAXALTA INCORPORATED v. GENENTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

23-2301	VETSTEM BIOPHARMA, INC. v. CALIFORNIA STEM CELL TREATMENT CENTER, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
21-2299	COLUMBIA SPORTSWEAR NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Law of the Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1846	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1251	In Re SALWAN [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
23-1026	EXELA PHARMA SCIENCES, LLC v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES S.A. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1870	WI-LAN INC. v. SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-139	In Re RINGCENTRAL, INC. [ORDER]	Cunningham, Dyk, Stark	Mandamus	Venue	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-1956	SIGHTLINE PAYMENTS LLC v. EVERI HOLDINGS INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1415	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1066	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
23-147	In Re REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION [ORDER]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Mandamus	Disqualification	Affirmed	
22-1623	CADDO SYSTEMS, INC v. SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT (AG) [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Settlement Agreement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1985	ELEKTA LIMITED v. ZAF SURGICAL SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1229	WELL CELL GLOBAL LLC v. CALVIT [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Order on Motion

23-2372	NABORS DRILLING TECHNOLOGIES USA, INC. v. MOTIVE DRILLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1409	JIANGSU FAVORED NANOTECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. P2I LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-2334	MAY v. AMGEN INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Jurisdiction	Appeal	NA	NA
22-1860	TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION v. INTE RECREATION CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1526	KANNUU PTY LTD. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1951	SCHWENDIMANN v. NEENAH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-2275	ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS, INC. v. CHICAGO LAMINATING INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1818	GOLDEN v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1064	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
22-1924	INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION v. LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1592	INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION v. LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1333	SCHWENDIMANN v. NEENAH, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Cunningham, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1335	SCHWENDIMANN v. STAHL'S INC. [ORDER]	Clevenger, Cunningham, Prost	Mootness		NA	PTAB IPR Decision
23-2264	SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC v. SANDOZ INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1627	DISH NETWORK L.L.C. v. SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1460	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. VIDAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1045	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1045	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1045	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1045	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1350	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTC [OPINION]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1350	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTC [OPINION]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	APA	Notice and Opportunity to Respond		PTAB IPR Decision
22-1138	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stark, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1138	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stark, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1631	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1631	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1631	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1631	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1251	In Re SALWAN [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

22-1846	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stoll	APA	Arbitrary and Capricious	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1846	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2299	COLUMBIA SPORTSWEAR NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Estoppel	Judicial	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2299	COLUMBIA SPORTSWEAR NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Jury Instructions		Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-2299	COLUMBIA SPORTSWEAR NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Design	Vacated	Order on Motion
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity		Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Due Process			PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

23-1229	WELL CELL GLOBAL LLC v. CALVIT [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Order on Motion
23-1229	WELL CELL GLOBAL LLC v. CALVIT [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Prost	Waiver	Arguments	Waived	Order on Motion
23-1229	WELL CELL GLOBAL LLC v. CALVIT [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Order on Motion
21-1985	ELEKTA LIMITED v. ZAF SURGICAL SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1623	CADDO SYSTEMS, INC v. SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT (AG) [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Jurisdiction	Personal	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1066	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1066	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1066	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1415	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1415	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1924	INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION v. LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Claim Construction	Means for	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1333	SCHWENDIMANN v. NEENAH, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Cunningham, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1333	SCHWENDIMANN v. NEENAH, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Cunningham, Prost	Waiver	New Argument or Appeal	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1064	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
23-1818	GOLDEN v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Dismissal Motion	Failure to State Claim	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1951	SCHWENDIMANN v. NEENAH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1526	KANNUU PTY LTD. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1409	JIANGSU FAVORED NANOTECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. P2I LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1699	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment, after Markman
23-141	In Re CANON INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1340	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1873	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD. v. CHILISIN ELECTRONICS CORP. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	JMOL
22-1435	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1232	REALTIME DATA LLC v. CARBONITE, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

22-2118	SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC v. INTELLECTUAL PIXELS LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1430	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1048	FINJAN LLC v. SONICWALL, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Order on Motion
23-2362	INFORMATION IMAGES LLC v. PGA TOUR, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1523	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	Incorporation by Reference	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
23-1606	DIGITAL TURBINE, INC. v. IRONSOURCE LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
19-1671	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Mootness	Appeal	NA	NA
22-2234	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
23-2002	SABINSA CORP. v. HERBAKRAFT, INC. [ORDER]	Cunningham, Dyk, Stark	Jurisdiction	Appeal		Order on Motion
17-2593	VIRNETX INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Mootness	Appeal	NA	NA
22-1761	ABS GLOBAL, INC. v. CYTONOME/ST, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1063	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
23-144	In Re SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
23-2182	NETLIST, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1219	OTTAH v. VERIFONE SYSTEM INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stark	Res Judicata		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-2118	SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC v. INTELLECTUAL PIXELS LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1048	FINJAN LLC v. SONICWALL, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

22-1048	FINJAN LLC v. SONICWALL, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1873	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD. v. CHILISIN ELECTRONICS CORP. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1873	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD. v. CHILISIN ELECTRONICS CORP. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Jury Instructions	Construed Claim Terms	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1873	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD. v. CHILISIN ELECTRONICS CORP. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
22-1873	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD. v. CHILISIN ELECTRONICS CORP. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Evidence	Expert Testimony	Reversed	Order on Motion
22-1873	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD. v. CHILISIN ELECTRONICS CORP. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Damages		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
22-1340	COREPHOTONICS, LTD. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1340	COREPHOTONICS, LTD. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	Content of Prior Art	Analogous Art	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1219	OTTAH v. VERIFONE SYSTEM INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stark	Infringement		Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1699	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1761	ABS GLOBAL, INC. v. CYTONOME/ST, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1063	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1063	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Claim Construction	Means for	Reversed	Markman Ruling
22-1063	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Remanded	Markman Ruling
22-2234	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCC SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
22-1523	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Content of Prior Art	General Knowledge of a Skilled Artisan	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision

22-1523	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
22-1393	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1141	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1147	TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION v. INTE RECREATION CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1147	TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION v. INTE RECREATION CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1141	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1141	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1393	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Waiver	New Argument or Appeal	Affirmed	NA
22-1393	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	Means for	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1393	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1393	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1393	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1203	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1788	PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

22-1203	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Waiver	New Argument or Appeal	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1411	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1411	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1411	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1991	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION v. AORTIC INNOVATIONS, LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
23-150	In Re ASSA ABLOY GLOBAL SOLUTIONS, INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Mayer, Stark	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
23-143	In Re META PLATFORMS, INC. [ORDER]	Lourie, Mayer, Stark	Mandamus	Transfer	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Transfer
22-1704	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DSS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1394	LIFENET HEALTH v. SURGALIGN SPINE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1439	MALVERN PANALYTICAL INC. v. T INSTRUMENTS-WATERS LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
22-1889	ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS LTD v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
23-1798	SAFE DRIVING TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1858	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Exceptional Case		Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1439	MALVERN PANALYTICAL INC. v. T INSTRUMENTS-WATERS LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Prost	Claim Construction	General	Reversed	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
22-1704	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DSS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1704	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DSS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1858	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	285	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1858	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Attorney's Fees	Amount	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1889	ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS LTD v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Claim Construction	General	Vacated	Markman Ruling
22-1706	ALLGENESIS BIOTHERAPEUTICS INC. v. CLOUDBREAK THERAPEUTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Standing	Appeal	NA	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2094	DELL, INC. v. ALACRITECH, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1325	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Stark	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2048	BELL SEMICONDUCTOR LLC v. ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR ENGINEERING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2330	In Re ENERGY BEVERAGES LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1721	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX LIFE SCIENCES LIMITED [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1903	BARRDAY, INC. v. LINCOLN FABRICS INC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Stark	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
22-1969	In Re WYCECH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-2027	OLATI LLC v. HAAS AUTOMATION, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1814	PROBATTER SPORTS, LLC v. SPORTS TUTOR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Damages		Affirmed	Bench Trial
24-102	In Re CANON INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	Affirmed	NA

22-2140	O'BRIEN v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1969	In Re WYCECH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1814	PROBATTER SPORTS, LLC v. SPORTS TUTOR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Damages	Amount	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-1814	PROBATTER SPORTS, LLC v. SPORTS TUTOR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Damages	Enhanced	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-1903	BARRDAY, INC. v. LINCOLN FABRICS INC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Stark	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1721	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX LIFE SCIENCES LIMITED [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Content of Prior Art		Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2048	BELL SEMICONDUCTOR LLC v. ADVANCED SEMICONDUCTOR ENGINEERING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Claim Construction	General	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-2018	MAD DOGG ATHLETICS, INC. v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1482	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. COLLEGIUM PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Stoll	Extension of Time		Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
24-1056	UCB, INC. v. ANNORA PHARMA PRIVATE LIMITED [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1710	JAGER PRO, INC. v. W-W MANUFACTURING CO., INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1710	JAGER PRO, INC. v. W-W MANUFACTURING CO., INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Content of Prior Art	Reference Discloses Claimed Feature	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1710	JAGER PRO, INC. v. W-W MANUFACTURING CO., INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1482	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. COLLEGIUM PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1971	ECOFACOR, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

24-1005	SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-2099	SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-2130	SCRAMOGE TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-2344	BILLJCO, LLC v. APPLE INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-145	In Re COZY, INC. [ORDER]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Mandamus	Discovery	Affirmed	Granted Discovery Motion
22-1906	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
22-1899	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING & TERMINALS L.P. v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1978	KARL STORZ ENDOSCOPY-AMERICA, INC. v. STERIS INSTRUMENT MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
23-1422	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-1906	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict
22-1906	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Damages	Ongoing Royalty	Vacated	
22-1906	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Amendment	Adding or Cancelling Claims	Reversed	Order on Motion
22-1874	In Re ENOVATE MEDICAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clerk of the Court	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
23-1739	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING & TERMINALS L.P. v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

22-1642	CARAVAN CANOPY INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. Z-SHADE CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
24-1058	CMP DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA
22-2272	MIRA ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
23-1668	COPAN ITALIA SPA v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
22-1858	OPTIS WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. VIDAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Schall, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2041	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1194	H. LUNDBECK A/S v. LUPIN LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Infringement	271(e)	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
22-2101	NIPPON TELEGRAPH AND TELEPHONE CORPORATION v. VIDAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1838	NESTED BEAN, INC. v. BIG BEINGS USA PTY LTD. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Dismissal Motion	Voluntary	NA	NA

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
10-1510	BARD PERIPHERAL VASCULAR, INC. V. W.L. GORE & ASSO	Gajarsa, Linn, Newman	Willfulness		Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1094	HARRIS CORP. V. FED EX CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Willfulness		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Willfulness		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1243	ADVANCED FIBER TECHNOLOGIES (AFT) TRUST V. J&L FIB	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Willfulness		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Willfulness		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
8-1324	CALICO BRAND, INC. v. AMERITEK IMPORTS, INC. [OPIN	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Willfulness		Affirmed	JMOL
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. LENCO MARINE, INC [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Willful Infringement		Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1593	INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIE	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Willfulness		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1049	LEE v. MIKE'S NOVELTIES [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Willful Infringement	Objective Recklessness	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1537	GLOBAL TRAFFIC TECHNOLOGIES v. MORGAN [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Willful Infringement	Objective Recklessness	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1731	INNOVENTION TOYS, LLC v. MGA ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Willful Infringement	Objective Recklessness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1668	STRYKER V. ZIMMER [REVISED OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Willful Infringement	Objective Recklessness	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1114	BARD PERIPHERAL VASCULAR v. W.L. GORE & ASSOCIATES	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Willful Infringement	Objective Recklessness	Affirmed	JMOL
14-1263	AQUA SHIELD v. INTERPOOL POOL COVER TEAM [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Willful Infringement	Objective Recklessness	Vacated	Bench Trial
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC.	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Willful Infringement	Objective Recklessness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1419	SSL SERVICES, LLC v. CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION	Linn, Lourie, O'Malley	Willful Infringement	Objective Recklessness	Affirmed	Order on Motion

13-1419	SSL SERVICES, LLC v. CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, O'Malley	Willful Infringement	Subjective Prong	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
13-1527	WESTERNGECO L.L.C. v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORP. [OPINION ON REMAND]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Willful Infringement		Vacated	JMOL
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Willful Infringement		Vacated	JMOL
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Willful Infringement		Vacated	JMOL
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1492	CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY v. MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Willful Infringement		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
14-1459	SYNQOR, INC. v. ARTESYN TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1575	UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH v. VARIAN MEDICAL SYSTEMS	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Willful Infringement	Objective Recklessness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
17-1475	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
16-2197	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON POWER [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Willful Infringement		Vacated	
16-2211	WCM INDUSTRIES, INC v. IPS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
17-1161	ULTRATEC, INC. v. SORENSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Order on Motion

16-2054	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Willful Infringement		Moot	Order on Motion
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Willfulness		Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-1756	C R BARD INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Vacated	JMOL
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	JMOL
20-1685	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Reversed	JMOL
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
20-1415	BASF PLANT SCIENCE, LP v. COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Willful Infringement	Not Submitted	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1834	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict - JMOL
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Willful Infringement		Affirmed	Jury Verdict

E. Infringement  
1. Doctrine of Equivalents

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
11-1309	TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC. V. AMERICAN EUROCOPTER CO	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1584	POZEN INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1392	MIRROR WORLDS, LLC. V. APPLE INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	JMOL
11-1397	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH V. GENENTECH, INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1629	DEERE & CO. V. BUSH HOG, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1153	JOY MM DELAWARE, INC. V. CINCINNATI MINE MACHINERY	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1237	ACCENTRA V. STAPLES	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1140	WM. WRIGLEY JR. CO. V. CADBURY ADAMS USA LLC.	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1361	IN RE TRANSACTION HOLDINGS LTD., LLC.	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1036	LEARNING CURVE BRANDS V. MUNCHKIN, INC.	Bryson, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1223	GENERAL ELECTRIC CO. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMIS	Linn, Newman, Rade	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	ITC Decision

11-1173	METTLER-TOLEDO, INC. V. B-TEK SCALES, LLC.	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1325	CEPHALON, INC. V. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1018	BRILLIANT INSTRUMENTS, INC. V. GUIDETECH, LLC.	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1106	CHIKEZIE OTTAH v. VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, O'Malley	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1578	CHARLES MACHINE WORKS v. VERMEER MANUFACTURING [OP	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1593	INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIE	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1342	BUTAMAX(TM) ADVANCED BIOFUELS v. GEVO, INC. [OPINI	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1238	RING & PINION SERVICE INC. v. ARB CORPORATION LTD	Clevenger, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1412	APPLIED MEDICAL RESOURCES v. TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. LENCO MARINE, INC [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Bench Trial
13-1050	EASTCOTT v. HASSELBLAD USA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1397	GEMALTO S.A. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1352	KRUSE TECHNOLOGY PARTNERSHIP v. VOLKSWAGEN AG [OPI	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1686	CAMBRIAN SCIENCE CORPORATION v. COX COMMUNICATIONS	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST CONTROL LLC v. PHILIPS ELECTRONIC	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

14-1390	SOUTHCO, INC. v. FIVETECH TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1184	CADENCE PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. EXELA PHARMA SCIEN	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1173	NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. MICROSOFT MOBILE OY	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1140	EMD MILLIPORE CORPORATION v. ALLPURE TECHNOLOGIES,	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1330	EPOS TECHNOLOGIES LTD. v. PEGASUS TECHNOLOGIES LTD	Bryson, Hughes	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1270	SOURCE VAGABOND SYSTEMS v. HYDRAPAK, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Rule 11 Decision
15-1902	INTENDIS GMBH v. GLENMARK PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Tarantc	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1668	TNS MEDIA RESEARCH, LLC v. TIVO RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1015	IMPULSE TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1063	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-2086	DAVID NETZER CONSULTING v. SHELL OIL COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1767	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNAT v. SUNGARE DATA [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

15-1124	CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SUD-CHEMIE AC [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1812	REMBRANDT SOCIAL MEDIA, LP v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
15-1331	AKZO NOBEL COATINGS, INC. v. DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1437	WI-LAN, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
11-1313	MEDTRONIC INC. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION [O	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1829	ADVANCED STEEL RECOVERY, LLC v. X-BODY EQUIPMENT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1407	SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2015	TOMITA TECHNOLOGIES USA, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2528	CRONOS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. EXPEDIA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1881	ENZO BIOCHEM INC v. APPLERA CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1391	KAHR v. COLE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	JMOL
16-2211	WCM INDUSTRIES, INC v. IPS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	JMOL
16-1275	JANG v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	JMOL
16-2324	REMBRANDT PATENT INNOVATIONS v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1842	OTTAH v. FIAT CHRYSLER [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1275	PAZANDEH v. YAMAHA CORPORATION OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

17-2357	BAKER v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2276	VIATECH TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1937	GLG FARMS LLC v. BRANDT AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1674	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Chen, O`Malley, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2247	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
18-1205	DUNCAN PARKING TECHNOLOGIES v. IPS GROUP, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1551	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1376	COBALT BOATS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1993	AMGEN INC. v. COHERUS BIOSCIENCES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1163	PHARMA TECH SOLUTIONS, INC. v. LIFESCAN, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial

18-2126	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2321	JANSSEN BIOTECH, INC. v. CELLTRION HEALTHCARE CO., LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1924	EAGLE PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. SLAYBACK PHARMA LLC [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-2087	PLASTIC OMNIUM ADVANCED v. DONGHEE AMERICA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2414	AMGEN INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1021	GALDERMA LABORATORIES, L.P. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1021	GALDERMA LABORATORIES, L.P. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Bench Trial
19-2145	EMED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. REPRO-MED SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Schall	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1373	BIOGEN INTERNATIONAL GMBH v. BANNER LIFE SCIENCES LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-2198	VERINATA HEALTH, INC. v. ARIOSA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1924	EAGLE PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. SLAYBACK PHARMA LLC [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings

19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1328	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. APOTEX, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1009	OLAF SOOT DESIGN, LLC v. DAKTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCHKIN INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCHKIN INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-2220	JENNEWEIN BIOTECHNOLOGIE GMBH v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen Lourie	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	USITC Final Determination
21-1926	AZURITY PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. BIONPHARMA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-2002	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1033	BLAZER v. BEST BEE BROTHERS LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	DOE	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
22-1906	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Doctrine of Equivalents	Reversed	Jury Verdict

E. Infringement  
2. Literal

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
12-1022	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHNOLOGIES, LP	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
09-1171	OUTSIDE THE BOX INNOVATIONS, LLC. V. TRAVEL CADDY,	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1547	CELSIS IN VITRO, INC. V. CELLZDIRECT, INC.	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
09-1013	LASERFACTURING, INC. V. OLD CARCO LIQUIDATION TRUS	Bryson, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1309	TEXTRON INNOVATIONS INC. V. AMERICAN EUROCOPTER CO	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1227	PARALLEL NETWORKS, LLC. V. ABERCROMBIE & FITCH CO.	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1094	HARRIS CORP. V. FED EX CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1397	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH V. GENENTECH, INC.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1355	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS V. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS	Plager, Rader, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1629	DEERE & CO. V. BUSH HOG, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1555	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING, INC. V. MA	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	JMOL
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

11-1521	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. V. OCTANE FITNESS, LLC	Lourie, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1581	TECHNOLOGY PATENTS LLC. V. T-MOBILE (UK) LTD.	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1346	SANDISK CORP. V. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC.	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
10-1341	MINTZ V. DIETZ & WATSON, INC.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1419	WALKER DIGITAL, LLC. V. MICROSOFT CORP.	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1478	WOODS V. DEANGELO MARINE EXHAUST, INC	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1140	WM. WRIGLEY JR. CO. V. CADBURY ADAMS USA LLC.	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1361	IN RE TRANSACTION HOLDINGS LTD., LLC.	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1546	ZAPMEDIA SERVICES, INC. V. APPLE, INC.	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1036	LEARNING CURVE BRANDS V. MUNCHKIN, INC.	Bryson, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1223	GENERAL ELECTRIC CO. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMIS	Linn, Newman, Rade	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	ITC Decision
11-1173	METTLER-TOLEDO, INC. V. B-TEK SCALES, LLC.	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1325	CEPHALON, INC. V. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1018	BRILLIANT INSTRUMENTS, INC. V. GUIDETECH, LLC.	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1018	BRILLIANT INSTRUMENTS, INC. V. GUIDETECH, LLC.	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1233	RADIO SYSTEMS CORP V LALOR [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

12-1043	BRUCE SAFFRAN v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1106	CHIKEZIE OTTAH v. VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1319	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. WALMART.COM USA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1063	ALEXSAM, INC. v. IDT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1063	ALEXSAM, INC. v. IDT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1291	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [OPINI	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1579	CREATIVE INTEGRATED SYSTEMS v. NINTENDC OF AMERICA	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment
12-1167	REGENTS OF UNIV. OF MINNESOTA v. AGA MEDICAL CORPO	Dyk, Rader, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1074	CONVOLVE v. COMPAC [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1578	CHARLES MACHINE WORKS v. VERMEER MANUFACTURING [OP	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1412	APPLIED MEDICAL RESOURCES v. TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1309	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. EMULEX CORPORATION [OPINIK	Lourie, Rader, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
13-1084	CENTILLION DATA SYSTEMS v. QWEST COMMUNICATIONS [O	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1098	COGNEX CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Linn, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
13-1302	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, LLC v. MASABA, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Rader, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment

13-1650	OTTO BOCK HEALTHCARE LP v. OSSUR HF [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
13-1092	REALTIME DATA, LLC v MORGAN STANLEY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1666	MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
13-1406	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS	Chen, Plager, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
11-1313	MEDTRONIC INC. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION [O	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1518	MEADWESTVACO CORPORATION v. REXAM BEAUTY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1560	SKINMEDICA INC v. HISTOGEN INC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1445	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
14-1038	INFECTION PREVENTION TECH v. LUMALIER CORPORATION	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schal	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1496	GOLDEN BRIDGE TECHNOLOGY v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1046	E8 PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. AFFYMETRIX, INC. [OPINI	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
14-1042	ROTATABLE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LL	Hughes, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Stipulation

14-1140	EMD MILLIPORE CORPORATION v. ALLPURE TECHNOLOGIES,	Hughes, O`Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1625	ERICSSON, INC. v. D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1539	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1373	KANEKA CORPORATION v. XIAMEN KINGDOMWAY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1686	CAMBRIAN SCIENCE CORPORATION v. COX COMMUNICATIONS	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1411	CEPHALON, INC. v. ABRAXIS BIOSCIENCE, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
13-1130	RICHARD WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment
13-1049	LEE v. MIKE'S NOVELTIES [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
14-1373	KANEKA CORPORATION v. XIAMEN KINGDOMWAY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
14-1275	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1390	SOUTHCO, INC. v. FIVETECH TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1390	SOUTHCO, INC. v. FIVETECH TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1390	SOUTHCO, INC. v. FIVETECH TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
14-1096	VASUDEVAN SOFTWARE, INC. v. TIBCO SOFTWARE, INC. [	Chen, Hughes, Linn	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
14-1553	TMI PRODUCTS INC v. ROSEN ENTERTAINMENT SYSTEMS [O	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1668	STRYKER V. ZIMMER [REVISED OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

14-1184	CADENCE PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. EXELA PHARMA SCIEN	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1214	FLEXITEEK AMERICAS, INC. v. PLASTEAK, INC. [OPINIO	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1060	MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1060	MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1396	PACING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v GARMIN INTERNATIONAL,	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1649	SOVERAIN SOFTWARE LLC v. VICTORIA'S SECRET [OPINIO	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1640	FENNER INVESTMENTS, LTD. v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [OP		Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
14-1323	TEASHOT.LLC v. GREEN MOUNTAIN COFFEE ROASTER [OPIN	Chen, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1251	DATATERN, INC. v. EPICOR SOFTWARE CORPORATION [OPI	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment
13-1505	DDR HOLDINGS, LLC v. HOTELS.COM, L.P. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1625	ERICSSON, INC. v. D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1173	NAZOMI COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. MICROSOFT MOBILE OY	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
13-1380	VEHICLE IP, LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1270	SOURCE VAGABOND SYSTEMS v. HYDRAPAK, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Rule 11 Decision
12-1548	APPLE INC. v. MOTOROLA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-1370	RED DOG MOBILE SHELTERS, LLC v. KAT INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1490	SAMUELS v. TRIVASCULAR, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-1216	PROFOOT, INC. v. MERCK & CO., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1957	UCB, INC. v. YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1758	SMITH v. GARLOCK EQUIPMENT COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1295	MANNATECH, INC. v. WELLNESS QUEST, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1131	MOULDTEC, INC. v. PAGTER & PARTNERS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1063	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1403	DEERE & COMPANY v. DUROC LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT][1]	Newman, Plager, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1016	PROFECTUS TECHNOLOGY LLC v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1767	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNAT v. SUNGARE DATA [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1370	MAG AEROSPACE INDUSTRIES, INC v. B/E AEROSPACE, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1812	REMBRANDT SOCIAL MEDIA, LP v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

15-1761	GREENLAND v. HARBOR FREIGHT TOOLS USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1331	AKZO NOBEL COATINGS, INC. v. DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1028	ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. v. CAMBER PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-1370	RED DOG MOBILE SHELTERS, LLC v. KAT INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1648	SUMMIT 6, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1146	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1200	POLY-AMERICA, L.P. v. API INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
14-1668	TNS MEDIA RESEARCH, LLC v. TIVO RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
14-1668	TNS MEDIA RESEARCH, LLC v. TIVO RESEARCH AND ANALYTICS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

15-1605	SERVER TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. AMERICAN POWER CONVERSION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1356	ROCHE DIAGNOSTICS OPERATIONS v. LIFESCAN INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1804	VOCALTAG LTD. v. AGIS AUTOMATISERING B.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-5057	LIBERTY AMMUNITION, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-1758	SMITH v. GARLOCK EQUIPMENT COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1777	E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS v. MACDERMID PRINTING SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1686	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1057	MICH & MICH TGR, INC v. BRAZABRA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1237	EON CORP. IP HOLDINGS LLC v. SILVER SPRING NETWORKS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

16-1015	IMPULSE TECHNOLOGY LTD. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1256	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1825	GPNE CORP. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1725	UNWIRED PLANET, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1725	UNWIRED PLANET, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1063	YUFA v. TSI, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1129	INDACON, INC. v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1594	SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES, INC. v. MASABA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1425	RUCKUS WIRELESS, INC. v. INNOVATIVE WIRELESS SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1447	HBAC MATCHMAKER MEDIA, INC. v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment
15-2086	DAVID NETZER CONSULTING v. SHELL OIL COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1232	HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP. v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1244	ENFISH, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1071	ATLAS IP, LLC v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1199	CLARE v. CHRYSLER GROUP LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

15-1370	MAG AEROSPACE INDUSTRIES, INC v. B/E AEROSPACE, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1124	CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SUD-CHEMIE AC [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1684	DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT INC. v. TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1297	ULTIMATEPOINTER, L.L.C. v. NINTENDO CO LTD [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1506	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
14-1732	CONVOLVE, INC. v. COMPAQ COMPUTER CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1732	CONVOLVE, INC. v. COMPAQ COMPUTER CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
14-1732	CONVOLVE, INC. v. COMPAQ COMPUTER CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1437	WI-LAN, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1507	EDGE SYSTEMS LLC v. AGUILA [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
13-1107	PIGGY PUSHERS, LLC v. SKIDDERS FOOTWEAR, INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1151	E2INTERACTIVE, INC. v. BLACKHAWK NETWORK, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1989	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
13-1084	CENTILLION DATA SYSTEMS v. QWEST COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1829	ADVANCED STEEL RECOVERY, LLC v. X-BODY EQUIPMENT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

14-1845	IMAGINAL SYSTEMATIC, LLC v. LEGGETT & PLATT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1407	SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1390	ZHEJIANG MEDICINE CO., LTD. v. KANEKA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
16-2433	METALCRAFT OF MAYVILLE, INC. v. THE TORO COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1301	WUNDAFORMER, LLC v. FLEX STUDIOS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment
16-2015	TOMITA TECHNOLOGIES USA, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1562	TVIIM, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1992	COMCAST IP HOLDINGS I LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1562	TVIIM, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2122	TMC FUEL INJECTION SYSTEM, LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1113	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. MYLAN, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-1113	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. MYLAN, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1585	NICHIA CORPORATION v. EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1982	PHIL-INSUL CORP. v. AIRLITE PLASTICS CO. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-1349	SKEDCO, INC. v. STRATEGIC OPERATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
16-1585	NICHIA CORPORATION v. EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1599	AYLUS NETWORKS, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2528	CRONOS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. EXPEDIA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1881	ENZO BIOCHEM INC v. APPLERA CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1275	JANG v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2741	PARALLEL NETWORKS, LLC v. SG INTERACTIVE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Schall, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1469	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1842	OTTAH v. FIAT CHRYSLER [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2357	BAKER v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1063	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED v. CANON INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1561	QUEST LICENSING CORPORATION v. BLOOMBERG LP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1937	GLG FARMS LLC v. BRANDT AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2102	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
17-2571	DOCZY v. VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1916	CONTENTGUARD HOLDINGS, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

16-2563	ARISTA NET 2 WORKS, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-2722	SANOFI v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2324	REMBRANDT PATENT INNOVATIONS v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1499	ALLERGAN SALES, LLC v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-1499	ALLERGAN SALES, LLC v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2520	FINJAN, INC. v. BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Hughes	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2520	FINJAN, INC. v. BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Hughes	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2315	EXERGEN CORPORATION v. KAZ USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2315	EXERGEN CORPORATION v. KAZ USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2265	WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1136	SPORTSTAR ATHLETICS, INC. v. WILSON SPORTING GOODS COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1674	BONDYOPADHYAY v. US [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1814	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1060	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, LLC v. OPTUMINSIGHT, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1275	PAZANDEH v. YAMAHA CORPORATION OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1798	SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO. v. EMCURE PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment

17-2276	VIATECH TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1548	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP v. WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2691	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2388	SPINEOLOGY, INC. v. WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2102	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict
17-2264	BARKAN WIRELESS ACCESS v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/M arkman Ruling
18-1491	STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1015	REMBRANDT VISION TECHS., L.P. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON VISION CARE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1910	ELBIT SYSTEMS LAND AND C4I LTD v. HUGHES NETWORK SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Tarantc	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1439	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Tarantc	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2614	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
18-1205	DUNCAN PARKING TECHNOLOGIES v. IPS GROUP, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

17-2589	REHCO LLC v. SPIN MASTER, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dy, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
18-1076	CONTINENTAL CIRCUITS LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment after Claim Construction
17-2575	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & CO. v. UNIFRAX I LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1690	IROBOT CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision - Summary Determination
18-1551	AMGEN INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1376	COBALT BOATS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2073	SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1449	IRIDESCENT NETWORKS, INC. v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment after Claim Construction
18-2126	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1224	ARSUS, LLC v. JOHN H FIRMAGE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1590	AJINOMOTO CO., INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-2363	NEUROGRAFIX v. BRAINLAB, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1495	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment after Claim Construction

18-1606	ATEN INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. v. UNICLASS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1590	AJINOMOTO CO., INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1019	INO THERAPEUTICS LLC v. PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-2089	THE SCRIPPS RESEARCH INSTITUTE v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment after Claim Construction
17-2617	PHIGENIX, INC. v. GENENTECH, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2087	PLASTIC OMNIUM ADVANCED v. DONGHEE AMERICA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Newman, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1098	SERTA SIMMONS BEDDING, LLC v. CASPER SLEEP INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
20-1191	IRONWORKS PATENTS LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-2249	AKEVA L.L.C. v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1557	MCRO, INC. v. BANDAI NAMCO GAMES AMERICA [OPINION]	Mayer, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2198	VERINATA HEALTH, INC. v. ARIOSA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2065	DIGITAL ALLY, INC. v. TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2145	EMED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. REPRO-MED SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1050	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict

19-1050	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict
19-1067	AMGEN INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2414	AMGEN INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Bench Trial
18-2414	AMGEN INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1191	IRONWORKS PATENTS LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1504	20/20 VISION CENTER, LLC v. VISION PRECISION HOLDINGS [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1079	ENERPOL, LLC v. SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORP. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1595	REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP v. ALERE, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2054	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1519	MAXILL INC. v. LOOPS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-1510	ARBMETRICS, LLC v. DEXCOM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
18-2198	VERINATA HEALTH, INC. v. ARIOSA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1825	ROTHSCHILD CONNECTED DEVICES v. COCA-COLA COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-1684	SANDBOX LOGISTICS LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-2308	J.S.T. CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision

19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2175	MCGINLEY v. LUV N' CARE LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2411	SIMO HOLDINGS INC. v. HONG KONG U-CLOUDLINK NETWORK [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-1009	OLAF SOOT DESIGN, LLC v. DAKTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1984	IRIS CORPORATION BERHAD v. US [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2280	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
20-1203	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. MUNCKIN INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
20-1785	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
20-1475	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newman	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1573	SPEEDTRACK, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
20-1897	NITE GLOW INDUSTRIES INC. v. CENTRAL GARDEN & PET COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL
20-2115	HANWHA Q CELLS USA INC. v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination

20-1566	In Re PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1050	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	JMOL/Jury Verdict
20-2140	LEMOINE v. MOSSBERG CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Infringement	Literal		Consent Judgment after Markman
20-1793	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1700	ACCELERATION BAY LLC v. TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2430	CONTENTGUARD HOLDINGS, INC. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
20-1852	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1440	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1729	ASTRAZENECA AB v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
21-1963	EVOLUSION CONCEPTS, INC. v. HOC EVENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Jury Verdict

20-2167	GENUINE ENABLING TECHNOLOGY v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Reversed	Summary Judgment
21-2013	LITTELFUSE, INC. v. MERSEN USA EP CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
21-2174	POP TOP CORP. v. RAKUTEN KOBO INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1910	UPAID SYSTEMS, LTD. v. CARD CONCEPTS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2002	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-2239	ESIP SERIES 1, LLC v. DOTERRA INTERNATIONAL, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1998	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Tarantc	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-1348	AGARWAL v. MORBARK, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
20-1903	INVT SPE LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision
21-2349	INFERNAL TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1193	IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1171	TREEHOUSE AVATAR LLC v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1033	BLAZER v. BEST BEE BROTHERS LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarantc	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment

22-1318	TIPPMANN ENGINEERING, LLC v. INNOVATIVE REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2345	SSI TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DONGGUAN ZHENGYANG ELECTRONIC MECHANICAL LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-1098	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GOVISION, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
22-1433	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
22-1349	ALTERWAN, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment
22-1688	POP TOP CORP. v. RAKUTEN KOBO INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1965	CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
21-2319	TUBULAR ROLLERS, LLC v. MAXIMUS OILFIELD PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Determination
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict - JMOL
21-2320	SALAZAR v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-2263	SEQUOIA TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. DELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Markman Ruling
22-1228	FS.COM INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision

22-1603	CANOPY GROWTH CORPORATION v. GW PHARMA LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Tarant	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
20-1965	CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	USITC Final Initial Decision
22-1521	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Final Initial Decision
21-2319	TUBULAR ROLLERS, LLC v. MAXIMUS OILFIELD PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-2020	ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
22-1699	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment, after Markman
22-1048	FINJAN LLC v. SONICWALL, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Reyna	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1873	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD. v. CHILISIN ELECTRONICS CORP. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
22-1439	MALVERN PANALYTICAL INC. v. T INSTRUMENTS-WATERS LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Prost	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
22-1889	ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS LTD v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Vacated	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
22-1903	BARRDAY, INC. v. LINCOLN FABRICS INC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Stark	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
22-1906	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
23-1668	COPAN ITALIA SPA v. ITC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	Infringement	Literal	Affirmed	USITC Decision

22-1194

H. LUNDBECK A/S v.  
LUPIN LTD. [OPINION]

Dyk, Hughes, Prost

Infringement Literal

Affirmed

Bench Trial  
- ANDA

---

F. Injunctions  
1. Preliminary

<u>Docket No. and Date</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
12-1105 05/14/2012	APPLE, INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
12-1062 08/03/2012	MOMENTA PHARMACEUTICALS V. AMPHASTAR PHARMACEUTICA	Dyk, Moore, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
12-1507 10/11/2012	APPLE INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
12-1270 10/11/2012	HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC. V. APOTEX INC.	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
12-1490 11/16/2012	BUTAMAX(TM) ADVANCED BIOFUELS LLC V. GEVO, INC.	Dyk, Rader, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
11-1628 11/27/2012	REVISION MILITARY, INC. V. BALBOA MANUFACTURING CO	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Order on Motion; Preliminary Injunction Ruling
12-1105 05/14/2012	APPLE, INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
12-1105 05/14/2012	APPLE, INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
10-1547 01/09/2012	CELSIS IN VITRO, INC. V. CELLZDIRECT, INC.	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
12-1280 02/14/2013	IN RE CYCLOBENZAPRINE HYDROCHLORIDE EXTENDED-RELEA	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1531 08/09/2013	ARIA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. v. SEQUENOM, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Decision
13-1271 11/04/2013	LIFESCAN SCOTLAND, LTD. v. SHASTA TECHNOLOGIES, LL	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
13-1650 02/18/2014	OTTO BOCK HEALTHCARE LP v. OSSUR HF [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision

13-1658 03/31/2014	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. ACTAVIS, INC. [OPINIO	Dyk, Moore, Newmar	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
13-1437 04/09/2014	TREBRO MANUFACTURING, INC v. FIREFLY EQUIPMENT, L	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
14-1185 04/15/2014	VASCULAR SOLUTIONS, INC. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP	Chen, Moore, Plager	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
14-1648 11/17/2014	ANTARES PHARMA INC. v. MEDAC PHARMA INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
14-1361 12/17/2014	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH RESEARCH v. AMBRY GENETICS CORP	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1139 05/06/2015	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A. v. HIKMA AMERICAS IN	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
13-1437 04/09/2014	TREBRO MANUFACTURING, INC v. FIREFLY EQUIPMENT, L	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
14-1675 8/12/2015	CELGARD, LLC v. LG CHEM, LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1870 9/24/2015	M-I LLC v. FPUSA, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1308 7/5/2016	AMGEN INC. v. APOTE) INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-2083 5/12/2016	IGT v. ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1880 12/15/2015	PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. v. TWI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O`Malley, Plager, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-2094 8/1/2016	MURATA MACHINERY USA, INC. v. DAIFUKU CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1671 2/29/2016	LUMINARA WORLDWIDE, LLC v. LIOWN ELECTRONICS CO. LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1507 12/21/2015	EDGE SYSTEMS LLC v. AGUILA [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling

13-1248 03/26/2014	STONEEAGLE SERVICES, INC. v. GILLMAN [OPINION]	Moore, Rader, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1870 9/24/2015	M-I LLC v. FPUSA, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1870 9/24/2015	M-I LLC v. FPUSA, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
14-1675 8/12/2015	CELGARD, LLC v. LG CHEM, LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1410 1/24/2017	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-2713 1/25/2017	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-2433 2/16/2017	METALCRAFT OF MAYVILLE, INC. v. THE TORO COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
17-1645 5/19/2017	MYLAN INSTITUTIONAL LLC v. AUROBINDO PHARMA LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
16-2121 7/9/2018	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-1448 2/7/2018	MACOM TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS v. INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AMERICAS [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
17-1645 5/19/2017	MYLAN INSTITUTIONAL LLC v. AUROBINDO PHARMA LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
17-1645 5/19/2017	MYLAN INSTITUTIONAL LLC v. AUROBINDO PHARMA LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
17-2295 1/16/2018	LIQWD, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyan, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
17-2295 1/16/2018	LIQWD, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyan, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision

17-1448 2/7/2018	MACOM TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS v. INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AMERICAS [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
17-1448 2/7/2018	MACOM TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS v. INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AMERICAS [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
18-2167 11/20/2018	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
18-2167 11/20/2018	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Decision
18-1724 4/18/2019	DODOCASE VR, INC. v. MERCHSOURCE, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
19-1276 5/23/2019	PIERCE MANUFACTURING, INC v. E-ONE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
18-1724 4/18/2019	DODOCASE VR, INC. v. MERCHSOURCE, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
18-1534 9/23/2019	ORTHOACCEL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. PROPEL ORTHODONTICS, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
18-2207 8/29/2019	ALLERGAN SALES, LLC v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
19-2156 3/6/2020	GENENTECH, INC. v. AMGEN INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1407 7/31/2020	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A. v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	order on Motion
20-1545 7/31/2020	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A. v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion

19-2155 7/6/2020	GENENTECH, INC. v. IMMUNEX RHODE ISLAND CORP. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2374 4/3/2020	MYCO INDUSTRIES, INC. v. BLEPHEX, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-2122 1/15/2020	LEGO A/S v. ZURU INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Hughes, Wallach	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Order
20-1251 6/3/2020	HUANG v. MEDIATEK USA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1545 7/31/2020	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A. v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1407 7/31/2020	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS U.S.A. v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	order on Motion
21-1638 10/7/2021	KANNUU PTY LTD. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
21-2137 12/28/2021	LOW TEMP INDUSTRIES, INC. v. DUKE MANUFACTURING CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Order
21-2146 1/24/2022	MASIMO CORPORATION v. TRUI WEARABLES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion
21-1149 2/3/2022	BLEPHEX, LLC v. MYCO INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
21-2369 2/8/2022	NIPPON SHINYAKU CO., LTD. v. SAREPTA THERAPEUTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
21-2354 4/12/2022	POWER PROBE GROUP, INC. v. INNOV/ ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Decision
21-2048 4/11/2022	SURE FIT HOME PRODUCTS, LLC v. MAYTEX MILLS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
21-2106 7/13/2022	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. THALES USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Moore	Injunction	Preliminary	Affirmed	Order on Motion

23-1146 2/17/2023	LITE-NETICS, LLC v. NL TSAI CAPITAL LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Order
22-1579 1/3/2023	BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY v. BECKMAN COULTER, INC. [ORDER]	Clerk of the Court	Injunction	Preliminary	Dismissed	NA
22-1071 10/28/2022	ABC CORPORATION I v PARTNERSHIP AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Order on Motion
21-2150 10/28/2022	ABC CORPORATION I v PARTNERSHIP AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Vacated	Order on Motion
22-1071 10/28/2022	ABC CORPORATION I v PARTNERSHIP AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Order on Motion
23-1229 9/21/2023	WELL CELL GLOBAL LLC v. CALVIT [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Prost	Injunction	Preliminary	Reversed	Order on Motion

F. Injunctions  
2. Permanent

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
12-1240	THE PAW WASH, LLC. V. PAW PLUNGER, LLC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
10-1355	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS V. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS	Plager, Rader, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1240	THE PAW WASH, LLC. V. PAW PLUNGER, LLC.	Clevenger, Dyk, Plager	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Remanded	Order on Motion
11-1471	MERIAL LTD. V. CIPLA LTD.	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
11-1044	STRECK v. RESEARCH & DIAGNOSTIC	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
12-1029	VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC. v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [OPINI	Moore, Prost, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
11-1291	DOUGLAS DYNAMICS v. BUYERS PRODUCTS COMPANY [OPINI	Mayer, Newman, Rader	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
13-1129	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1515	ENERGY RECOVERY, INC. v. HAUGE [OPINION]	Rader, Reyna, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Contempt Judgment
12-1472	MIKKELSEN GRAPHIC ENGINEERING v. ZUND AMERICA [OPI	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1309	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. EMULEX CORPORATION [OPINIC	Lourie, Rader, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1506	EPLUS, INC. v. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion

14-1405	SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL v. TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1490	FENF, LLC v. SMARTTHINGZ, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1416	FERRING B.V. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1548	APPLE INC. v. MOTOROLA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1548	APPLE INC. v. MOTOROLA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
14-1802	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent		Order on Motion
15-1758	SMITH v. GARLOCK EQUIPMENT COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1026	ASETEK DANMARK A/S v. CMI USA INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1026	ASETEK DANMARK A/S v. CMI USA INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-1392	UNITED CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS, v. TILE TECH, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Moot	Order on Motion
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
14-1802	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
14-1802	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion

13-1129	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1129	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1129	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1129	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
13-1129	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1148	GENBAND US LLC v. METASWITCH NETWORKS CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-1302	CARDIAQ VALVE TECHNOLOGIES v. NEOVASC INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1005	QUALITY EDGE, INC. v. ROLLEX CORPORATIO [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-1480	AMGEN INC. v. SANOFI [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Hughes	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
13-1011	PROMEGA CORP v. LIFE TECH [OPINION]	Prost, Mayer, Chen	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1175	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-2607	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AMERICAN TECHNICAL CERAMICS [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-1480	AMGEN INC. v. SANOFI [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Hughes	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
17-1302	CARDIAQ VALVE TECHNOLOGIES v. NEOVASC INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1148	GENBAND US LLC v. METASWITCH NETWORKS CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion

15-2021	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1158	DOMINION ENERGY, INC. v. ALSTOM GRID LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
15-2021	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-1798	SUMITOMO DAINIPPON PHARMA CO. v. EMCURE PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
16-2121	TEXAS ADVANCED OPTOELECTRONIC v. RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
17-2637	TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. PHAZZER ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2507	TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L. v. SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2054	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-2198	VERINATA HEALTH, INC. v. ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion

19-2255	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v. 10X GENOMICS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Reversed	Order on Motion
19-2359	SIONYX LLC v. HAMAMATSU PHOTONICS K.K. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-2280	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Vacated	Order on Motion
20-2087	CAP EXPORT, LLC v. ZINUS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Hughes	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion
23-1186	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AVADEL CNS PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Permanent Injunction Order
22-2001	FLEET ENGINEERS, INC. v. MUDGUARD TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Injunction	Permanent	Affirmed	Order on Motion

## G. Validity and Enforceability

### 1. Anticipation

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MID CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1434	REXNORD INDUSTRIES V KAPPOS [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1078	CLEARVALUE, INC. V. PEARL RIVER POLYMERS, INC.	Moore, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1294	IN RE MOUSA	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1376	IN RE MONTGOMERY	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1366	LEADER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. V. FACEBOOK, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1140	WM. WRIGLEY JR. CO. V. CADBURY ADAMS USA LLC.	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1570	PLASMART, INC. V. KAPPOS.	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1328	3M CO. V. MOHAN	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1516	IN RE ABBOTT DIABETES CARE INC.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	BPAI Decision
12-1091	OSRAM SYLVANIA, INC. V. AMERICAN INDUCTION TECHNOL	O'Malley, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1528	STORED VALUE SALUTIONS, INC. V. CARD ACTIVATION TE	Lourie, Reyna, Krieger*	Validity	Anticipation		Summary Judgment
12-1182	IN RE DITTO	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1638	ARCELORMITTAL FRANCE V. AK STEEL CORP.	Clevenger, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1476	FLO HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS, LLC. V. PATENT AND TRADE	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1049	CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. V. SBM CO., LTD.	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL

11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	JMOL
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
10-1550	AMKOR TECHNOLOGY INC. V. INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMM	Linn, Newman, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Decision
11-1206	WHITSERVE, LLC. V. COMPUTER PACKAGES, INC.	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
11-1206	WHITSERVE, LLC. V. COMPUTER PACKAGES, INC.	Mayer, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
11-1247	IN RE RAMBUS INC.	Dyk, Linn, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1465	IN RE ANTOR MEDIA CORP.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1344	IN RE NAREN CHAGANTI	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1149	MYSFACE, INC. V. GRAPHON CORP.	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1159	IN RE REHRIG PACIFIC CO.	Moore, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision
11-1103	KRIPPELZ V. FORD MOTOR CO.	Newman, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
11-1179	IN RE POND	Dyk, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1482	INRE: ERIC JASINSKI [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-1380	FUNCTION MEDIA, L.L.C. v. KAPPOS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
12-1609	INRE: STEVE MORSA [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	
12-1691	INRE: KARL URQUHART [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1614	CEATS, INC. v. CONTINENTAL AIRLINES, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
12-1286	SHIMANO, INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1428	DEY, L.P. v. SUNOVION PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINI	Bryson, Newman, O`Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment

12-1167	REGENTS OF UNIV. OF MINNESOTA v. AGA MEDICAL CORPO	Dyk, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1667	INRE: ROBERT YEAGER [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1480	RAMBUS INC. v. REA [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	BPAI Decision
12-1536	THOMSON LICENSING SAS v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1692	IN RE: JOSEPH GIUFFRIDA [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1523	RESEARCH FOUNDATION v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1523	RESEARCH FOUNDATION v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Bench Trial
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	ITC Decision
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Decision
8-1462	TAURUS IP v. DAIMLERCHRYSLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1581	HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS v. SUNBEAM PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1147	COMAPER CORPORATION v. ANTEC, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
12-1690	INRE: HAASE [OPINION]	Plager, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1451	INRE: EPLUS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Ex Parte Reexam Decision
12-1535	MOTOROLA MOBILITY v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
13-1117	MEDTRONIC COREVALVE, LLC v. EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES C	Plager, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1513	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision

13-1316	K-SWISS INC. v. GLIDE'N LOCK GMBH [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. LENCO MARINE, INC [OPINIO	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1192	INRE: RAMBUS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1052	IN RE REIJERS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1634	RAMBUS INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
13-1245	ALLERGAN, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1586	SOUTHERN SNOW MANUFACTURING v. SNOWIZARD HOLDINGS	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1316	K-SWISS INC. v. GLIDE'N LOCK GMBH [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1104	INRE: EATON [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1035	INRE: KARPF [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1201	IN RE: TARGET TRAINING INTERNATIONAL [RULE 36 JUDG	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1310	IN RE: ORINDA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES [RULE 36 JUD	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1505	DDR HOLDINGS, LLC v. HOTELS.COM, L.P. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1382	IN RE: KHAYRALLAH [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision

14-1030	DELANO FARMS COMPANY v. CALIFORNIA TABLE GRAPE COM	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1350	KENNAMETAL, INC. v. INGERSOLL CUTTING TOOL COMPANY	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1463	INRE: BOOKSTAFF [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1680	IN RE: INTERCARRIER COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGME	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1540	INEOS USA LLC v. BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION [OPINI	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1824	IN RE HOLNESS [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST CONTROL LLC v. PHILIPS ELECTRONIC	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1542	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PROXYCONN, INC. [OPINION]	Gilstrap*, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1492	CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY v. MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1464	HIGH POINT DESIGN LLC v. BUYER'S DIRECT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1214	DYNAMIC DRINKWARE LLC v. NATIONAL GRAPHICS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
13-1049	LEE v. MIKE'S NOVELTIES [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
15-1107	IN RE: MORSA [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1469	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
14-1405	SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL v. TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1721	ACME SCALE COMPANY, INC. v. LTS SCALE COMPANY, LLC	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Inter Partes Reexam

14-1824	IN RE HOLNESS [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1335	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1123	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	BPAI Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1676	BELDEN INC. v. BERK-TEK LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1540	INEOS USA LLC v. BERRY PLASTICS CORPORATION [OPINI	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1554	INRE: 55 BRAKE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1668	STRYKER V. ZIMMER [REVISED OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1351	ORACLE AMERICA, INC. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1578	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1649	SOVERAIN SOFTWARE LLC v. VICTORIA'S SECRET [OPINIO	Dyk, Hughes, Tarantc	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1206	IN RE: IMES [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1271	IN RE: STOLLER [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1331	FLEMING v. ESCORT INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1108	CSR, PLC v. SKULLCANDY, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

14-1108	CSR, PLC v. SKULLCANDY, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1625	ERICSSON, INC. v. D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1415	IN RE: TAY [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
13-1489	VIRNETX, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1035	INRE: KARPF [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1925	COOPER v. SQUARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1494	SCHOELLER-BLECKMAN OILFIELD v. CHURCHILL DRILLING TOOLS US [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1773	REG SYNTHETIC FUELS, LLC v. NESTE OIL OYJ [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1294	IN RE: VELOCYS CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1936	SEMCON TECH, LLC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1719	NETAPP, INC. v. ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMM. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1485	RESPIRONICS, INC. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1469	MEDICINES COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1855	OIL STATES ENERGY SERVICES v. GREENE'S ENERGY GROUP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1620	OSRAM GMBH v. SCHUBERT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1683	IN RE: RIVERA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1513	DELL INC. v. ACCELERON, LLC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1687	IN RE: FILLER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1776	KARL STORZ ENDOSCOPY-AMERICA v. INTEGRATED MEDICAL SYSTEMS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1615	IN RE: CLOUDING CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1315	CUTSFORTH, INC. v. MOTIVEPOWER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1582	IN RE: TAYLOR [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stark*, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1827	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-2043	PERFECT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES v. OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1773	REG SYNTHETIC FUELS, LLC v. NESTE OIL OYJ [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
13-1648	SUMMIT 6, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-2065	INTERTAINER, INC. v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1726	HUSKY INJECTION MOLDING SYSTEM v. ATHENA AUTOMATION LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2091	MICROGRAFX, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2090	MICROGRAFX, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1997	DAKO DENMARK A/S v. LEICA BIOSYSTEMS MELBOURNE [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1649	SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1882	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1832	IN RE: CSB-SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1360	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1480	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1934	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1211	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1480	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1453	IN RE: DISTEFANO [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1932	MELCHIOR v. HILITE INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1950	U.S. WATER SERVICES, INC. v. NOVOZYMES A/S [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1754	IPCOM GMBH & CO. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1931	IN RE: LF CENTENNIAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1574	IMMERSION CORPORATION v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1244	ENFISH, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1640	U.S. ETHERNET INNOVATIONS v. ACER INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1562	IN RE: MAN MACHINE INTERFACE TECH LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1071	ATLAS IP, LLC v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1314	CUTSFORTH, INC. v. MOTIVEPOWER, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1585	PRIDE MOBILITY PRODUCTS CORP. v. PERMOBIL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1592	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1513	DELL INC. v. ACCELERON, LLC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1502	IN RE: VARMA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam
15-1391	BLUE CALYPSO, LLC. v. GROUPON, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1671	LUMINARA WORLDWIDE, LLC v. LIOWN ELECTRONICS CO. LTD. [OPINION]	Moore, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1498	HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP. v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1176	WHITE v. H.J. HEINZ COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1516	SYNOPSYS, INC. v. MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1294	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. EPIC PHARMA, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1437	WI-LAN, INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
14-1547	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1466	PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY v. LIBERTY MUTUAL [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1212	STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC. v. SIPNET EU S.R.O. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1020	PROLITEC, INC. v. SCENTAIR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1507	EDGE SYSTEMS LLC v. AGUILA [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1487	IN RE: CHUDIK [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1169	DELORME PUBLISHING CO., INC. v. BRIARTEK IP, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

14-1612	PARKERVISION, INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1612	PARKERVISION, INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1766	WI-LAN USA, INC. v. ERICSSON, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
16-1535	3FORM, INC. v. LUMICOR, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1371	IN RE: LOCKWOOD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1243	MPHJ TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS v. RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1547	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1384	SKULLCANDY, INC. v. CSR LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1900	NIDEC MOTOR CORPORATION v. ZHONGSHAN BROAD OCEAN MOTOR [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1817	IN RE: CHUDIK [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-2025	AMERISOURCEBERGE N v. FFF ENTERPRISES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1562	TVIIM, LLC v. MCAFEE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

16-1817	IN RE: CHUDIK [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1956	SYNOPSISYS, INC. v. ATOPTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1284	HELSINN HEALTHCARE S.A. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, O`Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
16-1092	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte and Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1830	IN RE: AT&T INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY II [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2159	IN RE: MEDICAL BIOMECH PARTNERSHIP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-1859	HTC CORPORATION v. CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2135	GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION v. SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2537	VEHICLE INTERFACE TECHNOLOGIE v. JAGUAR LAND ROVER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1543	GOOGLE INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1123	PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC v. ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O`Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1511	HOMELAND HOUSEWARES, LLC v. WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2619	EMERACHEM HOLDINGS, LLC v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1016	ART+COM INNOVATIONPOOL GMBH v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2747	IGNITE USA, LLC v. CAMELBAK PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1983	ORGANIK KIMYA AS v. ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1100	IN RE: THOMAS [OPINION]	chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2666	SMART MODULAR TECHNOLOGIES v. NETLIST, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1250	OPENTV, INC. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2197	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON POWER [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-1032	MONSANTO TECHNOLOGY LLC v. E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2080	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. BISCOTTI, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2198	CRFD RESEARCH, INC v. MATAL [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1434	IN RE: HODGES [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1529	PHILIPS LIGHTING HOLDING B.V. v. WANGS ALLIANCE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1889	MATTHEWS INTERNATIONAL CORP v. VANDOR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1101	DELL INC. v. ACCELERON, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2525	IN RE: BHAGAT [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1933	BROAD OCEAN TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v NIDEC MOTOR CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1547	EMED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. REPRO-MED SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1954	KNOWLES ELECTRONICS LLC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1715	LUITPOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PHARMACOSMOS A/S [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1946	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1728	BRAEMAR MANUFACTURING, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1671	ERICSSON INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2244	IN RE: PARKER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1088	IN RE: PARKER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

17-1807	JOHNS MANVILLE CORPORATION v. KNAUF INSULATION, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1034	MIGHTON PRODUCTS LIMITED v. VISION INDUSTRIES GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2328	WAVETRONIX LLC v. SMART MICROWAVE SENSORS GMBH [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1824	HITACHI METALS, LTD. v. ALLIANCE OF RARE-EARTH [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1543	GOOGLE INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1858	HTC CORPORATION v. CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2215	HOME SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O`Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1742	NETLIST, INC. v. DIABLO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2673	IN RE: CHUDIK [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2283	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2303	IN RE: SMITH INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Ex Parte Reexam
16-1607	IN RE: ARUNACHALAM [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
17-1016	ART+COM INNOVATIONPOOL GMBH v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

16-2000	UNILOC USA, INC. v. SEGA OF AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2555	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. PERRIGO COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Plager	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2515	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PARALLEL NETWORKS LICENSING [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2515	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PARALLEL NETWORKS LICENSING [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2198	CRFD RESEARCH, INC v. MATAL [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2388	ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS INC. v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2199	HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1880	HTC CORPORATION v. CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1928	BOSCH AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE v. MATAL [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2080	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. BISCOTTI, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2684	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1008	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. v. HOLOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1469	THE MEDICINES COMPANY v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-1445	IN RE: NORDT DEVELOPMENT CO., LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1434	IN RE: HODGES [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1963	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

18-1000	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1531	WANGS ALLIANCE CORPORATION v. PHILIPS LIGHTING NORTH AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2525	IN RE: BHAGAT [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1304	IN RE: POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-2509	GOOGLE LLC v. NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1715	LUITPOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PHARMACOSMOS A/S [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1681	APATOR MIITORS APS v. KAMSTRUP A/S [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1295	WONDERLAND NURSERYGOODS CO. v. BABY TREND, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1944	WI-FI ONE, LLC v. BROADCOM CORPORATION [04.18.18 OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2610	UCB, INC. v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2126	SEADRILL AMERICAS, INC. v. TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1548	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP v. WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

17-1548	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP v. WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2112	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2285	TF3 LIMITED v. TRE MILANO, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1850	OTONOMY, INC. v. AURIS MEDICAL, AG [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-2524	IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2256	NOBEL BIOCARE SERVICES AG v. INSTRADENT USA, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2265	WISCONSIN ALUMNI RESEARCH v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1962	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	<a href="http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o">http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o</a>
18-1347	ENDOTACH LLC v. COOK MEDICAL LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1124	BROADSOFT INC. v. CALLWAVE COMMUNICATIONS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-2424	VICTAULIC COMPANY v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2599	ENPLAS DISPLAY DEVICE CORPORATION v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2502	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2147	AMAZON.COM, INC. v. ZITOVault, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-2599	ENPLAS DISPLAY DEVICE CORPORA v. SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2467	UVA PATENT FOUNDATION v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2288	ADVANCED MARKETING SYSTEMS v. WALGREEN CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2635	PARTHENON UNIFIED MEMORY ARCH. v. HTC CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2107	IMPERIUM IP HOLDINGS (CAYMAN) v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1356	ENVENTURE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY v. MOHAWK ENERGY LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2294	MTD PRODUCTS INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1773	IN RE: HOUSTON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
18-2075	U.S. WATER SERVICES, INC. v. NOVOZYMES A/S [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
16-2222	ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1065	IBM v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1392	SOPHOS INC. v. RPOS HOLDINGS, INC. [ORDER - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

18-1772	IN RE: QAPSULE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2106	IN RE: RUDY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1751	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1433	AC TECHNOLOGIES S.A. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Schall, and Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1205	DUNCAN PARKING TECHNOLOGIES v. IPS GROUP, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2107	IMPERIUM IP HOLDINGS (CAYMAN) v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1065	IBM v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2575	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & CO. v. UNIFRAX I LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1606	ATEN INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. v. UNICLASS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2377	ACCLARENT, INC. v. ALBRITTON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1593	MAYNE PHARMA INTERNATIONAL PTY v MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-2331	IN RE: GATES [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1980	WILSON v. MARTIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
18-2213	GOOGLE LLC v. KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2131	DAICEL CORPORATION v. CELANESE INTERNATIONAL CORP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2106	IN RE: RUDY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1733	CCS TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. PANDUIT CORP. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Mayer, Tarantc	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1606	ATEN INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. v. UNICLASS TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1232	ENZO LIFE SCIENCES, INC. v. BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2103	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
18-1617	WAG ACQUISITION, LLC v. WEBPOWER, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2042	GUANGDONG ALISON HI-TECH CO. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision

19-1426	IN RE: ORHOMURU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Plager, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2388	BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL v. ARCTIC CAT INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2223	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2112	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2123	NETLIST, INC. v. SK HYNIX INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2189	IN RE: THERMOLIFE INTERNATIONAL LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
18-1933	GENENTECH, INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2396	GALDERMA LABORATORIES v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Bench Trial
19-1442	BYTEMARK, INC. v. MASABI LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1256	KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY v. SPEX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1630	ELYSIUM HEALTH, INC. v. TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1133	BIOGEN MA INC. v. EMD SERONO, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	JMOL
19-1821	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

19-1413	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1594	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. v. COOK GROUP INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1361	TECHNICAL CONSUMER PRODUCTS v. LIGHTING SCIENCE GROUP CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1067	AMGEN INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2382	APPLE INC. v. ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1061	ACOUSTIC TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. ITRON NETWORKED SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1335	CHOIROCK CONTENTS FACTORY CO. v. SPIN MASTER, LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1256	KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY v. SPEX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2231	GS CLEANTECH CORP v. ADKINS ENERGY LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1343	PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1435	SPIGEN KOREA CO., LTD. v. ULTRAPROOF, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2198	VERINATA HEALTH, INC. v. ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1413	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1370	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1736	ESSITY HYGIENE AND HEALTH AB v. CASCADES CANADA ULC [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2308	J.S.T. CORPORATION v ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-2249	AKEVA L.L.C. v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O`Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2175	MCGINLEY v. LUV N` CARE LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O`Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1568	GOOGLE LLC v. BLACKBERRY LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2181	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AVX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2306	CATERPILLAR INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-1756	C R BARD INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment

19-2126	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1160	M & K HOLDINGS, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1160	M & K HOLDINGS, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1167	MOJAVE DESERT HOLDINGS, LLC v. CROCS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
20-1556	IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1858	NEAPCO DRIVELINES LLC v. AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1600	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1303	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1701	IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2126	RAFFEL SYSTEMS, LLC v. MAN WAH HOLDINGS LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - PGR Decision
20-1928	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. FG SRC, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1438	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTE [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1800	INGEVITY CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1528	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1932	BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD v. HIRSHFELI [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1940	In Re SURGISIL, L.L.P. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2111	SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1424	COREPHOTONICS, LITE v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2073	INDIVIOR UK LIMITED v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES S.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1184	MODERNATX, INC. v. ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1894	In Re HERA WIRELESS S.A. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1075	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2066	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2137	LOW TEMP INDUSTRIES, INC. v. DUKE MANUFACTURING CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Order
21-1848	In Re GAMBLE [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1649	JUNKER v. MEDICAL COMPONENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
21-1183	CAMERON INTERNATIONAL v. NITRO FLUIDS L.L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2315	In Re LUOMA [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
20-2163	HUNTING TITAN, INC. v DYNAENERGETICS EUROPE GMBH [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
20-1640	SUNOCO PARTNERS MARKETING v. U.S. VENTURE, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-1881	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2140	ARTHREX, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1613	In Re TAYLOR [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2138	SONOVA AG v. MED-EL ELEKTROMEDIZINISCHE GERATE GMBH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2143	TOWNSEND v. BROOKS SPORTS, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2274	TRUSTED KNIGHT CORPORATION v. IBM [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1840	NUCURRENT, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1145	SEOUL VIOSYS CO., LTD. v. P3 INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1923	KAMSTRUP A/S v. AXIOMA METERING UAB [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2111	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
22-1076	In Re KIELY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

21-1030	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2222	A. O. SMITH CORPORATION v. BRADFORD WHITE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-2057	LSI CORPORATION v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1016	CLICK-TO-CALL TECHNOLOGIES, LP v. INGENIO, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
21-2168	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA v. GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1900	VR OPTICS, LLC v. PELOTON INTERACTIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2246	MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. v. HOLOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation		Summary Judgment
21-2322	In Re WINGEN LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
21-2348	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1253	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2121	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2159	CAP EXPORT, LLC v. ZINUS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-2184	NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. DANISCO US INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1595	AMP PLUS, INC. v. DMF, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1934	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1805	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1001	MOSAIC BRANDS, INC. v. RIDGE WALLET LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	Summary Judgment
21-2246	MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. v. HOLOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-2215	EIS GMBH v. NOVOLUTO GMBH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Anticipation		PTAB IPR Decision
20-2271	VIRNETX INC. v. MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1524	ENGLE GRANGE, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1697	PUREWICK CORPORATION v. SAGE PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1183	ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION v. MODERNATX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1450	BLUECATBIO MA INC. v YANTAI AUSBIO LABORATORIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1924	UCB, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Vacated	Bench Trial
22-1106	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. SATCO PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1580	In Re REZANEZHAD GATABI [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2271	VIRNETX INC. v. MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2320	SALAZAR v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Jury Verdict

22-1046	INTEL CORPORATION \ QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-1387	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2063	INCEPT LLC v. PALETTI LIFE SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1045	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1409	JIANGSU FAVORED NANOTECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. P2I LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1526	KANNUU PTY LTD. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1951	SCHWENDIMANN v. NEENAH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1924	INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION v. LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1761	ABS GLOBAL, INC. v. CYTONOME/ST, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1523	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision

20-1147	TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION v. INTE RECREATION CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1704	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DSS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1969	In Re WYCECH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Anticipation	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal

G. Validity and Enforceability  
 2. Alice - Patentable Subject Matter

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
11-1467	BANCORP SERVICES, L.L.C. V. SUN LIFE ASSURANCE CO.	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1459	IN RE BEINEKE	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1577	PERKINELMER, INC. V. INTEMA LTD.	Bryson, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1406	THE ASSOCIATION OF MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY V. U.S. PA	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
10-1406	THE ASSOCIATION OF MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY V. U.S. PA	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1406	THE ASSOCIATION OF MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY V. U.S. PA	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
09-1566	DEALERTRACK V. HUBER	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	
11-1301	CLS BANK INTERNATIONAL v. ALICE CORPORATION [OPINI	Rader, Newman, Lourie, Linn, Dyk, Prost, Moore, O'	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1486	ACCENTURE GLOBAL SERVICES v. GUIDEWIRE SOFTWARE, I	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1177	SINCLAIR-ALLISON, INC. v. FIFTH AVENUE PHYSICIAN S	Dyk, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Decision on Motion to Dismiss
13-1167	INRE: GIANNELLI [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1227	CARDPOOL, INC. v. PLASTIC JUNGLE, INC. [RULE 36 JU	Rader, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1186	SMARTGENE, INC. v. ADVANCED BIOLOGICAL LAB [OPINIO	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1673	CYBERFONE SYSTEMS v. CNN INTERACTIVE GROUP [OPINIO	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1407	INRE: ROSLIN INSTITUTE (EDINBURGH) [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

13-1460	INRE: BENTWICH [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1600	DIGITECH IMAGE TECHNOLOGIES v. ELECTRONICS FOR IMA	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1663	PLANET BINGO, LLC v. VKGS LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1575	BUYSAFE, INC. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1544	ULTRAMERCIAL, INC. v HULU, LLC [OPINION] (2014)	Lourie, Mayer, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
13-1588	CONTENT EXTRACTIOI v. WELLS FARGO BANK [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
12-1696	OIP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINIO	Hughes, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
14-1139	ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS INC v. SEQUENOM, INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1048	INTERNET PATENTS CORPORATION v. ACTIVE NETWORK, IN	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
14-1361	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH RESEARCH v. AMBRY GENETICS CORP	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-1039	RETIREMENT CAPITAL ACCESS v. U.S. BANCORP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1319	MORALES v. SQUARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Unspecified	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
15-1245	JOAO BOCK TRANSACTION SYSTEMS v. JACK HENRY & ASSOCIATES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarantc	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1218	HEMOPET v. HILL'S PET NUTRITION, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1855	CMG FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. v. PACIFIC TRUST BANK, F.S.B. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1506	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

14-1258	ALLVOICE DEVELOPMENTS US, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORP. [	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1505	DDR HOLDINGS, LLC v. HOTELS.COM, L.P. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1907	TRANXITION, INC. v. LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC. [OPINION]		Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1550	AMERICAN NEEDLE, INC. v. ZAZZLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
15-1917	NETFLIX, INC. v. ROVI CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1180	AMDOCS (ISRAEL) LIMITED v. OPENET TELECOM, INC. [REVISED OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1599	SYNOPSISYS, INC. v. MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1054	BLUE SPIKE, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Involuntary Dismissal
16-1046	DATATREASURY CORPORATION v. FIDELITY NATIONAL INFORMATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1050	DATATREASURY CORPORATION v. JACK HENRY AND ASSOCIATES, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Moot	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1112	CONCATEN, INC. v. AMERITRAK FLEET SOLUTIONS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1985	FAIRWARNING IP, LLC v. IATRIC SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1096	FAIRWARNING IP, LLC v. CYNERGISTEK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]		Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1267	GT NEXUS, INC. v. INTTRA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1769	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-1082	BROADBAND ITV, INC. v. HAWAIIAN TELCOM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1845	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC v. DIRECTV, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
15-2080	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC v. AMAZON.COM INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-2012	NOVO TRANSFORMA TECHNOLOGIES v. SPRINT SPECTRUM, L.P. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1080	MCRO, INC. v. BANDAI NAMCO GAMES AMERICA [CORRECTED OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1318	CAPITAL DYNAMICS v. CAMBRIDGE ASSOCIATES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1890	KOMBEA CORPORATION v. NOGUAR L.C. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1004	TDE PETROLEUM DATA SOLUTIONS v. AKM ENTERPRISE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1324	IN RE: CHORNA [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1778	ELECTRIC POWER GROUP, LLC v. ALSTOM S.A. [OPINION]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1898	SHORTRIDGE v. FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION [OPINION]	Linn, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1863	IPLEARN-FOCUS, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1570	RAPID LITIGATION MANAGEMENT v. CELLZDIRECT, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1763	BASCOM GLOBAL INTERNET v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Motion to Dismiss

16-1099	EXERGEN CORPORATION v. THERMOMEDICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1886	KICKSTARTER, INC. v. FAN FUNDED, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Stoll, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1372	TLI COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. AV AUTOMOTIVE, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
15-1244	ENFISH, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1202	GENETIC TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED v. MERIAL L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
15-1852	IN RE: BROWN [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1988	INVENTOR HOLDINGS, LLC v. BED BATH & BEYOND, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1728	CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE v. INTL. SECURITIES EXCHANGE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1656	JERICHO SYSTEMS CORPORATION v. AXIOMATICS INC. [JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1332	ESSOCIATE, INC. v. CLICKBOOTH.COM, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1664	IN RE: SMITH [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1525	CLEAR WITH COMPUTERS, LLC v. ALTEC INDUSTRIES, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
15-1634	WIRELESS MEDIA INNOVATIONS v. MAHER TERMINALS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Clevenger	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss

15-1492	PRICEPLAY.COM, INC. v. AOL ADVERTISING, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
15-1411	VEHICLE INTELLIGENCE v. MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1261	CLOUD SACHEL, LLC v. BARNES & NOBLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1336	MORSA v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-1769	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1769	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion
15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1703	APPLE, INC. v. AMERANTH [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1614	VOXATHON LLC v. FCA US LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]		Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1286	MACROPOINT, LLC v. FOURKITES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
15-2008	PERSONALIZED MEDIA v. AMAZON.COM INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
14-1435	LENDINGTREE v. ZILLOW, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1506	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1415	MORTGAGE GRADER, INC. v. FIRST CHOICE LOAN SERVICES [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stark*, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1194	VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP v. SAP AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision

16-1521	AMERICA'S COLLECTIBLES NETWORK v. THE JEWELRY CHANNEL, INC. USA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1034	HEALTHTRIO LLC v. AETNA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1616	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTL v. CQG, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
15-2077	APPISTRY, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2417	APPISTRY, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1188	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE LLC v. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss; Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1672	RACETECH, LLC v. KENTUCKY DOWNS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1188	EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE LLC v. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss; Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1179	PARUS HOLDINGS INC v. SALLIE MAE BANK [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
16-1622	ATHENAHEALTH, INC. v. CARECLOUD CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-5150	THALES VISIONIX INC. v. US [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1059	SMARTFLASH LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-2281	ERESEARCHTECHNOLOGY, INC. v. CRF, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
17-1119	COFFELT v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1781	CLARIOLOGIC, INC. v. FORMFREE HOLDINGS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-2312	NEXTPPOINT, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2079	IN RE: SALWAN [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1943	NETWORK APPAREL GROUP, LP v. AIRWAVE NETWORKS INCORPORATED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1128	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Motion to Dismiss
16-1128	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1077	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-5150	THALES VISIONIX INC. v. US [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2079	IN RE: SALWAN [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1714	WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1994	SKILLSURVEY, INC. v. CHECKSTER LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2286	WHITE KNUCKLE GAMING v. ELECTRONIC ARTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2370	OPEN PARKING, LLC v. PARKME, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
17-1119	COFFELT v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-1781	CLARILOGIC, INC. v. FORMFREE HOLDINGS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1947	WEST VIEW RESEARCH, LLC v. AUDI AG [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2323	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. XILINX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2193	PRESERVATION WELLNESS v. ALLSCRIPTS HEALTHCARE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2163	INTEGRATED CLAIMS SYSTEMS, LLC v. TRAVELERS LLOYDS OF TEXAS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1499	RECOGNICORP, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1947	WEST VIEW RESEARCH, LLC v. AUDI AG [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1499	RECOGNICORP, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2066	EASYWEB INNOVATIONS, LLC v. TWITTER, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2074	NEXUSCARD, INC. v. THE KROGER CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2031	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	JMOL
16-1766	THE CLEVELAND CLINIC v. TRUE HEALTH DIAGNOSTICS LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2438	VIDEOSHARE, LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2574	MULTIMEDIA PLUS, INC. v. PLAYERLYNC LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2254	VISUAL MEMORY LLC v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Motion to Dismiss

16-1913	AUDATEX NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-2254	VISUAL MEMORY LLC v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Motion to Dismiss
16-2622	GONZALEZ v. NEW LIFE VENTURES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2604	FRONT ROW TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. MLB ADVANCED MEDIA, L.P. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2531	TWO-WAY MEDIA LTD. v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1233	SMART SYSTEMS INNOVATIONS, LLC v. CHICAGO TRANSIT AUTHORITY [OPINION]	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1728	SECURED MAIL SOLUTIONS LLC v. UNIVERSAL WILDE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1041	NICE SYSTEMS LTD. v. CLICKFOX INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2672	WHITEPAGES, INC. v. ISAACS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2688	R+L CARRIERS, INC. v. MICRODEA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1067	IN RE: LEVENSTEIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1062	GLOBAL CHECK SERVICES, INC. v. ELECTRONIC PAYMENT SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Plager	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2684	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1254	MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS v. UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings

16-2520	FINJAN, INC. v. BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Hughes	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1429	ZKEY INVESTMENTS, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2151	SP PLUS CORPORATION v. IPT, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1376	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. FTI COMPANIES, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1463	MOVE, INC. v. REAL ESTATE ALLIANCE [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1704	COHO LICENSING LLC v. OATH INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1714	IN RE: BURGOS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1795	INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGICAL v. FIRST INTERNET BANK OF [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1494	AUTOMATED TRACKING SOLUTIONS v. THE COCA-COLA COMPANY [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1452	AATRIX SOFTWARE, INC v. GREEN SHADES SOFTWARE, INC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2105	EVERYMD.COM LLC v. FACEBOOK INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2315	EXERGEN CORPORATION v. KAZ USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL
17-1814	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1886	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. v. POLAR ELECTRO OY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-2139	MAXON, LLC v. FUNAI CORPORATION, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

17-1952	DIALWARE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC v. HASBRO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1482	VIRGINIA INNOVATION SCIENCES v. HTC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2451	SMARTFLASH LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-1897	MANKES v. FANDANGO, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2394	IN RE: EBERRA [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2081	SAP AMERICA, INC. v. INVESTPIC LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1827	IN RE: WANG [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter		PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2625	TS PATENTS LLC v. YAHOO! INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1234	BURNETT v. PANASONIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2502	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC v. AOL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-2051	UNILOC USA, INC. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1980	BSG TECH LLC v. BUYSEASONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2069	IN RE: VILLENA [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2066	EASYWEB INNOVATIONS, LLC v. TWITTER, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2001	CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORP. v. WESTLAKE SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1766	THE CLEVELAND CLINIC v. TRUE HEALTH DIAGNOSTICS LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

16-2031	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL
16-2031	PRISM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	JMOL
16-1913	AUDATEX NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. MITCHELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1502	RETURN MAIL, INC. v. USPS [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1728	SECURED MAIL SOLUTIONS LLC v. UNIVERSAL WILDE, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-1233	SMART SYSTEMS INNOVATIONS, LLC v. CHICAGO TRANSIT AUTHORITY [OPINION]	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2531	TWO-WAY MEDIA LTD. v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
2017-11	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. ERIE INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2520	FINJAN, INC. v. BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Hughes	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2684	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1463	MOVE, INC. v. REAL ESTATE ALLIANCE [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1437	BERKHEIMER v. HP INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1437	BERKHEIMER v. HP INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-2161	ZUILI v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-1494	AUTOMATED TRACKING SOLUTIONS v. THE COCA-COLA COMPANY [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-2315	EXERGEN CORPORATION v. KAZ USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL

17-2187	AUTOMATION MIDDLEWARE v. INVENSYS SYSTEMS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1262	EVERYMD.COM LLC v. AMAZON.COM INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1814	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. SYMANTEC CORP. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-2525	IN RE: BHAGAT [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2139	MAXON, LLC v. FUNAI CORPORATION, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2707	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2707	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-1930	VOTER VERIFIED, INC. v. ELECTION SYSTEMS & SOFTWARE [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2394	IN RE: EBERRA [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2081	SAP AMERICA, INC. v. INVESTPIC LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1827	IN RE: WANG [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1234	BURNETT v. PANASONIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2502	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC v. AOL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1980	BSG TECH LLC v. BUYSEASONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2069	IN RE: VILLENA [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

18-1124	BROADSOFT INC. v. CALLWAVE COMMUNICATIONS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-1071	IN RE: BAXTER CORPORATION ENGLEWOOD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1135	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-1332	MANTIS COMMUNICATIONS, LLC v. EDIBLE ARRANGEMENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1690	ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC. v. CEPHEID [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1090	PRODUCT ASSOCIATION TECH. v. CLIQUE BRANDS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1690	ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC. v. CEPHEID [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1135	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-1135	DATA ENGINE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-1404	ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HTC AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1469	GAELCO S.A. v. ARACHNID 360, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2408	DIGITAL MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES v. NETFLIX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1464	LOCATION BASED SERVICES, LLC v. NIAN TIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2544	PUREPREDICTIVE, INC v. H2O.AI, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

18-1464	LOCATION BASED SERVICES, LLC v. NIANTIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1469	GAELCO S.A. v. ARACHNID 360, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2408	DIGITAL MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES v. NETFLIX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1404	ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HTC AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2465	IN RE: MARCO GULDENAAR HOLDING B.V. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1407	GLASSWALL SOLUTIONS LIMITED v. CLEARSWIFT LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1102	CHART TRADING DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2471	UNISONE STRATEGIC IP, INC. v. LIFE TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2556	UMBANET, INC. v. EPSILON DATA MANAGEMENT, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1795	IN RE: DOWNING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2465	IN RE: MARCO GULDENAAR HOLDING B.V. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1407	GLASSWALL SOLUTIONS LIMITED v. CLEARSWIFT LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1428	POWER ANALYTICS CORPORATION v. OPERATION TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

17-2601	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1536	VOIT TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DEL-TON, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2171	UNILOC USA, INC. v. PICIS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1802	SMARTEN LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1644	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. CLOUDFLARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1895	IN RE: MORINVILLE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1730	IN RE: BARONOV [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1218	CLEVELAND CLINIC FOUNDATION v. TRUE HEALTH DIAGNOSTICS LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-1240	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1739	CHARGEPOINT, INC. v. SEMACONNECT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1295	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES INTL. v. CREATIVE COMPOUNDS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
18-1189	PERFORMANCE PRICING HOLDINGS v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision

18-1660	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC. v. HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1470	STRIKEFORCE TECHNOLOGIES, INC v SECUREAUTH CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
19-1382	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1697	BRIDGE AND POST, INC. v. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1495	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1489	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L v IBG LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	CBM Decision
18-1817	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2265	TRIPLAY, INC. v. WHATSAPP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2032	IN RE: SNYDER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1461	IN RE: GITLIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1117	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1971	REESE v. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1105	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L v IBG LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Linn, Mayer	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2508	ATHENA DIAGNOSTICS INC. v. MAYO COLLABORATIVE SERVICES [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion

18-1536	VOIT TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DEL-TON, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
17-2171	UNILOC USA, INC. v. PICIS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1802	SMARTEN LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1644	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. CLOUDFLARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1470	STRIKEFORCE TECHNOLOGIES, INC v SECUREAUTH CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1284	UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA RESEARCH v GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
18-1848	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC. v. TRUVEN HEALTH ANALYTICS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1660	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC. v. HEALTH CARE SERVICE CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1189	PERFORMANCE PRICING HOLDINGS v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1295	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES INTL. v. CREATIVE COMPOUNDS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
17-2223	SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1739	CHARGEPOINT, INC. v. SEMACONNECT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

17-1240	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1218	CLEVELAND CLINIC FOUNDATION v. TRUE HEALTH DIAGNOSTICS LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1730	IN RE: BARONOV [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2257	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L v. IBG LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1895	IN RE: MORINVILLE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2323	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTL v. IBG LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
18-1132	UNILOC USA, INC. v. ADP, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1132	UNILOC USA, INC. v. ADP, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1117	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1971	REESE v. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2186	UNILOC USA, INC. v. BIG FISH GAMES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1208	THUNDER POWER v. BYTON NORTH AMERICA CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1521	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1019	INO THERAPEUTICS LLC v. PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial

18-2103	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1758	MYMAIL, LTD. v. OOVVOO, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1345	SOLUTRAN, INC. v. ELAVON, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1763	AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING v. NEAPCO HOLDINGS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1222	23ANDME, INC. v. ANCESTRY.COM DNA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1088	MAXELL, LTD. v. FANDANGO MEDIA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1461	IN RE: GITLIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2032	IN RE: SNYDER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2265	TRIPLAY, INC. v. WHATSAPP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1817	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1817	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1489	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INT'L IBG LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	CBM Decision
18-1495	INNOVATION SCIENCES, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1697	BRIDGE AND POST, INC. v. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

19-1511	BAGGAGE AIRLINE GUEST SERVICES v. ROADIE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Schall, and Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1062	IN RE: PRIMBAS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1210	DISTEFANO PATENT TRUST III v. LINKEDIN CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion
18-2311	IN RE: FOTE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1863	KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V. v. GEMALTO M2M GMBH [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1382	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1345	SOLUTRAN, INC. v. ELAVON, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-2103	THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC. v. TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES CO. LTD [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1019	INO THERAPEUTICS LLC v. PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1521	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1208	THUNDER POWER v. BYTON NORTH AMERICA CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-2186	UNILOC USA, INC. v. BIG FISH GAMES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision
19-1520	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

19-1409	IN-DEPTH TEST LLC v. MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1312	TELESIGN CORPORATION v. TWILIO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1453	In Re TARASENKO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1628	BYTEMARK, INC. v. MASABI LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1235	PERSONAL BEASTIES GROUP LLC v. NIKE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1252	In Re NOBLE SYSTEMS CORPORATION [ORDER]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2239	CUSTOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DISH NETWORK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
19-2053	In Re THOMAS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2533	MANTISSA CORPORATION v. ONDOT SYSTEMS, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1662	SUPERCELL OY v. GREE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1846	CONSUMER 2.0, INC. v. TENANT TURNER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2157	In Re LITMAN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2198	REALTIME DATA LLC v. REDUXIO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1327	KCG TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. CARMAX AUTO SUPERSTORES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1101	TENSTREET, LLC v. DRIVERREACH, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL

19-2347	In Re SORENSEN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2313	ALPHONSO INC. v. FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1061	SALWAN v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1789	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, LC [OPINION]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1686	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1079	WESTERN EXPRESS BANCSHARES v. GREEN DOT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1254	In Re ZUNSHINE [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2161	DATA SCAPE LIMITED v WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2263	BRAEMAR MANUFACTURING, LLC v. THE SCOTTCARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1018	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1765	DROPBOX, INC. v. SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2399	UBISOFT ENTERTAINMENT, S.A. v. YOUSICIAN OY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2251	In Re ROSENBERG [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1917	BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATION S v. IAC/INTERACTIVECORI [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1587	ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION v. SHOPPERSCHOICE.CC M, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings

19-2048	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1067	In Re JOBIN [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1835	UNILOC USA, INC. v. LC ELECTRONICS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2301	In Re RUDY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1149	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2070	In Re GOPALAN [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2240	WHITSERVE LLC v. DONUTS INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1757	In Re MORSA [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1957	In Re THOMAS [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1419	ILLUMINA, INC. v. ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1172	BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1808	VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. v. TWITTER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
18-1863	KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V. v. GEMALTO M2M GMBH [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1409	IN-DEPTH TEST LLC v. MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1520	IN RE: GREENSTEIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1312	TELESIGN CORPORATION v. TWILIO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings

17-2533	MANTISSA CORPORATION v. ONDOT SYSTEMS, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2157	In Re LITMAN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2239	CUSTOMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. DISH NETWORK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
19-1846	CONSUMER 2.0, INC. v. TENANT TURNER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1662	SUPERCELL OY v. GREE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1808	VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. v. TWITTER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1172	BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1419	ILLUMINA, INC. v. ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1957	In Re THOMAS [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2240	WHITSERVE LLC v. DONUTS INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1757	In Re MORSA [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1018	BOZEMAN FINANCIAL LLC v. FEDERAL RESERVE BANK [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
19-2070	In Re GOPALAN [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2003	ERICSSON INC. v. TCL COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1149	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1195	NETSOC, LLC v. MATCH GROUP, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

19-2301	In Re RUDY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1835	UNILOC USA, INC. v. LC ELECTRONICS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1067	In Re JOBIN [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2048	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1587	ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION v. SHOPPERSCHOICE.CC M, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-1917	BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATION S v. IAC/INTERACTIVECORI [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2251	In Re ROSENBERG [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2399	UBISOFT ENTERTAINMENT, S.A. v. YOUSICIAN OY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1765	DROPBOX, INC. v. SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1018	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-2263	BRAEMAR MANUFACTURING, LLC v. THE SCOTTCARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-2161	DATA SCAPE LIMITED v. WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1254	In Re ZUNSHINE [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1079	WESTERN EXPRESS BANCSHARES v. GREEN DOT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2041	PACKET INTELLIGENCE LLC v. NETSCOUT SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Bench Trial

19-1789	XY, LLC v. TRANS OVA GENETICS, LC [OPINION]	Plager, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1061	SALWAN v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2313	ALPHONSO INC. v. FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
19-2347	In Re SORENSEN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL
20-1327	KCG TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. CARMAX AUTO SUPERSTORES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1101	TENSTREET, LLC v. DRIVERREACH, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-2192	TECSEC, INC. v. ADOBE INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1310	ADAPTIVE STREAMING INC. v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1256	BLACKBERRY LIMITED v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1458	FAST 101 PTY LTD. v. CITIGROUP INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1864	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1756	C R BARD INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1864	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1864	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1864	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1864	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB PGR Decision
20-1458	FAST 101 PTY LTD. v. CITIGROUP INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

20-1310	ADAPTIVE STREAMING INC. v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1171	SIMIO, LLC v. FLEXSIM SOFTWARE PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1195	NETSOC, LLC v. MATCH GROUP, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1831	GRECIA v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1611	In Re ABEL [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1531	IMAGEQUIX LLC v. SNAPIZZI INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1831	GRECIA v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1611	In Re ABEL [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1531	IMAGEQUIX LLC v. SNAPIZZI INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1644	In Re STURGEON [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1504	MORTGAGE APPLICATION TECH. v. MERIDIANLINK, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1644	In Re STURGEON [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1504	MORTGAGE APPLICATION TECH. v. MERIDIANLINK, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1477	ILIFE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. NINTENDO OF AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL
20-1274	BOOM! PAYMENTS, INC. v. STRIPE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1477	ILIFE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. NINTENDO OF AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	JMOL

20-1274	BOOM! PAYMENTS, INC. v. STRIPE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
16-2163	INTEGRATED CLAIMS SYSTEMS, LLC v. TRAVELERS LLOYDS OF TEXAS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1935	In Re MOHAPATRA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1777	VERIPATH, INC. v. DIDOMI [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1153	WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1307	CXLOYALTY, INC. v. MARITZ HOLDINGS INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1777	VERIPATH, INC. v. DIDOMI [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1307	CXLOYALTY, INC. v. MARITZ HOLDINGS INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1307	CXLOYALTY, INC. v. MARITZ HOLDINGS INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB CBM Decision
20-1995	ENCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. DAVINCIA, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1012	In Re THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1288	In Re THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2334	WHITSERVE LLC v. DROPBOX, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
19-1506	FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP. v. ALPHONSO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2125	GREE, INC. v. SUPERCELL OY [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1506	FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP. v. ALPHONSO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1835	In Re BONGIORNO [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

20-2270	In Re GALE [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1835	In Re BONGIORNO [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1760	YU v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1249	BARBARO TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. NIANTIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2333	GABARA v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2320	SENSORMATIC ELECTRONICS, LLC v. WYZE LABS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
21-1105	AFTECHMOBILE INC. v. SALESFORCE.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2218	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-2320	SENSORMATIC ELECTRONICS, LLC v. WYZE LABS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1543	PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-1825	MYMAIL, LTD. v. OOVVOO, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
21-1446	SMILEDIRECTCLUB, LLC v. CANDID CARE CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1113	CYBERGENETICS CORP. v. INSTITUTE OF ENVTL. SCIENCE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1825	MYMAIL, LTD. v. OOVVOO, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2044	UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1223	APPLE INC. v. UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2044	UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1223	APPLE INC. v. UNIVERSAL SECURE REGISTRY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1719	In Re ELBAUM [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1695	CG TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. FANDUEL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion on the Pleadings
21-1123	In Re ROBBINS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1695	CG TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. FANDUEL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2318	In Re SINGHAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1211	AMERANTH, INC. v. OLO INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2043	COSMOKEY SOLUTIONS GMBH & CO. v. DUO SECURITY LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
21-1491	INTERACTIVE WEARABLES, LLC v. POLAR ELECTRO OY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2123	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion on the Pleadings
21-1202	MENTONE SOLUTIONS LLC v. DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-2123	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings
20-2123	CARDIONET, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings

21-1202	MENTONE SOLUTIONS LLC v. DIGI INTERNATIONAL INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1052	MONUMENT PEAK VENTURES, LLC v. TOSHIBA AMERICA BUSINESS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-1954	PROCON ANALYTICS, LLC v. SPIREON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-1908	TRAVEL SENTRY, INC. v. TROPP [OPINION]	Lourie, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1990	WORLDS INC. v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1906	REPIFI VENDOR LOGISTICS, INC. v. INTELLICENTRICS, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2147	NEXRF CORP. v. PLAYTIKA LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1310	In Re SMITH [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2113	In Re KILLIAN [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1027	CAREDX, INC. v. NATERA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1310	In Re SMITH [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2113	In Re KILLIAN [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1027	CAREDX, INC. v. NATERA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1136	C.R. BARD, INC. v. MEDICAL COMPONENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Summary Judgment
22-1222	HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC v. CASTLE RETAIL, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

22-1116	CHROMADEX, INC. v. ELYSIUM HEALTH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1468	RIGGS TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, LLC v. CENGAGE LEARNING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1469	RIGGS TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, LLC v. VAGARO, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	NA
22-1092	ADASA INC. v. AVERY DENNISON CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1326	USADATA INCORPORATED v. DATAWIDGET LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
21-2203	BLIX INC. v. APPLE INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Cunningham, Moore	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
20-1319	SIGNATURE SYSTEMS, LLC v. AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Review
21-2350	IBM v. ZILLOW GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion on the Pleadings
21-2228	WEISNER v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1274	IN RE JANKE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2167	COOPERATIVE ENTERTAINMENT, INC. v. KOLLECTIVE TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2228	WEISNER v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2228	WEISNER v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2228	WEISNER v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2228	WEISNER v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

21-2350	IBM v. ZILLOW GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion on the Pleadings
22-1468	RIGGS TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, LLC v. CENGAGE LEARNING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1116	CHROMADEX, INC. v. ELYSIUM HEALTH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1222	HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC v. CASTLE RETAIL, LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1136	C.R. BARD, INC. v. MEDICAL COMPONENTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-1390	HANTZ SOFTWARE, LLC v. SAGE INTACCT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1364	PEOPLE.AI, INC. v. CLARI INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Newman	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
21-2173	SANDERLING MANAGEMENT LTD. v. SNAP INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1842	IN RE: AUTHWALLET, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
21-2268	REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC v. SLING TV, L.L.C. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1364	PEOPLE.AI, INC. v. CLARI INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Newman	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter		Judgment on the Pleadings
22-1364	PEOPLE.AI, INC. v. CLARI INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Newman	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
21-2263	SEQUOIA TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. DELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Reversed	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
21-2173	SANDERLING MANAGEMENT LTD. v. SNAP INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1842	IN RE: AUTHWALLET, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1308	TRINITY INFO MEDIA, LLC v. COVALENT, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

22-1397	USC IP PARTNERSHIP, L.P. v. META PLATFORMS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1590	FICEP CORPORATION v. PEDDINGHAUS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1940	HLFIP HOLDING, INC. v. YORK COUNTY, PA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
21-2251	REALTIME DATA LLC v. ARRAY NETWORKS INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
22-1590	FICEP CORPORATION v. PEDDINGHAUS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1397	USC IP PARTNERSHIP, L.P. v. META PLATFORMS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1655	AMERANTH, INC. v. PAPA JOHN'S USA, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
22-1200	AMERANTH, INC. v. DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
23-1251	In Re SALWAN [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-2272	MIRA ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Patentable Subject Matter	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss

## G. Validity and Enforceability

### 3. Enablement

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
11-1465	IN RE ANTOR MEDIA CORP.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Enabling Reference	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1221	MAGSIL CORP. V. HITACHI GLOBAL STORAGE TECHNOLOGIE	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1215	EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG. V. COREVALVE, INC.	Newman, Prost, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1555	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING, INC. V. MA	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	JMOL
11-1044	STRECK v. RESEARCH & DIAGNOSTIC	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	JMOL
11-1325	CEPHALON, INC. V. WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.	Bryson, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1223	WYETH v. ABBOTT LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1074	CONVOLVE v. COMPAC [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1576	PFIZER INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1406	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS	Chen, Plager, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1657	INRE: HOFFMANN [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1011	PROMEGA CORP v. LIFE TECH [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1072	CREATIVE KINGDOMS, LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Newman	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	USITC Final Determination

13-1049	LEE v. MIKE'S NOVELTIES [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
15-1117	SOUTHWIRE COMPANY, LLC v. CERRO WIRE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1275	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1096	VASUDEVAN SOFTWARE, INC. v. TIBCO SOFTWARE, INC. [	Chen, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1244	TOMITA TECHNOLOGIES USA, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD.	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1340	ALCON RESEARCH, LTD. v. BARR LABORATORIES, INC. [O	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-1102	SOCIEDAD ESPANOLA v. BLUE RIDGE X-RAY COMPANY [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Enablement	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-2038	IN RE: MARQUEZ [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1085	IN RE: MARTIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2576	TRUSTEES OF BOSTON UNIVERSITY v. EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1122	SWARTZ v. PATO [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Order on Motion to Dismiss
17-2037	IN RE: MAATITA [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Enablement	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1021	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-2498	ENZO LIFE SCIENCES, INC. v. ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

18-1691	IDENIX PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. GILEAD SCIENCES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	JMOL
19-1889	IN RE: MOTUPALLI [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2198	VERINATA HEALTH, INC. v. ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-1557	MCRO, INC. v. BANDAI NAMCO GAMES AMERICA [OPINION]	Mayer, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Enablement	Vacated	Summary Judgment
20-1074	AMGEN INC. v. SANOFI [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	JMOL
19-2418	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC v. BAXALTA INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
20-2155	PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES v. OXFORD NANOPORE TECHNOLOGIES [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	JMOL/Jury Verdict
20-2229	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1321	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1228	FS.COM INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
22-2209	In Re STARRETT [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1165	MEDYTOX, INC. v. GALDERMA S.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Bench Trial

22-1461	BAXALTA INCORPORATED v. GENENTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Enablement	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
---------	--	---------------------------	----------	------------	----------	---------------------

---

## G. Validity and Enforceability

### 4. Indefiniteness

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
11-1390	NOAH SYSTEMS, INC. V. INTUIT INC.	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1276	ERNIE BALL, INC. v. EARVANA, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1014	LIGHTING BALLAST V. PHILIPS ELECTRON	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1629	DEERE & CO. V. BUSH HOG, LLC.	Newman, Plager, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
11-1396	EPLUS, INC. V. LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1237	ACCENTRA V. STAPLES	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	JMOL
11-1004	HTC CORP. V. IPCOM GMBH & CO., KG	Bryson, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1004	HTC CORP. V. IPCOM GMBH & CO., KG	Bryson, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
10-1526	THE DOW CHEMICAL CO. V. NOVA CHEMICALS CORP.	Linn, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
09-1566	DEALERTRACK V. HUBER	Dyk, Linn, Plager	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1020	FUNCTION MEDIA V GOOGLE [OPINION]	Newman, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1070	INRE: DOROTHY HARTMAN [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1289	BIOSIG INSTRUMENTS INC. v. NAUTILUS, INC. [OPINIO	Newman, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1579	CREATIVE INTEGRATED SYSTEMS v. NINTENDC OF AMERICA	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

13-1007	IBORMEITH IP, LLC v. MERCEDES-BENZ USA [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1406	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS	Chen, Plager, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1204	INRE: PACKARD [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1476	TRITON TECH OF TEXAS, LLC v. NINTENDO OF AMERICA,	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1121	AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. YAHOO! INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v. NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1282	INTERVAL LICENSING LLC v. AOL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1384	LEXINGTON LUMINANCE LLC v. AMAZON.COM INC. [OPINIO	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Judgment on the Pleadings
14-1254	EIDOS DISPLAY, LLC v. AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION [OP	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1369	ELCOMMERCE.COM v. SAP AG [OPINION]	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1289	BIOSIG INSTRUMENTS INC. v. NAUTILUS, INC. [OPINIO	Newman, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1392	EON CORP. IP HOLDINGS LLC v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC [OP	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION2]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Rev	Bench Trial
13-1130	RICHARD WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1125	FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY v. ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

14-1370	ETHICON ENDO-SURGERY, INC. v. COVIDIEN, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1117	SOUTHWIRE COMPANY, LLC v. CERRO WIRE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness		PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1335	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINI	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1505	DDR HOLDINGS, LLC v. HOTELS.COM, L.P. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1130	WILLIAMSON v. CITRIX ONLINE, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Consent Judgment
14-1040	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. SNAP-ON INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1054	H-W TECHNOLOGY, L.C. v. OVERSTOCK.COM, INC. [OPINI	O'Malley; Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
14-1054	H-W TECHNOLOGY, L.C. v. OVERSTOCK.COM, INC. [OPINI	O'Malley; Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1979	GE LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC v. LIGHTS OF AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1013	COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. SPRINT COMMUNICATION COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1891	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. v. POLAR ELECTRO OY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1716	BAYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY v. WARNEF CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1966	UNWIRED PLANET LLC v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

15-1580	ALFRED E. MANN FOUNDATION v. COCHLEAR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-1979	GE LIGHTING SOLUTIONS, LLC v. LIGHTS OF AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1881	MIT v. SHIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1431	THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY v. NOVA CHEMICALS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1449	SONIX TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. PUBLICATIONS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-1602	IN RE: GORELIK [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1732	ADVANCED GROUND INFORMATION v. LIFE360, INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1244	ENFISH, LLC v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1007	IN RE: GARRIDO [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam
15-1251	SIMPLEAIR, INC. v. SONY ERICSSON MOBILE [OPINION-]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	NA	None
15-1297	ULTIMATEPOINTER, L.L.C. v. NINTENDO CO LTD [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-2050	DRIESSEN v. SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

15-1146	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. SYMANTEC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Consent Judgment
15-1331	AKZO NOBEL COATINGS, INC. v. DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1194	CIOFFI v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Plager	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
13-1326	CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE v. INTERNATIONAL SE	Rader, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-1903	FO2GO LLC v. PINTEREST, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
13-1378	ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-1448	CLOUD FARM ASSOCIATES LP v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
14-1218	MEDIA RIGHTS TECHNOLOGIES v. CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Judgment on the Pleadings
16-1410	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1510	TRUSTED KNIGHT CORPORATION v. IBM [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-2177	TWIN PEAKS SOFTWARE v. IBM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2105	ONE-E-WAY, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	USITC Decision
16-2535	LUFTHANSA TECHNIK AG v. ASTRONICS ADVANCED ELECTRONIC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2077	IN RE: MOUTTET [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

16-1770	BASF CORPORATION v. JOHNSON MATTHEY INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
17-1437	BERKHEIMER v. HP INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2035	A. SCHULMAN, INC. v. POLYONE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2368	CAPITAL SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. v. NCR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
17-1267	ZEROCLICK, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Markman Ruling
16-2177	TWIN PEAKS SOFTWARE v. IBM CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2465	MASTERMINE SOFTWARE, INC. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
16-2550	FOREST LABORATORIES INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
16-2197	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON POWER [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2295	LIQWD, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyan, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
17-1021	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-2368	CAPITAL SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC. v. NCR CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1726	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	PTAB PGR Decision
17-2388	SPINEOLOGY, INC. v. WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment

17-2553	DIEBOLD NIXDORF, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	USITC Decision
17-2037	IN RE: MAATITA [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2434	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. T-MOBILE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2513	SUPERNUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. TWI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1795	IN RE: DOWNING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2556	UMBANET, INC. v. EPSILON DATA MANAGEMENT, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1991	AUTO-DRIL, INC. v. NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO, L.P. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-2614	UNITED ACCESS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. AT&T CORP. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-2388	BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL v. ARCTIC CAT INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-2042	GUANGDONG ALISON HI-TECH CO. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
17-2149	HZNP MEDICINES LLC v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1019	GRECIA v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling

19-1100	INTELLIGENT AUTOMATION DESIGN v. ZIMMER BIOMET CMF AND THORACIC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Unknown
19-2076	HIP, INC. v. Hormel Foods Corporation [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-2227	IQASR LLC v. WENDT CORP. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2269	VIA VADIS, LLC v. BLIZZARD ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
19-1524	PACIFIC COAST BUILDING v. CERTAINTeed Gypsum, Inc. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2191	BUSHNELL HAWTHORNE, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, O'Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
18-2220	NEVRO CORP. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-2072	UNILOC USA, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
19-1005	FIBER, LLC v. CIENA CORPORATION [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1191	IRONWORKS PATENTS LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Markman Ruling/Stipulation
18-2220	NEVRO CORP. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-2220	NEVRO CORP. v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1212	BALL METAL BEVERAGE CONTAINER v. CROWN PACKAGING TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
19-1888	DIONEX Softron GmbH v. Agilent Technologies, Inc. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision

19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2269	VIA VADIS, LLC v. BLIZZARD ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2400	IBSA INSTITUT BIOCHIMIQUE v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O`Malley, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1607	HORIZON PHARMA, INC. v. DR. REDDY`S LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O`Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1456	SASO GOLF, INC. v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
20-1189	INFINITY COMPUTER PRODUCTS v. OKI DAT, AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
19-2196	SYNCHRONOSS TECHNOLOGIES, INC v DROPBOX, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1646	RAIN COMPUTING, INC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling/Consent Judgment
20-1284	RIDEAPP, INC. v. LYFT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1785	BIO-RAD LABORATORIES, INC. v ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-1283	SPEX TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
20-2189	VTT TECHNICAL RESEARCH CENTRE v. SITIME CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O`Malley, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1837	TVNGO LTD. (BVI) v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2129	PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. v. U.D. ELECTRONIC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O`Malley	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1975	TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION v. INTE RECREATION CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
20-1852	TRAXCELL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-1828	INTEL CORPORATION v QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2257	NATURE SIMULATION SYSTEMS INC. v. AUTODESK, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1939	IMMUNOGEN, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-2040	VDPP LLC v. VIZIO, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling/Consent Judgment
21-1725	DYFAN, LLC v. TARGET CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-1517	CLEARONE, INC. v. SHURE ACQUISITION HOLDINGS [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1076	In Re KIELY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2191	COOLTVNETWORK.COM, INC. v. BLACKBOARD, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Consent Judgment/Markman Ruling
21-1969	UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS v. L'OREAL S.A. [OPINION]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
22-1098	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v GOVISION, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
21-2370	GRACE INSTRUMENT INDUSTRIES, LLC v. CHANDLER INSTRUMENTS COMPANY, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stark	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction

21-2269	IN RE UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2093	FINJAN LLC v. ESET, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
20-2210	SPEX TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. APRICORN [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Reversed	Markman Ruling
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1785	XR COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1129	BASF CORPORATION v. INGEVITY SOUTH CAROLINA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2296	IRONBURG INVENTIONS LTD. v. VALVE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Order on Motion
22-1125	XR COMMUNICATIONS LLC v. ARRIS SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
20-2118	BRIGHT DATA LTD. v. B SCIENCE (2009) LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1066	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1064	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Consent Judgment - After Claim Construction
22-1066	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1048	FINJAN LLC v. SONICWALL, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham, Reyna	Validity	Indefiniteness	Vacated	Order on Motion
22-1063	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Affirmed	Markman Ruling
22-1063	WSOU INVESTMENTS LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Indefiniteness	Remanded	Markman Ruling

## G. Validity and Enforceability

### 5. Obviousness

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
09-1171	OUTSIDE THE BOX INNOVATIONS, LLC. V. TRAVEL CADDY,	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
11-1584	POZEN INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1360	SANTARUS, INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Moore, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1360	SANTARUS, INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Moore, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
10-1360	SANTARUS, INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Moore, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1244	K-TEC, INC. V. VITA-MI CORP.	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1009	SOVERAIN SOFTWARE V NEWEGG [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
12-1092	IN RE AVID IDENTIFICATION	Bryson, Clevenger, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1417	IN RE YUFA	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1137	IN RE PEPPERBALL TECHNOLOGIES	Dyk, Plager, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1399	IN RE CYCLOBENZAPRINE HYDROCHLORIDE EXTENDED-RELEASE	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
11-1361	IN RE TRANSACTION HOLDINGS LTD., LLC.	Bryson, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1387	IN RE BREINER	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1451	IN RE MOUTTET	O'Malley, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1455	ALCON RESEARCH, LTD. V. APOTEX INC.	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
11-1105	KINETIC CONCEPTS, INC. V. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC.	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
11-1461	IN RE APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.	Clevenger, Linn, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision

11-1526	IN RE NOUVEL	Bryson, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
10-1341	MINTZ V. DIETZ & WATSON, INC.	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
11-1239	IN RE SUONG-HYU HYON	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1254	IN RE CHEVALIER	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1360	SANTARUS, INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Moore, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1040	ALLERGAN V BARR LABS [OPINION]	Bryson, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1434	REXNORD INDUSTRIES V KAPPOS [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1094	HARRIS CORP. V. FED EX CORP.	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1600	IN RE DROGE	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1455	ALCON RESEARCH, LTD. V. APOTEX INC.	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1126	OTSUKA PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. V. SANDOZ, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1018	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. HOSPIRA, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1584	POZEN INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1555	TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER DRILLING, INC. V. MA	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
12-1282	WINEGARD CO. V. ELECTRONIC CONTROLLED SYSTEMS, INC	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1615	INVENTIO AG. V. OTIS ELEVATOR CO.	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1492	PREGIS CORP. V. KAPPOS	Clevenger, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1295	FLUOR TEC, CORP. V. U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFI	Bryson, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1231	KINGPAK TECH V. KAPPOS	Bryson, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
10-1460	ASTRAZENECA V. AUROBINDO	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

11-1332	CW ZUMBIEL V. KAPPOS	Prost, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1091	OSRAM SYLVANIA, INC. V. AMERICAN INDUCTION TECHNOL	O'Malley, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
11-1638	ARCELORMITTAL FRANCE V. AK STEEL CORP.	Clevenger, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1255	IN RE SUTTON	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1349	NORGREN INC, V. INTERNATIONAL TRAD COMMISSION	Linn, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
11-1553	VOTER VERIFIED, INC. V. PREMIER ELECTION SOLUTIONS	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1476	FLO HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS, LLC. V. PATENT AND TRADE	Newman, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1049	CUMMINS-ALLISON CORP. V. SBM CO., LTD.	Lourie, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
10-1478	WOODS V. DEANGELO MARINE EXHAUST, INC	Dyk, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1538	ACTIVEVIDEO NETWORKS, INC. V. VERIZON COMMUNICATIO	Bryson, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
11-1206	WHITSERVE, LLC. V. COMPUTER PACKAGES, INC.	Mayer, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1449	LINGAMFELTER V. KAPPOS	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1465	IN RE ANTOR MEDIA CORP.	Bryson, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Obviousness		BPAI Decision
12-1228	SCIELE PHARMA INC. V. LUPIN LTD.	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Decision
11-1140	WM. WRIGLEY JR. CO. V. CADBURY ADAMS USA LLC.	Bryson, Fogel*, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1006	IN RE TAYLOR	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1570	PLASMART, INC. V. KAPPOS.	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision
11-1073	IN RE BAXTER INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1105	APPLE, INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
11-1294	IN RE MOUSA	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision

11-1344	IN RE NAREN CHAGANTI	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1149	MYSACE, INC. V. GRAPHON CORP.	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1173	METTLER-TOLEDO, INC. V. B-TEK SCALES, LLC.	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1417	IN RE YUFA	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness		BPAI Decision
10-1547	CELSIS IN VITRO, INC. V. CELLZDIRECT, INC.	Gajarsa, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
12-1380	FUNCTION MEDIA, L.L.C. v. KAPPOS [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1191	SYNQOR V ARTESYN TECH [OPINION]	Daniel*, Lourie, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1218	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. V FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTO	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1609	INRE: STEVE MORSA [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1397	BAYER HEALTHCARE PHARMA v. WATSON PHARMA [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1286	SHIMANO, INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1619	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1619	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1564	INRE: SCOTT SCHREER [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1572	METSO MINERALS, INC. v. POWERSCREEN INTERNATIONAL	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1063	ALEXSAM, INC. v. IDT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1640	IN RE: ANTHONY BAYNE [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
11-1223	NOVO NORDISK A/S v. CARACO PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1536	THOMSON LICENSING SAS v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1343	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision

12-1536	THOMSON LICENSING SAS v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	ITC Decision
12-1610	IN RE: DORON ADLER [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1692	IN RE: JOSEPH GIUFFRIDA [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1692	IN RE: JOSEPH GIUFFRIDA [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1567	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Benson*, Moore, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1355	PLANTRONICS, INC. v. ALIPH, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1463	CHEESE SYSTEMS, INC. v. TETRA PAK CHEESE [OPINION]	Davis*, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
12-1338	APPLE INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	ITC Decision
12-1518	MEADWESTVACO CORPORATION v. REXAM BEAUTY [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1611	RANDALL MFG. v. REA [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
13-1222	INRE: MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1034	GALDERMA LABORATORIES v. TOLMAR [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1485	INSTITUT PASTEUR & UNIVERSITE v. FOCARINO [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision
13-1259	ALBERTS v. LEE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1421	INRE: MUNZ [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1261	INRE: VISTAPRINT TECHNOLOGIES LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGME]	Hughes, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1483	INRE: JAMES COX [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1520	LEO PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS v. REA [OPINION]	O'Malley, Rader, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision

12-1576	PFIZER INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1645	ENOCEAN GMBH v. FACE INTERNATIONAL CORP [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
13-1372	INRE: CHAGANTI [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1114	INRE: ENHANCED SECURITY RESEARCH LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1485	INSTITUT PASTEUR & UNIVERSITE v. FOCARINO [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
12-1535	MOTOROLA MOBILITY v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
12-1170	SUPREMA, INC./CROSS MATCH v. ITC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	ITC Proceeding
12-1513	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	BPAI Decision
13-1433	MRC INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HUNTER MFG., LLP [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1128	HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1645	K-TECH TELECOMMUNICATIONS v. BLONDER TONGUE LABORA	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1622	INRE: WALLEN [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1642	OHIO WILLOW WOOD CO. v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
13-1417	MOTOROLA MOBILITY, LLC v. ITC	NA	Validity	Obviousness	NA	USITC Decision
13-1549	K/S HIMPP v. HEAR-WEAR TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
12-1455	HIGH POINT DESIGN LLC v. BUYER'S DIRECT, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
12-1452	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, INC. v. ACCESS CLOSURE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1336	BENNETT MARINE, INC v. LENCO MARINE, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Schall, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

12-1630	Q. I. PRESS CONTROLS v. LEE [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1153	CONTE v. JAKKS PACIFIC, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1075	IN RE: WIRTH [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1306	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICA	Chen, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1634	RAMBUS INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
12-1309	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. EMULEX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
13-1312	ASTRAZENECA LP v. BREATH LIMITED [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1245	ALLERGAN, INC. v. APOTEX INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1690	INRE: HAASE [OPINION]	Plager, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
12-1611	RANDALL MFG. v. REA [OPINION]	Dyk, Rader, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAI Decision
13-1316	K-SWISS INC. v. GLIDE'N LOCK GMBH [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1622	INRE: WALLEN [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1104	INRE: EATON [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Rader	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1301	IN RE: RAJEN PATEL [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1301	IN RE: RAJEN PATEL [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
13-1201	INTOUCH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. VGO COMMUNICATIONS,	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1438	BRAINTREE LABORATORIES, INC. v NOVEL LABORATORIES	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

12-1489	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. GLENMARK PHARMACEUTI	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1307	I/P ENGINE, INC. v. AOL INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1416	FERRING B.V. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1400	ARLINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC. v. BRIDGEPORT FITTINGS,	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1066	IN RE: PACTIV LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
13-1660	IN RE: ROSS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1179	IN RE: TECHSHELL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1552	IN RE: TAYLOR MADE GOLF CO. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1262	WARNER CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC v. LUPIN LTD. [OPINIO	Lourie, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1162	IN RE: ROTH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1348	FORHEALTH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. INTELLIGENT HOSPI	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1137	IN RE: HOWARD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1439	WARNER CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC v. TEV# PHARMACEUTICA	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1391	PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. v. TWI PHARMACEUTICALS, I	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial

14-1288	IN RE: GRAFF [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1380	GAMECASTER, INC. v. DREAMWORKS ANIMATION SKG, INC.		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1357	HEAR-WEAR TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. K/S HIMPP [RULE 36]		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1369	HEAR-WEAR TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. K/S HIMPP [RULE 36]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1423	IN RE: PROKOP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1447	PLAS-PAK INDUSTRIES v. SULZER MIXPAC AG [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1451	PLAS-PAK INDUSTRIES, INC. v. RICHARD PARKS CORROSION		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1206	IN RE: IMES [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1487	IN RE NAS NALLE AUTOMATION SYSTEMS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1325	IN RE PEACH STATE LABS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1545	IN RE RODRIGUEZ-LAFRASSE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1599	SOFTVIEW LLC v. KYOCERA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1600	SOFTVIEW LLC v. KYOCERA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1257	IN RE CHUANG [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision

14-1627	CLEARLAMP, LLC v. LKQ CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMEN	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1512	INRE: DOWNHOLE PRODUCTS, LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1704	INRE: SINGHAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1509	BOARD OF TRUSTEES v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1511	BOARD OF TRUSTEES v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1060	MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1630	SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. LUPIN LIMITED [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1350	KENNAMETAL, INC. v. INGERSOLL CUTTING TOOL COMPANY	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
14-1556	HELPERICH PATENT LICENSING v. CBS INTERACTIVE [RUL	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1065	INSITE VISION INCORPORATED v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINIO	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1653	LUV N' CARE LTD v. MUNCHKIN, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMEN	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1731	INNOVENTION TOYS, LLC v. MGA ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1798	INRE: MAGNA ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1335	ASTRAZENECA LP v. BREATH LIMITED [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1804	TADAYON v. SAUCON TECHNOLOGIES [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

14-1799	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. WATSON LABORATORIES, I	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1145	IN RE GEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1747	GATOR TAIL, LLC v. MUD BUDDY LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1542	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PROXYCONN, INC. [OPINION]	Gilstrap*, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1073	SCHOTT GEMTRON CORPORATION v. SSW HOLDING COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1275	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1213	IN RE: ROTATABLE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1613	IVERA MEDICAL CORPORATION v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1618	ABT SYSTEMS, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1049	LEE v. MIKE'S NOVELTIES [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
15-1295	HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. v. STRYKER CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1208	FIRST QUALITY BABY PRODUCTS v. KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Inter Partes Reexam
15-1407	SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1170	IN RE: RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

15-1208	FIRST QUALITY BABY PRODUCTS v. KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	
14-1176	INTERDIGITAL v. ITC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness		USITC Final Determination
14-1747	GATOR TAIL, LLC v. MUD BUDDY LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1405	SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL v. TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1405	SEALANT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL v. TEK GLOBAL, S.R.L	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		Summary Judgment
14-1721	ACME SCALE COMPANY, INC. v. LTS SCALE COMPANY, LLC	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Inter Partes Reexam
14-1824	IN RE HOLNESS [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1798	INRE: MAGNA ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1275	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1335	ASTRAZENECA LP v. BREATH LIMITED [OPINION]	Bryson, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1731	INNOVENTION TOYS, LLC v. MGA ENTERTAINMENT, INC. [	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1676	BELDEN INC. v. BERK-TEK LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1554	INRE: 55 BRAKE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1065	INSITE VISION INCORPORATED v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINIO	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1668	STRYKER V. ZIMMER [REVISED OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1184	CADENCE PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. EXELA PHARMA SCIEN	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

13-1630	SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. LUPIN LIMITED [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1060	MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1060	MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1060	MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL
14-1578	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1576	WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1649	SOVERAIN SOFTWARE LLC v. VICTORIA'S SECRET [OPINIO	Dyk, Hughes, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1257	IN RE CHUANG [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1301	IN RE CUOZZO SPEED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1206	IN RE: IMES [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1447	PLAS-PAK INDUSTRIES v. SULZER MIXPAC AG [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1298	IN RE: ORBITAL TECHNOLOGIES CORP [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
14-1271	IN RE: STOLLER [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1518	INRE: SHANEOUR [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1331	FLEMING v. ESCORT INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

14-1108	CSR, PLC v. SKULLCANDY, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1382	IN RE: KHAYRALLAH [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
13-1324	TYCO HEALTHCARE GRP. LP v. ETHICON ENDO-SURGERY, I	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
13-1680	MALICO, INC v. LSI LOGIC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC.	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1419	SSL SERVICES, LLC v. CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1157	IN RE: ONTJES [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
13-1219	SCIENTIFIC PLASTIC PRODUCTS v. BIOTAGE AB [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1400	ARLINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC. v. BRIDGEPORT FITTINGS,	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1400	ARLINGTON INDUSTRIES, INC. v. BRIDGEPORT FITTINGS,	Linn, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
13-1307	I/P ENGINE, INC. v. AOL INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1307	I/P ENGINE, INC. v. AOL INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	NA	NA
14-1084	INRE: INDEX SYSTEMS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
12-1630	Q. I. PRESS CONTROLS v. LEE [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	BPAl Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1007	IN RE: GARRIDO [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

15-1808	INTERMEC, INC. v. ALIEN TECHNOLOGY, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1461	IN RE: SHORE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1217	AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1003	IN RE: EFTHYMIPOULOS [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1208	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1146	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1156	IN RE: ANDERSON [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-2056	SYNOPSISYS, INC. v. MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1182	IN RE: SHORE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1176	BRIXHAM SOLUTIONS, LTD. v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1178	BRIXHAM SOLUTIONS, LTD. v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1853	CLASSCO, INC. v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1973	IN RE: LEMAY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision

15-1649	SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1777	E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS v. MACDERMID PRINTING SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1871	APOTEX INC. v. WYETH LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1152	CLEARPLAY, INC. v. CUSTOMPLAY, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2073	ARENDI S.A.R.L. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1602	IN RE: GORELIK [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1302	U.S. BANCORP v. SOLUTRAN, INC. [JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1098	NETAC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD v. SANDISK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1601	ROBERT BOSCH HEALTHCARE SYSTEM v. CARDIOCOM, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1796	GRAFTECH INTL. HOLDINGS v. LAIRD TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1995	IN RE: ZHANG [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1093	IN RE: HEDLOY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]		Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1533	ALLIED ERECTING v. GENESIS ATTACHMENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1081	IN RE: KAYYALI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision

15-2045	PERFECT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES v. OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, O`Malley, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1648	SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2061	MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC v. MICROSOFT CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1867	MOTION GAMES, LLC v NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1933	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1693	INTELLIGENT BIO-SYSTEMS, INC. v. ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2027	ICON HEALTH & FITNESS, INC. v. JOHNSON HEALTH TECH CO. LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1860	IN RE: PORTAGE ELECTRIC PRODUCTS, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1876	IN RE: NOBLITT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1222	IN RE: HUBBELL INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1789	CORE LABORATORIES LP v. SPECTRUM TRACER SERVICES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Plager, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-2029	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. DEPOMED, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1476	IN RE: HAGENBUCH [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1588	WARNER CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1661	FELLOWES, INC. v. ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1895	BAKER HUGHES, INC. v. NALCO COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1724	INTERNATIONAL CONTROLS v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1809	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA v. HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1849	IN RE: RAU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1772	MODERUSTIC, INC. v. AMERICAN FIREGLASS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1045	ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION v. FELLOWES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1632	PHISON ELECTRONICS CORP. v. SILICON MOTION TECHNOLOGY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1317	IN RE: GEVO, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1630	NETAC TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. SANDISK CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1837	IBM v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1631	TRIVASCULAR, INC. v. SAMUELS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1412	SPECIALIZED BICYCLE COMPONENTS v. K.G. MOTORS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1294	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. EPIC PHARMA, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

15-1123	ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD. v. INTELLIGENT BIO-SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1149	LIFESCAN SCOTLAND, LTD. v. PHARMATECH SOLUTIONS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1408	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA v. HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1409	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA v. HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1387	IN RE: VI-JON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1272	IN RE: URBANSKI [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
14-1778	SOUTH ALABAMA MEDICAL SCIENCE v. GNOSIS S.P.A. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1779	MERCK & CIE v. GNOSIS S.P.A. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1305	IN RE: HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1215	ARIOSIA DIAGNOSTICS v. VERINATA HEALTH, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1634	PROMETHEUS LABORATORIES, INC. v. ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1286	AIP ACQUISITION LLC v. LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1827	B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1808	INTERMEC, INC. v. ALIEN TECHNOLOGY, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

15-1810	UNWIRED PLANET, LLC v. GOOGLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2043	PERFECT SURGICAL TECHNIQUES v. OLYMPUS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1672	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1672	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1458	IN RE: STEED [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1003	IN RE: EFTHYMIPOULOS [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1156	IN RE: ANDERSON [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1171	APPLE INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [EN BANC OPINION]	Prost, Newman, Lourie, Dyk, Moore, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1605	SERVER TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. AMERICAN POWER CONVERSION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1997	DAKO DENMARK A/S v. LEICA BIOSYSTEMS MELBOURNE [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1973	IN RE: LEMAY [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1549	LIFENET HEALTH v. LIFECELL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1155	CIRCUIT CHECK INC. v. QXQ INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
13-1668	STRYKER CORPORATION v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION 2016]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1649	SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1649	SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC v. FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1911	IN RE: NATURAL ALTERNATIVES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1894	VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. VEEAM SOFTWARE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1777	E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS v. MACDERMID PRINTING SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1871	APOTEX INC. v. WYETH LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1975	IN RE: VAN OS [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1050	IN RE: WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1050	IN RE: WARSAW ORTHOPEDIC, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1832	IN RE: CSB-SYSTEM INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam
16-1602	IN RE: GORELIK [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
13-1472	HALO ELECTRONICS, INC. v. PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. [PREC OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
16-1517	UUSI, LLC v. WEBASTO ROOF SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1372	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1934	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1211	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

14-1492	CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY v. MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1670	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1026	ASETEK DANMARK A/S v. CMI USA INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1764	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. ZOLL MEDICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1932	MELCHIOR v. HILITE INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1329	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC. v. FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTL. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1300	IN RE: MAGNUM OIL TOOLS INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1931	IN RE: LF CENTENNIAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1796	GRAFTECH INTL. HOLDINGS v. LAIRD TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1995	IN RE: ZHANG [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1533	ALLIED ERECTING v. GENESIS ATTACHMENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1720	GENZYME THERAPEUTIC PRODUCTS v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1081	IN RE: KAYYALI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision

16-1432	IN RE: GORELIK [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1824	EVEREADY BATTERY COMPANY, INC. v. SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1646	BLACK & DECKER, INC. v. POSITEC USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1646	BLACK & DECKER, INC. v. POSITEC USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1902	INTENDIS GMBH v. GLENMARK PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1972	IN RE: RHOADS [OPINION]	Bryson, Clevenger, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1693	INTELLIGENT BIO-SYSTEMS, INC. v. ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
12-1297	INRE: TELES AG INFORMATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	BPAI Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1765	BECKMANN v. GANDHI [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1765	BECKMANN v. GANDHI [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Interference Decision
16-1007	IN RE: GARRIDO [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1562	IN RE: MAN MACHINE INTERFACE TECH LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1562	IN RE: MAN MACHINE INTERFACE TECH LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam
15-1071	ATLAS IP, LLC v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
15-1222	IN RE: HUBBELL INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

15-1585	PRIDE MOBILITY PRODUCTS CORP. v. PERMOBIL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2029	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. DEPOMED, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1116	SHAW INDUSTRIES GROUP v. AUTOMATEC CREEL SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1116	SHAW INDUSTRIES GROUP v. AUTOMATEC CREEL SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1365	IN RE: CREE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Clevenger	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1476	IN RE: HAGENBUCH [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1588	WARNER CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1418	RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CAMTEK, LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1665	CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Linn, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1422	WILDCAT LICENSING WI, LLC v. ADIENT PLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1418	RUDOLPH TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CAMTEK, LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1592	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL [OPINION]	Linn, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1513	DELL INC. v. ACCELERON, LLC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1502	IN RE: VARMA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam
15-1072	HARMONIC, INC. v. AVID TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1498	HOWMEDICA OSTEONICS CORP. v. ZIMMER, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1361	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1361	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1361	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1364	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
14-5082	ZOLTEK CORP. v. US [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	COFC Decision
15-1176	WHITE v. H.J. HEINZ COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1719	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1719	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1646	TRANSWEB, LLC v. 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1631	TRIVASCULAR, INC. v. SAMUELS [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1294	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. EPIC PHARMA, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark*	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1123	ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD. v. INTELLIGENT BIO-SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1200	INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH v. PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1200	INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH v. PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Interference Decision

15-1316	CUTSFORTH, INC. v. MOTIVEPOWER, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1771	ETHICON ENDO-SURGERY, INC. v. COVIDIEN LP [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1272	IN RE: URBANSKI [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
15-1047	REDLINE DETECTION, LLC v. STAR ENVIROTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1301	IN RE CUOZZO SPEED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1778	SOUTH ALABAMA MEDICAL SCIENCE v. GNOSIS S.P.A. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1778	SOUTH ALABAMA MEDICAL SCIENCE v. GNOSIS S.P.A. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1778	SOUTH ALABAMA MEDICAL SCIENCE v. GNOSIS S.P.A. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1779	MERCK & CIE v. GNOSIS S.P.A. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1547	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1159	SIGHTSOUND TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
14-1466	PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY v. LIBERTY MUTUAL [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
14-1575	BELDEN INC. v. BERK-TEK LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
14-1575	BELDEN INC. v. BERK-TEK LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1212	STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC. v. SIPNET EU S.R.O. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

15-1305	IN RE: HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
15-1091	MCM PORTFOLIO LLC v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1696	IN RE: ETHICON, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1975	IN RE: VAN OS [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
13-1433	MRC INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HUNTER MFG., LLP [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Rader	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1299	LEAK SURVEYS, INC. v. FLIR SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2067	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1169	DELORME PUBLISHING CO., INC. v. BRIARTEK IP, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1197	CUBIST PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1634	PROMETHEUS LABORATORIES, INC. v. ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1407	SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1736	SHIRE LLC v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Linn, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
14-1613	IVERA MEDICAL CORPORATION v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1673	DOMESTIC PATENT L.P. v. LEE [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1618	ABT SYSTEMS, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L

16-1558	MONKEYMEDIA, INC v. BUENA VISTA HOME ENTERTAINMENT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam
16-1399	MONEYCAT LTD. v. EBAY INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1327	ZOND LLC v. GILLETTE COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1393	ZOND LLC v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1385	ADA SOLUTIONS, INC. v. ENGINEERED PLASTICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1266	IN RE: SCHWEICKERT [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1410	TINNUS ENTERPRISES LLC v. TELEBRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
16-1266	IN RE: SCHWEICKERT [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1155	CUMBERLAND PHARMACEUTICALS v. MYLAN INSTITUTIONAL LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1535	3FORM, INC. v. LUMICOR, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1875	GREATBATCH LTD. v. AVX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1877	IN RE: FERRI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-1636	LMK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. BLD SERVICES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1177	QUALTRICS, LLC v. OPINIONLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1174	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

16-2433	METALCRAFT OF MAYVILLE, INC. v. THE TORO COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Ruling
15-2038	SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2038	SLOT SPEAKER TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1184	KNAUF INSULATION, INC. v. ROCKWOOL INTERNATIONAL A/S [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1378	IN RE: DEPOMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1353	SECURE AXCESS, LLC v. PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision
16-1475	ICON HEALTH AND FITNESS, INC. v. STRAVA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1475	ICON HEALTH AND FITNESS, INC. v. STRAVA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1475	ICON HEALTH AND FITNESS, INC. v. STRAVA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1475	ICON HEALTH AND FITNESS, INC. v. STRAVA, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1518	LOS ANGELES BIOMEDICAL v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1748	TIETEX INTERNATIONAL LTD. v. PRECISION FABRICS GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1768	MH SYSTEMS, INC. v. COLDHARBOUR MARINE LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1896	HYUNDAI MOBIS CO., LTD. v. AUTOLIV ASP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1644	POWER SURVEY, LLC v. L-3 COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1755	MEIRESONNE v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1800	PROBATTER SPORTS, LLC v. SPORTS TUTOR INC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1384	SKULLCANDY, INC. v. CSR LIMITED [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1755	MEIRESONNE v. GOOGLE, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1644	POWER SURVEY, LLC v. L-3 COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2022	AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1805	BEAR CREEK TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1287	ENOVA TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY (US) [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1647	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1647	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1647	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1412	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1085	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Dismissal Motion

16-1519	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. COMMERCE BANCSHARES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1901	GOOGLE INC. v. SIMPLAIR, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1678	NOVARTIS AG v. NOVEN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2078	WASICA FINANCE GMBH v. CONTINENTAL AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1746	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1803	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1352	NOVARTIS AG v. TORRENT PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1956	SYNOPSISYS, INC. v. ATOPTECH, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1895	AUTOLIV ASP, INC. v. HYUNDAI MOBIS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1729	REMBRANDT WIRELESS v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1803	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1374	IPR LICENSING, INC. v. ZTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1374	IPR LICENSING, INC. v. ZTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1411	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1895	AUTOLIV ASP, INC. v. HYUNDAI MOBIS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1992	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1106	DUKE UNIVERSITY v. BIOMARIN PHARMACEUTICAL INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1585	NICHIA CORPORATION v. EVERLIGHT AMERICAS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1999	EMC CORPORATION v. CLOUDING CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2095	NANTKWEST, INC. v. LEE [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1092	IN RE: AFFINITY LABS OF TEXAS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte and Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1838	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1839	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1842	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1750	NESTLE USA, INC. v. STEUBEN FOODS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2255	IN RE: LIPPERT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-2153	INTERMETRO INDUSTRIES CORP v. ENOVATE MEDICAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1346	IN RE: PONIATOWSKI [OPINION]	Linn, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal Decision
16-2153	INTERMETRO INDUSTRIES CORP v. ENOVATE MEDICAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2233	ROVALMA, S.A. v. BOHLER-EDELSTAHL GMBH & CO. KG [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1775	E2INTERACTIVE v. BLACKHAWK NETWORK [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2018	SKKY, INC. v. MINDGEEK, S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2017	CROSSROADS SYSTEMS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1930	CROSSROADS SYSTEMS, INC. v. ORACLE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1824	HITACHI METALS, LTD. v. ALLIANCE OF RARE-EARTH [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2004	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1955	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. ERICSSON INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-2258	COLE KEPRO INTERNATIONAL, LLC v. VSR INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1769	OUTDRY TECHNOLOGIES v. GEOX S.P.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2214	HOME SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1572	GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	USITC Decision
16-2219	PLL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. XILINX, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2597	GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC v. US ENDODONTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2695	IN RE: FRANCIS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Decision Appeal
16-2561	C-CATION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. ARRIS GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
16-1996	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. v. MEXICHEM AMANCO HOLDING S.A. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam
16-1790	SPHERIX INCORPORATED v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1413	LUNAREYE, INC. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1818	THINK COMPUTER CORPORATION v. SQUARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
16-2440	HJS EMISSIONS TECHNOLOGY v. BASF CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-2066	MILLENNIUM PHARMACEUTICALS v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial

16-2597	GOLD STANDARD INSTRUMENTS, LLC v. US ENDODONTICS, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1123	PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC v. ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1811	IN RE: STEPAN COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2321	NIDEC MOTOR CORPORATION v. ZHONGSHAN BROAD OCEAN MOTOR CO [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2283	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2282	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2287	SOUTHWIRE COMPANY v. CERRO WIRE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-2082	INTERCONTINENTAL GREAT BRANDS v. KELLOGG NORTH AMERICA COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1809	NFC TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT][1]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2735	IN RE: NOMULA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2721	IDEMITSU KOSAN CO., LTD. v. SFC CO. LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1043	MIMEDX GROUP, INC. v. MUSCULOSKELETAL TRANSPLANT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
2016-27	UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND BIOTECH v. PRESENS PRECISION SENSING GMBH [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2169	BAYER PHARMA AG v. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial

17-1115	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2565	SNF HOLDING COMPANY v. BASF CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1126	IN RE: DOCTER OPTICS, SE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2354	BOUNDARY SOLUTIONS, INC. v. CORELOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2416	IN RE: INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
17-1385	SECURITY PEOPLE, INC. v. OJMAR US, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2686	YONGJIANG YIN AND SHENZHEN EL v. SURE-FIRE ELECTRICAL CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1678	IN RE: LORKOVIC [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1392	IN RE: NPS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1000	IN RE: DEHLIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2209	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	IPR Decision
16-2209	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	IPR Decision
16-2209	PAVO SOLUTIONS LLC v. KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	IPR Decision
16-2613	OWENS CORNING v. FAST FELT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	IPR Decision
17-1039	MAXLINEAR, INC. v. CF CRESPE LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1436	IN RE: DAI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-1577	EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD v. NICHIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1499	ALLERGAN SALES, LLC v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1928	BOSCH AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE v. MATAL [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1090	IN RE: RISSIO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1178	AMERICAN INNOTEK, INC. v. US [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2206	GENZYME CORPORATION v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1149	IN RE: THOMAS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1282	ROTHSCHILD DIGITAL MEDIA v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1052	DAIICHI SANKYO COMPANY, LTD. v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC., USA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2067	DELPHIX CORP. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2072	DELPHIX CORP. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2063	DELPHIX CORP. v. MATAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1475	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1184	SUMMIT 6, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1072	CF CRESPE LLC v. SILICON LABORATORIES INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2555	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. PERRIGO COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1355	ELBIT SYSTEMS OF AMERICA, LLC v. THALES VISIONIX INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1776	GRAPHIC PACKAGING INTL. v. INLINE PACKAGING, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1648	IN RE: TECSEC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1278	EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY v. CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1318	PRAXAIR TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. AIR LIQUIDE LARGE INDUSTRIES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1252	GRAMM v. DEERE & COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1574	IN RE: SCHOLLMAYER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O`Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2727	GOOGLE LLC v. AT HOME BONDHOLDERS LIQUID. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1249	ARENDI S.A.R.L. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2384	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1804	COSMO LIGHTING INC. v. ORSTAR INDUSTRIAL CO. LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1317	IN RE: KNAUF INSULATION, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision

17-1389	HOLOGIC, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1531	WANGS ALLIANCE CORPORATION v. PHILIPS LIGHTING NORTH AMERICA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1193	NESTLE USA, INC. v. STEUBEN FOODS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1290	STEUBEN FOODS, INC. v. NESTLE USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2551	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA v. SYMANTEC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1650	TCL CORPORATION v. TELEFONAKTIEBOLAG ET LM [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2606	PERSONALIZED MEDIA v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1441	PERSONALIZED MEDIA v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1808	CHESTNUT HILL SOUND INC. v. APPLE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1567	SOPHOS LIMITED v. IANCU [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2601	IN RE: BRANDT [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2523	DSS TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1131	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1946	LF CENTENNIAL LIMITED v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Dyl	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1554	RAYTHEON COMPANY v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1313	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1888	NHK SEATING OF AMERICA, INC. v. LEAR CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2458	IN RE: COUTTS [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1929	COMARCO WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1017	ICOS CORPORATION v. ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1960	IN RE: MERCK & CIE [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2302	IN RE: RICCELLI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1526	PHILIPS LIGHTING NORTH AMERICA v. WANGS ALLIANCE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1817	ASSA ABLOY AB v. SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2242	ERICSSON INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2017	FOUR MILE BAY, LLC v. ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2199	IN RE: WILKINSON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1748	ADVANCED AUDIO DEVICES, LLC v. HTC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1951	SSL SERVICES, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-2616	PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC. v. MALLINCKRODT HOSPITAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1947	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1890	BP CORPORATION NORTH AMERICA v. INVISTA S.A.R.L. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1235	VALMONT INDUSTRIES INC. v. LINDSAY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1897	XY, LLC v. ABS GLOBAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2228	XY, LLC v. ABS GLOBAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1161	ULTRATEC, INC. v. SORENSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
17-1647	KITE PHARMA, INC. v. SLOAN-KETTERING INSTITUTE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2343	WAUGH v. MCWANE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1486	IN RE: DURANCE [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1873	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. ARCTIC CAT, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1169	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. BARRY [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1881	TOSHIBA SAMSUNG STORAGE v. LG ELECTRONICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2307	AMERICAN VEHICULAR SCIENCES v. UNIFIED PATENTS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1451	PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. v. HORIZON THERAPEUTICS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1578	ALLURE ENERGY, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2126	SEADRILL AMERICAS, INC. v. TRANSOCEAN OFFSHORE DEEPWATER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2232	IN RE: CONNER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2020	IMPAX LABORATORIES INC. v. LANNETT HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1855	ELBRUS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2255	IN RE: MAQUET CARDIOVASCULAR LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2112	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1987	CAP CO., LTD. v. MCAFEE, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1989	CAP CO., LTD. v. MCAFEE, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2263	IN RE: LIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1425	BASF CORPORATION v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1719	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS SOLUTIONS v. CUSTOPHARM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1671	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2736	ALL-OF-INNOVATION GMBH v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1162	GOOGLE LLC v. PERSONALAUDIO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1894	GOPRO, INC. v. CONTOUR IP HOLDING LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2076	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1601	ZUP, LLC v. NASH MANUFACTURING, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1953	AUTOMATED MERCHANDISING SYSTEM v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-2195	FINCHIMICA S.P.A. v. ADAMA MAKHTESHIM LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1511	SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. v. AKORN, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1617	NETLIST, INC. v. SANDISK LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1866	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1896	IP CO., LLC. v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1521	ERICSSON INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2106	ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. CLEARCORRECT OPERATING, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2328	WAVETRONIX LLC v. SMART MICROWAVE SENSORS GMBH [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1841	IN RE: NUVASIVE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2018	SKKY, INC. v. MINDGEEK, S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1572	GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-1533	NAVICO INC. v. ITC [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Decision

16-1984	EMERACHEM HOLDINGS, LLC v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1984	EMERACHEM HOLDINGS, LLC v. VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1769	OUTDRY TECHNOLOGIES v. GEOX S.P.A. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2258	COLE KEPRO INTERNATIONAL, LLC v. VSR INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2250	SHINN FU COMPANY OF AMERICA v. TIRE HANGER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1824	HITACHI METALS, LTD. v. ALLIANCE OF RARE-EARTH [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1824	HITACHI METALS, LTD. v. ALLIANCE OF RARE-EARTH [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1474	IPCOM GMBH & CO. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1474	IPCOM GMBH & CO. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1543	GOOGLE INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1543	GOOGLE INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2573	SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1858	HTC CORPORATION v. CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

15-2066	MILLENNIUM PHARMACEUTICALS v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
16-1790	SPHERIX INCORPORATED v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1742	NETLIST, INC. v. DIABLO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1814	SOFT GEL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. JARROW FORMULAS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2321	NIDEC MOTOR CORPORATION v. ZHONGSHAN BROAD OCEAN MOTOR CO [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2283	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2283	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2283	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2283	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2282	VICOR CORPORATION v. SYNQOR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1749	ENOVA TECHNOLOGY CORP. v. SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY (US) [OPINION]	Lourie, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2152	SMARTDOOR HOLDINGS, INC. v. EDMIT INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2082	INTERCONTINENTAL GREAT BRANDS v. KELLOGG NORTH AMERICA COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

16-2287	SOUTHWIRE COMPANY v. CERRO WIRE LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1823	CABLZ, INC. v. CHUMS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1795	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1808	NFC TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Remanded	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2303	IN RE: SMITH INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB - Ex Parte Reexam
16-1607	IN RE: ARUNACHALAM [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1496	B/E AEROSPACE, INC. v. C&D ZODIAC, INC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1983	ORGANIK KIMYA AS v. ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2747	IGNITE USA, LLC v. CAMELBAK PRODUCTS, LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2354	BOUNDARY SOLUTIONS, INC. v. CORELOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2583	MERCK SHARP & DOHME B.V. v. WARNER CHILCOTT COMPANY, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-1115	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2468	DRAGON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION]	Mayer, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2169	BAYER PHARMA AG v. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
16-2169	BAYER PHARMA AG v. WATSON LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

2016-27	UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND BIOTECH v PRESENS PRECISION SENSING GMBH [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2722	SANOFI v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2555	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. PERRIGO COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2371	AIP ACQUISITION LLC v CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2515	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. PARALLEL NETWORKS LICENSING [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2198	CRFD RESEARCH, INC v. MATAL [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2198	CRFD RESEARCH, INC v. MATAL [OPINION]	Mayer, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1475	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL [OPINION]	Moore, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-2077	IN RE: MOUTTET [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
16-2199	HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2199	HILL-ROM SERVICES, INC. v. MATAL [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2206	GENZYME CORPORATION v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1880	HTC CORPORATION v. CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-1928	BOSCH AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE v. MATAL [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2080	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. BISCOTTI, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-1577	EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD v. NICHIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1032	MONSANTO TECHNOLOGY LLC v. E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2197	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON POWER [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-2295	LIQWD, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyan, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	NA	Preliminary Injunction Motion Decision
17-1920	IN RE: THERESA [OPINION]	Prost, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1540	CAP EXPORT, LLC v. ZINUS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
17-1379	GOOGLE LLC v. NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-1008	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. v. HOLOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1008	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. v. HOLOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1008	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. v. HOLOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1387	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1387	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1263	PAICE LLC v. FORD MOTOR COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1021	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision

17-1021	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1807	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. ARCTIC CAT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1807	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. ARCTIC CAT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1807	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. ARCTIC CAT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1807	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. ARCTIC CAT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1807	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. v. ARCTIC CAT, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1434	IN RE: HODGES [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1360	THINK PRODUCTS, INC v. ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1305	SNAP-ON INC. v. MILWAUKEE ELECTRIC TOOL CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2059	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1977	E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS v. SYNVINA C.V. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2397	UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2012	PARKERVISION, INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2078	ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. v. ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1665	IXI IP, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1333	OREXO AB v. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial

16-2509	GOOGLE LLC v. NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2601	IN RE: BRANDT [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1554	RAYTHEON COMPANY v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1547	EMED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION v. REPRO-MED SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2458	IN RE: COUTTS [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1888	NHK SEATING OF AMERICA, INC. v. LEAR CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-1954	KNOWLES ELECTRONICS LLC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1960	IN RE: MERCK & CIE [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1715	LUITPOLD PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PHARMACOSMOS A/S [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1681	APATOR MITTORS APS v. KAMSTRUP A/S [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1526	PHILIPS LIGHTING NORTH AMERICA v. WANGS ALLIANCE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1017	ICOS CORPORATION v. ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1295	WONDERLAND NURSERYGOODS CO. v. BABY TREND, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2504	DROPLETS, INC. v. ETRADE BANK [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

16-2099	WESTERNGECO LLC v. ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1728	BRAEMAR MANUFACTURING, LLC v. INFOBIONIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1235	VALMONT INDUSTRIES INC. v. LINDSAY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1235	VALMONT INDUSTRIES INC. v. LINDSAY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1487	ALTAIRE PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. PARAGON BIOTECK, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
17-1947	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2616	PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC. v. MALLINCKRODT HOSPITAL PRODUCTS [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1161	ULTRATEC, INC. v. SORENSON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	JMOL
16-2610	UCB, INC. v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1671	ERICSSON INC. v. INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
16-2470	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1169	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. BARRY [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1984	MOBILEYE VISION TECHNOLOGIES v. IONROAD, LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1582	PGS GEOPHYSICAL AS v. IANCU [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1341	SIRONA DENTAL SYSTEMS GMBH v. INSTITUT STRAUMANN AG [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-1855	ELBRUS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2020	IMPAX LABORATORIES INC. v. LANNETT HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1548	EQUISTAR CHEMICALS, LP v. WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1362	PPC BROADBAND, INC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1974	POLARA ENGINEERING INC v. CAMPBELL COMPANY [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
17-1807	JOHNS MANVILLE CORPORATION v. KNAUF INSULATION, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1719	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS SOLUTIONS v. CUSTOPHARM INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1671	JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1088	IN RE: PARKER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1601	ZUP, LLC v. NASH MANUFACTURING, INC [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
17-1162	GOOGLE LLC v. PERSONAL AUDIO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1034	MIGHTON PRODUCTS LIMITED v. VISION INDUSTRIES GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2524	IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2102	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict

17-1629	LUMINARA WORLDWIDE, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1000	D'AGOSTINO v. MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2078	ACORDA THERAPEUTICS, INC. v. ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1333	OREXO AB v. ACTAVIS ELIZABETH LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-2012	PARKERVISION, INC. v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2297	SOUTH-TEK SYSTEMS, LLC v. ENGINEERED CORROSION SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1851	C.R. BARD, INC. v. ANGIODYNAMICS, INCORPORATED [OPINION]	O'Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1963	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	<a href="http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o">http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o</a>
17-1962	NATURAL ALTERNATIVES v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	<a href="http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o">http://www.cafc.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/o</a>
18-1095	BASF CORPORATION v. ENTHONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1141	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Remanded	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1253	IN RE: KOLLMAN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1594	YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1765	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1594	YEDA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1575	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1141	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1141	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1095	BASF CORPORATION v. ENTHONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2557	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
17-2518	ADVANCED TOUCHSCREEN v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT] 11-19-201	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1274	HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC. v. F'REAL FOODS, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2549	WHATSAPP, INC. v. TRIPLAY, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1130	ALLERGAN, INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

18-1093	XACTWARE SOLUTIONS, INC. v. PICTOMETRY INTERNATIONAL CORP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1289	IN RE: MACOR [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1666	NUVASIVE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1155	REALTIME DATA, LLC v RIVERBED TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1134	GOODSON HOLDINGS, LLC v. TITEFLEX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2402	FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC v. BASS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1825	KUMAR v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
18-1020	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2336	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1666	NUVASIVE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1666	NUVASIVE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1666	NUVASIVE, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1525	ARISTA NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2549	WHATSAPP, INC. v. TRIPLAY, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1274	HAMILTON BEACH BRANDS, INC. v. F'REAL FOODS, LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2147	AMAZON.COM, INC. v. ZITOVault, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2314	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2557	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
17-2557	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-2424	VICTAULIC COMPANY v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2218	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1067	BLAST MOTION, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2635	PARTHENON UNIFIED MEMORY ARCH. v. HTC CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2490	VIRNETX INC. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2467	UVA PATENT FOUNDATION v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1102	CHART TRADING DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2218	VIVINT, INC. v. ALARM.COM INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Plaintiff/Patentee/Appellee
18-2090	IN RE: KARPf [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

17-2624	IN RE: IKEDA FOOD RESEARCH CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
17-2028	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. CONVERSANT WIRELESS LICENSING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1595	IN RE: HANSEN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1196	VALEO NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. SCHAEFFLER TECHNOLOGIES [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1123	ACCELERATION BAY, LLC v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1154	REALTIME DATA, LLC v IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1412	TRANSPORT TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v LA COUNTY METRO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1138	NXP USA, INC. v. NFC TECHNOLOGY, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
18-1173	SONY CORPORATION v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1685	ENGINEERED CORROSION SOLUTIONS v. SOUTH-TEK SYSTEMS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1485	ARCH DEVELOPMENT CORP. v. OSI PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1636	IP CO., LLC. v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1901	IN RE: WARK [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1609	IN RE: COHEN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

18-1257	NEPTUNE GENERICS, LLC v. ELI LILLY & COMPANY [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1995	IN RE: COBLER [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O`Malley, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2000	IN RE: YU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1309	OMEGA PATENTS, LLC v. CALAMP CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
18-1908	BASF CORPORATION v. JOHNSON MATTHEY INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1285	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2398	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2425	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1663	QFO LABS, INC. v. PARROT S.A. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, O`Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2228	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1358	COLAS SOLUTIONS, INC. v. BLACKLIDGE EMULSIONS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, O`Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1659	IN RE: CONRAD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, O`Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1385	PAICE LLC v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1996	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2169	VEDANTI LICENSING LIMITED v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1714	IN RE: LILLENESSE [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1599	PERSONAL WEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. APPLE, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1889	INVUE SECURITY PRODUCTS INC. v. MOBILE TECH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1793	IGNITE USA, LLC v. PACIFIC MARKET INTERNATIONAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1847	IN RE: NOBLE SYSTEMS CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1142	INTEGRATED CLAIMS SYSTEMS, LLC v. TRAVELERS INDEMNITY COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1299	JERRY HARVEY AUDIO HOLDING v. 1964 EARS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2041	INCEPT LLC v. HYPERBRANCH MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1798	TQ DELTA, LLC v. DISH NETWORK LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1890	EDGEWELL PERSONAL CARE BRANDS v. ALBAAD MASSUOT YITZHAK, LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1799	TQ DELTA, LLC v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1806	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. TQ DELTA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1166	IN RE: BLACK [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

17-2122	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2474	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. ELM 3DS INNOVATIONS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1986	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1832	FLAMM v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1349	IPCOM GMBH & CO. v. HTC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1859	RAPID COMPLETIONS LLC v. WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1777	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1987	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG v. APPLE INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1836	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1958	IN RE: TAYLOR [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2013	IN RE: UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1944	IN RE: ROSEN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1434	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

18-1557	SMART MODULAR TECHNOLOGIES v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1240	ABS GLOBAL, INC. v. INGURAN LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1433	AC TECHNOLOGIES S.A. v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Schall, and Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1154	REALTIME DATA, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2596	AMERIGEN PHARMACEUTICALS v. UCB PHARMA GMBH [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1356	ENVENTURE GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY v. MOHAWK ENERGY LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2028	LG ELECTRONICS, INC v. CONVERSANT WIRELESS LICENSING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1748	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1748	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1745	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2624	IN RE: IKEDA FOOD RESEARCH CO., LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
18-2090	IN RE: KARPFF [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2088	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. RESEARCH CORPORATION TECH. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-1659	IN RE: CONRAD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1520	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. GEP POWER PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2228	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2227	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

17-2227	GOOGLE LLC v. LEE [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-1153	GRUNENTHAL GMBH v ALKEM LABORATORIES LIMITED [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Reyna, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2222	ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1710	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL] a	Bryson, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1550	HOLOGIC, INC. v. MINERVA SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1322	ROSETTA-WIRELESS CORPORATION v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONIC CO., LTD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1257	NEPTUNE GENERICS, LLC v. ELI LILLY & COMPANY [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1829	POLYCOM, INC. v. FULLVIEW, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
18-1054	ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. ACTAVIS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1434	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-1434	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS CORP v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2579	BRADIUM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1147	BTG INTERNATIONAL LIMITED v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1958	IN RE: TAYLOR [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1172	SONY CORPORATION v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Cyk, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1836	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1836	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1490	PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES v. BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1490	PACKERS PLUS ENERGY SERVICES v. BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2223	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1499	CHRIMAR SYSTEMS, INC. v. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1348	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS, INC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1596	HENNY PENNY CORPORATION v. FRYMASTER LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2422	VEVEO, INC. v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2355	IN RE: GRANT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

18-2027	CONFORMIS, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2384	FLAMM v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2322	FLAMM v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Plager, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2354	IN RE: FINJAN, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
18-1619	WAG ACQUISITION, LLC v. WEBPOWER, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1584	ARTHREX, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1221	NALPROPION PHARMACEUTICALS, v ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-2219	IN RE: MEZA [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1834	GOOGLE LLC v. MAKOR ISSUES & RIGHTS LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1082	MAKOR ISSUES & RIGHTS LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1886	CHANBOND, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2098	BLAIR v. KAWASAKI RAIL CAR, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1389	DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. v. CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2340	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1999	PANDUIT CORP. v. CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Plager	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1368	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1163	CHESTNUT HILL SOUND INC. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1167	CELGENE CORPORATION v. PETER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2474	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. ELM 3DS INNOVATIONS, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2312	DR. FALK PHARMA GMBH v. GENERICO, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1078	AKER BIOMARINE ANTARCTIC AS v. RIMFROST AS [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Plager, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2008	EVOLVED WIRELESS LLC v. ZTE (USA) INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2377	ACCLARENT, INC. v. ALBRITTON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2365	FREEBIT AS v. BOSE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1188	ROVI GUIDES, INC. v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1225	HORIZON THERAPEUTICS, LLC v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2149	HZNP MEDICINES LLC v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2563	HYOSUNG TNS INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Decision

18-2234	VAN DER HOEVEN HORTICULTURAL v. GLASS INVESTMENT PROJECTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2170	BEDGEAR, LLC v. FREDMAN BROS. FURNITURE CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1310	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1310	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1981	GAME AND TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. ACTIVISION BLIZZARD INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1593	MAYNE PHARMA INTERNATIONAL PTY v MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1397	UCB, INC. v. WATSON LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-2137	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2125	WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. v. POLYGROUP MACAU LTD. (BVI) [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2125	WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. v. POLYGROUP MACAU LTD. (BVI) [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	
18-2099	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1810	KNAUF INSULATION, INC. v. ROCKWOOL INTERNATIONAL A/S [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Linn, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1810	KNAUF INSULATION, INC. v. ROCKWOOL INTERNATIONAL A/S [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Linn, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1259	KOLCRAFT ENTERPRISES, INC. v. GRACO CHILDREN'S PRODUCTS, INC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2331	IN RE: GATES [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1980	WILSON v. MARTIN [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-2543	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Linn, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2213	GOOGLE LLC v. KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2122	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC v. IANCU [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1166	IN RE: BLACK [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1799	TQ DELTA, LLC v. DISH NETWORK LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Linn, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2152	LIQWD, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
19-1242	EAGLE INDUSTRIES, INC. v. MINIATURE PRECISION COMPONENTS [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1778	KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY CO., INC. v. POLARIS INNOVATIONS LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1031	R2 SEMICONDUCTOR, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1329	COLUMBIA SPORTSWEAR v. SEIRUS INNOVATIVE ACCESSORIES [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1254	IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1368	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1766	TQ DELTA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1805	IN RE IPR LICENSING, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2587	INDIVIOR INC. v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, S.A. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Mayer, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1167	CELGENE CORPORATION v. PETER [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2133	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. WYETH LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2292	MTD PRODUCTS INC. v. IANCU [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2219	IN RE: MEZA [OPINION NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Reyna, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1804	SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S., LLC v. FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial

18-1804	SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S., LLC v. FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1221	NALPROPION PHARMACEUTICALS, v ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Bench Trial
18-1232	ENZO LIFE SCIENCES, INC. v. BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1584	ARTHREX, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2472	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1596	HENNY PENNY CORPORATION v. FRYMASTER LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2029	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2029	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1348	INNOVATIVE MEMORY SYSTEMS, INC v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2388	BOMBARDIER RECREATIONAL v. ARCTIC CAT INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB CBM Decision
18-2224	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

18-1366	VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. REALTIME DATA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT] v2	Dyk, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2200	VERITAS TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. REALTIME DATA LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1291	SMART METER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. ITRON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1286	CCS TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. PANDUIT CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2373	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. FEDEX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1248	CORNING OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS v. PANDUIT CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1014	IN RE: LEWENSZTAIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1178	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1440	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2024	FOX FACTORY, INC. v. SRAM, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1261	CG TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. FANDUEL, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1329	HOSPIRA, INC. v. FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1386	IRIDESCENT NETWORKS, INC. v. RPX CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1373	BESTWAY (USA), INC. v. INTEX MARKETING LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision

17-2304	ABBVIE BIOTECHNOLOGY, LTD v. US [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1234	GOOGLE LLC v. KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1353	EGENERA, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1564	IN RE: CHASON [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1309	ROVI GUIDES, INC. v. COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1145	BLACKBERRY LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1146	BLACKBERRY LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1317	RAPID COMPLETIONS LLC v. WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2259	In Re ESCOBOSA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1523	INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC v. FANDUEL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Schall, and Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1334	In Re FERNANDEZ [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1649	HVLPO2, LLC v. OXYGEN FROG, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Jury Verdict/JMO L
19-1212	MIRA ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1446	THEODORE & ASSOCIATES, LLC v. BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1542	SPRING VENTURES, LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1646	NICHIA CORPORATION v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1441	PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1636	APPLE INC. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1761	IYM TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. RPX CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1696	SUSAN MCKNIGHT, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1755	SHURE INCORPORATED v. CLEARONE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2003	ULTRATEC, INC. v. CAPTIONCALL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2284	WI-LAN INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1055	In Re SABIN [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1301	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2181	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AVX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2246	M2M SOLUTIONS LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1732	SIEMENS MOBILITY, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2312	TRANS OVA GENETICS L.C. v. XY, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1723	AMARIN PHARMA, INC. v. HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1708	TWITTER, INC. v. VIDSTREAM LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2264	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. FLATWING PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1056	In Re KROSS [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1879	BLACKBIRD TECH LLC v. FITBIT, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1076	VERIFY SMART CORP. v. ASKELADDEN, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1820	LUMENTUM OPTICS INC. v. OYSTER OPTICS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1919	LUMENTUM OPTICS INC. v. OYSTER OPTICS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2117	CIENA CORPORATION v. OYSTER OPTICS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1467	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1393	FANDUEL, INC. v. INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1443	INTEL CORPORATION v. ALACRITECH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1444	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1464	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1906	TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION v. REACTIVE SURFACES LTD., LLP [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2007	LAIRD TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. PARKER INTANGIBLES LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1865	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1935	B/E AEROSPACE, INC. v. C&D ZODIAC, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1622	SHOES BY FIREBUG LLC v. STRIDE RITE CHILDREN'S GROUP [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1787	ADIDAS AG v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1838	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2035	PALTALK HOLDINGS, INC. v. RIOT GAMES, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1498	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1668	PRAIRIE LAND MILLWRIGHT v. SIOUX STEEL COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1842	TWILIO INC. v. TELESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2197	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. MICROSIPHERIX LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1497	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2091	ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC v. ARM LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1582	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, and O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1659	ESIP SERIES 2, LLC v. PUZHEN LIFE USA, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1584	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1544	FOX FACTORY, INC. v. SRAM, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1883	In Re PUBLICCOVER [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1565	GENERAL ACCESS SOLUTIONS, LTD. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1718	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC v. AISIN SEIKI CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1493	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1832	In Re NOMULA [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2270	AGARWAL v. TOPGOLF INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1742	ESSITY PROFESSIONAL HYGIENE v. CASCADES CANADA ULC [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1494	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1164	UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. X ONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1937	MOBILE TECH, INC. v. SENNCO SOLUTIONS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1435	SPIGEN KOREA CO., LTD. v. ULTRAPROOF, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1967	JERRY HARVEY AUDIO HOLDING v. 1964 EARS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2097	VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTL. v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1507	In Re ANOVA HEARING LABS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1360	TECHNICAL CONSUMER PRODUCTS v. LIGHTIN SCIENCE GROUP CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1616	CPI CARD GROUP v. MULTI PACKAGING SOLUTIONS	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1639	SATCO PRODUCTS, INC. v. LIGHTING SCIENCE GROUP CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
17-2381	TELEFONAKTIEBOLAG ET LM v. TCL CORPORATION [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1368	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1171	GAME AND TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. WARGAMING GROUP LIMITED [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1805	IN RE IPR LICENSING, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1766	TQ DELTA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2133	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. WYETH LLC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2024	FOX FACTORY, INC. v. SRAM, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Hughes, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1440	ARCTIC CAT INC. v. POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2361	PERSION PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. ALVOGEN MALT/ OPERATIONS LTD. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1373	BESTWAY (USA), INC. v. INTEX MARKETING LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
18-1933	GENENTECH, INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1177	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1169	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA v. PRISUA ENGINEERING CORP. [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1334	In Re FERNANDEZ [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-2382	APPLE INC. v. ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1061	ACOUSTIC TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. ITRON NETWORKED SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1059	ACOUSTIC TECHNOLOGY, INC. v. ITRON NETWORKED SOLUTIONS [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1335	CHOIROCK CONTENTS FACTORY CO. v. SPIN MASTER, LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1152	COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC. v. SONY CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1165	UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. X ONE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2232	KAKEN PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1172	BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1400	FACEBOOK, INC. v. WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1669	LONE STAR SILICON INNOVATIONS v. IANCL [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1698	In Re CLARKE [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1570	DEEP GREEN WIRELESS LLC v. OOMA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1343	PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1361	TECHNICAL CONSUMER PRODUCTS v. LIGHTIN SCIENCE GROUP CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2097	VALEANT PHARMACEUTICALS INTL. v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Summary Judgment
19-1262	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1967	JERRY HARVEY AUDIO HOLDING v. 1964 EARS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1594	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. v. COOK GROUP INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1413	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1413	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1370	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1370	COOK GROUP INCORPORATED v. BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1063	GRIT ENERGY SOLUTIONS, LLC v. OREN TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1733	DONGHEE AMERICA, INC. v. PLASTIC OMNIUM ADVANCED [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-1742	ESSITY PROFESSIONAL HYGIENE v. CASCADES CANADA ULC [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1736	ESSITY HYGIENE AND HEALTH AB v. CASCADES CANADA ULC [OPINION]	Dyk, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1895	In Re CHAPMAN [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1856	GENERAL ACCESS SOLUTIONS, LTD. v. SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1855	SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. v. GENERAL ACCESS SOLUTIONS, LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1556	LONE STAR SILICON INNOVATIONS v. IANCL [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1493	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1883	In Re PUBLICOVER [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-1105	COCHLEAR BONE ANCHORED v. OTICON MEDICAL AB [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1584	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1544	FOX FACTORY, INC. v. SRAM, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1659	ESIP SERIES 2, LLC v. PUZHEN LIFE USA, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2013	SOLENIS TECHNOLOGIES, L.P. v. SNF SAS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1582	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, and O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1497	HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2197	MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. v. MICROSPHERIX LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1842	TWILIO INC. v. TELESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1821	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1838	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES, A GE COMPANY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1787	ADIDAS AG v. NIKE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Tarantola	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1622	SHOES BY FIREBUG LLC v. STRIDE RITE CHILDREN'S GROUP [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1935	B/E AEROSPACE, INC. v. C&D ZODIAC, INC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1037	IMMUNEX CORPORATION v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1865	SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC v. HULU, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1048	FITBIT, INC. v. VALENCELL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1444	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1443	INTEL CORPORATION v. ALACRITECH, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1393	FANDUEL, INC. v. INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1467	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1467	ALACRITECH, INC. v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1076	VERIFY SMART CORP. v. ASKELADDEN, L.L.C. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1056	In Re KROSS [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-1555	BENNETT REGULATOR GUARDS, INC. v. ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1874	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-1872	SEALY TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. SSB MANUFACTURING COMPANY [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
19-2264	ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. FLATWING PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1732	SIEMENS MOBILITY, INC. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2181	PRESIDIO COMPONENTS, INC. v. AVX CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2338	NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	JMOL
18-1456	APPLE INC. v. VOIP-PAL.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1301	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1878	EXMARK MANUFACTURING COMPANY v. BRIGGS & STRATTON CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
19-1602	ECOSERVICES, LLC v. CERTIFIED AVIATION SERVICES [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMOL
19-1749	IMMUNEX CORPORATION v. SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2108	ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC v. SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1270	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2151	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC. v. FINJAN, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1364	BIOGEN, INC. v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1816	In Re EARLEY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2372	PROVEPHARM INC. v. WISTA LABORATORIES LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1318	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1384	In Re FULTON [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1105	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. XR COMMUNICATIONS, LLC [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2176	In Re ASPEN AEROGELS, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2309	BABY TREND, INC. v. WONDERLAND NURSERYGOODS CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2040	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V. v. IANCU [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1828	In Re GOOGLE TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2331	In Re SCHOPF [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
20-1104	DONNER TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. PRO STAGE GEAR, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2423	WATERBLASTING, LLC v. IANCU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1351	In Re DEVLIN [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

18-1635	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
19-1734	VIDSTREAM LLC v. TWITTER, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1347	In Re TSAI [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
20-1384	In Re FULTON [OPINION]	Dyk, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1816	In Re EARLEY [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1094	FATIGUE FRACTURE TECHNOLOGY v. NAVISTAR, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1319	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY v. RAYTHEON TECHNOLOGIES CORP [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1319	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY v. RAYTHEON TECHNOLOGIES CORP [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2074	FINJAN, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1947	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2287	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2287	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1947	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS v. PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

19-2127	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2340	SK HYNIX INC. v. IANCI [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1302	MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED v. SANOFI MATURE IP [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O`Malley, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1485	HAAG-STREIT AG v. EIDOLON OPTICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2127	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2126	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2124	HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CO LTD. v. MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1530	In Re CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1364	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1530	In Re CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1530	In Re CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	O`Malley, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1271	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O`Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1271	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O`Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1271	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1271	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2410	L'OREAL USA, INC. v. OLAPLEX, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
19-2302	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1160	M & K HOLDINGS, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2302	TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIV. v. ILLUMINA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2206	CATERPILLAR INC. v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2294	CATERPILLAR INC. v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1712	INTEL CORPORATION v. VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2294	CATERPILLAR INC. v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1401	AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1439	AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1488	DEERE & COMPANY v. GRAMM [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1560	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1419	WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. v. CATERPILLAR INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1151	APPLE INC. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1261	CATERPILLAR PAVING PRODUCTS v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1197	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1552	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY v. TORRENT PHARMACEUTICALS LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
19-1927	CANFIELD SCIENTIFIC, INC. v. MELANOSCAN, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1927	CANFIELD SCIENTIFIC, INC. v. MELANOSCAN, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1759	In Re FATIGUE FRACTURE TECHNOLOGY [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
19-1704	SYNQOR, INC. v. VICO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
20-1693	MMODAL LLC v. NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Taranto, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1616	DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. v. CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1665	KILBOURNE v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1616	DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. v. CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
20-1574	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. INTEL CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1688	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. FACEBOOK INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1453	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, O`Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1682	In Re GORRIS [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1699	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1549	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. MMODAL LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2057	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1858	NEAPCO DRIVELINES LLC v. AMERICAN AXLE & MANUFACTURING [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1635	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1549	NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. v. MMODAL LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O`Malley, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1682	In Re GORRIS [OPINION]	Mayer, O`Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1891	In Re ALFRED MILLER CONTRACTING [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Linn, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
20-1565	CYWEE GROUP LTD. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1576	TRANSTEX INC. v. LAYDON COMPOSITES LTD. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1484	MCCOY v. HEAL SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2000	ZOMM, LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1881	INVT SPE LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Plager, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1755	RAYTHEON TECHNOLOGIES CORP v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1859	APPLE INC. v. INVT SPE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1931	In Re KAVANAGH [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1870	SEONG v. BEDRA INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1859	APPLE INC. v. INVT SPE LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1853	CERNER CORPORATION v. CLINICOMP INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1382	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1383	DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC v. NICHIA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1971	In Re SOFTVIEW LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
20-1403	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1634	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1713	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1768	CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1058	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1547	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. HTC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2274	In Re VOTEL [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1403	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1425	COREPHOTONICS, LTE v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1956	In Re RAJAGOPALAN [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

20-1937	BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY v. BAXTER CORPORATION ENGLEWOOD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1744	INTEL CORPORATION v. VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Newmar	Validity	Obviousness	Remanded	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1928	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. FG SRC, LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1977	FIDELITY INFORMATION SERVICES v. GROOVE DIGITAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1916	KROY IP HOLDINGS, LLC v. GROUPON, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1977	FIDELITY INFORMATION SERVICES v. GROOVE DIGITAL, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1144	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1144	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1438	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB
20-2129	PULSE ELECTRONICS, INC. v. U.D. ELECTRONIC CORP. [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1862	RALPH LAUREN CORPORATION v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1778	OREN TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1289	CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC v. DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1168	In Re KIRILICHIN [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

20-1289	CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC v. DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1778	OREN TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. PROPPANT EXPRESS INVESTMENTS [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1346	MAGSEIS FF LLC v. SEABED GEOSOLUTIONS (US) INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1589	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Moore, REyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1346	MAGSEIS FF LLC v. SEABED GEOSOLUTIONS (US) INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1900	C.R. BARD, INC. v. MEDLINE INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1800	INGEVITY CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Moore, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Decision
20-2204	CARRUM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1900	C.R. BARD, INC. v. MEDLINE INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1900	C.R. BARD, INC. v. MEDLINE INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2344	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2322	CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY v. GAMON PLUS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1708	NUVASIVE, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
20-1747	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1749	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1876	ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1747	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS v. ELI LILLY AND COMPANY [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1708	NUVASIVE, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
20-1528	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	JMOL/Jury Verdict
20-2078	In Re PARALLAX GROUP INTERNATIONAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Appeal
20-2027	ALTAMONT SOFTWARE, INC. v. SORNA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2137	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2277	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1085	ORTIZ & ASSOCIATES CONSULTING v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2229	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2200	BESTWAY (USA), INC. v. INTEX RECREATION CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2347	FIRSTFACE CO., LTD. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1001	APPLE INC. v. FIRSTFACE CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1990	NEURO AND CARDIAC TECHNOLOGIES v. LIVANOVA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2347	FIRSTFACE CO., LTD. v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1932	BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1950	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1259	FIGUREFUN LLC v. LEGO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1038	NEURELIS, INC. v. AQUESTIVE THERAPEUTICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2284	JUMP ROPE SYSTEMS, LLC v. COULTER VENTURES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1950	TRUSTID, INC. v. NEXT CALLER, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2005	SUPERCELL OY v. GREE, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1051	AMC MULTI-CINEMA, INC v. FALL LINE PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1348	SPIN MASTER LTD. v. CHOIROCK CONTENTS FACTORY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2111	SNYDERS HEART VALVE LLC v. ST. JUDE MEDICAL, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2249	QIAGEN NORTH AMERICAN HOLDINGS v. HANDYLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1961	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1474	In Re MTD PRODUCTS INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
21-1581	PRECISION PLANTING, LLC v. DEERE & COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1874	NORTH STAR INNOVATIONS, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2243	UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE v. CLEAR-VU LIGHTING LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1793	BOS GMBH & CO. KG v. MACAUTO USA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1191	In Re GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1246	FLEXSTENT, LLC v. ABBOTT VASCULAR, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1124	BLACKBERRY LIMITED v. HIRSHFELD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1648	ARSUS, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2244	SECUREWAVE STORAGE SOLUTIONS v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1790	HTC CORPORATION v. INVT SPE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1259	FIGUREFUN LLC v. LEGO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1961	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1424	COREPHOTONICS, LTC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2249	QIAGEN NORTH AMERICAN HOLDINGS v. HANDYLAB, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2243	UNIVERSITY OF STRATHCLYDE v. CLEAR-VU LIGHTING LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1886	CARIS MPI, INC. v. FOUNDATION MEDICINE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1480	HORIZON MEDICINES LLC v. ALKEM LABORATORIES LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2329	MODERNA TX, INC. v. ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
20-2329	MODERNA TX, INC. v. ARBUTUS BIOPHARMA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1862	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. v. REX MEDICAL, L.P. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Lourie, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1247	LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. v. DODOTS LICENSING SOLUTIONS LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1360	TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA v. CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1729	ASTRAZENECA AB v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1181	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2345	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1005	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1744	POLYCOM, INC. v. DIRECTPACKET RESEARCH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1871	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2071	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1115	QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INVESTMENTS LLC v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1181	KOM SOFTWARE, INC. v. NETAPP, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1115	QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INVESTMENTS LLC v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2137	LOW TEMP INDUSTRIES, INC. v. DUKE MANUFACTURING CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	Preliminary Injunction Order
20-2071	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2066	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1340	WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY, INC v. PARAGON 28, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Hughes, Newman	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1520	NETFUEL, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1166	RAYTHEON TECHNOLOGIES v. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2314	SHOCKWAVE MEDICAL, INC. v. CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2251	SHOCKWAVE MEDICAL, INC. v. CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1401	POLYGROUP LIMITED MCO v. WILLIS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1661	DIRECTPACKET RESEARCH, INC. v. POLYCOM, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1807	TQ DELTA, LLC v. ARRIS GROUP, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, anc Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1387	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2070	QUANERGY SYSTEMS, INC. v. VELODYNE LIDAR USA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1651	SLING TV, L.L.C. v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1558	QUALCOMM INCORPORATED v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1149	BLEPHEX, LLC v. MYCC INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Preliminary Injunction Order
20-2070	QUANERGY SYSTEMS, INC. v. VELODYNE LIDAR USA, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1350	ZAXCOM, INC. v. LECTROSONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2209	CUSTOMPLAY, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2207	CUSTOMPLAY, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2208	CUSTOMPLAY, LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2024	AMARIN PHARMA, INC. v. HIKMA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2245	ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1480	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. v. ETHICON LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2106	ADAPT PHARMA OPERATIONS v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

21-1435	BMW OF NORTH AMERICA, LLC v. CARRUM TECHNOLOGIES, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2106	ADAPT PHARMA OPERATIONS v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2106	ADAPT PHARMA OPERATIONS v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Bench Trial
20-2245	ALPHATEC HOLDINGS, INC. v. NUVASIVE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1480	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. v. ETHICON LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1350	ZAXCOM, INC. v. LECTROSONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1599	In Re MILLER [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1183	CAMERON INTERNATIONAL v. NITRO FLUIDS L.L.C. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1438	IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2102	MOBILEPAY LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1870	WILLIS ELECTRIC CO., LTD. v. EVERSTAR MERCHANDISE CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB
21-1775	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1561	FLEMING v. CIRRUS DESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1635	AMERICAN PATENTS LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2008	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. ITC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1532	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1561	FLEMING v. CIRRUS DESIGN CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1777	BOSTON SCIENTIFIC v. NEVRO CORP. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1745	ADVANCED VOICE RECOGNITION v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2206	ROVI GUIDES, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1025	O'KEEFFE'S, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Bryson, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
20-2331	ALMIRALL, LLC v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2331	ALMIRALL, LLC v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
20-2331	ALMIRALL, LLC v. AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2092	INTEL CORPORATION v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1939	IMMUNOGEN, INC. v. HIRSHFELD [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-1412	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1733	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2101	In Re INTELLIGENT PACKAGING PTY LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1039	SIPCO, LLC v. EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1732	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1248	ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1994	ROVI GUIDES, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2127	INTEL CORPORATION v. XMTT, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2171	AMGEN INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Chen, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1882	EVERSTAR MERCHANDISE CO. LTD. v. WILLIS ELECTRIC CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1946	AQUILA INNOVATIONS INC. v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1663	CONTEMPORARY DISPLAY LLC v. DISH NETWORK L.L.C. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1412	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1117	SURGALIGN SPINE TECHNOLOGIES v. LIFENET HEALTH [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1882	EVERSTAR MERCHANDISE CO. LTD. v. WILLIS ELECTRIC CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1902	In Re ASSET GUARD PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1902	In Re ASSET GUARD PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1248	ANDREA ELECTRONICS CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2261	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DOCUMENT SECURITY SYSTEMS [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1732	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1733	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1733	AURIS HEALTH, INC. v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL OPERATIONS [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1601	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1179	GOOGLE LLC v. IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1881	EMERSON ELECTRIC CO. v. SIPCO, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1601	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2334	CORNELL RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1995	ETHICON LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2334	CORNELL RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1041	WORLDSOURCE ENTERPRISES, LLC v. VIDAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1629	HANWHA SOLUTIONS CORP. v. LONGI GREEN ENERGY TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1229	OMNI MEDSCI, INC. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2213	OMNI MEDSCI, INC. v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2289	INNOVATIVE GLOBAL SYSTEMS, LLC v. MOTIVE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2281	COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC v. REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1545	GOOGLE LLC v. REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Prost, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1444	C.A. CASYSO GMBH v. HEMOSONICS LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Prost Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1495	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1605	NUCURRENT, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Newman, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1441	MOBILITY WORKX, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1903	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2099	BEST MEDICAL INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ELEKTA INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2084	BEST MEDICAL INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ELEKTA INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2352	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. DYNAMICS INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stark, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1030	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1076	In Re KIELY [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2143	TOWNSEND v. BROOKS SPORTS, INC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2138	SONOVA AG v. MED-EL ELEKTROMEDIZINISCHE GERATE GMBH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2096	GOLDEN EYE MEDIA USA, INC. v. EVO LIFESTYLE PRODUCTS LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1931	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2274	TRUSTED KNIGHT CORPORATION v. IBM [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1495	TRIS PHARMA, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-2037	LG ELECTRONICS INC. v. IMMERSION, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1840	NUCURRENT, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1917	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2112	WIRELESS PROTOCOL INNOVATIONS, INC. v. TCT MOBILE, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2069	TALEXMEDICAL, LLC v. BECON MEDICAL LIMITED [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2352	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. v. DYNAMICS INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

20-1333	INFINEUM USA L.P. v. CHEVRON ORONITE COMPANY LLC [OPINION]	Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1923	KAMSTRUP A/S v. AXIOMA METERING UAB [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2099	BEST MEDICAL INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. ELEKTA INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1903	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1355	APPLE INC. v. MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1483	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. BRENT [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1083	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB IPR Decision
22-1139	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1038	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1164	MAALOUF v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1122	M2M SOLUTIONS LLC v. AMAZON.COM, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1127	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2057	VILOX TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1160	IDEAHUB INC. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1140	TRANSTEX INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2348	LEFTSNRIGHTS, INC. v. 33ACROSS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1534	AXONICS, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [ORDER]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2211	SYNQOR, INC. v. VICO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision

22-1128	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1379	AUTRONIC PLASTICS, INC. v. APOGEE LIGHTING HOLDINGS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1344	ULTRAVISION TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v. GLUX VISUAL EFFECTS TECH (SHENZHEN) CO [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1031	UNILOC 2017 LLC. v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1012	In Re GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1595	GENENTECH, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
22-1102	P TECH, LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2085	UNILOC 2017 LLC. v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2218	GOOGLE LLC v. HAMMOND DEVELOPMENT INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-1831	POLARIS INNOVATIONS LIMITED v. US [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1137	IN RE ROCHE DIABETES CARE, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Dyk, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1764	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1805	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1934	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2262	CUPP COMPUTING AS v. TREND MICRO INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1826	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1029	ALTERWAN, INC. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Schall, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1595	AMP PLUS, INC. v. DMF, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2241	SIG SAUER INC. v. NST GLOBAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1211	IN RE YU [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Cunningham	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
20-2237	TREND MICRO INC. v. CUPP COMPUTING AS [OPINION]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2039	MICROSOFT CORPORATION v. UNILOC 2017 LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1947	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v. CANON U.S.A., INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1948	CELLSPIN SOFT, INC. v. PANASONIC CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2059	CUPP COMPUTING AS v. TREND MICRO INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Stark, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2184	NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC. v. DANISCO US INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2282	SCEPTER CANADA, INC. v. NO SPILL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1942	PROVISUR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. WEBER, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2121	MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. MERCK SHARP & DOHME CORP. [OPINION]	Lourie, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2218	GOOGLE LLC v. HAMMOND DEVELOPMENT INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1595	AMP PLUS, INC. v. DMF, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision

21-1595	AMP PLUS, INC. v. DMF, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Cunningham Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1321	AMERICAN NATIONAL v. SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1826	VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1764	VIRENTEM VENTURES LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2269	IN RE UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1102	P TECH, LLC v. INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1595	GENENTECH, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
22-1012	In Re GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1379	AUTRONIC PLASTICS, INC. v. APOGEE LIGHTING HOLDINGS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2211	SYNQOR, INC. v. VICO CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Tarant	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
22-1253	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1253	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness		PTAB PGR Decision
21-2348	LKQ CORPORATION v. GM GLOBAL TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS LLC [OPINION]	Clevenger, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1140	TRANSTEX INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1127	KEYNETIK, INC. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1164	MAALOUF v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1139	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1038	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1568	In Re DENG [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1907	DRIESSEN v. BEST BUY CO., INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1060	UUSI, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1459	CHEMCO SYSTEMS, L.P. v. RDP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2371	CHEMCO SYSTEMS, L.P. v. RDP TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Moore, Prost, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1037	INTEL CORPORATION v. PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1443	HYBIR, INC. v. VEEAM SOFTWARE CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1112	ADEIA SEMICONDUCTOR TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. NVIDIA CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1058	ROKU, INC. v. UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1422	GODO KAISHA IP BRIDGE 1 v. MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1355	PAICE LLC v. BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1361	LONGHORN HD LLC. v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1245	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1320	ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. CHERVON (HK) LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1085	JENAM TECH, LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2001	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES HOLDINGS [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1514	UPL NA INC. v. TIDE INTERNATIONAL (USA) INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1481	DIVX, LLC v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1445	LEICA MICROSYSTEMS, INC. v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1297	In Re NICIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
21-1035	APPLE INC. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1043	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1474	NXP USA, INC. v. IMPINJ, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1257	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1284	SRK TECHNOLOGY LLC v. SNAP INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1104	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2302	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. GOOGLE LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
18-2431	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-1064	UNILOC 2017 LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1291	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1981	SANOFI-AVENTIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1230	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
23-1247	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2359	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2357	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1373	YITA LLC v. MACNEIL IF LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1650	BLACKHAWK NETWORK, INC. v. INTERACTIVE COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1718	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1529	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1517	INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC v. DRAFTKINGS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1516	INTERACTIVE GAMES LLC v. DRAFTKINGS INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Prost, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1534	AXONICS, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1613	LBT IP I LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1269	PARUS HOLDINGS, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1757	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1489	In Re COUVARAS [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1707	JUSTSERVICE.NET LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Cunningham, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1100	CELLECT, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1764	BROADCOM CORPORATION v. NETFLIX, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Hughes	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1046	INTEL CORPORATION \ QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [OPINION]	Hughes, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1732	TEHRANI v. HAMILTON TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1060	UUSI, LLC v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1037	INTEL CORPORATION \ PACT XPP SCHWEIZ AG [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2215	EIS GMBH v. NOVOLUTO GMBH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1960	PRISUA ENGINEERING CORP. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-2271	VIRNETX INC. v. MANGROVE PARTNERS MASTER FUND [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1227	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. ITC [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Finia Initial Determinati on

21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-1524	ENGLE GRANGE, LLC v. UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Mayer, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1450	BLUECATBIO MA INC. v. YANTAI AUSBIO LABORATORIES CO., LTD. [OPINION]	Lourie, Stark, Tarantc	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-1924	UCB, INC. v. ACTAVIS LABORATORIES UT, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2001	LIQUIDPOWER SPECIALTY PRODUCTS v. BAKER HUGHES HOLDINGS [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1514	UPL NA INC. v. TIDE INTERNATIONAL (USA) INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1445	LEICA MICROSYSTEMS, INC. v. REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1035	APPLE INC. v. UUSI, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1104	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1104	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Clevenger, Dyk, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1291	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
23-1247	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
23-1247	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
23-1247	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial - ANDA
22-1230	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal

22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1044	LAITRAM, LLC v. ASHWORTH BROS., INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1580	In Re REZANEZHAD GATABI [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
22-1106	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA v. SATCO PRODUCTS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1111	ETHICON LLC v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	USITC Final Decision
21-2359	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2357	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1373	YITA LLC v. MACNEIL IF LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1529	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC. v. HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1613	LBT IP I LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1613	LBT IP I LLC v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1269	PARUS HOLDINGS, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1489	In Re COUVARAS [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1129	BASF CORPORATION v. INGEVITY SOUTH CAROLINA, LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	Bench Trial

22-1748	RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS, INC. v. PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1324	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1356	MACDERMID ENTHONE INC. v. BASF CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1451	AXONICS, INC. v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1569	BOT M8 LLC v. SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC [OPINION]	Cunningham, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2128	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1765	VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1758	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
19-2011	SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. v. INCYTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1083	STEUBEN FOODS, INC. v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1716	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-1796	REMBRANDT DIAGNOSTICS, LP v. ALERE, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1730	SPINE HOLDINGS, LLC v. ORTHOFIX MEDICAL INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1346	In Re THERIPION, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Reexam Decision
21-1813	SHAMOON v. RESIDEO TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1346	In Re THERIPION, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Hughes, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB Reexam Decision
22-1716	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2063	INCEPT LLC v. PALETTI LIFE SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Schall, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1992	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
19-2011	SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. v. INCYTE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1765	VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1765	VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1765	VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1765	VOLVO PENTA OF THE AMERICAS, LLC v. BRUNSWICK CORPORATION [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1758	In Re UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
21-2128	UNIVERSAL ELECTRONICS, INC. v. ROKU, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1387	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1631	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1972	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-2069	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1350	APPLE INC. v. COREPHOTONICS, LTC [OPINION]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1138	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, STark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1546	SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC v. INTELLECTUAL PIXELS LIMITED [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1846	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1415	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1985	ELEKTA LIMITED v. ZAF SURGICAL SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1860	TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION v. INTE RECREATION CORP. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1592	INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION v. LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1333	SCHWENDIMANN v. NEENAH, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Cunningham, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1627	DISH NETWORK L.L.C. v. SOUND VIEW INNOVATIONS, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1460	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. VIDAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1045	DALI WIRELESS INC. v. COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1631	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1631	MASIMO CORPORATION v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Reversed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1846	PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS S.A. v. RAI STRATEGIC HOLDINGS INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Cunningham, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1282	TARGET CORPORATION v. PROXICOM WIRELESS LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-1985	ELEKTA LIMITED v. ZAF SURGICAL SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1415	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1924	INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION v. LENOVO HOLDING COMPANY, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1493	SISVEL INTERNATIONAL S.A. v. SIERRA WIRELESS, ULC [OPINION]	Chen, Clevenger, Moore	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1333	SCHWENDIMANN v. NEENAH, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, Cunningham, Prost	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1951	SCHWENDIMANN v. NEENAH, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1526	KANNUU PTY LTD. v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1409	JIANGSU FAVORED NANOTECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. v. P2I LTD [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

22-1340	COREPHOTONICS, LTD v. APPLE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Stark, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1873	CYNTEC COMPANY, LTD. v. CHILISIN ELECTRONICS CORP. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	JMOL
22-1435	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2118	SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC v. INTELLECTUAL PIXELS LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Prost, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1430	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. VIDAL [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2234	VIRNETX INC. v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Lourie	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision
22-1393	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1141	INTEX RECREATION CORP. v. TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1393	MASIMO CORPORATION v. SOTERA WIRELESS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1203	NETFLIX, INC. v. DIVX, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Linn	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1411	MONTEREY RESEARCH, LLC v. STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Taranto	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1704	NICHIA CORPORATION v. DSS, INC. [OPINION]	Cunningham, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2140	O'BRIEN v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1969	In Re WYCECH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Examiner Appeal
22-1710	JAGER PRO, INC. v. W-W MANUFACTURING CO., INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1874	In Re ENOVATE MEDICAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clerk of the Court	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB Reexam Decision

22-1642	CARAVAN CANOPY INTERNATIONAL, INC. v. Z-SHADE CO., LTD. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-1858	OPTIS WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY, LLC v. VIDAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Schall, Reyna	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2041	VALENCELL, INC. v. FITBIT LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Dyk, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2101	NIPPON TELEGRAPH AND TELEPHONE CORPORATION v. VIDAL [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Moore, Stark	Validity	Obviousness	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision

## G. Validity and Enforceability

### 6. Written Description

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
10-1360	SANTARUS, INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Moore, Newman, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Bench Trial
11-1528	STORED VALUE SALUTIONS, INC. V. CARD ACTIVATION TE	Lourie, Reyna, Krieger*	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1487	ENERGY TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. V. WILLIAM DEMEN	Linn, Plager, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
11-1044	STRECK v. RESEARCH & DIAGNOSTIC	Newman, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1417	IN RE YUFA	Lourie, Moore, Reyna	Validity	Written Description		BPAI Decision
10-1526	THE DOW CHEMICAL CO. V. NOVA CHEMICALS CORP.	Linn, Prost, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1286	SHIMANO, INC. v. REA [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1042	COMMIL USA, LLC v. CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
12-1433	NOVOZYMES A/S v. DUPONT NUTRITION BIOSCIENCES [OPI	Bryson, Rader, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	JMOL
12-1420	IN RE: BIMEDA RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT [OPINION]	Clevenger, Prost, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Decision
12-1583	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTL v OPEN E CRY, LLC [OPIN	Benson*, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	Summary Judgment
13-1047	SYNTHES USA, LLC v. SPINAL KINETICS, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1342	BUTAMAX(TM) ADVANCED BIOFUELS v. GEVO, INC. [OPINI	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1576	PFIZER INC. v. TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA [OPINION]	Moore, Prost, Rader	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1092	REALTIME DATA, LLC v MORGAN STANLEY [OPINION]	Lourie, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment

13-1406	TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL CO v. ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS	Chen, Plager, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1593	GLAXOSMITHKLINE LLC v. BANNER PHARMACAPS, INC. [OPINION]	O'Malley, Taranto, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1583	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTL v OPEN E CRY, LLC [OPINION]	Benson*, Lourie, Plager	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
13-1561	SCRIPTPRO, LLC v. INNOVATION ASSOCIATES [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1275	ALLERGAN, INC. v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Lourie	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1096	VASUDEVAN SOFTWARE, INC. v. TIBCO SOFTWARE, INC. [	Chen, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
14-1108	CSR, PLC v. SKULLCANDY, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
14-1244	TOMITA TECHNOLOGIES USA, LLC v. NINTENDO CO., LTD.	Bryson, Hughes, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
14-1041	ROZBICKI v. CHIANG [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
14-1041	ROZBICKI v. CHIANG [OPINION]	Dyk, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	PTAB Interference Decision
13-1338	ABBVIE DEUTSCHLAND GMBH & CO. v. JANSSEN BIOTECH,	Chen, Lourie, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
13-1551	LOCHNER TECHNOLOGIES, LLC v VIZIO, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	Order on Motion
12-1630	Q. I. PRESS CONTROLS v. LEE [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Lourie	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	BPAI Inter Partes Reexam Decision
15-1565	SCRIPTPRO LLC v. INNOVATION ASSOCIATES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
15-1548	BAMBERG v. DALVEY [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision

14-5082	ZOLTEK CORP. v. US [OPINION]	Clevenger, Moore, Newman	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	COFC Decision
15-1488	PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA v. HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Hughes, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1179	INPHI CORPORATION v NETLIST, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1046	DATATREASURY CORPORATION v. FIDELITY NATIONAL INFORMATION [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Chen, Moore, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
15-1038	WBIP, LLC v. KOHLER CO. [OPINION]	Chen, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1765	BECKMANN v. GANDHI [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1765	BECKMANN v. GANDHI [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	PTAB Interference Decision
15-1391	BLUE CALYPSO, LLC. v. GROUPON, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	PTAB CBM Decision
14-1719	NIKE, INC. v. ADIDAS AG [OPINION]	Chen, Mayer, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
15-2050	DRIESSEN v. SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Mayer	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1197	CUBIST PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1470	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION v. EVE-USA, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
16-1143	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. CIRREX SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1143	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. v. CIRREX SYSTEMS, LLC [OPINION]	Chen, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-1841	RIVERA v. ITC [OPINION]	Chen, Linn, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	USITC Decision
16-2260	PURDUE PHARMA, L.P. v. RECRO TECHNOLOGY LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Chen, Dyk	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision

16-2256	IN RE: WALTER [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Schall	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Ex Parte Reexam Decision
16-1795	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC v. MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
16-1121	TRANSPERFECT GLOBAL, INC. v. MATA [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-1499	ALLERGAN SALES, LLC v. SANDOZ, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1021	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1124	HAYWARD INDUSTRIES, INC. v. PENTAIR WATER POOL AND SPA [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Linn	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
16-2010	KNOWLES ELECTRONICS LLC v. CIRRUS LOGIC, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1389	HOLOGIC, INC. v. SMITH & NEPHEW, INC. [OPINION]	Newman, Stoll, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Inter Partes Reexam Decision
17-1060	CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, LLC v. OPTUMINSIGHT, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	Jury Verdict
16-2707	VANDA PHARMACEUTICALS INC. v. WEST-WARD PHARMACEUTICALS [OPINION]	Hughes, Lourie, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1012	GENERAL HOSPITAL CORPORATION v. SIENNA BIOPHARMACEUTICAL S [OPINION]	Moore, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-2513	SUPERNUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. v. TWI PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-2247	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY v. TIME WARNER CABLE, INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Chen, Mayer	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict

17-2503	IN RE: TROPP [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Moore, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1795	IN RE: DOWNING [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Bryson, Dyk, Lourie	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
17-2288	ADVANCED MARKETING SYSTEMS v. WALGREEN CO. [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Plager, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB CBM Decision
17-2510	CENTRAK, INC. v. SONITOR TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Reyna, Tarant	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Summary Judgment
18-1779	QUAKE v. LO [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Hughes, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
18-1426	IN RE: GLOBAL IP HOLDINGS LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Reyna, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	PTAB - Reissue Appeal
17-2473	NUVO PHARMACEUTICALS v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Bench Trial
17-2369	FOREST LABORATORIES, LLC v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES, LLC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-1338	NEOLOGY, INC. v. ITC [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Chen, Schall, Tarant	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	USITC Decision
18-1221	NALPROION PHARMACEUTICALS, v ACTAVIS LABORATORIES FL, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Lourie, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
18-2342	SLADE v. REGINA [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	O'Malley, Plager, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
17-2487	NUVO PHARMACEUTICALS v. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES INC. [OPINION - NONPRECEDENTIAL]	Clevenger, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Bench Trial

18-1691	IDENIX PHARMACEUTICALS LLC v. GILEAD SCIENCES INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
18-1590	AJINOMOTO CO., INC. v. ITC [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Dyk, Moore, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	USITC Decision
19-2194	In Re BEACON BIOMEDICAL, LLC [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Mayer, Prost, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB - Examiner Appeal
18-1047	TAYLOR v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1048	TAYLOR v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
18-1070	TAYLOR v. IANCU [OPINION]	Chen, Dyk, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1037	IMMUNEX CORPORATION v. SANDOZ INC. [OPINION]	Chen, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1411	RAO v. SMITH [RULE 36 JUDGMENT]	Clevenger, Dyk, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decision
20-1261	CATERPILLAR PAVING PRODUCTS v. WIRTGEN AMERICA, INC. [OPINION]	Clevenger, O'Malley, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	PTAB IPR Decision
20-1382	OLAPLEX, INC. v. L'OREAL USA, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Reyna, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-2141	FLASH-CONTROL, LLC v. INTEL CORPORATION [OPINION]	Hughes, Mayer, Wallach	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1758	JUNO THERAPEUTICS, INC. v. KITE PHARMA, INC. [OPINION]	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
20-1817	COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC v. DALI WIRELESS INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Schall, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	JMOL/Jury Verdict
20-2229	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY v. SIGMAPHARM LABORATORIES [OPINION]	Moore, Newman, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1933	BIOGEN INTERNATIONAL GMBH v. MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, O'Malley, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial

21-1070	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC. [OPINION]	Linn, Moore, O'Malley	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Summary Judgment
20-1415	BASF PLANT SCIENCE, LP v. COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Jury Verdict
20-1415	BASF PLANT SCIENCE, LP v. COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC [OPINION]	Chen, Newman, Taranto	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Jury Verdict
21-1857	ASCION, LLC v. ASHLEY FURNITURE INDUSTRIES, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Newman, Reyna	Validity	Written Description	Vacated	Summary Judgment
21-1070	NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS v. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Linn, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Reversed	Bench Trial
21-2372	DIONEX SOFTRON GMBH v. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Reyna, Stark	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB Interference Decisions
21-2168	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA v. GILEAD SCIENCES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
21-2145	COLUMBIA INSURANCE COMPANY v. SIMPSON STRONG-TIE COMPANY INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Prost	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision
21-2359	MEDTRONIC, INC. v. TELEFLEX INNOVATIONS S.A.R.L. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Moore	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB IPR Decision
22-2217	UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION v. LIQUIDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Lourie, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	Bench Trial
22-1482	PURDUE PHARMA L.P. v. COLLEGIUM PHARMACEUTICAL, INC. [OPINION]	Dyk, Hughes, Stoll	Validity	Written Description	Affirmed	PTAB PGR Decision

## G. Validity and Enforceability

### 7. Inequitable Conduct

<u>Docket Number</u>	<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Judges</u>	<u>Issue Type</u>	<u>Issue Subtype</u>	<u>Issue Outcome</u>	<u>Lower Decision Type</u>
11-1300	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. SANDOZ INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
12-1151	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. STRIDES, INC.	Dyk, Lourie, Schall	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
11-1442	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. ACCORD HEALTHCARE INC. USA	Mayer, Prost, Reyna	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Consent Judgment
10-1360	SANTARUS, INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Moore, Newman, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1435	1ST MEDIA, LLC. V. ELECTRONIC ARTS, INC.	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
09-1171	OUTSIDE THE BOX INNOVATIONS, LLC. V. TRAVEL CADDY,	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
10-1435	1ST MEDIA, LLC. V. ELECTRONIC ARTS, INC.	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
10-1360	SANTARUS, INC. V. PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.	Moore, Newman, Rader	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	
10-1435	1ST MEDIA, LLC. V. ELECTRONIC ARTS, INC.	Linn, Rader, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
09-1171	OUTSIDE THE BOX INNOVATIONS, LLC. V. TRAVEL CADDY,	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Reversed	Bench Trial
09-1171	OUTSIDE THE BOX INNOVATIONS, LLC. V. TRAVEL CADDY,	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1018	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. HOSPIRA, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1018	AVENTIS PHARMA S.A. V. HOSPIRA, INC.	Dyk, Linn, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
09-1171	OUTSIDE THE BOX INNOVATIONS, LLC. V. TRAVEL CADDY,	Newman, O'Malley, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
10-1460	ASTRAZENECA V. AUROBINDO	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
10-1460	ASTRAZENECA V. AUROBINDO	Mayer, Newman, Plager	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
11-1329	MEYER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES LTD. V. BODUM, INC.	Dyk, Moore, O'Malley	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Order on Motion

12-1417	APOTEX, INC. v. CEPHALON, INC. [OPINION]	Lourie, O'Malley, Rader	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1166	U.S. RUBBER RECYCLING, INC. v. ECORE INTERNATIONAL	Rader, Reyna, Taranto	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
11-1223	NOVO NORDISK A/S v. CARACO PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Reversed	Bench Trial
12-1492	NETWORK SIGNATURES, INC. v. STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTO	Clevenger, Newman, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1658	INTELLECT WIRELESS, INC. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINI	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
12-1642	OHIO WILLOW WOOD CO. v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Reyna	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Summary Judgment
12-1658	INTELLECT WIRELESS, INC. v. HTC CORPORATION [OPINI	Moore, O'Malley, Prost	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1674	APOTEX INC. v. UCB, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1061	AMERICAN CALCAR, INC. v. AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO.	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1061	AMERICAN CALCAR, INC. v. AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO.	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1674	APOTEX INC. v. UCB, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1674	APOTEX INC. v. UCB, INC. [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
13-1586	SOUTHERN SNOW MANUFACTURING v. SNOWIZARD HOLDINGS	Clevenger, Lourie, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Jury Verdict/JMO L
15-1132	THE OHIO WILLOW WOOD COMPANY v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [NEW OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1950	U.S. WATER SERVICES, INC. v. NOVOZYMES A/S [OPINION]	Hughes, Stoll, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
15-1132	THE OHIO WILLOW WOOD COMPANY v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [NEW OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial

15-1132	THE OHIO WILLOW WOOD COMPANY v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [NEW OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
15-1132	THE OHIO WILLOW WOOD COMPANY v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [NEW OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Reversed	Bench Trial
15-1132	THE OHIO WILLOW WOOD COMPANY v. ALPS SOUTH, LLC [NEW OPINION]	Bryson, Dyk, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1646	TRANSWEB, LLC v. 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
14-1646	TRANSWEB, LLC v. 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES [OPINION]	Bryson, Hughes, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1346	REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS v. MERUS N.V. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Order on Motion
16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1346	REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS v. MERUS N.V. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1346	REGENERON PHARMACEUTICALS v. MERUS N.V. [OPINION]	Newman, Prost, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Adverse Inference
15-2082	INTERCONTINENTAL GREAT BRANDS v. KELLOGG NORTH AMERICA COMPANY [OPINION]	Prost, Reyna, Taranto	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
16-1577	EVERLIGHT ELECTRONICS CO., LTD v. NICHIA CORPORATION [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-1559	ENERGY HEATING, LLC. v. HEAT ON-THE-FLY, LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Moore, Stoll	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
17-1784	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHS., LP PATENT LITIG. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Order on Motion

17-1784	IN RE REMBRANDT TECHS., LP PATENT LITIG. [OPINION]	Mayer, O'Malley, Reyna	Inequitable Conduct	Materiality	Affirmed	Order on Motion
11-1223	NOVO NORDISK A/S v. CARACO PHARMACEUTICAL [OPINION]	Dyk, Newman, Prost	Inequitable Conduct		Reversed	Bench Trial
17-2463	BARRY v. MEDTRONIC, INC. [OPINION - PRECEDENTIAL]	Moore, Prost, Taranto	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2231	GS CLEANTECH CORP v. ADKINS ENERGY LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
16-2231	GS CLEANTECH CORP v. ADKINS ENERGY LLC [OPINION]	Hughes, Reyna, Wallach	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1799	BELCHER PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-1799	BELCHER PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC v. HOSPIRA, INC. [OPINION]	Reyna, Stoll, Taranto	Inequitable Conduct	Intent	Affirmed	Bench Trial
20-2222	THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE v. BROADCOM LIMITED [OPINION]	Dyk, Linn, Lourie	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment
21-1371	WESTERN PLASTICS, INC. v. DUBOSE STRAPPING, INC. [OPINION]	Chen, Hughes, Moore	Inequitable Conduct		Affirmed	Summary Judgment