

# Michael J. McKeon

Principal
Washington, D.C., New York
202 626 6448
212 765 5070
mckeon@fr.com

## Overview

Michael J. McKeon is a nationally recognized intellectual property and trial attorney. For more than 25 years, Michael has represented the world's most well-known high technology companies in their most complex and significant disputes, often with billions of dollars at stake.

He has successfully tried dozens of cases, leading trial teams in IP matters in U.S. District Courts throughout the country and at the U.S. International Trade Commission. He also regularly appears on behalf of clients at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Michael has a long record of success representing clients in U.S. District Courts through jury trial wins, while also working to develop paths to victory before trial. Michael regularly appears in the country's most popular forums, including courts in Texas, California, and Delaware. He has also successfully represented clients in over 50 ITC cases both as complainants (securing ITC exclusion orders against clients' competitors) and respondents (clearing clients of alleged violation). His results for his clients are often victory through complex, multi-forum strategies, including parallel actions in District Court and state courts as well as the ITC. Some examples include:

- Led a trial team defending one of the world's largest and well-known electronics companies in EDTX against the largest patent damages demand ever to be asserted in that district: \$2 billion. After a week-long trial, the jury returned a verdict of no infringement.
- Led a trial team in defense of a large consumer electronics company in SDCal against infringement claims relating to standard
  essential patents. After a two-week trial, the jury returned a verdict of no infringement clearing the company's multibillion dollar
  consumer electronics business. Also obtained summary judgment of no infringement in a follow-on case and successfully
  defended both victories at the Federal Circuit.
- Led a trial team representing a leading electronics company in Delaware as plaintiff for breach of license agreement. After a weeklong trial, the jury returned a verdict finding breach and awarding the full damages demand.
- Defended a large consumer electronics company in DMass against assertions of infringement against the company's multibillion dollar mobile products business. After a successful *Markman* hearing, obtained judgment of no infringement. Defended the judgment on appeal and obtained a ruling of invalidity of all claims in cross-appeal.
- Led a trial team defending a leading U.S. automotive company in a case at the ITC that sought exclusion and cessation of U.S.
   operations relating to the company's new, cutting edge EVs. The ALJ found no infringement which was upheld by the Commission.
- Led a team representing at the ITC a large semiconductor manufacturing company as complainant against a competitor. After trial and review, obtained an order excluding competitor's flash memory and its broad set of downstream consumer electronics products from entry into the United States. The case then favorably settled.

In addition to his decades of trial work, Michael is a highly regarded strategist with a long track record of developing areas of law on behalf of his clients. Some notable examples include his work in the ITC and on appeal in pushing to change the well-entrenched ITC practice of issuing remedies against products of non-respondents, which resulted in the Federal Circuit's Kyocera decision. He has also worked across numerous ITC cases obtaining commission clarity on the appropriate scope of the domestic industry requirement in ITC proceedings.

After graduation from engineering school in 1990, Michael started his IP career as a patent examiner with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and continued to work full time while attending law school at the George Washington University Law School, where he graduated in the top 1% of his class. He was a judicial clerk to the Honorable William C. Bryson of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Michael has been with Fish & Richardson since completing his clerkship, and he has been instrumental in the firm's growth from 120 lawyers in a few offices to over 350 in 14 offices nationwide. He is the longest-serving member of the firm's management committee.

Michael created and developed the first law school class in the country dedicated to Section 337 cases before the ITC, and he taught this course as an adjunct professor for 13 years at the George Washington University Law School. He currently serves as chair of the IP advisory board at the George Washington University Law School. In 2014, Michael was inducted into the University of Connecticut's Academy of Distinguished Engineers, and he currently serves as a member of the advisory board for UCONN's school of engineering.

Michael was born and raised in Niantic, Connecticut. He now lives with his wife in Alexandria, Virginia. He is a music lover and running enthusiast (including completing many marathons) and is passionate about the United States of America and its founding.

# Experience

#### **U.S. District Court Cases**

*Multimedia Patent Trust v. LG Electronics. Inc., et al.* (S.D. Cal.) — Defended LGE through trial and appeal in a patent case accusing LGE's video-capable cellular telephones.

Parallel Networks, LLC v. Amazon.com Inc. et al (E.D. Tex./Fed Cir.) — Represented defendant Amazon.com and others through appeal in a patent infringement action.

Zenith Electronics LLC v. Sony Corporation, et al. (E.D. Tex./N.D. Cal./N.D. III.) — Represented Zenith Electronics LLC in a patent case against Sony Corporation relating to digital television receivers.

LG Electronics, Inc. v. Sony Corporation (S.D. Cal./C.D. Cal.) — Represented LGE in a patent case against Sony relating to Blu-ray disc players and digital television products.

Spansion LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (E.D. Va./W.D. Wis.) — Represented Samsung Electronics in a patent case related to Flash memory.

Alcatel-Lucent USA, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al (E.D. Tex.) — Defended Amazon.com, Inc. in a patent case accusing Amazon.com's popular e-Commerce website and Kindle products.

Vizio, Inc. v. LG Electronics (D. Md.) — Defended LGE in patent case accusing LGE's digital televisions.

Hitachi Plasma Patent Licensing Co. v. LG Electronics, Inc. (E.D. Tex.) — Defended LGE in a patent case accusing LGE's plasma televisions.

International Business Machine Corp. v. Amazon.com, Inc. (E.D. Tex.) — Defended Amazon.com in a patent case accusing Amazon.com's popular internet shopping website.

LG Electronics, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd. (E.D. Tex.) — Represented LGE in a patent case against Hitachi relating to car audio/visual and navigation products.

Antor Media Corporation v. LG Electronics Mobilecomm USA, Inc.(E.D. Tex.) — Defended LGE in a patent case accusing to content downloads to mobile phones.

Zoltar Satellite Systems, Inc. v. LG Electronics Mobilecomm USA, Inc. (E.D. Tex./N.D. Cal.) — Defended LGE in a patent case accusing e911 systems in mobile phone networks.

Charles E. Hill & Associates v. AOL et al. (E.D. Tex.) — Defended AOL Time Warner, Inc. in a patent case accusing its online shopping services.

Ciena Corporation v. Corvis Corporation (D. Del.) — Represented Ciena in patent infringement case relating to dense wave division multiplexing optical communications systems.

Toshiba Corporation v. Juniper Networks, Inc et al. (D. Del.) — Defended Juniper in a patent case relating to router technology.

Cargill, Incorporated v. Canbra Foods, Ltd., Dow AgroSciences Canada Inc., and Dow AgroSciences LLC (D. Or.) — Represented Cargill in a patent case relating to specialty canola oil.

Microsoft v. Ultimate Game Club, Ltd. (D. Conn.) — Represented Microsoft in a patent case relating to its Xbox video game system.

Bell Atlantic Network Services, Inc. v. Covad Communications (E.D. Va.) — Defended Covad Communications in a patent case relating to DSL technology.

Scripps International, Ltd. v. First Alert, Inc. (E.D. Va.) — Represented Scripps International in a patent infringement case relating to alarm systems.

Siemens Business Communication Systems v. Harris Corporation (E.D. Va.) — Represented Siemens in patent infringement case relating to telecommunications switching equipment.

### International Trade Commission, Section 337 Investigations

Confidential Client — Represented a large consumer electronics company against a well-known NPE that held a portfolio of mobile SEPs. Convinced the ITC to terminate an investigation based on a prior arbitration agreement, thus freeing the client of exclusion risk. Defended the ruling on appeal with the Federal Circuit reversing the ITC but the U.S. Supreme Court granting certiorari and vacating the appellate ruling. In parallel, brought action in the Delaware Court of Chancery for breach of contract claims and defended those claims in argument before the Supreme Court of Delaware. The multi-jurisdictional, multi-year dispute was eventually successfully resolved through settlement after an AAA arbitration initiated by Mr. McKeon in New York.

Certain Wireless Consumer Electronics Devices and Components Thereof, Inv. No. 337-TA-853 — Represented LG in ITC investigation through trial and final Commission review against complainants TPL, Patriot Scientific, and Phoenix Digital System and their infringement assertion of a patent related to variable speed system clocks in microprocessors.

Certain Electronic Devices Having A Digital Television Receiver and Components Thereof (Inv. No. 337-TA-774) — Represented complainant Zenith Electronics Ltd. against Sony entities seeking exclusion of Sony digital television receivers.

Certain Display Devices, Including Digital Televisions and Monitors II (Inv. No. 337-TA-765) — Defended respondent LGE against patent infringement claims brought by Sony Electronics seeking exclusion of LGE's digital television products.

Certain Digital Televisions and Components Thereof (Inv. No. 337-TA-764) — Represented complainant LGE against Sony entities seeking exclusion of Sony digital television products.

Certain Mobile Telephones and Modems (Inv. No. 337-TA-758) — Defended respondent LG Electronics in patent case brought by complainant Sony Corp. seeking to exclude LGE's mobile products implementing standard-based wireless technologies (UMTS/WCDMA, LTE, and CDMA2000).

Certain Flash Memory Chips and Products Containing Same (Inv. No. 337-TA-735) — Defended respondent Samsung Electronics

against patent infringement claims brought by Spansion LLC seeking exclusion of Samsung's NAND Flash Memory Chips and downstream products containing those chips manufactured by Samsung and Samsung customers.

Certain Flat Panel Digital Televisions and Components Thereof (Inv. No. 337-TA-733) — represented respondent LG Electronics in a patent case brought by Vizio seeking exclusion of LGE's digital televisions implements QAM standard transmission technology.

Certain Video Displays, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same (Inv. No. ITC Inv. 337-TA-687) — Represented complainant LG Electronics seeking exclusion of digital televisions imported by Vizio, Inc. and AmTran.

Certain Mobile Telephones and Wireless Communication Devices Featuring Cameras, and Components Thereof (Inv. No. 337-TA-663) — Defended respondent LG Electronics against patent infringement claims brought by Eastman Kodak seeking exclusion of LGE's popular cellular telephone products.

Certain Electronic Devices Having Image Capture or Display Functionality and Components Thereof (Inv. No. 337-TA-672) — Represented complainant LG Electronics against Eastman Kodak seeking exclusion of Kodak's digital camera products.

Certain Flash Memory Devices and Components Thereof, and Products Containing Such Devices and Components (Inv. No. 337-TA-552) — Defended respondent Hynix Semiconductor against patent infringement claims brought by Toshiba Corp. seeking exclusion of Hynix' Flash memory products.

Certain Semiconductor Devices, DMA Systems, and Products Containing Same (Inv. No. 337-TA-607) — Represented complainant Samsung Electronics against Renesas Technology in a patent case relating to semiconductors and DMA systems.

Certain Dynamic Random Access Memory Devices and Products Containing Same (Inv. No. 337-TA-595) — Defended respondent Samsung Electronics against patent infringement claims brought by Renesas Technology Corp. seeking exclusion of Samsung's DRAM products.

Certain Baseband Processor Chips and Chipsets, Transmitter and Receiver (Radio) Chips, Power Control Chips, and Products Containing Same, including Cellular Telephone Handsets (Inv. No. 337-TA-543) — Represented LG Electronics as an intervenor through appeal in a case brought by Broadcom Corp. against Qualcomm accusing Qualcomm's baseband processor chips, which are components used in LGE's cellular telephone handsets.

Certain Display Controllers and Products Containing Same (Inv. No. 337-TA-491) — Represented complainant Genesis Microchip in a patent case against a number of Taiwanese companies seeking exclusion of display controller chips and downstream monitors containing the chips.

Certain Video Game Systems, Accessories, And Components Thereof (Inv. No. 337-TA-473) — Represented complainant Microsoft in a patent case seeking exclusion of products employing features from Xbox video game system.

Certain CMOS Active Pixel Image Sensors and Products Containing Same (Inv. No. 337-TA-451) — Represented complainants Photobit Corporation and Caltech in a patent case seeking exclusion of camera products employing active pixel sensors.

Certain Optical Waveguide Fibers and Products Containing Same (Inv. No. 337-TA-410) — Defended respondent Plasma Optical Fibre (from the Netherlands) in a patent case brought by Corning seeking exclusion of fiber optic cables.

# Recognitions & awards

Ranked Lawyer

Chambers USA: Nationwide 2012-2025

Best Lawyers in America

Best Lawyers 2008-2025

Ranked Lawyer

Chambers Global 2012-2025

IAM Patent 1000

IAM2010-2025

Washington, D.C. Super Lawyer

Super Lawyers 2011-2025

Ranked Lawyer

Chambers USA: Washington, D.C. 2011-2025

IP Star

Managing IP 2014-2025

U.S. Practitioner of the Year - ITC

Managing IP2024

D.C. Metro Litigator of the Year

Managing Intellectual Property (MIP) 2022

World's Leading Patent Practitioners

Who's Who Legal 2016-2022

Lawyer of the Year for Patent Litigation

Best Lawyers 2021

Top 100 in Washington, D.C.

Super Lawyers 2016-2018

Appellate Hot List for representation at Federal Circuit

National Law Journal (NLJ) 2014-2015

Intellectual Property Trailblazer and Pioneer

National Law Journal (NLJ) 2014

Visionary

National Law Journal's Legal Times 2012

#### **Testimonials**

Mike "is a first-rate litigator with a clear-eyed view on how to structure legal advice to address specific business needs." -2022 Chambers and Partners

Mike "is a highly rated trial lawyer with expertise acting before the ITC and an impressive client roster featuring a number of household-name companies in the technology sector. Sources call him a 'smart and engaging lawyer.'" — 2021 Chambers and Partners

Mike "is a dynamic first-chair litigator" and is "an excellent relationship partner and is terrific in the courtroom." "He plans effectively, provides valuable insight and produces excellent results." — 2020 Chambers and Partners

"Mike is brilliant in court." — 2019 IAM Patent 1000

"Mike is an excellent trial lawyer. He has high energy, is quick on his feet and has a deep understanding of the ITC. He expertly handled incredibly complex matters." — 2018 Chambers and Partners

"McKeon's 'high-energy and tenacious' style lends itself well to ITC patent litigation, but he is 'very comfortable in any courtroom' and

# Insights

Article | April 7, 2017

Celgard Can't Salvage Battery Patent Claims At Fed. Circ.

Q&A | January 3, 2017

Q&A with Michael McKeon: ITC's Powerful Patent Remedies

## News

News | June 6, 2025

Fish & Richardson Earns "Band 1" Nationwide Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers USA 2025

News | May 30, 2025

Fish & Richardson Receives Top Rankings in 2025 Edition of IAM Patent 1000: The World's Leading Patent Professionals

News | February 13, 2025

Fish & Richardson Achieves "Band 1" Rankings in the U.S. for IP and ITC Practices in Chambers Global 2025

News | January 30, 2025

Fish & Richardson Defeats Multi-Billion Dollar Demand at EDTX Jury Trial

Media Coverage | January 24, 2025

Fish & Richardson Trial Team Named Law360's 'Legal Lions of the Week' for \$1.95 Billion Patent Case

Law360

News | October 4, 2024

Fish & Richardson Ranked Highly by Managing IP, Attorneys Named IP Stars

News | August 15, 2024

101 Fish Attorneys Included in the 2025 Edition of *The Best Lawyers in America* 

News | June 11, 2024

Fish & Richardson Receives Top Rankings in 2024 Edition of IAM Patent 1000: The World's Leading Patent Professionals

News | June 10, 2024

Fish & Richardson Earns "Band 1" Nationwide Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers USA 2024

News | April 26, 2024

Fish & Richardson Recognized by *Managing IP* as ITC Firm of the Year, Principal Michael McKeon Named ITC Practitioner of the Year

News | March 4, 2024

John Adkisson Re-Elected to Second Term as President & CEO of Fish & Richardson

News | October 5, 2023

Fish & Richardson Ranked Highly by Managing IP, Attorneys Named IP Stars

News | August 17, 2023

101 Fish Attorneys Included in the 2024 Edition of The Best Lawyers in America

News | June 27, 2023

Fish & Richardson Receives Top Rankings in 2023 Edition of IAM Patent 1000: The World's Leading Patent Professionals

News | June 1, 2023

Fish & Richardson Earns "Band 1" Nationwide Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers USA 2023

News | March 1, 2023

Fish & Richardson Earns "Band 1" Rankings in the U.S. for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers Global 2023

News | September 8, 2022

Fish & Richardson Receives Top Rankings in 2022 Edition of IAM Patent 1000: The World's Leading Patent Professionals

News | August 22, 2022

96 Fish Attorneys Included in the 2023 Edition of The Best Lawyers in America

News | June 3, 2022

Fish & Richardson Earns "Band 1" Nationwide Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers USA 2022

News | June 2, 2022

Fish & Richardson Named a Top ITC and Life Sciences Firm by Managing IP, Attorneys Named IP Stars

News | April 8, 2022

Martina Hufnal and Mike McKeon Named Litigators of the Year by Managing IP

News | February 28, 2022

Fish & Richardson Shortlisted for 2022 Managing IP Americas Awards

News | August 19, 2021

Fish Attorneys Recognized in 2022 Edition of The Best Lawyers in America

News | July 7, 2021

Fish & Richardson Receives Top Rankings in 2021 Edition of IAM Patent 1000. The World's Leading Patent Professionals

News | May 26, 2021

Fish & Richardson Ranks in Top "Band 1" Nationwide Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers USA 2021

News | March 11, 2021

Fish & Richardson's District Court Victory in Samsung Patent Lawsuit Sustained on Appeal With Precedential Federal Circuit Opinion

News | August 12, 2020

Fish & Richardson Receives Top "Gold" Ranking from IAM Patent 1000 for National Litigation Practice; National Rankings in the Plaintiff Firm and Prosecution...

News | August 4, 2020

32 Fish & Richardson Attorneys Named 2020 "IP Stars" by Managing Intellectual Property Magazine

News | June 2, 2020

Fish & Richardson Receives Top "Band 1" National Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers USA 2020

News | March 18, 2020

Fish & Richardson Receives Top "Band 1" 2020 Rankings for Patent and ITC Practices from Chambers Global

News | January 21, 2020

Fish Named Law360 International Trade Group of the Year

News | June 20, 2019

Fish & Richardson Receives Top "Gold" Ranking from IAM Patent 1000 for National Patent Litigation Practice

News | May 22, 2019

Fish & Richardson Announces 32 Attorneys Named "IP Stars" by Managing Intellectual Property Magazine

News | May 21, 2019

Fish & Richardson Receives Top "Band 1" National Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers USA 2019

News | March 4, 2019

Fish & Richardson Receives Top "Band 1" 2019 Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices from Chambers Global

News | August 15, 2018

47 Fish Attorneys Named to The Best Lawyers in America 2019 List

News | June 14, 2018

Fish Receives Top "Gold" Ranking from IAM Patent 1000 for National Patent Litigation Practice

News | May 22, 2018

Fish & Richardson Receives Top "Band 1" National Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers USA 2018

News | May 21, 2018

Fish & Richardson Announces 39 Attorneys Named "IP Stars" by Managing Intellectual Property Magazine

News | February 22, 2018

Fish & Richardson Receives Top "Band 1" 2018 Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices from Chambers Global

News | July 25, 2017

Fish & Richardson Receives Top "Band 1" National Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers USA 2017

News | July 24, 2017

Fish & Richardson Receives Top "Gold" Ranking from IAM Patent 1000 for National Patent Litigation Practice

News | June 23, 2017

Fish & Richardson Announces 36 Attorneys Named "IP Stars" by Managing Intellectual Property Magazine

Media Coverage | January 26, 2017

ITC's Powerful Patent Remedies

Metropolitan Corporate Counsel

News | June 29, 2016

Fish Receives Top Tier 1 National Rankings in Patent Prosecution and Patent Litigation from The Legal 500

News | June 15, 2016

Fish & Richardson Named to IAM Patent 1000 for National Patent Litigation and Prosecution Practices

News | June 8, 2016

Fish Receives Top "Band 1" National Rankings for Intellectual Property and ITC Practices in Chambers USA 2016

News | June 2, 2016

Fish & Richardson Announces 36 Attorneys Named "IP Stars" by Managing Intellectual Property Magazine

News | November 19, 2015

Fish & Richardson Named to NLJ 2015 Appellate Hot List; Marks Fifth Year on Prestigious List

News | January 15, 2015

Fish & Richardson Named #1 in International Trade Commission Filings for 2014 by The National Law Journal

News | December 16, 2014

Fish's Post-Grant Practice Co-Chairs Named as Intellectual Property Trailblazers by The National Law Journal

News | November 13, 2014

Fish & Richardson Has Three Principals Named "Intellectual Property Trailblazers & Pioneers" by The National Law Journal

Media Coverage | October 22, 2014

Welcome To The Club: Advice From Old To New Partners

Law360

Media Coverage | October 1, 2014

Keeping Up With The ITC

Metropolitan Corporate Counsel

News | July 17, 2014

LG Beats Back Appeal in \$180 Million Patent Row

News | June 13, 2014

Fish Named to NLJ's "2014 Intellectual Property Hot List" for Third Straight Year

Media Coverage | March 12, 2013

Q&A With Fish & Richardson's Michael McKeon

Law360

News | February 15, 2013

McKeon selected for Law360 Intellectual Property editorial advisory board

News | September 5, 2012

Thirty Fish & Richardson Attorneys Selected for the 2013 Best Lawyers in America®

News | July 23, 2012

Fish & Richardson Principal Michael McKeon Named To NLJ/Legal Times'"Visionaries" List

News | May 14, 2012

Fish Named To "Intellectual Property Hot List" By The National Law Journal

Media Coverage | June 22, 2011

ITC Survey 2010: The Slugfest Continues

Corporate Counsel

Media Coverage | May 1, 2011

ITC Granting Fast Injunctions in Infringement Cases

Inside Counsel

## **Events**

May 27, 2019

Korean Intellectual Property Law Association (KIPLA) Conference

March 18, 2015

Managing IP 8th Annual North America Awards Ceremony

May 23, 2014

FCBA International Series "Best Practices: The Global Dialogue, 2014 Update" Conference

October 21, 2013

Federal Circuit Bar Association (FCBA) International Series "Korea-US IP Judicial Conference Program"

December 6, 2011

23rd Annual All Hands Meeting

September 12, 2010

IPO Annual Meeting 2010

May 6, 2009

ITCCLA Spring Meeting, Kyocera Decision Roundtable Discussion

# Additional insights

### **Publications**

- "Advantages of a Section 337 Investigation at the US International Trade Commission," IP Litigator, Vol. 12, No. 3 (May/June 2006)
- "The Patent Marking and Notice Statute: A Question of 'Fact' or 'Act'?" of Law & Tech., 9, 421 (Summer 1996) (Finnegan Award)
- "Lotus v. Borland: Copyright Protection of Computer Software in a State of Transition," Copyright World, 53, 16 (September 1995)

## Speaking engagements

- "MISSION IMPOSSIBLE Accepting the Mission: Strategies for Preventing the Theft & Misappropriation of Your Trade Secrets" (October 2019)
- "Willful Infringement and Damages in Patent Litigation," *The 5th Korea Intellectual Property Lawyers Association Annual International Seminar* (May 2019)
- "The Good, The Bad, and the Ugly of the New (WDTX) & Dominant (EDTX) Patent Litigation Frontier A look at venue, transfer, damages and other trends in the most notorious forums in the U.S." (May 2019)
- "Fuel & Fire The Movement to Extinguish the Patent System" (November 2017)
- "The Assault on the Patent System A Record Year of Case Developments and Trends" (June 2014)
- "The Global Dialogue Innovation and Capital," Federal Circuit Bench & Bar and US Chamber of Commerce (May 2014)
- "The Federal Circuit Year in Review New Law and New Trends You Need to Know" (July 2013)
- "Thriving in Markman Hearings," 23rd Annual All Hands Meeting Silicon Valley Association of General Counsel (December 2011)
- "Alternatives to District Court Litigation Section 337 Proceedings in the ITC," IPO Annual Meeting (September 2010)
- "Inside the Mind of an Infringer the Scope of Liability for Induced Infringement" (July 2010)
- "Master Class: Enforcement of ITC Exclusion Orders," American Conference Institute, ITC Litigation and Enforcement (February 2010)
- "ITC Update: Remedies, Enforcement & Other Developments," 14th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute (October 2009)
- "Kyocera Decision and Its Implications for Future Section 337 Practice," ITC Trial Lawyers Association (May 2009)
- "E-Discovery: What You Need to Know To Avoid Sanctions, Protect Your Information and Minimize Costs" (June 2008)
- "Current Issues Surrounding Patent Eligibility under 35 U.S.C. 101 Government, Academic and Private Sector Perspective," The Federal Circuit Bar Association (May 2008)
- "Strategies for Enforcing and Defending Intellectual Property Rights," National Asian Pacific American Bar Association (November 2007)

- "The Current State of Re-Exams at the USPTO," The Federal Circuit Bar Association (September 2007)
- "Veni, Vidi, Vici: Conquering Your Adversaries in the United States Patent Litigation Battle" (December 2006)
- "Patent Reform & Legislative Changes on the Horizon" (November 2005)
- "The Eastern District of Texas: The Good, The Bad, and the Ugly of the New Patent Litigation Frontier" (November 2005)

#### Media mentions

- "A Stranger's Guide to USITC Trade Secret Complaints," Managing IP (August 12, 2022)
- "The ITC Offers Powerful Remedies In Patent Cases: While the number of cases ebbs and flows, the ITC is here to stay"
   Metropolitan Corporate Counsel (January 2017)
- "Rainmaker Q&A: Fish Richardson's Michael McKeon," Law360 (September 2016)
- "Is The ITC Right For Your Patent Enforcement Actions?" Metropolitan Corporate Counsel (October 2010)

### Services

Litigation
Patent Litigation
Trade Secret Litigation
Appellate

### Industries

Electrical & Computer Technology
Software & Internet
Hardware
Semiconductors
Consumer Electronics
Telecommunications

## Admissions

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office District of Columbia New York Virginia U.S. Court for International Trade

### Clerkships

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, The Honorable William C. Bryson (1996-1997)

## Education

J.D. with highest honors, Order of the Coif, George Washington University Law School (1996)

B.S. *magna cum laude*, Mechanical Engineering, Materials Engineering, University of Connecticut (1990)

Copyright © 2025 Fish & Richardson P.C.