



SURVEY METHODOLOGY

The IP survey consists of rankings of the leading firms practising intellectual property in each country, presented in tiers. The total number of firms listed in each jurisdiction varies according to the size of the market. The survey is not an exhaustive list of every firm in each jurisdiction; even firms listed in the lower tiers have been recommended by a number of practitioners and deserve congratulations on their inclusion.

The second and third parts of the survey, covering trade mark and copyright work, will be published in the March and April issues of the magazine. The rest of the research, including extensive editorial and analysis of countries - and regions, in the case India, China and the United States - will be available online during May. They will then be printed in the annual IP Handbook. For the first time this year, the IP Handbook will also feature IP Stars - the leading practitioners at the listed

firms that received particular recommendation during Managing IP's research.

The Managing IP awards, presented in London for the global awards and Washington, DC for the North America awards, are based upon the same extensive research but aim to reward firms that have had a particularly good year in 2013. These rankings, by contrast, reflect a firm's standing in the market over several years.

How the results are compiled

A team of researchers based in London, Hong Kong and New York contacted firms in more than 80 jurisdictions to ask them for information and feedback on the rankings. Client feedback was also considered. Based on this research, firms are ranked in tiers in each jurisdiction. In most jurisdictions, there are

separate tables for prosecution and contentious work.

Prosecution work includes filing of patents and associated work in that jurisdiction, including filing for overseas clients. Contentious work includes all other legal work, such as enforcement and licensing. The tiers reflect the perception of the leading firms in each market, with the top tier listing those firms regarded as having the strongest practices in each category. Within each tier, firms are listed alphabetically.

No one outside of Managing IP has seen the rankings before publication. No firm can vote for its own inclusion, or recommend an associated or sister firm in another jurisdiction. The rankings reflect the state of the market when the research was conducted, between September 2013 and January 2014. Managing IP itself does not recommend or endorse any particular firms.

UNITED STATES

PATENT PROSECUTION

FISH & RICHARDSON

Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear

Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox

Fitzpatrick Cella Harper & Scinto

Foley & Lardner

Kenyon & Kenyon

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton

Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier & Neustadt

ITC

TIER 1

FISH & RICHARDSON

Adduci Mastriani & Schaumberg

Covington & Burling

Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner

Foster Murphy Altman & Nickel

Kirkland & Ellis

Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan

TIER 2

DLA Piper

Kenyon & Kenyon

McDermott Will & Emery

Morrison & Foerster

Steptoe & Johnson

WilmerHale

TRADE MARK PROSECUTION

Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner

Fross Zelnick Lehrman & Zissu

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton

TIER 2

FISH & RICHARDSON

Arent Fox

Cowan Liebowitz & Latman

Fitzpatrick Cella Harper & Scinto

K&L Gates

Kenyon & Kenyon

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear

Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier & Neustadt

Pattishall McAuliffe Newbury Hilliard & Geraldson

PATENT CONTENTIOUS

TIER 1

FISH & RICHARDSON

Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner

Irell & Manella

Kirkland & Ellis

Morrison & Foerster

WilmerHale

Covington & Burling

Desmarais

Durie Tangri

Jones Day

McDermott Will & Emery

McKool Smith

Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe

Quinn Emanuel Urguhart & Sullivan

Sidley Austin

BIO LIFE SCIENCES

TIER 1

FISH & RICHARDSON

Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner

Fitzpatrick Cella Harper & Scinto

Kirkland & Ellis

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear

Sidley Austin

Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox

WilmerHale

Goodwin Procter

Irell & Manella

Jones Day

Kaye Scholer

Kenvon & Kenvon

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton

Morrison & Foerster

Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler

Paul Hastings

Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan

Rakoczy Molino Mazzochi Siwik

Ropes & Gray

Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati

Winston & Strawn

COPYRIGHT

TIER 1

Cowan Liebowitz & Latman

Debevoise & Plimpton

Fross Zelnick Lehrman & Zissu

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton

Kirkland & Ellis

Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe

FISH & RICHARDSON

Arnold & Porter

Davis Wright Tremaine

Durie Tangri

Fenwick & West

Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner

Greenberg Traurig

Irell & Manella

Keker & Van Nest

Loeb & Loeb Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp

Morrison & Foerster

Munger Tolles & Olson

Weil Gotshal & Manges

WilmerHale

Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati

Winston & Strawn



Fish & Richardson is a global patent, intellectual property (IP) litigation, and commercial litigation law firm with more than 400 attorneys and technology specialists across the U.S. and Europe. Fish has been named the #1 patent litigation firm in the U.S. for 10 consecutive years. Fish has been winning cases worth billions in controversy - often by making new law - for the most innovative clients and influential industry leaders since 1878. For more information, visit www.fr.com.